

Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:52:12 -0500
From: Carol Blythe and Rick Goodman <blythe-goodman@erols.com>
Reply-To: blythe-goodman@erols.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-DH397 (Win95; I)
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Next meeting

thanks Howard -- I will try to make these.

Carol Blythe

Reply-To: "Alistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
From: "Alistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Dealing with nuclear threats
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 15:31:27 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3

President Clinton said that his decision will be based on four criteria: the readiness of the technology, the impact of deployment on arms control and relations with Russia, the cost of the system, and the threat.

Here's a piece on the threat from Stephen Young's Breifing book:

The Threat Real and Potential

The United States has faced the threat of nuclear missile attack for forty years. Ten years after the Cold War ended, Russia maintains 2,000 strategic nuclear warheads on high alert, together capable of destroying the United States in under an hour. No plausible missile defense could defend against such an attack.

Instead, the proposed national missile defense system is designed to blunt an attack on the United States by a few tens of warheads. The potential for a threat of this size comes from North Korea, Iran and Iraq, three states cited as seeking long-range missiles, or from a small accidental or unauthorized launch by Russia or China. In fact, of the three, only North Korea has any kind of long-range missile test program, which it froze in 1999 while pursuing talks with the United States.

Two developments heightened concern over potential new threats. The first was the July 15, 1998, release of the study by the Commission to Assess the Ballistic Missile Threat to the United States, known as the Rumsfeld report. Chaired by former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, the Commission described a potential threat much greater than previous intelligence estimates had suggested:

Concerted efforts by a number of overtly or potentially hostile nations to acquire ballistic missiles with biological or nuclear payloads pose a growing threat to the United States, its deployed forces and its friends and allies. These newer, developing threats in North Korea, Iran and Iraq are in addition to those still posed by the existing ballistic missile arsenals of Russia and China, nations with which we are not now in conflict but which remain in uncertain transitions. The newer ballistic missile-equipped nations' capabilities will not match those of U.S. systems for accuracy or reliability. However, they would be able to inflict major destruction on the U.S. within about five years of a decision to acquire such a capability (10 years in the case of Iraq). During several of those years, the U.S. might not be aware that such a decision had been made.

This report, particularly its emphasis that states could begin to develop

long-range missiles or acquire missile technology without U.S. knowledge, changed the tenor of the debate over the threat of missile attack. Previously, intelligence estimates had focused on what was likely to occur, not what was merely possible.

The second development occurred on August 31, 1998, when North Korea tested a three-stage version of the Taepo Dong-1 missile. The North Korean government claimed the rocket launched a small satellite, but Western radar tracking indicates that the third rocket stage failed, tumbling erratically and burning up on re-entry. Despite its failure, many Western analysts were surprised by the presence of a third stage, a capability few thought North Korea had achieved. The missile's flight path over northern Japan heightened tensions in the region.

The 1999 National Intelligence Estimate

In September 1999, the U.S. intelligence community, led by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), released the unclassified version of the National Intelligence Estimate (NIE), "Foreign Missile Developments and the Ballistic Missile Threat to the United States Through 2015." This Estimate, the latest in a series begun in 1993, assesses the missile threats to U.S. security over the next 15 years. Its primary conclusions are:

We project that during the next 15 years the United States most likely will face ICBM threats from Russia, China, and North Korea, probably from Iran, and possibly from Iraq. The Russian threat, although significantly reduced, will continue to be the most robust and lethal, considerably more so than that posed by China, and orders of magnitude more than that potentially posed by other nations, whose missiles are likely to be fewer in number--probably a few to tens, constrained to smaller payloads, and less reliable and accurate than their Russian and Chinese counterparts.

Following the example set by the Rumsfeld report, the new NIE for the first time included discussion of what could happen, along with its traditional analysis of what was likely. (Members of the Rumsfeld Commission served as outside reviewers of the NIE.) However, the NIE authors expressed doubts on the utility of this approach: "Some analysts believe that the prominence given to missiles countries 'could' develop gives more credence than is warranted to developments that may prove implausible." While what could happen is relevant, it should not drive missile defense policy.

North Korea

Among states newly pursuing missile technology, North Korea has by far the most developed missile program. The NIE found that North Korea could convert the Taepo Dong-1 into a long-range missile that could deliver a biological or chemical weapon to the United States. The Taepo Dong-1 had one flight test, in 1998. It failed. The NIE went on to say that North Korea was "more likely to weaponize the larger Taepo Dong-2 as an ICBM that could deliver a several-hundred kilogram payload (sufficient for early generation nuclear weapons) to the United States." In the latter part of 1999, intelligence reports indicated North Korea was preparing the first test-launch of the Taepo Dong-2. However, as the NIE noted was possible, testing of the Taepo Dong-2 was "delayed for political reasons."

A U.S. negotiating team headed by former Secretary of Defense William Perry arranged this delay, and talks between the two countries continue. In his October 1999 report, "Review of United States Policy Toward North Korea: Findings and Recommendations," Perry calls for a two-path strategy of engagement. On one path, the United States, together with South Korea and Japan, would seek "complete and verifiable assurances" that North Korea had ended its nuclear weapons program; the "complete and verifiable cessation of testing, production and deployment" of medium and long-range missiles; and a halt to missile exports. In return, the U.S. and its allies would "normalize relations with North Korea, relax sanctions that have long constrained trade with North Korea, and take other positive steps that would provide opportunities for North Korea."

Both sides have now taken steps down that path. The North Korean government announced a halt to its missile flight test program while discussions with the United States continue; in return, President Clinton in June lifted some trade sanctions against the isolated country.

Other developments indicate increasing North Korean interest in joining the international community. In recent months, Italy and Australia have both opened diplomatic relations with the isolated country. Most significantly, in June, the first summit meeting took place between the presidents of North and South Korea. In an historic agreement, the two agreed to discuss reunification, a move that would dramatically reduce any threat from the North.

If, against these trends, North Korea resumes its weapons programs, the Perry report recommends a second path: seek to maintain the 1994 Agreed Framework, which Perry notes has successfully halted North Korea's production of fissile materials for nuclear weapons, and take "firm but measured steps to persuade North Korea that it should return to the first path."

North Korea has taken a number of provocative steps, including its missile flight tests. Although it agreed to halt any flight tests, a CIA official testified before Congress in February 2000 that North Korea has continued other aspects of its program, including exports. North Korea has, however, never undertaken the series of tests required to develop and deploy an effective and reliable long-range missile. Instead, it has used tests and threats of withdrawal from the NPT and the Agreed Framework to pressure the West into activity.

In early February 2000, officials agreed to arrange a visit to the United States by a high-level North Korean delegation. These talks will address both North Korea's missile development programs and its missile and missile technology exports. Successful negotiations with the United States could mean the end of both North Korea's missile development and its exports.

Even if this analysis is incorrect and North Korea chooses to develop an arsenal capable of inflicting damage on the United States, the NIE assesses that at most North Korea could build a few or a few tens of inaccurate, unreliable missiles. On the other hand, any assessment of the long-term threat from North Korea should take into account the possibility that the

government could collapse or unite with South Korea within the next decade.

Iran

The NIE states that with Russian technology and assistance, Iran "could deliver a several-hundred kilogram payload to many parts of the United States in the last half of the next decade." However, analysts differ on how soon Iran would be likely to test a long-range missile capable of threatening the United States. Some thought it possible by 2010, others thought it unlikely before 2015.

Recent press reports state that North Korea continues to assist Iran's missile program. The design of Iran's Shahab-3, a mid-range missile that can reach Turkey, is based on that of North Korea's No Dong missile. This collaboration highlights the need to stop not only North Korea's own missile programs, but its exports of missile technology and components as well.

At the same time, Iran's democratic institutions are taking hold, and its relations with the United States have thawed modestly during recent years.

Iraq

As the NIE notes, "the Gulf war and subsequent United Nations activities destroyed much of Iraq's missile infrastructure." It goes on to state, however, that given sufficient foreign assistance, "Iraq could test a North Korean-type ICBM that could deliver a several-hundred kilogram payload to the United States in the last half of the next decade." Again, analysts differed on how likely that was, with some calling such a weapon likely before 2015, others possible before 2010 with foreign assistance, and still others unlikely before 2015.

Iraq remains under international sanctions, which limit its ability to acquire missiles and missile technology.

Russia

As already described, Russia currently deploys roughly 6,000 strategic nuclear warheads that can hit the United States. Of those, roughly 4,500 are on long-range missiles, either submarine- or land-based, of which somewhat less than half are on high alert, ready for launch within minutes. Russia's arsenal is declining in size as a result of aging equipment and a lack of resources. According to the NIE, "Russia will maintain as many strategic missiles and associated nuclear warheads as it believes it can afford, but well short of START I or II limitations." If relations with the United States stabilize, the Russian arsenal is likely to fall to 1,500 strategic warheads or less in the next decade. If the U.S. violates the ABM Treaty, Russia could maintain an arsenal of 2,500 warheads or more, including multi-warhead missiles that would otherwise be prohibited under START II. Under any scenario, as the NIE states, the remaining Russian nuclear arsenal will be "orders of magnitude" more capable, robust and lethal than any other threat to the United States.

The proposed national missile defense is designed to handle a small accidental or unauthorized launch from Russia or China. For both countries,

the NIE judges the threat of unauthorized or accidental launch of a strategic missile "highly unlikely." As described later, the U.S. could take steps with Russia that would further reduce the likelihood of accidental launch.

At present, Russia's relations with the U.S. are strained but not broken; however, the possibility of upheaval in Russia or in U.S.-Russian relations cannot be dismissed. NATO's eastward expansion and the alliance's bombing of Serbia have increased Russian concerns about Western intentions. The broad public support in Russia for the war in Chechnya highlights a shift toward a more nationalistic mood. American and European criticism of that war has increased hostility toward the West. (Putin recently approved a new military strategy that lowered the threshold for the use of nuclear weapons.) If the U.S. abrogates or withdraws from the ABM Treaty, it may make matters worse. In sum, a renewed atmosphere of confrontation with Russia should not be ruled out.

China

As described earlier, China has perhaps 20 long-range ballistic missiles armed with nuclear warheads. The NIE states that: "By 2015, China will likely have tens of missiles targeted against the United States, having added a few tens of more survivable land- and sea-based mobile missiles with smaller nuclear warheads."

The NIE also notes that China has had the capacity to deploy multi-warhead missiles for years, but has not done so. As described earlier, China is already developing new and more capable long-range nuclear-tipped missiles, with plans for two new land-based mobile missiles and a submarine-launched version. It is unclear how rapid and how large an increase in its arsenal China will pursue. If China seeks to maintain its deterrent, however, U.S. deployment of national missile defenses will push the Chinese to increase their arsenal. Press accounts in October 1999 reported that China had added \$9.7 billion to its defense budget to improve its nuclear arsenal.

Non-Ballistic Missile Threats

The threat of missile attack should not be considered in isolation. There are other, more likely methods of attack available to potential aggressors. Should a country decide it wants to attack the United States with a nuclear, chemical, or biological weapon, it is likely to choose delivery methods that are more reliable, less expensive, more covertly deliverable, more accurate, and likely to be more effective than long-range ballistic missiles.

The NIE includes a substantial discussion of alternatives to long-range missile attack: "Several other means to deliver weapons of mass destruction to the United States have probably been devised, some more reliable than ICBMs that have not completed rigorous testing programs. For example, biological or chemical weapons could be prepared in the United States and used in large population centers, or short-range missiles could be deployed on surface ships." It goes on:

[I]nitial indigenous nuclear weapon designs are likely to be too large and heavy for a modest-sized ballistic missile but still suitable for delivery

by ship, truck, or even airplane. Furthermore, a country (or non-state actor) is likely to have only a few nuclear weapons, at least during the next 15 years. Reliability of delivery would be a critical factor; covert delivery methods could offer reliability advantages over a missile. Not only would a country want the warhead to reach its target, it would want to avoid an accident with a WMD [weapons of mass destruction] warhead at the missile-launch area. On the other hand, a ship sailing into a port could provide secure delivery to limited locations, and a nuclear detonation, either in the ship or on the dock, could achieve the intended purpose. An airplane, either manned or unmanned, could also deliver a nuclear weapon before any local inspection, and perhaps before landing. Finally, a nuclear weapon might also be smuggled across a border or brought ashore covertly.

Robert Walpole, an analyst at the CIA who helped produce the NIE, was even more explicit in his February 2000 testimony before the Senate:

In fact, we project that in the coming years, US territory is probably more likely to be attacked with weapons of mass destruction from non-missile delivery means (most likely from non-state entities) than by missiles, primarily because non-missile delivery means are less costly and more reliable and accurate. They can also be used without attribution.

Particularly for biological weapons, alternative methods of delivery offer clear advantages to any potential adversary. Their appeal over missiles makes long-range ballistic missile attack on the United States even less likely.

The Future

Over the next ten to 15 years, countries like North Korea, Iran and Iraq might develop a small arsenal of missiles capable of hitting the United States with nuclear, chemical or biological warheads. However, this is not a certainty, and whether they will depends on a variety of factors, including political and economic relations with the West and developments in each country's region and the international community. Even if they develop such weapons, their arsenals would be orders of magnitude smaller and substantially less lethal than Russia's, and the penalty for their use substantial

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman <mupj@igc.org>
To: amillar@fourthfreedom.org <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
Date: Wednesday, January 24, 2001 5:36 PM
Subject: Dealing with nuclear threats

>Allistair,

>

>Following up our conversation the other day, I'm wondering if there might
>be some type of report or statement signed by a number of civil-sector and
>faith-based organizations that takes a realistic look at nuclear threats
>and most appropriate responses. I believe that this would show NMD to be
>of relative minor importance and very low cost effectiveness.

>

>The threats to the United States include (1) the existing nuclear arsenals
>of Russia and China, (2) unsecured fissile material that might get in the
>wrong hands, (3) efforts by a few nations to develop nuclear weapons and
>long-range missiles, (4) attempts by terrorists to acquire nuclear weapons,
>and (5) nuclear attack on the U.S. by terrorists or hostile nation,
>delivered by (a) smuggling in, (b) boat in harbor or off shore, (c) cruise
>missile from intermediate distance, or (d) long-range missile attack.

>
>Appropriate responses include (1) de-alerting arsenals of nuclear-weapon
>states, (2) arms reduction measures, such as those specified in Final
>Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference, (3) Nunn-Lugar activities, (4)
>comprehensive approach to global fissile material control, (5) restrictions
>on missile technology, (6) diplomacy and economic assistance to remove
>dangers from North Korea and other nations, (7) keep track of terrorists
>groups, (8) deal with causes of terrorism, (9) develop safeguards against
>close-in attacks, and (10) national missile defense.

>
>These are quick lists and could be refined and developed. Some of the
>remedies are underway, others would have to be initiated or augmented. A
>total examination of threats and remedies should reveal (1) what a bad
>bargain NMD is (I didn't deal with bad side effects, such as responses of
>Russia and China) and (2) the need to do more about some of the other
>remedies.

>
>I'll be interested in your thoughts.

>
>Howard

>
>Howard W. Hallman, Chair
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

>

Reply-To: "Alistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
From: "Alistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Dealing with nuclear threats
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 15:31:27 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3

President Clinton said that his decision will be based on four criteria: the readiness of the technology, the impact of deployment on arms control and relations with Russia, the cost of the system, and the threat.

Here's a piece on the threat from Stephen Young's Breifing book:

The Threat Real and Potential

The United States has faced the threat of nuclear missile attack for forty years. Ten years after the Cold War ended, Russia maintains 2,000 strategic nuclear warheads on high alert, together capable of destroying the United States in under an hour. No plausible missile defense could defend against such an attack.

Instead, the proposed national missile defense system is designed to blunt an attack on the United States by a few tens of warheads. The potential for a threat of this size comes from North Korea, Iran and Iraq, three states cited as seeking long-range missiles, or from a small accidental or unauthorized launch by Russia or China. In fact, of the three, only North Korea has any kind of long-range missile test program, which it froze in 1999 while pursuing talks with the United States.

Two developments heightened concern over potential new threats. The first was the July 15, 1998, release of the study by the Commission to Assess the Ballistic Missile Threat to the United States, known as the Rumsfeld report. Chaired by former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, the Commission described a potential threat much greater than previous intelligence estimates had suggested:

Concerted efforts by a number of overtly or potentially hostile nations to acquire ballistic missiles with biological or nuclear payloads pose a growing threat to the United States, its deployed forces and its friends and allies. These newer, developing threats in North Korea, Iran and Iraq are in addition to those still posed by the existing ballistic missile arsenals of Russia and China, nations with which we are not now in conflict but which remain in uncertain transitions. The newer ballistic missile-equipped nations' capabilities will not match those of U.S. systems for accuracy or reliability. However, they would be able to inflict major destruction on the

U.S. within about five years of a decision to acquire such a capability (10 years in the case of Iraq). During several of those years, the U.S. might not be aware that such a decision had been made.

This report, particularly its emphasis that states could begin to develop long-range missiles or acquire missile technology without U.S. knowledge, changed the tenor of the debate over the threat of missile attack. Previously, intelligence estimates had focused on what was likely to occur, not what was merely possible.

The second development occurred on August 31, 1998, when North Korea tested a three-stage version of the Taepo Dong-1 missile. The North Korean government claimed the rocket launched a small satellite, but Western radar tracking indicates that the third rocket stage failed, tumbling erratically and burning up on re-entry. Despite its failure, many Western analysts were surprised by the presence of a third stage, a capability few thought North Korea had achieved. The missile's flight path over northern Japan heightened tensions in the region.

The 1999 National Intelligence Estimate

In September 1999, the U.S. intelligence community, led by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), released the unclassified version of the National Intelligence Estimate (NIE), "Foreign Missile Developments and the Ballistic Missile Threat to the United States Through 2015." This Estimate, the latest in a series begun in 1993, assesses the missile threats to U.S. security over the next 15 years. Its primary conclusions are:

We project that during the next 15 years the United States most likely will face ICBM threats from Russia, China, and North Korea, probably from Iran, and possibly from Iraq. The Russian threat, although significantly reduced, will continue to be the most robust and lethal, considerably more so than that posed by China, and orders of magnitude more than that potentially posed by other nations, whose missiles are likely to be fewer in number--probably a few to tens, constrained to smaller payloads, and less reliable and accurate than their Russian and Chinese counterparts.

Following the example set by the Rumsfeld report, the new NIE for the first time included discussion of what could happen, along with its traditional analysis of what was likely. (Members of the Rumsfeld Commission served as outside reviewers of the NIE.) However, the NIE authors expressed doubts on the utility of this approach: "Some analysts believe that the prominence given to missiles countries 'could' develop gives more credence than is warranted to developments that may prove implausible." While what could happen is relevant, it should not drive missile defense policy.

North Korea

Among states newly pursuing missile technology, North Korea has by far the most developed missile program. The NIE found that North Korea could convert the Taepo Dong-1 into a long-range missile that could deliver a biological or chemical weapon to the United States. The Taepo Dong-1 had one flight test, in 1998. It failed. The NIE went on to say that North Korea was "more likely to weaponize the larger Taepo Dong-2 as an ICBM that could deliver a several-hundred kilogram payload (sufficient for early generation nuclear weapons) to the United States." In the latter part of 1999, intelligence reports indicated North Korea was preparing the first test-launch of the Taepo Dong-2. However, as the NIE noted was possible, testing of the Taepo Dong-2 was "delayed for political reasons."

A U.S. negotiating team headed by former Secretary of Defense William Perry arranged this delay, and talks between the two countries continue. In his October 1999 report, "Review of United States Policy Toward North Korea: Findings and Recommendations," Perry calls for a two-path strategy of engagement. On one path, the United States, together with South Korea and Japan, would seek "complete and verifiable assurances" that North Korea had ended its nuclear weapons program; the "complete and verifiable cessation of testing, production and deployment" of medium and long-range missiles; and a halt to missile exports. In return, the U.S. and its allies would "normalize relations with North Korea, relax sanctions that have long constrained trade with North Korea, and take other positive steps that would provide opportunities for North Korea."

Both sides have now taken steps down that path. The North Korean government announced a halt to its missile flight test program while discussions with the United States continue; in return, President Clinton in June lifted some trade sanctions against the isolated country.

Other developments indicate increasing North Korean interest in joining the international community. In recent months, Italy and Australia have both opened diplomatic relations with the isolated country. Most significantly, in June, the first summit meeting took place between the presidents of North and South Korea. In an historic agreement, the two agreed to discuss reunification, a move that would dramatically reduce any threat from the North.

If, against these trends, North Korea resumes its weapons programs, the Perry report recommends a second path: seek to maintain the 1994 Agreed Framework, which Perry notes has successfully halted North Korea's production of fissile materials for nuclear weapons, and take "firm but measured steps to persuade North Korea that it should return to the first path."

North Korea has taken a number of provocative steps, including its missile flight tests. Although it agreed to halt any flight tests, a CIA official testified before Congress in February 2000 that North Korea has continued

other aspects of its program, including exports. North Korea has, however, never undertaken the series of tests required to develop and deploy an effective and reliable long-range missile. Instead, it has used tests and threats of withdrawal from the NPT and the Agreed Framework to pressure the West into activity.

In early February 2000, officials agreed to arrange a visit to the United States by a high-level North Korean delegation. These talks will address both North Korea's missile development programs and its missile and missile technology exports. Successful negotiations with the United States could mean the end of both North Korea's missile development and its exports.

Even if this analysis is incorrect and North Korea chooses to develop an arsenal capable of inflicting damage on the United States, the NIE assesses that at most North Korea could build a few or a few tens of inaccurate, unreliable missiles. On the other hand, any assessment of the long-term threat from North Korea should take into account the possibility that the government could collapse or unite with South Korea within the next decade.

Iran

The NIE states that with Russian technology and assistance, Iran "could deliver a several-hundred kilogram payload to many parts of the United States in the last half of the next decade." However, analysts differ on how soon Iran would be likely to test a long-range missile capable of threatening the United States. Some thought it possible by 2010, others thought it unlikely before 2015.

Recent press reports state that North Korea continues to assist Iran's missile program. The design of Iran's Shahab-3, a mid-range missile that can reach Turkey, is based on that of North Korea's No Dong missile. This collaboration highlights the need to stop not only North Korea's own missile programs, but its exports of missile technology and components as well.

At the same time, Iran's democratic institutions are taking hold, and its relations with the United States have thawed modestly during recent years.

Iraq

As the NIE notes, "the Gulf war and subsequent United Nations activities destroyed much of Iraq's missile infrastructure." It goes on to state, however, that given sufficient foreign assistance, "Iraq could test a North Korean-type ICBM that could deliver a several-hundred kilogram payload to the United States in the last half of the next decade." Again, analysts differed on how likely that was, with some calling such a weapon likely before 2015, others possible before 2010 with foreign assistance, and still others unlikely before 2015.

Iraq remains under international sanctions, which limit its ability to acquire missiles and missile technology.

Russia

As already described, Russia currently deploys roughly 6,000 strategic nuclear warheads that can hit the United States. Of those, roughly 4,500 are on long-range missiles, either submarine- or land-based, of which somewhat less than half are on high alert, ready for launch within minutes. Russia's arsenal is declining in size as a result of aging equipment and a lack of resources. According to the NIE, "Russia will maintain as many strategic missiles and associated nuclear warheads as it believes it can afford, but well short of START I or II limitations." If relations with the United States stabilize, the Russian arsenal is likely to fall to 1,500 strategic warheads or less in the next decade. If the U.S. violates the ABM Treaty, Russia could maintain an arsenal of 2,500 warheads or more, including multi-warhead missiles that would otherwise be prohibited under START II. Under any scenario, as the NIE states, the remaining Russian nuclear arsenal will be "orders of magnitude" more capable, robust and lethal than any other threat to the United States.

The proposed national missile defense is designed to handle a small accidental or unauthorized launch from Russia or China. For both countries, the NIE judges the threat of unauthorized or accidental launch of a strategic missile "highly unlikely." As described later, the U.S. could take steps with Russia that would further reduce the likelihood of accidental launch.

At present, Russia's relations with the U.S. are strained but not broken; however, the possibility of upheaval in Russia or in U.S.-Russian relations cannot be dismissed. NATO's eastward expansion and the alliance's bombing of Serbia have increased Russian concerns about Western intentions. The broad public support in Russia for the war in Chechnya highlights a shift toward a more nationalistic mood. American and European criticism of that war has increased hostility toward the West. (Putin recently approved a new military strategy that lowered the threshold for the use of nuclear weapons.) If the U.S. abrogates or withdraws from the ABM Treaty, it may make matters worse. In sum, a renewed atmosphere of confrontation with Russia should not be ruled out.

China

As described earlier, China has perhaps 20 long-range ballistic missiles armed with nuclear warheads. The NIE states that: "By 2015, China will likely have tens of missiles targeted against the United States, having added a few tens of more survivable land- and sea-based mobile missiles with smaller nuclear warheads."

The NIE also notes that China has had the capacity to deploy multi-warhead missiles for years, but has not done so. As described earlier, China is already developing new and more capable long-range nuclear-tipped missiles, with plans for two new land-based mobile missiles and a submarine-launched version. It is unclear how rapid and how large an increase in its arsenal China will pursue. If China seeks to maintain its deterrent, however, U.S. deployment of national missile defenses will push the Chinese to increase their arsenal. Press accounts in October 1999 reported that China had added \$9.7 billion to its defense budget to improve its nuclear arsenal.

Non-Ballistic Missile Threats

The threat of missile attack should not be considered in isolation. There are other, more likely methods of attack available to potential aggressors. Should a country decide it wants to attack the United States with a nuclear, chemical, or biological weapon, it is likely to choose delivery methods that are more reliable, less expensive, more covertly deliverable, more accurate, and likely to be more effective than long-range ballistic missiles.

The NIE includes a substantial discussion of alternatives to long-range missile attack: "Several other means to deliver weapons of mass destruction to the United States have probably been devised, some more reliable than ICBMs that have not completed rigorous testing programs. For example, biological or chemical weapons could be prepared in the United States and used in large population centers, or short-range missiles could be deployed on surface ships." It goes on:

[I]nitial indigenous nuclear weapon designs are likely to be too large and heavy for a modest-sized ballistic missile but still suitable for delivery by ship, truck, or even airplane. Furthermore, a country (or non-state actor) is likely to have only a few nuclear weapons, at least during the next 15 years. Reliability of delivery would be a critical factor; covert delivery methods could offer reliability advantages over a missile. Not only would a country want the warhead to reach its target, it would want to avoid an accident with a WMD [weapons of mass destruction] warhead at the missile-launch area. On the other hand, a ship sailing into a port could provide secure delivery to limited locations, and a nuclear detonation, either in the ship or on the dock, could achieve the intended purpose. An airplane, either manned or unmanned, could also deliver a nuclear weapon before any local inspection, and perhaps before landing. Finally, a nuclear weapon might also be smuggled across a border or brought ashore covertly.

Robert Walpole, an analyst at the CIA who helped produce the NIE, was even more explicit in his February 2000 testimony before the Senate:

In fact, we project that in the coming years, US territory is probably more likely to be attacked with weapons of mass destruction from non-missile delivery means (most likely from non-state entities) than by missiles,

primarily because non-missile delivery means are less costly and more reliable and accurate. They can also be used without attribution.

Particularly for biological weapons, alternative methods of delivery offer clear advantages to any potential adversary. Their appeal over missiles makes long-range ballistic missile attack on the United States even less likely.

The Future

Over the next ten to 15 years, countries like North Korea, Iran and Iraq might develop a small arsenal of missiles capable of hitting the United States with nuclear, chemical or biological warheads. However, this is not a certainty, and whether they will depends on a variety of factors, including political and economic relations with the West and developments in each country's region and the international community. Even if they develop such weapons, their arsenals would be orders of magnitude smaller and substantially less lethal than Russia's, and the penalty for their use substantial

Reply-To: <laura@techrocks.org>
From: "Laura Kriv" <laura@techrocks.org>
To: <laura@techrocks.org>
Subject: Monday Lobby Online Organizing Meeting
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 16:24:08 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Importance: Normal

Friends of Monday Lobby,

For those of you who did not receive the flyer about Monday Lobby's discussion on Online Organizing, here it is as an attachment (I'm sorry for the duplication, if you've received this already). Please forward this around to others in your office who may be interested. We hope to see you at this event on Monday, Jan. 29th. Thanks!

Laura Kriv
TechRocks
2100 L Street, NW, Suite 210
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 785-1204 (phone)
(202) 785-5341 (fax)
laura@techrocks.org

Help Make Nuclear Weapons a Thing of the Past
<http://www.DontBlowIt.org>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\Monday Lobby flier.doc"

From: "Paul Lansu" <paul@paxchristi.net>
To: "Austria (E-mail 2)" <pax@m2.khg-heim.uni-linz.ac.at>,
"Czech Republic" <peter.moree@etf.cuni.cz>,
"Dekkers Anton" <dekkers@nsg-gym.dk>,
"Dell'Olio Antonio (E-mail 2)" <a.dellolio@tiscalinet.it>,
"Dell'Olio Antonio (E-mail)" <a.dellolio@ba.nettuno.it>,
"Denmark" <pax.dk@post7.tele.dk>, "Flanders" <paxchrvl@ngonet.be>,
"Gaffney Pat" <p.gaffney@paxchristi.freeseve.co.uk>,
"Germany" <paxchristi.sekretariat@online.de>,
"Hungary" <pax@theol.u-szeged.hu>, "Ireland" <paxtdc@indigo.ie>,
"Italy (E-mail)" <paxchristi@tiscalinet.it>,
"Mens Arie PCNederland (E-mail)" <mens@paxchristi.nl>,
"Messerschmidt Anette" <a.messerschmidt@teliamail.dk>,
"Molnar Peter (E-mail)" <molnarp@lamar.colostate.edu>,
"Molnar Tomas and Ludo (E-mail)" <molnars@nextra.sk>,
"Netherlands" <paxchristi@paxchristi.antenna.nl>,
"Poland (E-mail 2)" <pxchw@free.ngo.pl>,
"Puerto Rico" <bgcdpr@prtc.net>, "Rasmussen Peter" <pr.hir@ci.kk.dk>,
"Renato Stefani (E-mail)" <nicaragu@aichinet.ne.jp>,
"Ryzenko Jakub (E-mail)" <ryzenko@poczta.onet.pl>,
"Saco Margarida" <msaco@mail.teleweb.pt>,
"Schneckenleitner Meinrad (E-mail)" <meinrad@m2.khg-heim.uni-linz.ac.at>,
"Small Nancy" <nsmall@paxchristiusa.org>,
"United Kingdom" <paxchristi@gn.apc.org>,
"USA" <info@paxchristiusa.org>,
"Van Hecken Jef" <Jef.Vanhecken@paxchristi.be>,
"AEFJN (E-mail)" <aefjn@innet.be>,
"Atwood David (E-mail)" <dpatwood@igc.apc.org>,
"Basic UK (E-mail)" <basicuk@basicint.org>,
"Basic US (E-mail)" <basicus@basicint.org>,
"Beyers Jan (E-mail)" <Jan.Beyers@soc.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Camilleri Joseph Prof (E-mail)" <j.camilleri@latrobe.edu.au>,
"Comiskey Joan Vince (E-mail)" <IntlPaxChr@aol.com>,
"Cordaro Tom (E-mail)" <pcusachair@juno.com>,
"Coughlan John (E-mail 2)" <john.coughlan@comece.org>,
"De Vrieze Franklin (E-mail)" <franklin.devrieze@paxchristi.be>,
"Delahaye Jos (E-mail)" <jos.delahaye@soc.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Hallman W. Howard (E-mail)" <mupj@igc.org>,
"Hally Cyril (E-mail)" <cmi@columban.org.au>,
"Heidegger Klaus (E-mail)" <k.heidegger@tirol.com>,
"IANSa Coordinator" <coordinator@iansa.org>,
"IPB International Peace Bureau G (E-mail)" <mailbox@ipb.org>,
"Isis Europe (E-mail)" <isis-europe@ping.be>,
"Kerremans Bart (E-mail)" <bart.kerremans@soc.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Lafouasse Michel (E-mail)" <mmj.lafouasse@wanadoo.fr>,
"Malsch Ineke (E-mail)" <postbus@malsch.demon.nl>,
"Meeusen Frank (E-mail)" <frank.meeussen@planetinternet.be>,
"Mellon Christian (E-mail)" <Justice.Paix@wanadoo.fr>,
"Morvan Guy (E-mail)" <gmorvan@club-internet.fr>,
"Pagnucco Ronald (E-mail)" <RPagnucco@CSBSJU.EDU>,
"Robinson Dave (E-mail)" <dave@paxchristiusa.org>,
"Rothbauer Holger (E-mail 2)" <hokasajo.Rothbauer@t-online.de>,
"Rothbauer Holger (E-mail)" <Rothbauer@holger.tue.schwaben.de>,

"Schennink Ben (E-mail)" <b.schennink@bw.kun.nl>,
"Theunis Bart (E-mail)" <bart.theunis@cgrs.mibz.fgov.be>,
"Van Kemseke Peter (E-mail)" <peter.vankemseke@arts.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Wakim David (E-mail)" <Wakim.Fam@xtra.co.nz>,
"Wicker Brian (E-mail)" <Brian.Wicker@ukgateway.net>,
"Yasutomi Atsushi (E-mail)" <atsushi.yasutomi@student.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Jordan Pancras (E-mail)" <panjordan@yahoo.com>,
"Sydney (E-mail 2)" <judysang@yahoo.com>,
"Sydney (E-mail)" <hedghog@matra.com.au>,
"Henry Michael (E-mail)" <mhenry@parade.vic.edu.au>

Subject: call and statement nuclear disarmament - more bishops?

Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 09:43:47 +0100

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300

Importance: Normal

X-MDAemon-Deliver-To: mupj@igc.org

X-Return-Path: paul@paxchristi.net

Dear friends,

This is to inform you that next to our International President, also Cardinal Franz König (former International President), signed our call and statement. We still hope to have the name of Cardinal Danneels and Bishop Bettazzi as well. The president of Pax Christi Australia, Prof. Joseph Camilleri, co-signed as well. We are waiting for more names!! Have you been able to organise on the national level? Deadline is 31 March.

Kind regards,

Paul Lansu

Security, Demilitarisation and Arms Trade

Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament

Pax Christi International is working to prepare a Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament. It took more than a year to prepare this project. All partners within our international Pax Christi network have had the chance to contribute to this text. It is our aim to stimulate the debate on nuclear disarmament, both within the Catholic Church and within the International Community. This could strengthen the position of the Holy See on disarmament. Therefore, members of the hierarchy, presidents of national sections and all bishop members of Pax Christi International are invited to give their agreement and name to the call/statement.

This text contains two parts: a background briefing and a statement. It is suggested that the statement should be signed. Msgr. Michel Sabbah, President of Pax Christi International, already gave his name to the statement. All three former International Presidents have also been invited to co-sign.

All partners have been asked to approach their presidents and bishops,

inviting them to co-sign the statement. Deadline is 31 March 2001.

In April 2001, at the meeting of our Executive Committee, Pax Christi International will make this initiative public. We hope that we/you will be able to make this initiative known to public, Churches and governments. The statement will be/is available in English, French, German, Dutch and Portuguese. We hope to have a good list of names.

Paul Lansu

Pax Christi International
Rue du Vieux Marché aux Grains 21
1000 Brussels
Belgium

phone: +32 (-2) 502.55.50
fax: +32 (-2) 502.46.26
mobile: +32 (0475) -382170
e-mail: paul@paxchristi.net
url: <http://www.paxchristi.net>

X-Sender: jdi@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 11:41:04 -0500
To: jdi@clw.org
From: John Isaacs <jdi@clw.org>
Subject: Senate Committee list

SENATE NATIONAL SECURITY COMMITTEES OF THE 107TH CONGRESS

Senate Armed Services Committee

Web site: http://www.senate.gov/~armed_services/

=====
Republicans
=====

John Warner, VA Chairman
Strom Thurmond, SC
John McCain, AZ
Bob Smith, NH
James Inhofe, OK
Rick Santorum, PA
Pat Roberts, KS
Wayne Allard, CO
Tim Hutchinson, AR
Jeff Sessions, AL
Susan Collins, ME
Jim Bunning, KY

=====
Democrats
=====

Carl Levin, MI Ranking Member
Edward Kennedy, MA
Robert Byrd, WV
Joseph Lieberman, CT
Max Cleland, GA
Mary Landrieu, LA
Jack Reed, RI
Daniel Akaka, HI
Bill Nelson, FL
Ben Nelson, NE
Jean Carnahan, MO
Mark Dayton, MN

Subcommittees: Airland; Emerging Threats And Capabilities; Personnel;
Readiness And Management Support; Sea Power; Strategic

Senate Foreign Relations Committee

Web site: <http://www.senate.gov/~foreign/>
=====

Republicans

=====

Jesse Helms, NC Chairman
Richard Lugar, IN
Charles Hagel, NE
Gordon Smith, OR
Craig Thomas, WY
William Frist, TN
Lincoln Chafee, RI
George Allen, VA
Sam Brownback, KS

=====

Democrats

=====

Joseph Biden, DE Ranking Member
Paul Sarbanes, MD
Christopher Dodd, CT
John Kerry, MA
Russell Feingold, WI
Paul Wellstone, MN
Barbara Boxer, CA
Robert Torricelli, NJ
Bill Nelson, FL

Subcommittees: African Affairs; East Asian And Pacific Affairs; European
Affairs; International Economic Policy, Export And Trade Promotion;
International Operations;
Near Eastern And South Asian Affairs; Western Hemisphere, Peace Corps,
Narcotics
And Terrorism

Senate Appropriations Committee

Web Site: <http://www.senate.gov/~appropriations/>

=====

Republicans

=====

Ted Stevens, AK Chairman
Thad Cochran, MS
Pete Domenici, NM
Arlen Specter, PA
Christopher Bond, MO
Mitch McConnell, KY
Conrad Burns, MT
Richard Shelby, AL
Judd Gregg, NH
Robert Bennett, UT
Ben Nighthorse Campbell, CO
Larry Craig, ID
Kay Bailey Hutchison, TX
Mike DeWine, OH

=====

Democrats

=====

Robert Byrd, WV Ranking Member
Daniel Inouye, HI
Ernest Hollings, SC
Patrick Leahy, VT
Tom Harkin, IA
Barbara Mikulski, MD
Harry Reid, NV
Herb Kohl, WI
Patty Murray, WA
Byron Dorgan, ND
Dianne Feinstein, CA
Richard Durbin, IL
Tim Johnson, SD
Mary Landrieu, LA

Subcommittees: Agriculture, Rural Development And Related Agencies;
Commerce, Justice, State And Judiciary; Defense; District Of Columbia;
Energy And Water Development; Foreign Operations; Interior; Labor, Heath,
And Human Services; Education; Legislative Branch; Military Construction;
Transportation; Treasury And General Government; Va-Hud-Independent Agencies

[Subcommittee membership not complete as of 1/26/00]

Senate Budget Committee

Web Site: <http://www.senate.gov/~budget/>

=====

Republicans

=====

Pete Domenici, NM Chairman
Chuck Grassley, IA
Don Nickles, OK
Phil Gramm, TX
Christopher Bond, MO
Judd Gregg, NH
Olympia Snowe, ME
William Frist, TN
Gordon Smith, OR
Wayne Allard, CO
Charles Hagel, NE

=====

Democrats

=====

Kent Conrad, ND Ranking Member
Ernest Hollings, SC
Paul Sarbanes, MD
Patty Murray, WA
Ron Wyden, OR

Russell Feingold, WI
Tim Johnson, SD
Robert Byrd, WV
Bill Nelson, FL
Debbie Stabenow, MI
Hillary Clinton, NY

Energy And Natural Resources Committee

Web Site: <http://www.senate.gov/~energy/>

=====
Republicans
=====

Frank Murkowski, AK Chairman
Pete Domenici, NM
Don Nickles, OK
Larry Craig, ID
Ben Nighthorse Campbell, CO
Craig Thomas, WY
Richard Shelby, AL
Conrad Burns, MT
Jon Kyl, AZ
Charles Hagel, NE
Gordon Smith, OR

=====
Democrats
=====

Jeff Bingaman, NM Ranking Member
Daniel Akaka, HI
Byron Dorgan, ND
Bob Graham, FL
Ron Wyden, OR
Mary Landrieu, LA
Evan Bayh, IN
Dianne Feinstein, CA
Charles Schumer, NY
Maria Cantwell, WA

Subcommittees: Energy Research, Development, Production And Regulation;
Forests And Public Land Management; National Parks, Historic Preservation
And Recreation; Water And Power

John Isaacs
Council for a Livable World
110 Maryland Avenue, NE - Room 409
Washington, D.C. 20002
(202) 543-4100 x.131
www.clw.org

From: David Culp <david@fcnl.org>
To: David Culp <david@fcnl.org>
Subject: Monday Lobby Chairs for 2001
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 12:09:52 -0500
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2448.0)

Monday Lobby Chairs for 2001

January Tim Barner, 20/20 Vision
Anna Smiles, Peace Links

February Alise Frye, Taxpayers for Common Sense
John Isaacs, Council for a Livable World

March Don Kraus, Campaign for U.N. Reform

April Kathy Guthrie, FCNL
Suzy Kerr, Council for a Livable World

May David Culp, FCNL
Anne Curtis, NETWORK

June Dan Koslofsky, Council for a Livable World

July-Aug. Martin Butcher, PSR
Kimberly Robson, WAND

September Esther Pank, Bank from the Brink

Oct.-Dec. Tim Barner, 20/20 Vision
Anne Gallivan, PSR

To: J._Daryl_Byler@mcc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Notes from Senate staff visits
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Daryl,

Here are my notes from our conversations with John Seggerman and Sam Patten. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

Howard

###

John Seggerman of Senator's Chafee's staff with Howard Hallman, Lisa Wright, and Daryl Byler on January 19, 2001.

He hasn't talked with Chafee on de-alerting. Thinks he likely would defer to what President Bush wants to do.

CTBT. Won't come back this year. Chafee supports ratification and other things in Shalikashvili report. He met with Shalikashvili along with others from the Lieberman-Hagel study group.

Last session Helms was generally cooperative except for CTBT. Helms and Biden could work things out.

NMD. Chafee is likely to yield to the Bush Administration. Senator John Chafee believed that the ABM treaty is in force and stood up for it. Lincoln Chafee probably will, too. We noted that he raised the effect of NMD on U.S. allies at the Powell confirmation hearings.

Overall impression: hasn't focused on these issues much in the new Congress.

Sam Patten of Senator Collin's office with Howard Hallman and Daryl Byler, January 22, 2001.

CTBT. Senator Collins voted against CTBT because of unanswered questions about verification and stockpile stewardship and because of political maneuvering (such as Dorgan's stance). Presumably she is open to persuasion if she can get appropriated answers.

Re unilateral initiatives. Intrigued by possibilities. Depends on how it fits in with arms control. Since Bush is moving ahead with NMD and will probably gain some Russian acceptance, these other initiatives (de-alerting, strategic reductions) can be a good faith gesture to the Russians.

He asked what we want. Answer: de-alerting, START III or executive-initiated reductions, another look at CTBT. He asked our views on NMD. We offered our concerns. Do we have a position on theater missile defense? No. He is favorable.

Collins is for NMD of some kind. Likes sea-based; Aegis built in Maine. Would support arms reduction measures. Will follow Bush's lead.

Patten was in Kazakstan four years; took a class from Tom Graham.

He mentioned the RAND report. (I'm not sure what this is.)

Have Bruce Blair send him material on details of de-alerting.

To: glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Notes from Senate staff visits
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Greg,

Here are my notes from our conversation with Ken Meyers, Mike Coulter, and Tom Vecchiolla. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

Howard

###

Notes on Conversations with Senators' Defense Aides

Ken Meyers of Senator Lugar's Office with Howard Hallman, Larry Egbert, Greg Laszakovits on January 17, 2001

Meyers has concerns about unilateral but parallel action because of lack of verification. He cites backsliding on tactical nuclear weapons withdrawn in 1991 and now allegedly transferred to Kalliningrad.

Alternatives? Nunn-Lugar program: doing dismantlement....START III bilateral, then multilateral. But problems: changing unit of measurement from missiles to warheads (he simulated putting a warhead in a briefcase); strategic vs tactical; containing fissile material.

Other efforts: (1) Nuclear Cities Initiative (10 locales); developed by Rose Gottemuller at DOE and supported by Senator Domenici; (2) Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (IPP) at DOE;
(2) International Technology Center at State Department dealing with individual scientists. Bush and Powell are supportive of Nunn-Lugar and these other measures.

De-alerting, a fine process but doesn't know of a process that works and will be accepted. Russia doesn't like de-mating because it would take them longer to re-mate. Covering silo lids with dirt; Russia thinks it would take them longer than U.S. to clear away. Disabling guidance systems: hard to verify except by having someone there to watch.

START II: thinks it will be ratified without the ABM protocols. But nothing likely to happen for nine months.

What should we support and try to enable? START III, nonproliferation measures.

Bush and Powell support Nunn-Lugar. Rumsfeld uncertain because he thinks money may be transferred to pay for new weapons development. Meyers knows of no evidence. Program staff at Pentagon haven't sent up any danger signals.

Need to support money for chemical weapons elimination under Nunn-Lugar. Russia has seven storage depots but no money to build elimination facility. One possibility at Shchuchye but House opposition to funding. Last year Senator Roberts worked out a compromise in conference committee but House Armed Service members balked, thinking it not in U.S. interest. It is. Construction is ready to begin by Parsons Co., a U.S. firm. We should give attention to this in defense authorization, educate House Republicans and their staff. A supportive leader is Rep. Mack Thorberry (R-TX), chair of Nuclear Caucus (Pantex is in his district); also Rep. John Pratt (D-SC). We should deal with House Armed Services Committee ranking members.

CTBT and Shalikhvili report. Lugar's concerns on CTBT: (1) stockpile stewardship might not work; (2) verification, national capability not as good as for chemical weapons convention; (3) enforcement, weak sanctions. Shalikhvili dealt with first two. Re ten year review proposal: perplexing, either Senate or Executive Branch could do on its own at any time. Also, he was concerned that the Shalikhvili report was given to a Washington Post reporter before senators saw it.

Strong Nunn-Lugar supporters include Democrats Levin, Bingaman, Lieberman; Republicans Domenici, Hagel, Gordon Smith.

I invited Ken to come to the March 13 meeting of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament to talk about Nunn-Lugar and how we can be supportive.

Mike Coulter from Senator Hagel's office with Howard Hallman and Greg Laszakovits on January 18, 2001.

Hagel-Lieberman working group on CTBT, non-proliferation (13 members?). Hagel and Lieberman have published one op-ed, another is coming out soon. Push support for funding of non-proliferation measures.

Re de-alerting: Still studying. Taking in information from various sources, conflicting advice. Senator Hagel keeps in touch with Admiral Mitz (sp?), head of U.S. Strategic Command, based in Nebraska; relies on his views. Mitz says we need to retain the capability to retaliate.

Hagel may get Foreign Relations Committee to deal with this subject. Hopes the new Administration will study and provide information.

There is a need to start reducing offensive arsenal and shifting to defensive. Favors national missile defense. Wants to move away from mutual assured destruction (MAD).

Re Bush executive initiative: could be sympathetic. Need to shift from offensive to defensive. (He said this several times.)

Defense authorization restrictions: in there because Congress didn't trust Clinton; will change with Bush.

Hagel led delegation to Munich and Moscow last February and discussed building NMD with cooperation of allies.

Re CTBT: Powell said at confirmation hearing that it won't be submitted this year. Hagel and Lieberman agree. But can move on other parts of Shalikhvili report, such as stockpile stewardship.

Hagel is a strong supporter of Nunn-Lugar. Foreign policy is his number one priority.

Tom Vecchiolla from Senator Snowe's office with Howard Hallman and Greg Laszakovits, January 18, 2001

Senator Snowe is now on Finance Committee and is therefore less interested in defense. (True for Vecchiolla, too.)

CTBT: she voted against it because of concerns with verification, validation of stockpile by labs. Needs convincing to change her mind. Shalikhvili talked with her. Will look at new information.

De-alerting. For information, rely on those closest to issues. If Joint Chiefs support, she will.

Defense authorization restrictions. Political concerns with Clinton administration. Administration sent signals of concern but didn't talk with Hill.

National missile defense. Took to heart Tenet's testimony on threats, also Rumsfeld Commission. But she cosponsored Landrieu amendment to reduce number of missiles. Favors serious negotiations for arms reductions. On NMD would prefer other types of investments, such as sea-based. Land based is rather limited in coverage and inflexible. Cost is a concern Surplus is going away. Need healthy economy. Cost trade-offs.

Vecchiolla was formerly on Senator Warner's staff.

To: lisaw@nccusa.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Note on visit to Senate aide
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Lisa,

Here are my notes of our conversation with John Seggerman. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

Howard

###

John Seggerman of Senator's Chafee's staff with Howard Hallman, Lisa Wright, and Daryl Byler on January 19, 2001.

He hasn't talked with Chafee on de-alerting. Thinks he likely would defer to what President Bush wants to do.

CTBT. Won't come back this year. Chafee supports ratification and other things in Shalikashvili report. He met with Shalikashvili along with others from the Lieberman-Hagel study group.

Last session Helms was generally cooperative except for CTBT. Helms and Biden could work things out.

NMD. Chafee is likely to yield to the Bush Administration. Senator John Chafee believed that the ABM treaty is in force and stood up for it. Lincoln Chafee probably will, too. We noted that he raised the effect of NMD on U.S. allies at the Powell confirmation hearings.

Overall impression: hasn't focused on these issues much in the new Congress.

To: rlabush@rac.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Notes on visit with Senate aide
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Rachel,

Here are my notes on our conversation with Elizabeth Turpin. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

Howard

###

Elizabeth Turpin of Senator Domenici's staff with Howard Hallman and Rachel LaBush, January 22, 2001.

NMD is chest-thumping. It remains to be seen how it will play out. Ought to be toned down. As a trade off with Russia, U.S. cut strategic weapons, reduce target list, achieve compromise on strategic reductions. But effect on China a concern.

Dealing with Russian/Iran deals. A hard line could undermine Nunn-Lugar. Why shoot self in foot? Domenici wouldn't want that. To watch: how Powell and Rice will handle this.

Shalikashvili talked with Domenici two days before he released his report. Some meeting of minds, such as on stockpile stewardship. Domenici wants to secure adequate funding.

De-alerting? This could go somewhere though doesn't know all the answers on verification. Domenici might be supportive. Defense authorization restrictions could be removed; are there because of distrust of Clinton.

Re Domenici's CTBT speech reference to need for broader strategy. He was concerned that Clinton was putting all eggs in one basket. But recognizes progress with Russia in transparency, verification procedures, on-site seismologists. Need to bring in China.

Re strategic weapons. Her opinion at 1,500 warheads would have asymmetry of capability. Re pit production (for re-arming), U.S. has no present capability, Russia can produce 4,000/year.

Domenici is positive on fissile material cutoff.

Nunn-Lugar: good progress in nuclear cities. Domenici takes lead on nuclear materials, Lugar on missiles.

House contacts? Rep. Matt Thornbury; Heather Wilson, a new member from New Mexico (was once with National Security Council staff). Callahan on Energy and Water

Leading by example, as suggested by Bush last May? Domenici would support an initiative. She thinks there needs to be executive understandings. Would want to see reciprocal measures.

To: rlabush@rac.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Notes on visit with Senate aide
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Rachel,

Here are my notes on our conversation with Elizabeth Turpin. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

Howard

###

Elizabeth Turpin of Senator Domenici's staff with Howard Hallman and Rachel LaBush, January 22, 2001.

NMD is chest-thumping. It remains to be seen how it will play out. Ought to be toned down. As a trade off with Russia, U.S. cut strategic weapons, reduce target list, achieve compromise on strategic reductions. But effect on China a concern.

Dealing with Russian/Iran deals. A hard line could undermine Nunn-Lugar. Why shoot self in foot? Domenici wouldn't want that. To watch: how Powell and Rice will handle this.

Shalikashvili talked with Domenici two days before he released his report. Some meeting of minds, such as on stockpile stewardship. Domenici wants to secure adequate funding.

De-alerting? This could go somewhere though doesn't know all the answers on verification. Domenici might be supportive. Defense authorization restrictions could be removed; are there because of distrust of Clinton.

Re Domenici's CTBT speech reference to need for broader strategy. He was concerned that Clinton was putting all eggs in one basket. But recognizes progress with Russia in transparency, verification procedures, on-site seismologists. Need to bring in China.

Re strategic weapons. Her opinion at 1,500 warheads would have asymmetry of capability. Re pit production (for re-arming), U.S. has no present capability, Russia can produce 4,000/year.

Domenici is positive on fissile material cutoff.

Nunn-Lugar: good progress in nuclear cities. Domenici takes lead on nuclear materials, Lugar on missiles.

House contacts? Rep. Matt Thornbury; Heather Wilson, a new member from New Mexico (was once with National Security Council staff). Callahan on Energy and Water

Leading by example, as suggested by Bush last May? Domenici would support an initiative. She thinks there needs to be executive understandings. Would want to see reciprocal measures.

To: egbertl4pj@yahoo.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Notes on Senate staff visit
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Larry,

Here are my notes on our conversation with Ken Meyers. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

###

Notes on Conversations with Senators' Defense Aides

Ken Meyers of Senator Lugar's Office with Howard Hallman, Larry Egbert, Greg Laszakovits on January 17, 2001

Meyers has concerns about unilateral but parallel action because of lack of verification. He cites backsliding on tactical nuclear weapons withdrawn in 1991 and now allegedly transferred to Kalliningrad.

Alternatives? Nunn-Lugar program: doing dismantlement....START III bilateral, then multilateral. But problems: changing unit of measurement from missiles to warheads (he simulated putting a warhead in a briefcase); strategic vs tactical; containing fissile material.

Other efforts: (1) Nuclear Cities Initiative (10 locales); developed by Rose Gottemuller at DOE and supported by Senator Domenici; (2) Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (IPP) at DOE; (2) International Technology Center at State Department dealing with individual scientists. Bush and Powell are supportive of Nunn-Lugar and these other measures.

De-alerting, a fine process but doesn't know of a process that works and will be accepted. Russia doesn't like de-mating because it would take them longer to re-mate. Covering silo lids with dirt; Russia thinks it would take them longer than U.S. to clear away. Disabling guidance systems: hard to verify except by having someone there to watch.

START II: thinks it will be ratified without the ABM protocols. But nothing likely to happen for nine months.

What should we support and try to enable? START III, nonproliferation measures.

Bush and Powell support Nunn-Lugar. Rumsfeld uncertain because he thinks money may be transferred to pay for new weapons development. Meyers knows of no evidence. Program staff at Pentagon haven't sent up any danger signals.

Need to support money for chemical weapons elimination under Nunn-Lugar. Russia has seven storage depots but no money to build elimination facility. One possibility at Shchuchye but House opposition to funding. Last year Senator Roberts worked out a compromise in conference committee but House Armed Service members balked, thinking it not in U.S. interest. It is. Construction is ready to begin by Parsons Co., a U.S. firm. We should give attention to this in defense authorization, educate House Republicans and their staff. A supportive leader is Rep. Mack Thorberry (R-TX), chair of Nuclear Caucus (Pantex is in his district); also Rep. John Pratt (D-SC). We should deal with House Armed Services Committee ranking members.

CTBT and Shalikhshvili report. Lugar's concerns on CTBT: (1) stockpile stewardship might not work; (2) verification, national capability not as good as for chemical weapons convention; (3) enforcement, weak sanctions. Shalikhshvili

dealt with first two. Re ten year review proposal: perplexing, either Senate or Executive Branch could do on its on at any time. Also, he was concerned that the Shalikashvili report was given to a Washington Post reporter before senators saw it.

Strong Nunn-Lugar supporters include Democrats Levin, Bingaman, Lieberman; Republicans Domenici, Hagel, Gordon Smith.

I invited Ken to come to the March 13 meeting of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament to talk about Nunn-Lugar and how we can be supportive.

To: glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org, J._Daryl_Byler@mcc.org, lisaw@nccusa.org, cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org, stiefr@ucc.org, conoverp@ucc.org, bobmally@juno.com, egbertl4pj@yahoo.com, rlabush@rac.org,
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Appointment with House aide
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Colleagues:

I have an appointment to talk with Hugh Brady from Rep. Spratt's (D-SC) staff on Wednesday, January 31 at 2:00 p.m. to get an orientation of who's who in the House of Representatives on nuclear disarmament issues. His office has enough space for three other persons if any of you would like to join me. Please let me know.

Howard

Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 10:29:31 -0800 (PST)
From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Appointment with House aide and Larry Egbert
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Cc: uuawo@aol.com

Dear Howard,

That will be fine for me. See you there.

Larry

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> Dear Colleagues:

>

> I have an appointment to talk with Hugh Brady from

> Rep. Spratt's (D-SC)

> staff on Wednesday, January 31 at 2:00 p.m. to get

> an orientation of who's

> who in the House of Representatives on nuclear

> disarmament issues. His

> office has enough space for three other persons if

> any of you would like to

> join me. Please let me know.

>

> Howard

>

> Howard W. Hallman, Chair

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice

> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a

> membership association of

> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any

> Methodist denomination.

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.

<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.5.3
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:57:27 -0500
From: "Catherine Gordon" <cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org>
To: <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Appointment with House aide

Howard,

I would like to join you if there is still space.

Catherine

>>> "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> 01/26/01 12:48PM >>>

Dear Colleagues:

I have an appointment to talk with Hugh Brady from Rep. Spratt's (D-SC) staff on Wednesday, January 31 at 2:00 p.m. to get an orientation of who's who in the House of Representatives on nuclear disarmament issues. His office has enough space for three other persons if any of you would like to join me. Please let me know.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

X-Lotus-FromDomain: MCC
From: J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:30:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Notes from Senate staff visits

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj @ igc.org>
From: J. Daryl Byler
Date: 1/26/2001 2:30:26 PM
Subj: Re: Notes from Senate staff visits

Hi Howard:

Thanks. No additions.

Daryl

From: "Lisa Wright" <lisaw@nccusa.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: RE: Note on visit to Senate aide
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 15:17:04 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
Importance: Normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300

They look fine.

Lisa

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2001 12:00 PM
To: lisaw@nccusa.org
Subject: Note on visit to Senate aide

Lisa,

Here are my notes of our conversation with John Seggerman. If you have any additions or corrections, please let me know.

Howard

###

John Seggerman of Senator's Chafee's staff with Howard Hallman, Lisa Wright, and Daryl Byler on January 19, 2001.

He hasn't talked with Chafee on de-alerting. Thinks he likely would defer to what President Bush wants to do.

CTBT. Won't come back this year. Chafee supports ratification and other things in Shalikashvili report. He met with Shalikashvili along with others from the Lieberman-Hagel study group.

Last session Helms was generally cooperative except for CTBT. Helms and Biden could work things out.

NMD. Chafee is likely to yield to the Bush Administration. Senator John Chafee believed that the ABM treaty is in force and stood up for it. Lincoln Chafee probably will, too. We noted that he raised the effect of NMD on U.S. allies at the Powell confirmation hearings.

Overall impression: hasn't focused on these issues much in the new Congress.

Howard W. Hallman, Chair

Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 09:25:27 -0800 (PST)
From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Notes on Senate staff visit
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Howard,

Got your notes on the meeting with Ken Myers. You do take good notes! Thanks. Couple of questions:

1) I remember the comment about changing the unit of measurement from missiles to warheads and the briefcase example but what his point? Was he unhappy the two countries are changing the units from missiles to warheads? Are they? Just personally, I have seen some of the plutonium pits pictures of storage at the Pantex plant and they are very easy to count.

2) Do you remember any feeling which he exuded about de-mating the weapons and how difficult it would be to have someone, an outsider, there to double-check? I remember wanting to interrupt him at that point and got distracted.

3) We need to follow-up on the Nuclear cities initiative. It fits nicely into individuals in particular churches taking a moral position similar to those churches which acted as sanctuaries for people trying to escape from El Salvador?

4) I need some more info on Nunn-Lugar.

5) Your minutes are wonderful. Thanks.

Larry

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> Larry,

>

> Here are my notes on our conversation with Ken

> Meyers. If you have any

> additions or corrections, please let me know.

>

> ###

>

> Notes on Conversations with Senators' Defense Aides

>

> Ken Meyers of Senator Lugar's Office with Howard

> Hallman, Larry Egbert,

> Greg Laszakovits on January 17, 2001

>

> Meyers has concerns about unilateral but parallel

> action because of lack of

> verification. He cites backsliding on tactical

> nuclear weapons withdrawn

> in 1991 and now allegedly transferred to

> Kalliningrad.

>

> Alternatives? Nunn-Lugar program: doing

> dismantlement....START III

- > bilateral, then multilateral. But problems:
- > changing unit of measurement
- > from missiles to warheads (he simulated putting a
- > warhead in a briefcase);
- > strategic vs tactical; containing fissile material.
- >
- > Other efforts: (1) Nuclear Cities Initiative (10
- > locales); developed by
- > Rose Gottemuller at DOE and supported by Senator
- > Domenici; (2) Initiatives
- > for Proliferation Prevention (IPP) at DOE;
- > (2) International Technology Center at State
- > Department dealing with
- > individual scientists. Bush and Powell are
- > supportive of Nunn-Lugar and
- > these other measures.
- >
- > De-alerting, a fine process but doesn't know of a
- > process that works and
- > will be accepted. Russia doesn't like de-mating
- > because it would take them
- > longer to re-mate. Covering silo lids with dirt;
- > Russia thinks it would
- > take them longer than U.S. to clear away. Disabling
- > guidance systems: hard
- > to verify except by having someone there to watch.
- >
- > START II: thinks it will be ratified without the ABM
- > protocols. But
- > nothing likely to happen for nine months.
- >
- > What should we support and try to enable? START III,
- > nonproliferation
- > measures.
- >
- > Bush and Powell support Nunn-Lugar. Rumsfeld
- > uncertain because he thinks
- > money may be transferred to pay for new weapons
- > development. Meyers
- > knows of no evidence. Program staff at Pentagon
- > haven't sent up any danger
- > signals.
- >
- > Need to support money for chemical weapons
- > elimination under Nunn-Lugar.
- > Russia has seven storage depots but no money to
- > build elimination facility.
- > One possibility at Shchuchye but House opposition
- > to funding. Last year
- > Senator Roberts worked out a compromise in
- > conference committee but House
- > Armed Service members balked, thinking it not in
- > U.S. interest. It is.
- > Construction is ready to begin by Parsons Co., a

- > U.S. firm. We should give
- > attention to this in defense authorization, educate
- > House Republicans and
- > their staff. A supportive leader is Rep. Mack
- > Thorberry (R-TX), chair of
- > Nuclear Caucus (Pantex is in his district); also
- > Rep. John Pratt (D-SC).
- > We should deal with House Armed Services Committee
- > ranking members.
- >
- > CTBT and Shalikhvili report. Lugar's concerns on
- > CTBT: (1) stockpile
- > stewardship might not work; (2) verification,
- > national capability not as
- > good as for chemical weapons convention; (3)
- > enforcement, weak sanctions.
- > Shalikhvili dealt with first two. Re ten year
- > review proposal:
- > perplexing, either Senate or Executive Branch could
- > do on its on at any
- > time. Also, he was concerned that the Shalikhvili
- > report was given to a
- > Washington Post reporter before senators saw it.
- >
- > Strong Nunn-Lugar supporters include Democrats
- > Levin, Bingaman, Lieberman;
- > Republicans Domenici, Hagel, Gordon Smith.
- >
- > I invited Ken to come to the March 13 meeting of the
- > Interfaith Committee
- > for Nuclear Disarmament to talk about Nunn-Lugar and
- > how we can be supportive.
- >
- >
- > Howard W. Hallman, Chair
- > Methodists United for Peace with Justice
- > 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
- > Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
- >
- > Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a
- > membership association of
- > laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any
- > Methodist denomination.

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.

<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-1413460-2324-980628909-mupj=igc.apc.org@returns.onelist.com
X-Sender: johnburroughs@earthlink.net
X-Apparently-To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
X-Sender: johnburroughs@mail.earthlink.net
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.0.1
To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
From: John Burroughs <johnburroughs@earthlink.net>
Mailing-List: list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com; contact abolition-caucus-owner@yahoogroups.com
Delivered-To: mailing list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:abolition-caucus-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 15:49:18 -0500
Subject: [abolition-caucus] CD Update: Opening of 2001 Session

From Felicity Hill, Women's Int'l League for Peace and Freedom:

Dear All,

PLEASE CIRCULATE WIDELY.

RESPOND TO

flick@igc.org

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE ON A LIST THAT PROVIDES AN EMAIL LIKE THIS EACH WEEK, LINKING YOU DIRECTLY TO THE TEXTS OF SPEECHES AND DOCUMENTS RELEASED AT THE CONFERENCE ON DISARMAMENT.

THOSE IN THE 66 MEMBER COUNTRIES OF THE CD ARE PARTICULARLY ENCOURAGED TO JOIN.

best wishes

Felicity Hill

<http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/cd/thisweek/thisweekindex.html> has most of the documents from first two open plenary sessions of the Conference on Disarmament in 2001, held Jan 23 and Jan 25.
Plenary 862: Tuesday 23rd January:

A message from Kofi Annan was read by Mr. Vladimir Petrovsky, Director-General of the United Nations Office at Geneva and Secretary-General of the Conference on Disarmament <http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2001/dcf406.htm>

Current President of the CD, Ambassador Westdal of Canada made a statement <http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/cd/thisweek/can250101.html>
Representatives of Germany and Pakistan also took the floor - text currently unavailable, summarised in press releases below.

The following observers were admitted: Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Brunei Darussalam, Costa Rica, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Gabon, Georgia, Greece, Holy See, Iceland, Jordan, Latvia, Lebanon, Libya, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, Mauritius, Nepal, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, San Marino, Singapore, Slovenia, Thailand, The former Yugoslav Republic

of Macedonia and Zambia.

Plenary 863: Thursday 25th of January:

Foreign Secretary of Pakistan, Mr. Inam ul Haque

<http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/cd/thisweek/pak250101.html>

Representative of Latvia, an observer state, took the floor - text currently unavailable, summarised in press releases below.

An exchange between the Ambassadors of India and Pakistan.

<http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/cd/thisweek/pakinddebate.html>

The following observers were admitted: Ghana, Madagascar, Panama, Republic of Moldova

UN Press releases currently available:

Jan 19 Pre Opening Release

<http://www.unog.ch/news2/documents/newsen/dc0101e.html>

Jan 24 Opening of CD on Jan 23

<http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2001/pcf406.htm>

Jan 25 2nd Plenary of CD on Jan 25

<http://www.unog.ch/news2/documents/newsen/dc0103e.html>

Related link

Financial Times: Call for curbs on tactical nuclear weapons, Frances Williams in Geneva

<http://globalarchive.ft.com/globalarchive/articles.html?id=010124001258&query=Call+for+curbs+on+tactical+nuclear+weapons>

--
***** ***** ***** *****

Felicity Hill

Director, United Nations Office

Women's International League for Peace and Freedom

777 UN Plaza, New York, NY 10017, USA

Ph: 1 212 682 1265 Fax: 1 212 286 8211

email: flick@igc.org, wilpfun@igc.org

web: www.wilpf.int.ch www.reachingcriticalwill.org

***** ***** ***** *****

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

eGroups is now Yahoo! Groups

Click here for more details

http://click.egroups.com/1/11231/0/_/91925/_/980628909/

----->

To subscribe to the Abolition Global Caucus, send an email from the account you wish to be subscribed to: "abolition-caucus-subscribe@egroups.com"

Do not include a subject line or any text in the body of the message.

From: "Surratt, Doris"

To: zz Social Equity Panel Subject: Panel Meeting Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 12:09:10 -0500

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)+

The next meeting of the Social Equity Panel is scheduled for Friday, February 9th, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., in the Academy's conference room, 1120 G Street, NW, Washington, DC. Details to follow.

Doris Surratt

dsurratt@napawash.org

PH: 202-347-3190

To: egbertl4pj@yahoo.com, cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Appointment with Hugh Brady
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <20010126182931.42626.qmail@web11102.mail.yahoo.com>
References:

Dear Larry and Catherine,

Our meeting tomorrow, January 31, with Hugh Brady is at 2:00 p.m. in Room 218, O'Neil House Office Building. It is located at C Street and New Jersey Avenue, SE, across the street from the Cannon House Office Building. We might meet at 1:55 in the hallway.

Hugh works for Rep. John Spratt (D-SC), who is one of the most informed House members on nuclear disarmament issues. The intent of our visit is to gain an understanding of who's who in the House on these issues, both Democrats and Republicans, and to obtain a sense of what will be coming up in this session of Congress.

I'll see you then.

Howard

To: mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Report on visits with Senate staff
Cc:
Bcc: icnd
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

First, a reminder that the next meeting will be on Tuesday, February 13 from 1:00 to 2:30 p.m. in the Methodist Building, Conference Room 4, 100 Maryland Avenue, NE. For the remainder of 2001 we will meet at the same time and place on the second Tuesday of each month except August.

We have now completed visits with defense aides of eight Republican senators: Chafee, Collins, Domenici, Hagel, Jeffords, Lugar, Snowe, and Specter. We are still seeking appointments with aides of Senators Smith (OR) and Stevens. Here is a summary of what we are finding out.

CTBT. It won't come up this year. Next year is possible only if President Bush wants the treaty reconsidered. Some who voted against the CTBT are open to reconsideration but will need to be persuaded. One supporter suggested that we should keep the CTBT on our list of demands.

De-alerting. Most haven't thought much about it. They need more information on how it would work, on verification issues. They would likely support de-alerting if initiated by President Bush and accepted by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Strategic arms reduction. The START II protocol extending the time would pass easily if brought up separately from the ABM protocol. START III with further reductions would have support. They tend to be willing to accept presidential initiative for reductions through executive action though there is some verification concern.

Restrictions. Language in defense authorization that restricts de-alerting and strategic reductions through executive action was intended to block action by President Clinton. The Senate would readily remove such restrictions if requested by President Bush.

Nunn-Lugar. There is strong support for the Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction program.

NMD. Most of the senators support National Missile Defense in some form. Some would prefer sea-based to land-based. Cost is a concern. For one or two the effect on U.S. allies is also a concern. Some want to assure that the ABM treaty remains in force. Altogether support for NMD is less than one hundred percent solid.

On a number of these matters senators' defense aides, and presumably the senators themselves, haven't given a great deal of thought this early in the session. Most of the aides are receptive to receiving further information. Our visits have helped raise their conscienceness on these issues and set the stage for further contacts.

Laurie Schultz Heim on Senator Jefford's staff suggested that we should extend our visits to other offices, totaling 30 to 35 senators who might be favorably disposed to our views on some of the issues. Therefore, I suggest that we keep going by adding the other Republicans on the Foreign Relations Committee (except Helms), that is, Senators Thomas, Frist, Brownback, and Allen, and that we go next to the Armed Services Committee, starting with Senators Warner, McCain, Roberts, and Sessions. Any views on this?

In a separate memo I will report on where we are on lining up home-state visits. (Not as far).

Shalom,

Howard

To: Bruce Blair <bblair@cdi.org>, iraschorr@hotmail.com, brinkprogram@backfromthebrink.net
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Visits with Senate staff
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <4.2.0.58.20010108103209.00ae8bf0@mail.cdi.org>
References: <3.0.3.32.20010108105635.006953cc@pop2.igc.org>

Dear Bruce, Ira, and Esther,

Persons from the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament have completed visits with defense aides of eight Republican senators: Chafee, Collins, Domenici, Hagel, Jeffords, Lugar, Snowe, and Specter. Our purpose is to get an impression of the thinking of senators on nuclear disarmament issues at this point in the session.

On de-alerting we are finding that most of them haven't thought much about it. They are poorly informed and in need of solid information on how de-alerting would work. It appears that senators would accept de-alerting actions taken by President Bush and approved by the Joint Chiefs of Staff. But there is concern over verification. At the moment we don't detect any strong interest in becoming out-in-front advocates of de-alerting.

We urge you to continue your own contacts and to provide practical information on the nuts-and-bolts of de-alerting to senators' defense aides.

We will be visiting other Republican offices. We are also promoting home-state, interfaith delegations to visit their senators on nuclear disarmament issues, but we aren't as far along with this. I'll keep you informed of what we are hearing.

Shalom,
Howard

Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 07:10:23 -0800 (PST)
From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Appointment with Hugh Brady
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Dear Howard,

Thanks for info. I will be there.

Larry

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> Dear Larry and Catherine,

>

> Our meeting tomorrow, January 31, with Hugh Brady is

> at 2:00 p.m. in Room

> 218, O'Neil House Office Building. It is located at

> C Street and New

> Jersey Avenue, SE, across the street from the Cannon

> House Office Building.

> We might meet at 1:55 in the hallway.

>

> Hugh works for Rep. John Spratt (D-SC), who is one

> of the most informed

> House members on nuclear disarmament issues. The

> intent of our visit is to

> gain an understanding of who's who in the House on

> these issues, both

> Democrats and Republicans, and to obtain a sense of

> what will be coming up

> in this session of Congress.

>

> I'll see you then.

>

> Howard

>

> Howard W. Hallman, Chair

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice

> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a

> membership association of

> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any

> Methodist denomination.

Get personalized email addresses from Yahoo! Mail - only \$35
a year! <http://personal.mail.yahoo.com/>

Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 12:41:33 -0800 (PST)
From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
Subject: possible talk
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Dear Howard,

I know I will see you tomorrow so I will repeat this question then.

We are part of an interreligious group working on peace issues here in Baltimore and we would like to have you present some of the experiences of our ecumenical group in D.C. I mentioned our 10 questions to the candidates and they would like to hear more.

Could you come to Baltimore for our next meeting on March 20th at 1:30 PM? Talk about 45 minutes, share ideas for 15-20 minutes.

See you tomorrow.

PEACE! Larry

Get personalized email addresses from Yahoo! Mail - only \$35 a year! <http://personal.mail.yahoo.com/>

Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 12:43:57 -0800 (PST)
From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Report on visits with Senate staff
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Howard,

I just wrote you, then was checking my mail. I have the meeting for February written down.

See you tomorrow.

PEACE! Larry

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

>

> First, a reminder that the next meeting will be on

> Tuesday, February 13

> from 1:00 to 2:30 p.m. in the Methodist Building,

> Conference Room 4, 100

> Maryland Avenue, NE. For the remainder of 2001 we

> will meet at the same

> time and place on the second Tuesday of each month

> except August.

>

> We have now completed visits with defense aides of

> eight Republican

> senators: Chafee, Collins, Domenici, Hagel,

> Jeffords, Lugar, Snowe, and

> Specter. We are still seeking appointments with

> aides of Senators Smith

> (OR) and Stevens. Here is a summary of what we are

> finding out.

>

> CTBT. It won't come up this year. Next year is

> possible only if President

> Bush wants the treaty reconsidered. Some who voted

> against the CTBT are

> open to reconsideration but will need to be

> persuaded. One supporter

> suggested that we should keep the CTBT on our list

> of demands.

>

> De-alerting. Most haven't thought much about it.

> They need more

> information on how it would work, on verification

> issues. They would

> likely support de-alerting if initiated by President

> Bush and accepted by

> the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

>

> Strategic arms reduction. The START II protocol

> extending the time would

> pass easily if brought up separately from the ABM

> protocol. START III

> with further reductions would have support. They

> tend to be willing to
> accept presidential initiative for reductions
> through executive action
> though there is some verification concern.
>
> Restrictions. Language in defense authorization
> that restricts de-alerting
> and strategic reductions through executive action
> was intended to block
> action by President Clinton. The Senate would
> readily remove such
> restrictions if requested by President Bush.
>
> Nunn-Lugar. There is strong support for the
> Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat
> Reduction program.
>
> NMD. Most of the senators support National Missile
> Defense in some form.
> Some would prefer sea-based to land-based. Cost is
> a concern. For one or
> two the effect on U.S. allies is also a concern.
> Some want to assure that
> the ABM treaty remains in force. Altogether support
> for NMD is less than
> one hundred percent solid.
>
> On a number of these matters senators' defense
> aides, and presumably the
> senators themselves, haven't given a great deal of
> thought this early in
> the session. Most of the aides are receptive to
> receiving further
> information. Our visits have helped raise their
> conscienceness on these
> issues and set the stage for further contacts.
>
> Laurie Schultz Heim on Senator Jefford's staff
> suggested that we should
> extend our visits to other offices, totaling 30 to
> 35 senators who might be
> favorably disposed to our views on some of the
> issues. Therefore, I
> suggest that we keep going by adding the other
> Republicans on the Foreign
> Relations Committee (except Helms), that is,
> Senators Thomas, Frist,
> Brownback, and Allen, and that we go next to the
> Armed Services Committee,
> starting with Senators Warner, McCain, Roberts, and
> Sessions. Any views on
> this?
>
> In a separate memo I will report on where we are on

- > lining up home-state
- > visits. (Not as far).
- >
- > Shalom,
- > Howard
- >
- > Howard W. Hallman, Chair
- > Methodists United for Peace with Justice
- > 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
- > Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
- >
- > Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a
- > membership association of
- > laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any
- > Methodist denomination.

Get personalized email addresses from Yahoo! Mail - only \$35
a year! <http://personal.mail.yahoo.com/>

Reply-To: <prgrm@backfromthebrink.net>
From: "Brink Campaign" <prgrm@backfromthebrink.net>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: RE: Visits with Senate staff
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 01:26:13 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
Importance: Normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600

Thanks Howard. Ira et al have visited with Hegel and Lugar's staff and report much the same. We will continue to work with the staff of these senators as you suggest. It appears as though the real pressure point at this point is the President, i.e., the senators will not move without Bush taking the lead. So, the Call In Day to the President becomes even more important. I will be e-mailing you on Thursday the final reminder along with directions on how to access the comment line. It really is important to forward this reminder far and wide, along with a message from you on the importance of making these calls.

Thanks, Howard, for all your hard work. We just must keep on keeping on.
Esther

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2001 11:51 AM
To: Bruce Blair; iraschorr@hotmail.com;
brinkprogram@backfromthebrink.net
Subject: Visits with Senate staff

Dear Bruce, Ira, and Esther,

Persons from the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament have completed visits with defense aides of eight Republican senators: Chafee, Collins, Domenici, Hagel, Jeffords, Lugar, Snowe, and Specter. Our purpose is to get an impression of the thinking of senators on nuclear disarmament issues at this point in the session.

On de-alerting we are finding that most of them haven't thought much about it. They are poorly informed and in need of solid information on how de-alerting would work. It appears that senators would accept de-alerting actions taken by President Bush and approved by the Joint Chiefs of Staff. But there is concern over verification. At the moment we don't detect any strong interest in becoming out-in-front advocates of de-alerting.

We urge you to continue your own contacts and to provide practical information on the nuts-and-bolts of de-alerting to senators' defense aides.

We will be visiting other Republican offices. We are also promoting home-state, interfaith delegations to visit their senators on nuclear disarmament issues, but we aren't as far along with this. I'll keep you

informed of what we are hearing.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.2
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 05:04:12 -0600
From: "Greg Laszakovits" <glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org>
To: mupj@igc.org
Subject: Re: Report on visits with Senate staff

Howard,
Thanks for the update. That summary is very helpful.

I will be out of country Feb 10-25, so unable to attend the meeting. However, I will look forward to the notes from that meeting and call you when I return, especially if any action is taken on the state visits.

Shalom, Greg

Greg Laszakovits
Director, Church of the Brethren Washington Office
337 North Carolina Avenue
Washington, DC 20003
202.546.3202

>>> "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> 01/30/01 11:30AM >>>
To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

First, a reminder that the next meeting will be on Tuesday, February 13 from 1:00 to 2:30 p.m. in the Methodist Building, Conference Room 4, 100 Maryland Avenue, NE. For the remainder of 2001 we will meet at the same time and place on the second Tuesday of each month except August.

We have now completed visits with defense aides of eight Republican senators: Chafee, Collins, Domenici, Hagel, Jeffords, Lugar, Snowe, and Specter. We are still seeking appointments with aides of Senators Smith (OR) and Stevens. Here is a summary of what we are finding out.

CTBT. It won't come up this year. Next year is possible only if President Bush wants the treaty reconsidered. Some who voted against the CTBT are open to reconsideration but will need to be persuaded. One supporter suggested that we should keep the CTBT on our list of demands.

De-alerting. Most haven't thought much about it. They need more information on how it would work, on verification issues. They would likely support de-alerting if initiated by President Bush and accepted by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Strategic arms reduction. The START II protocol extending the time would pass easily if brought up separately from the ABM protocol. START III with further reductions would have support. They tend to be willing to accept presidential initiative for reductions through executive action though there is some verification concern.

Restrictions. Language in defense authorization that restricts de-alerting and strategic reductions through executive action was intended to block action by President Clinton. The Senate would readily remove such restrictions if requested by President Bush.

Nunn-Lugar. There is strong support for the Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction program.

NMD. Most of the senators support National Missile Defense in some form. Some would prefer sea-based to land-based. Cost is a concern. For one or two the effect on U.S. allies is also a concern. Some want to assure that the ABM treaty remains in force. Altogether support for NMD is less than one hundred percent solid.

On a number of these matters senators' defense aides, and presumably the senators themselves, haven't given a great deal of thought this early in the session. Most of the aides are receptive to receiving further information. Our visits have helped raise their conscienceness on these issues and set the stage for further contacts.

Laurie Schultz Heim on Senator Jefford's staff suggested that we should extend our visits to other offices, totaling 30 to 35 senators who might be favorably disposed to our views on some of the issues. Therefore, I suggest that we keep going by adding the other Republicans on the Foreign Relations Committee (except Helms), that is, Senators Thomas, Frist, Brownback, and Allen, and that we go next to the Armed Services Committee, starting with Senators Warner, McCain, Roberts, and Sessions. Any views on this?

In a separate memo I will report on where we are on lining up home-state visits. (Not as far).

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

X-Sender: dkimball@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0 (32)
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 14:41:52 -0500
To: dkimball@clw.org
From: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>
Subject: sign-on ltr. requesting hrg. on Shali CTBT rpt.- REPLY BY 2/2

January 30, 2001

TO: CTBT colleagues
FR: Daryl Kimball, Director, Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers

RE: sign-on letter requesting Senate committees to invite Shalikashvili to testify on his CTBT report

DEADLINE FOR REPLY FRIDAY AT 5pm

As we discussed at our last CTBT working group meeting on January 23, John Isaacs has drafted the following letter requesting that the SASC and SFRC invite General Shalikashvili to testify about his recent CTBT report.

You are invited to sign-on for your organization. As you will see, the letter is very straightforward and simple and should be something that we all can support, but please let me know if you have a major concern or suggestion to offer.

Please let me know by close of business on Friday, February 2 if you can sign-on for your organization.

Thank you.

February XX, 2001

The Honorable John Warner
Chairman, Senate Armed Services Committee
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Carl Levin
Senate Armed Services Committee
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senators Warner and Levin: [Separate letter to Senators Helms and Biden]

Retired General John Shalikashvili recently completed a non-partisan review of issues related to the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty. We are writing to urge the Committee to consider inviting General Shalikashvili to testify about his report.

In his "Findings and Recommendations Concerning the Comprehensive Nuclear

Test Ban Treaty" issued in January 2001, General Shalikashvili made a number of proposals concerning the treaty after consulting with Senators and outside experts holding a wide range of views on the Treaty. General Shalikashvili urged consideration of these recommendations whether or not the test ban treaty is reconsidered.

General Shalikashvili's report addresses a number of critical issues, including: the CTBT's role in preventing nuclear proliferation, effective verification, maintaining a safe and reliable nuclear stockpile, concerns over the Treaty's duration, and our current nuclear test moratorium policy. All of these issues deserve intensive review by your Committee as part of a broader evaluation of American national security and our nation's nuclear non-proliferation and testing policy.

It is apparent that the Bush Administration will take a considerable period of time to produce a fiscal 2002 budget for you to consider. We urge you to invite General Shalikashvili to testify as quickly as possible before you get involved in the budget hearings.

Yours sincerely,

Director of Organization (or appropriate program director),
Organization

Daryl Kimball, Executive Director
Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers
110 Maryland Avenue NE, Suite 505
Washington, DC 20002
(ph) 202-546-0795 x136 (fax) 202-546-7970
website <<http://www.crnd.org>>

To: conoverp@ucc.org, lisaw@nccusa
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Nuclear posture review
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments: A:\abolish.329.doc;
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Pat and Lisa,

Thank you for comments on the material on U.S. nuclear posture review. I didn't make clear that the ten points of my attachment were intended to describe what the nuclear posture itself should contain. It wasn't intended to be a sign-on statement.

I have now drafted such a statement. It draws especially on the National Cathedral statement and the Final Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference and asks that the practical steps of this Final Document be incorporated into the U.S. nuclear posture. This draft statement is attached for your review. I welcome your sharpest constructive criticism.

This draft raises some questions. (1) Who has proprietary control of the National Cathedral statement? It is a document now in the public domain or does some entity have control over its use and is entitled to grant permission? Even if it is in the public domain, we would want to touch base with somebody, such as Wendy Starman.

(2) Who are the signers of this new statement? Is this something the National Council of Churches would want to take the lead on? Should we handle it on an ad hoc basis through the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament? Would we be seeking signatures primarily by heads of communion? (that's a tough process) By heads of Washington offices and denominational social action agencies? (with the options that some will get heads of communion to sign) By representatives of peace fellowships? By prominent clergy? By a combination?

(3) What are the next steps? If the three of us can agree upon a draft, I suggest that we circulate it to participants of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament and discuss it at the February 13 meeting. This could include discussion of how to release and distribute the statement, on how to use it as a rallying point.

Finally, let me respond to Pat's suggestion that we discuss threat issues. In the context of dealing with national missile defense I am talking with Allistair Millar of the Fourth Freedom Forum about developing a comprehensive examination of nuclear threats to the United States and the appropriate responses. The biggest current threat is accidental launch of Russian missiles; the remedy is de-alerting, arms reduction, dismantlement assisted by the Nunn-Lugar Nuclear Threat Reduction Program. One of the least threats is the very remote possibility that a rogue nation will acquire nuclear weapons and long-range missiles and have the audacity to launch a clearly identifiable attack on the U.S. In between are smuggled weapons and attack by short-range launchers. I would prefer to deal with threats systematically in this manner as part of the stop NMD campaign rather than get into it in the nuclear posture review statement. But I am open to dealing it in some way now.

I look forward to your comments. It would be helpful if we can come up with something by February 8 so that we can circulate it prior to the February 13 meeting.

Shalom,
Howard

To: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: sign-on ltr. requesting hrg. on Shali CTBT rpt.- REPLY BY 2/2
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <3.0.32.20010130144151.0069f5d0@[63.106.26.66]>
References:

Daryl,

I'll sign the letter to Senator Warner requesting a hearing on the Shalikhvili report.

Would you like me to circulate to the 35 organizations on the list of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament?

Howard

From: "Adrienne Fong" To: "Gwen Drake" , "Rich Aronson" , "Kent/Kathy Barton" , "Mary Lynn Bell" , "Marianne Bird" , "Larry Coleman" , "John E Francis" , "Joyce Georgieff" , "Carol Green" , "Howard W. Hallman" , "Brenda B. Hardt" , "Dick Heacock" , "Annie Heart" , "Rich Hendricks" , "Wanda Holcombe" , "Robert Hughes" , "Clara Lou Humphrey" , "LeMerle Milsom" , "Judy Newton" , "Irene Pierce" , "Ann Price" , "Linda Sabin" , "Joe Smith" , "Carol Walker" , "Walter Parker, Jr." , "Larry Wayman" , "Don Whitmore" <3RDM@gte.net> , "Carol Windrum" , "Sandy Yerton" Subject: PwJ Gathering Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 19:41:59 -0800 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1 X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3

Hello from the CA-NV Conference!

I hope that this finds each of you well.

As you know the March gathering will be the last time that Robin Ringler will be with us as the director of the Peace with Justice Program. Was wondering what you thought of doing something special to honor her and the peace with justice ministry that she has advocated for??

Can you also check to see if I have sent this out to all the coordinators that you know of??

Thank you!

Adrienne Fong

To: "Adrienne Fong" <afong@jps.net>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <000f01c08b37\$cca37360\$84c3efd1@adriennf>
References:

Adrienne,

I would like to join with you in whatever way you develop to honor Robin.

Howard

To: lmckiern@juno.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Visit with Senator Lugar
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments: A:\abolish.312.doc; A:\abolish.313.doc; A:\abolish.320.doc; A:\abolish.321.doc;
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Linda,

It's taken me longer than I expected to get back to you about organizing an interfaith delegation to talk with Senator Lugar or his staff about nuclear disarmament issues. Now we're ready to move forward.

Larry Hayes, a Church of the Brethren leader in Fort Wayne, is organizing a group from northeastern Indiana to seek an appointment with Lugar. Would you be willing to facilitate a similar delegation for the Indianapolis area? You indicated that you know a number of people there. I am asking national denominational staff for their suggestions that might supplement your contacts.

Our purpose is to let Senator Lugar know that the faith community in Indiana is concerned about these issues and want him to exercise positive leadership. Attached below as text is a sample set of questions. I am sending further background information as Word attachments. This information can be shared with others in your local delegation.

If you need clarification, please reply by e-mail or call me at 301 896-0013.

Thanks for your assistance,
Howard
(I have no honorific title. My wife is the ordained minister in our family.)

###

Questions on nuclear disarmament for Senator Lugar

Nunn-Lugar Program

Senator, we thank you for the sponsorship of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program, also known as Nunn-Lugar. How do you think this program is working? What can we do to bolster support for it?

De-alerting and strategic arms reduction

What are the prospects for completion of the ratification process for START II? Do you think there should be a START III agreement for further reductions?

Last May in a campaign speech President Bush said that "the United States should remove as many weapons as possible from high-alert, hair-trigger status." He said, "It should be possible to reduce the number of nuclear weapons significantly further than what has already been agreed to under START II without compromising our security in any way." He further stated that de-alerting and strategic arms reduction could come about through executive leadership without waiting for years of treaty negotiations. What do you think of these ideas?

Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT)

Recently General John Shalikashvili proposed that the Senate reconsider the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) and ratify it. He suggested that the treaty be reviewed after ten years by a commission set up by the president and

Congress. He suggested a more integrated approach to non-proliferation. He offered several other ideas. What do you think of General Shalikashvili's recommendations?

National Missile Defense

Persons in the faith community have grave doubts about National Missile Defense (NMD). They believe that the threat of attack on the United States by long-range missiles by small nations is greatly exaggerated, that deployment of NMD by the United States would risk a renewed nuclear arms race with Russia and China, that it wouldn't guard against more likely means of attack by terrorists and small nations, that the cost of NMD is wasteful and takes money away from other needs. What are your views on National Missile Defense?

X-Sender: lerskine@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 11:42:12 -0500
To: mupj@igc.org
From: Lynn Erskine <lerskine@clw.org>
Subject: Security Threat

Howard,

You might be interested in this issue brief from Carnegie, which focuses on the need to address the dangers of Russia's nuclear arsenal.

<http://www.ceip.org/files/Publications/ProliferationBrief401.asp?p=8&from=pubdate>

Lynn

Lynn Erskine
Council for a Livable World
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, #409
Washington, D.C. 20002
(202) 543-4100, x100
lerskine@clw.org

X-Sender: lerskine@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 12:35:03 -0500
To: mupj@igc.org
From: Lynn Erskine <lerskine@clw.org>
Subject: Security Threat - more thoughts

Howard,

I think that religious groups and arms control NGOs can best make a difference by lobbying Congress on the findings of the Cutler/Baker report (see previous e-mail), which is getting good attention. Specifically, religious constituents could send letters, calls and make lobby visits to pressure Congress to spend \$30 billion to reduce the threat of Russia's arsenal. John Isaac's has told me there's no specific piece of legislation but you could press for it to be included in the defense bill.

Lynn

Report Recommendations:

The threat today arises from Russia's weakened ability to secure its nuclear arsenal. Contributing factors include, delays in paying those who guard nuclear facilities, breakdown in command structures and inadequate budgets for stockpile protection.

The President should develop a strategic plan, consulting Congress and cooperating with the Russian Federation, to secure all weapons-usable material located in Russia, and to prevent the outflow of weapons of mass destruction-related scientific expertise.

The plan should review existing programs, identifying specific goals and measurable objectives for each program, as well as providing criteria for success and an exit strategy. The time-frame envisioned for this plan is 8-10 years, with Russia positioned to take over any remaining work after that period.

The estimated cost is \$30 billion, which would be provided not only from the U.S. budget, but also by Russia and other countries. The national security benefits to U.S. citizens from securing 80,000 nuclear weapons and potential nuclear weapons would constitute the highest return on investment in any current national security and defense program.

Lynn Erskine
Council for a Livable World
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, #409
Washington, D.C. 20002
(202) 543-4100, x100
lerskine@clw.org

X-Sender: dkimball@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0 (32)
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 12:41:00 -0500
To: dkimball@clw.org
From: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>
Subject: START/NMD Update: de-alerting campaign hits White House

January 31, 2001

TO: Coalition members and friends

FR: Daryl Kimball, Director

RE: START/NMD Update -- De-Alerting Campaign to press Bush on his campaign pledge; President to screen "13 Days" tomorrow

This week a diverse group of nuclear weapons experts, Hollywood celebrities (including Martin Sheen, Michael Douglas and Paul Newman), and religious leaders will be sending a message to President George W. Bush to make good on his promise to remove a large portion of the United States nuclear arsenal from Cold-War style hair-trigger alert.

Their effort is being aided somewhat by the movie, "13 Days," which depicts the U.S.-Russian nuclear crisis over the stationing of missiles in Cuba in 1962. The movie will be screened at the White House tomorrow.

Attached below is:

- * a press release and backgrounder from the Back from the Brink Campaign on a letter from religious leaders calling for de-alerting;
- * a press release from New Line Cinema on the White House screening of "13 Days;"
- * and an invitation to a showing of "13 Days" with a panel discussion with Robert McNamara and others at the Library of Congress sponsored by the Global Security Institute and Lawyers Alliance for World Security.

For more information on de-alerting and nuclear risk reduction proposals, see:

- * the Back from the Brink Web Site <<http://backfromthebrink.policy.net/>>
- * "Taking Nuclear Weapons off Hair-Trigger Alert," by Bruce G. Blair, Harold A. Feiveson and Frank N. von Hippel, Scientific American, November 1997 <<http://www.sciam.com/1197issue/1197vonhippel.html>>
- * "Jump-START: Retaking the Initiative to Reduce Post-Cold War Nuclear Dangers," February 1999 <<http://www.stimson.org/policy/jumpstart.htm>>

- DK

MEDIA ALERT & INTERVIEW OPPORTUNITIES

DATE: January 31, 2001

Contacts: Ira Shorr, Back from the Brink 202-545-1001, Steve Kent, Kent Communications, 845-424-8382

LEADERS OF FAITH-BASED GROUPS ASKING BUSH TO TAKE NUCLEAR WEAPONS OFF HAIR-TRIGGER ALERT
CELEBRITIES JOIN EFFORT TO PULL NUCLEAR FORCES "BACK FROM THE BRINK," URGE CITIZENS TO CALL WHITE HOUSE FEBRUARY 5-6 TO SUPPORT DE-ALERTING - WHITE HOUSE TO SCREEN CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS FILM "13 DAYS" TOMORROW

WHAT? Church groups gained a new level of presidential access this week with the announcement of the White House office on faith-based social services initiatives. At the same time, religious leaders are also pressing President Bush on nuclear policy with a major interfaith effort urging him to implement his proposal of May 23, 2000 that "the United States should remove as many weapons as possible from high-alert, hair-trigger status....Keeping so many weapons on high alert may create unacceptable risks of accidental or unauthorized launch."

The President has the authority to order US nuclear forces to stand down from their current minutes-to-launch, hair-trigger alert status. More than 60 religious leaders and denomination heads delivered their urgings for Bush to use it in the form of a sign-on letter, and asked him to meet with their delegation. The letter is followed by national call-in days February 5-6 in which citizens are urged to call the White House comment line at 202-456- 1414 to register public support for de-alerting.

Among the celebrities participating in the call-in days are actors Martin Sheen, Michael Douglas and Paul Newman. Former Defense Secretary Robert McNamara, whose handling of the Cuban missile crisis is portrayed in detail in the current movie "13 Days," is available for comment on de-alerting. The film will become the first to be screened at the Bush White House tomorrow night, and will be screened again for the Congress February 6, followed by a discussion with McNamara. The letter to Bush and White House call-in days are part of a national campaign to get all nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert, "Back from the Brink," which facilitates the work of some 40 national groups.

WHO? These and many other religious leaders, celebrities and nuclear policy experts are available for interviews now:

- Bruce Blair, director, Center for Defense Information, former nuclear missile silo commander
- Bob Edgar, General Secretary, National Council of Churches, signatory to religious leaders' letter to Bush urging de-alerting
- Robert McNamara, former Secretary of Defense whose handling of the Cuban missile crisis is portrayed in the current movie "13 Days," screened tomorrow night at the White House
- Martin Sheen, actor who plays the president in "West Wing"

- Ira Shorr, director, Back from the Brink Campaign
- Joe Volk, Executive Secretary, Friends' Committee on National Legislation, which spearheaded the religious leaders' letter.

WHEN & WHERE? Guests are available for comment via in- person or telephone interviews now through February 6. For a full list of participating religious leaders and nuclear policy experts, call Stephen Kent, Kent Communications, at 845-424-8382

SEE ATTACHED FOR BACKGROUND ON DE-ALERTING. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, COPIES OF THE SIGN-ON LETTER TO PRESIDENT BUSH URGING DE-ALERTING, OR TO REQUEST INTERVIEWS, PLEASE CALL 845-424-8382 (24 hours)

BACK FROM THE BRINK

BACKGROUNDER ON DE-ALERTING OF U.S. NUCLEAR WEAPONS

Contacts: Ira Shorr, Back from the Brink 202-545-1001, Steve Kent, Kent Communications, 845-424-8382

On May 23, 2000, flanked by Colin Powell and other leading foreign policy figures, candidate George W. Bush proposed unilateral deep cuts in U. S. nuclear arsenals and de-alerting of U. S. nuclear forces, calling our current high-alert, hair-trigger status "another unnecessary vestige of Cold War confrontation.... Preparation for quick launch--within minutes after warning of an attack--was the rule during the era of superpower rivalry. But today, for two nations at peace, keeping so many weapons on high alert may create unacceptable risks of accidental or unauthorized launch. So, as president, I will ask for an assessment of what we can safely do to lower the alert status of our forces. These changes to our forces should not require years and years of detailed arms control negotiations."

Last Friday, January 26, President Bush reiterated his May 23 offer of cuts, saying ``I think it's important for us, commensurate with our ability to keep the peace, to reduce our nuclear arsenal on our own, and I'm going to fulfill that campaign promise." He was silent on the linked subject of de-alerting, but de-alerting advocates believe he is inclined towards taking this step, which is within the scope of presidential authority, making it a very realizable goal that would significantly increase Americans' nuclear security.

Bush's statements January 26 coincided with the anniversary of a near-miss episode in which Russia came close to launching nuclear weapons. January 25, 1995 a U.S. research rocket launched off Norway appeared on Russian radar screens. Its profile was similar to that of a nuclear missile from a U.S. Trident submarine. Russian radar could not distinguish the research rocket from a U. S. nuclear missile. Russian nuclear commanders came within minutes of running down the clock on their hair-trigger alert status before deciding not to launch missiles at the U. S. Since 1995, Russia's early warning satellite system has become much less functional, and command and control over Russia's nuclear arsenal has deteriorated further, leaving the U. S. more vulnerable to such accidental launch

scenarios. Poor conditions of Russian facilities, substandard training and pay, and low morale have greatly increased nuclear dangers since 1995.

If the 1995 incident happened today, experts say, chances of a nuclear exchange being averted would be much lower. The solution to these new dangers, de-alerting advocates say, is for President Bush to implement his proposal to take nuclear forces off high alert.

"We and the Russians had 13 days in the Cuban missile crisis to evaluate the confrontation and figure out what to do, and if you saw the movie '13 Days' it was clear we needed every second of it," said Ira Shorr, director of the Back from the Brink campaign.

"Now we have fewer than 13 minutes. Until we stand down and give ourselves more breathing room, we are all in greater peril than five years ago, or even forty years ago," Shorr added.

The film "13 Days" will be screened at the White House February 1.

"I think it is a moral imperative for the President to move quickly to reduce the threat from nuclear weapons," said Bob Edgar, Secretary General of the National Council of Churches, who signed a January 25, 2001 letter from more than 60 religious leaders urging Bush to de-alert U. S. nuclear forces.

"Taking all nuclear missiles off hair trigger alert would be a significant step in that direction," Edgar said.

FOR MORE INFORMATION OR INTERVIEWS ON DE-ALERTING CALL 845-424-8382

"President Bush to Screen Thirteen Days at White House
Upcoming Screenings Also Set for U.S. Congress, United Nations, Berlin Film
Festival"

Wednesday, January 31, 6:01 am Eastern Time

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 31 /PRNewswire/ -- Thirteen Days, the critically acclaimed dramatization of the Cuban Missile Crisis starring Kevin Costner, will be the first film screened by President and Mrs. George W. Bush at the White House. The screening will take place on Thursday, February 1, New Line Cinema and Beacon Pictures jointly announced today. Guests at the screening will include members of the Kennedy family, as well as members of Congress and personal friends of the President and First Lady.

Next Tuesday, February 6, the film will be screened for members of the House and Senate at the Library of Congress. The screening is hosted by the Global Security Institute, in cooperation with Representatives Edward J. Markey (D-MA.) and Christopher Shays (R-CT.), co-chairs of the Bipartisan Task Force on Non-proliferation in the U.S. House of Representatives. Following the screening, former Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara will reflect on his memories of the Cuban Missile Crisis and his views on the ongoing

nuclear threat.

Thirteen Days will also screen at the United Nations later in February, at an event hosted by the United Nations Department for Disarmament Affairs, in conjunction with Lawyers Alliance for World Security. Ted Sorensen, who was Special Counsel and a close aide to President John F. Kennedy, will address the audience after the screening.

Thirteen Days will also have its European premiere at the Berlin Film Festival on February 13, and will be shown at a screening and seminar at Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government on February 21.

“We wanted to make Thirteen Days dramatic and entertaining,” said Producer and Beacon CEO Armyan Bernstein. “But we also intended that the timely and important themes of the film be seen and discussed in settings where policy and public opinion are actively shaped. The issues of nuclear proliferation and the world-wide nuclear threat are as real today as they were in 1962, and we hope that these screenings at the White House, Capitol Hill, Berlin, the United Nations, Harvard and elsewhere will contribute to the public discourse on nuclear issues. We are especially honored that President Bush has chosen our film to be the first to be shown at the White House.”

As part of this outreach campaign, New Line Cinema and Beacon are making the film available to other policy forums such as World Affairs Councils in major cities. The film has already been screened at the prestigious Council of Foreign Relations in New York City, and in Washington, DC under the auspices of Kennedy School's Shorenstein Center.

Beacon and New Line Cinema have also joined with Cuban Missile Crisis scholar and Harvard University professor Graham Allison in shaping a web-site devoted to the Cuban Missile Crisis and to the ongoing issues raised by the film (<http://www.thirteen-days.com/>).

“Through Thirteen Days, a new generation is discovering how close the world came to an unthinkable nuclear catastrophe,” said New Line Cinema President and COO Michael Lynne. “New Line Cinema is particularly proud that the White House has chosen to screen this memorable and thought-provoking motion picture.”

“Taken together with these public event screenings, and starting with this special White House invitation, we hope to make a real contribution to awareness of the history of the crisis and the ongoing debate on the subject of nuclear proliferation,” says producer Peter O. Almond. “Thirteen Days dramatizes the events of the Cuban missile crisis, and shows President Kennedy to be a true hero of the crisis when the world stood at the brink of nuclear war. We hope that some of the audience -- especially young people -- will want to learn more about the crisis and related issues, and will find transcripts and documents of interest in the various web-site links.”

The film's director, Roger Donaldson, will present Thirteen Days at the Berlin Film Festival. “It is more than fitting for Thirteen Days to be shown in Berlin,” Donaldson said. “The people of Berlin were on the front line of the Cold War. President Kennedy and his advisors kept a steady eye on that endangered city throughout the crisis because a further mis-step on

Cuba likely would have caused a Soviet move on West Berlin and could well have precipitated a nuclear war."

Thirteen Days, produced by Beacon Pictures and released by New Line Cinema, opened nationwide on January 12. The film is produced by Armyan Bernstein, Peter O. Almond and Kevin Costner, and is written by David Self. The screenplay draws on ``The Kennedy Tapes: Inside the White House During the Cuban Missile Crisis," edited by Ernest May and Philip Zelikow.

The film features a memorable ensemble that includes Kevin Costner as presidential aide Kenny O'Donnell, Bruce Greenwood as John F. Kennedy and Steven Culp as Robert F. Kennedy.

For further information contact Steve Elzer of New Line Cinema/LA, (310) 967-6654; or Steven Rivers of Beacon Pictures/LA, (310) 395-2993.

SOURCE: New Line Cinema; Beacon Pictures

Copyright © 2001 PR Newswire. All rights reserved.

January 30, 2001

Special screening of "Thirteen Days":
Join Robert McNamara, Roald Sagdeev, and Graham Allison to discuss its lessons for today's leaders

Dear Friends,

The Global Security Institute, in cooperation with Representatives Edward J. Markey and Christopher Shays, co-chairs of the Bipartisan Task Force on Non-proliferation in the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Lawyers Alliance for World Security, invites you and your staff to a special screening of "Thirteen Days," the new major motion picture starring Kevin Costner, at 3:30pm on Tuesday, February 6, in Coolidge Auditorium in the Library of Congress' Jefferson Building. The film will be immediately followed by a panel discussion with:

Robert S. McNamara: Secretary of Defense for Presidents Kennedy and Johnson

Roald Z. Sagdeev: Professor of Physics at the University of Maryland and former science advisor to President Gorbachev

Graham T. Allison: Professor of Government at Harvard University and Director of the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs

The schedule for the afternoon is listed below:

3:30pm -- Film in Coolidge Auditorium, Jefferson Building:
"Thirteen Days"

5:50pm -- Panel discussion followed by reception

During the Cuban Missile Crisis, the world went to the brink of nuclear destruction. "Thirteen Days" is a powerful and moving portrayal of the crisis, and the panel discussion following the film, featuring one of the principal participants in the crisis, will analyze the crisis and its implications for policies needed to reduce the present dangers that nuclear weapons pose.

If you have seen the movie already, please come for the discussion. Seating for this event is limited, so please RSVP by 5pm, Monday, February 5, to Lawyers Alliance for World Security at 202-745-2450.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Granoff
CEO, Global Security Institute
V.P. Lawyers Alliance for World Security

Daryl Kimball, Executive Director
Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers
110 Maryland Avenue NE, Suite 505
Washington, DC 20002
(ph) 202-546-0795 x136 (fax) 202-546-7970
website <<http://www.crnd.org>>

X-Sender: dkimball@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0 (32)
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 09:38:36 -0500
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>
Subject: Re: sign-on ltr. requesting hrg. on Shali CTBT rpt.- REPLY BY
2/2

Howard:

Thanks for the offer to circulate it more widely, but I would suggest that you consider circulating a similar letter from the faith-based community. Two letters is better than one and with so many possible faith-based signers, I am hesitant to invite them all to sign this one because they would become the predominant type of organization signing the letter.

- DK

At 09:19 AM 1/31/01 -0500, you wrote:

>Daryl,
>
>I'll sign the letter to Senator Warner requesting a hearing on the
>Shalikhshvili report.
>
>Would you like me to circulate to the 35 organizations on the list of the
>Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament?
>
>Howard
>Howard W. Hallman, Chair
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
>
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.
>

Daryl Kimball, Executive Director
Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers
110 Maryland Avenue NE, Suite 505
Washington, DC 20002
(ph) 202-546-0795 x136 (fax) 202-546-7970
website <<http://www.crnd.org>>

would move aggressively towards reducing the nuclear arsenal. Reductions in nuclear weapons would also increase global stability.

In our view, the Pentagon is not underfunded. Today's budget is 15% higher in real terms than when Mr. Rumsfeld left the Pentagon in the 1970s.

Furthermore, President Clinton requested more money for the Pentagon during his Administration than any other Commander-in-Chief besides President Reagan. The U.S. is currently spending 80 percent of the amount that was budgeted at the height of the Cold War.

It will not help to shower the Pentagon with billions more for Cold War weapons. We urge you to critically assess the military budget. Insist that the Pentagon complete its comprehensive strategic assessment, reform its bookkeeping system, set up a base closure commission and end the practice of running a jobs program through the Pentagon budget. The time has come to check "unchecked spending practices." Our nation would be better served by strengthening education, healthcare, housing, social security, medicare, etc. or giving tax cuts to those among us who need it the most.

The surplus offers us such an opportunity to do so much good for the country. Let us not squander our valuable resources.

Sincerely,

Suzy S. Kerr
Council for a Livable World

Kimberly Robson
WAND

To: ken@bpfna.org, ttheath@churchwomen.org, epf@peacenet.org, kathy@fcnl.org, marsusab.aol.com, J._Daryl_Byler, lisaw@nccusa.org, cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org, slisherness@unidial.com, rlabush@rac.org, egbertl4pj@yahoo.com, stiefr@ucc.org, conoverp@ucc.org

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Indiana contacts

Cc:

Bcc:

X-Attachments:

In-Reply-To:

References:

Dear Colleagues,

In Indiana two religious leaders have started to organize interfaith delegations to seek meetings with Senator Lugar to discuss nuclear disarmament issues that may be coming up in the new Congress. They are (1) for northeastern Indiana, Larry Hayes, a Church of the Brethren leader in Fort Wayne and (2) for the Indianapolis area, Rev. Linda McKiernan-Allen of the Christian Church (Disciples of Christ) in Indianapolis.

Do you have persons to suggest from your denomination who might be part of such delegations? If so, please tell me who they are so that I can forward their names to Larry and Linda. They in turn can contact these persons.

Call me or e-mail me if you have any questions about this.

Shalom,
Howard

Dear Colleagues,

In Indiana two religious leaders have started to organize interfaith delegations to seek meetings with Senator Lugar to discuss nuclear disarmament issues that may be coming up in the new Congress. They are (1) for northeastern Indiana, Larry Hayes, a Church of the Brethren leader in Fort Wayne and (2) for the Indianapolis area, Rev. Linda McKiernan-Allen of the Christian Church (Disciples of Christ) in Indianapolis.

Do you have persons to suggest from your denomination who might be part of such delegations? If so, please tell me who they are so that I can forward their names to Larry and Linda. They in turn can contact these persons.

Call me or e-mail me if you have any questions about this.

Shalom,
Howard

ken@bpfna.org, ttheath@churchwomen.org, epf@peacenet.org, kathy@fcl.org,
marsusab.aol.com, J._Daryl_Byler, lisaw@nccusa.org, cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org,
slisherness@unidial.com, rlabush@rac.org, egbertl4pj@yahoo.com, stiefr@ucc.org,
conoverp@ucc.org

To: icndvisits
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Senate staff visit
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Colleagues,

I have set up an appointment for 9:30 a.m., Monday, February 5 to talk with Martha Cagel on the staff of Senator Gordon Smith (R-OR). If anyone would like to join me, please reply by e-mail.

Howard

To: gpowers@nccbuscc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Indiana contacts
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Jerry,

In Indiana two religious leaders have started to organize interfaith delegations to seek meetings with Senator Lugar to discuss nuclear disarmament issues that may be coming up in the new Congress. Among the possible issues are CTBT and the Shalikhvili report, START II, President Bush's campaign proposals on de-alerting and strategic arms reduction through executive action, Nunn-Lugar financing, and national missile defense.

Larry Hayes, a Church of the Brethren leader in Fort Wayne, is organizing a group for northeastern Indiana (including South Bend). Rev. Linda McKiernan-Allen, a Christian Church (Disciples of Christ) minister in Indianapolis is organizing a group for that area.

Can you suggest Catholics who could participate? Such as somebody from Notre Dame for the northeastern delegation and somebody in Indianapolis. If so, would you get in touch with them and then let me know who they are so that I can forward their names to Larry and Linda.

Call me or e-mail me if you have any questions about this.

Shalom,
Howard

To: amillar@fourthfreedom.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Threat reduction paper
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments: A:\abolish.330.doc;
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Allistair,

I have further developed my thinking on threat analysis. I'm wondering if we might work toward a "white paper" that lays out the real and present dangers to the U.S. (mostly Russian nuclear weapons), the speculative dangers (a few small nations and terrorists), and presents the most appropriate responses (disarmament, diplomacy, fissile and missile technology control, defenses other than NMD). From a faith perspective I would suggest also dealing with the misguided resources being spent on NMD. These ideas are presented in the attached outline.

Is this something that the Fourth Freedom Forum might be willing to co-sponsor with Methodists United for Peace with Justice, perhaps with one or more additional sponsors, such as Friends Committee on National Legislation? To get it written we would need a lot of help from our friends from the Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers and member organizations, getting different ones to provide material and drafts of different sections.

In the present political context a major intent would be to say that the expanding NMD budget is a great waste of money on a tiny part of the potential danger to the United States. Much greater benefit would be achieved by focusing on elimination of the present danger: the Russian nuclear arsenal and securing its fissile material.

If we had such a report, I would ask participants in the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament to have their constituents send copies to their senators and representatives and ask their views on the conclusions. This would supplement our releasing the report in Washington and might get more readership among members of Congress.

In addition to an e-mail reply, I would like to discuss this further on the phone.

Shalom,
Howard

X-Sender: lerskine@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 11:42:12 -0500
To: mupj@igc.org
From: Lynn Erskine <lerskine@clw.org>
Subject: Security Threat

Howard,

You might be interested in this issue brief from Carnegie, which focuses on the need to address the dangers of Russia's nuclear arsenal.

<http://www.ceip.org/files/Publications/ProliferationBrief401.asp?p=8&from=pubdate>

Lynn

Lynn Erskine
Council for a Livable World
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, #409
Washington, D.C. 20002
(202) 543-4100, x100
lerskine@clw.org

X-Sender: lerskine@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 12:35:03 -0500
To: mupj@igc.org
From: Lynn Erskine <lerskine@clw.org>
Subject: Security Threat - more thoughts

Howard,

I think that religious groups and arms control NGOs can best make a difference by lobbying Congress on the findings of the Cutler/Baker report (see previous e-mail), which is getting good attention. Specifically, religious constituents could send letters, calls and make lobby visits to pressure Congress to spend \$30 billion to reduce the threat of Russia's arsenal. John Isaac's has told me there's no specific piece of legislation but you could press for it to be included in the defense bill.

Lynn

Report Recommendations:

The threat today arises from Russia's weakened ability to secure its nuclear arsenal. Contributing factors include, delays in paying those who guard nuclear facilities, breakdown in command structures and inadequate budgets for stockpile protection.

The President should develop a strategic plan, consulting Congress and cooperating with the Russian Federation, to secure all weapons-usable material located in Russia, and to prevent the outflow of weapons of mass destruction-related scientific expertise.

The plan should review existing programs, identifying specific goals and measurable objectives for each program, as well as providing criteria for success and an exit strategy. The time-frame envisioned for this plan is 8-10 years, with Russia positioned to take over any remaining work after that period.

The estimated cost is \$30 billion, which would be provided not only from the U.S. budget, but also by Russia and other countries. The national security benefits to U.S. citizens from securing 80,000 nuclear weapons and potential nuclear weapons would constitute the highest return on investment in any current national security and defense program.

Lynn Erskine
Council for a Livable World
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, #409
Washington, D.C. 20002
(202) 543-4100, x100
lerskine@clw.org

X-Sender: epf@pop.igc.org
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.6 (32)
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 09:00:22 -0500
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: Episcopal Peace Fellowship <epf@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Indiana contacts

Howard,

Have the folks try the Episcopal Bishop of Indianapolis, and if she can't do it, ask the former bishop of Indianapolis - they are both EPF members. They are to be addressed in speaking with or about them as "Bishop Waynick" or "Bishop Jones." In order they are reachable at:

The Rt. Rev. Catherine E.M. Waynick
Diocese of Indianapolis
1100 West 42nd Street
Indianapolis IN 46208
office: 312-926-5454

The Rt. Rev. Edward Jones
5008 Derby Lane
Indianapolis IN 46226
home: 317-546-4226

Ask the folks to be clear when they call that I at the Episcopal Peace Fellowship suggested and provided the contacts.

mary

At 04:47 PM 1/31/01 -0500, you wrote:

>Dear Colleagues,

>

>In Indiana two religious leaders have started to organize interfaith
>delegations to seek meetings with Senator Lugar to discuss nuclear
>disarmament issues that may be coming up in the new Congress. They are (1)
>for northeastern Indiana, Larry Hayes, a Church of the Brethren leader in
>Fort Wayne and (2) for the Indianapolis area, Rev. Linda McKiernan-Allen of
>the Christian Church (Disciples of Christ) in Indianapolis.

>

>Do you have persons to suggest from your denomination who might be part of
>such delegations? If so, please tell me who they are so that I can forward
>their names to Larry and Linda. They in turn can contact these persons.

>

>Call me or e-mail me if you have any questions about this.

>

>Shalom,
>Howard

>

>Howard W. Hallman, Chair
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.
>

From: "Lisa Wright" <lisaw@nccusa.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: RE: Senate staff visit
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 10:11:30 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
Importance: Normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300

I'll be in NY.

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Thursday, February 01, 2001 9:46 AM
To: Jim Matlack; glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org; J._Daryl_Byler@mcc.org;
lisaw@nccusa.org; cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org; stiefr@ucc.org;
conoverp@ucc.org; bobmally@juno.com; egbertl4pj@yahoo.com;
rlabush@rac.org
Subject: Senate staff visit

Dear Colleagues,

I have set up an appointment for 9:30 a.m., Monday, February 5 to talk with Martha Cagel on the staff of Senator Gordon Smith (R-OR). If anyone would like to join me, please reply by e-mail.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 12:41:19 -0500
From: Kevin Martin <kmartin@fourthfreedom.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Kevin Martin <kmartin@fourthfreedom.org>
Subject: article on Star Wars corporations on the web

Dear Friends,

In case you missed it in print last September, our article in Z Magazine, "The Real Rogues Behind the Star Wars National Missile Defense System", is on the web on an interesting site we stumbled upon, Third World Traveller. The url is http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Corporations/Real_Rogues.html

We will soon have updated information on the Big Four Star Wars corporations, Boeing, Lockheed Martin, Raytheon and TRW, including their campaign contributions and lobbying expenses in the last year and a list of their facilities around the country. I'll send out another notice when that's ready.

Please excuse any mutiple postings.

In Peace,

Kevin Martin
Director, Project Abolition

Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 13:48:24 -0800 (PST)
From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Senate staff visit
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Thanks, Howard but Mondays are not very good for me to go to D.C. If you have no other takes, let me know.

Larry

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> Dear Colleagues,

>

> I have set up an appointment for 9:30 a.m., Monday,

> February 5 to talk with

> Martha Cagel on the staff of Senator Gordon Smith

> (R-OR). If anyone would

> like to join me, please reply by e-mail.

>

> Howard

>

>

> Howard W. Hallman, Chair

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice

> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a

> membership association of

> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any

> Methodist denomination.

Get personalized email addresses from Yahoo! Mail - only \$35 a year! <http://personal.mail.yahoo.com/>

Reply-To: "Alistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
From: "Alistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Threat reduction paper
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 17:40:52 -0500
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3

Thanks fro your suggestion Howard.

I will give it sime thought in the context of how this would fit into my increasingly full work plan over coming months and get back to you.

Best wishes

alistair

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman <mupj@igc.org>
To: amillar@fourthfreedom.org <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
Date: Thursday, February 01, 2001 4:59 PM
Subject: Threat reduction paper

>Dear Allistair,

>

>I have further developed my thinking on threat analysis. I'm wondering if
>we might work toward a "white paper" that lays out the real and present
>dangers to the U.S. (mostly Russian nuclear weapons), the speculative
>dangers (a few small nations and terrorists), and presents the most
>appropriate responses (disarmament, diplomacy, fissile and missile
>technology control, defenses other than NMD). From a faith perspective I
>>would suggest also dealing with the misguided resources being spent on NMD.
> These ideas are presented in the attached outline.

>

>Is this something that the Fourth Freedom Forum might be willing to
>co-sponsor with Methodists United for Peace with Justice, perhaps with one
>or more additional sponsors, such as Friends Committee on National
>Legislation? To get it written we would need a lot of help from our
>friends from the Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers and member
>organizations, getting different ones to provide material and drafts of
>different sections.

>

>In the present political context a major intent would be to say that the
>expanding NMD budget is a great waste of money on a tiny part of the
>potential danger to the United States. Much greater benefit would be
>achieved by focusing on elimination of the present danger: the Russian
>nuclear arsenal and securing its fissile material.

>

>If we had such a report, I would ask participants in the Interfaith
>Committee for Nuclear Disarmament to have their constituents send copies to
>their senators and representatives and ask their views on the conclusions.
>This would supplement our releasing the report in Washington and might get

>more readership among members of Congress.

>

>In addition to an e-mail reply, I would like to discuss this further on the
>phone.

>

>Shalom,

>Howard

>

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-1606632-17-981085256-mupj=igc.apc.org@returns.onelist.com
X-Sender: info@abolition2000.org X-Apparently-To: sunflower-napf@yahoogroups.com
X-Sender: abolition2000@abolition2000.org To: sunflower-napf@yahoogroups.com From:
Carah Lynn Ong X-eGroups-Approved-By: info@abolition2000.org via email; 2 Feb 2001
03:40:52 -0000 Mailing-List: list sunflower-napf@yahoogroups.com; contact
sunflower-napf-owner@yahoogroups.com Delivered-To: mailing list
sunflower-napf@yahoogroups.com List-Unsubscribe: Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 19:38:25 -0700
Reply-To: sunflower-napf-owner@yahoogroups.com Subject: [sunflower-napf] The Sunflower
February 2001 No. 45

www. .com

Yahoo! Groups My Groups | sunflower-napf Main Page

The Sunflower Newsletter No. 45 February 2001

Online monthly newsletter of the
Nuclear Age Peace Foundation

The Sunflower
February 2001 (No. 45)

The Sunflower is a monthly e-newsletter providing educational information on nuclear weapons
abolition and other issues relating to global security. Back issues are available.

IN THIS ISSUE

PERSPECTIVE

ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE SYSTEMS

DEPLETED URANIUM

NUCLEAR MATTERS

NUCLEAR ENERGY

NUCLEAR TESTING

BOOK REVIEWS

NUCLEAR INSANITY

NAPF HAPPENINGS

ACTIONS YOU CAN TAKE (FEATURING NATIONAL CALL-IN DAYS)

RESOURCES

PERSPECTIVE

We live in a vast and expanding universe, which scientists believe was born 15 billion years ago.

Four and a half billion years ago our Earth was formed.

Five hundred million years ago life came into being on Earth, and from single cells life has
evolved into a spectacular array of plants and animals.

Only a few million years ago human life evolved on Earth, and only in the past 10,000 years has civilization emerged.

Just 55 years ago, barely a tick on the cosmic clock, humans created nuclear weapons, and in doing so we developed the means of our own demise.

Nuclear weapons put human beings on the list of endangered species.

Can you imagine a world without human eyes to view its wonder?

All of us living today share a responsibility to end the nuclear weapons threat to humanity. We owe it to ourselves and to all generations to follow us.

We are being put to our greatest test -- a test we must not fail.

Every voice is important. Each of us has the power to affect the future.

To find out what steps you can take to make a difference, visit

WWW.WAGINGPEACE.ORG
Tribute to Sam Day, Peace Activist

Sam Day, a peace activist, passed away on 26 January. Day was a reporter, editor and political activist who dedicated his life to exposing the wrong-doing of the US government in nuclear issues and creating public awareness of nuclear dangers. Day was imprisoned on several occasions for protesting and exposing nuclear programs. In 1993, Day was imprisoned six weeks for putting up stakes at the construction site of an Air Force communications tower near Medford, Wisconsin. He suffered a series of strokes in prison, which left him partially blind and unable to read or drive.

For his work, Day was honored with several awards including the Distinguished Reporting Award of the American Political Association (1962) and the US Fellowship of Reconciliation Martin Luther King, Jr. Peace Prize (1992). A memorial service will be held for Day on 3 February at 3pm in the Pres House chapel on the University of Wisconsin Library Mall.

ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE SYSTEMS

With George W. Bush as US President, the new Republican Administration has made it abundantly clear that the US intends to deploy ballistic missile defenses. Russia, China and even some allies have repeatedly warned that deploying such systems will have negative consequences for international security as well as arms control and disarmament efforts. All of Bush's team envision a more elaborate system than the previous administration had proposed. The following recent statements from members of the new Administration reflect its resolve to pursue BMD

programs as well as its blatant disregard for the preservation of international security accords.

Donald Rumsfeld, US Secretary Defense, on the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty:

"It was a long time ago that that treaty was fashioned. Technologies were noticeably different. The Soviet Union, our partner in that agreement, doesn't exist anymore." The 1972 ABM Treaty between Russia and the U.S. is "ancient history." (26 January 2001)

Rumsfeld on the militarization of Outer Space:

"It would be a stretch to suggest that in the future space will not be receiving the same kind of attention that is now given to land, air, and sea."

Colin Powell, US Secretary of State on national missile defense:

Even if North Korea agreed to freeze its missile program, "we should continue to move ahead as aggressively as possible." (17 January 2001)

Powell on the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty:

It is "probably no longer relevant to our new strategic framework."
US Navy Conducts Test of Theater-wide BMD system

In addition to aggressively pursuing a national missile defense (NMD) system, the US Navy is also testing and developing a Theater-wide Ballistic Missile Defense system, a shorter range version of the controversial NMD program. On 25 January, the Navy completed what it considers a "successful" test-fire of a missile designed to track and intercept incoming missiles. The test was conducted in Barking Sands, Kauai and was not intended to hit a target, but rather to test the computerized tracking aboard the USS Lake Erie and assess the stability and control of the missiles. The Lake Erie, stationed at Pearl Harbor, has been relieved of all its other missions to serve as the test launch ship for the Theater-wide missile defense program.

The Navy has scheduled nine tests of the system, all of which will be conducted at the Pacific Missile Range on Kauai. Companion shorter range missiles are being tested at White Sands, New Mexico, but are scheduled to be moved to Kauai in about two years.
(source: US Department of Defense Media Release, 26 January 2001)

DEPLETED URANIUM

Depleted Uranium Causing Balkan Syndrome

International concern about the use of depleted uranium (DU) erupted in January after the announcement that at least seven Italian soldiers and soldiers from several other countries have died from leukemia or contracted illness linked to radiation exposure. DU munitions are

primarily used by the US and British armies. The US used the munitions in the bombings of Iraq during the Gulf War. US jets also fired some 31,000 rounds of DU ammunition during NATO's 1999 bombing in Kosovo. NATO also recently admitted to the use of DU for a short period in Bosnia during the 1992-1995 war there. On 15 January, NATO medical chiefs met in Brussels to discuss potential risks of DU munitions to health and the environment. Further controversy over the use of the weapons has emerged since the announcement that the munitions used in Kosovo may have contained plutonium, which is even more deadly than uranium.

A majority of NATO countries turned down requests from several allies for a temporary ban on DU munitions in the NATO arsenal on 10 January. Although circumstantial evidence continues to mount, there has been no conclusive evidence of the dangerous after-effects of DU. DU munitions are favored by the armies that use them for their ability to penetrate heavy armor. DU munitions burn on impact with steel, leaving toxic and alpha-emitting uranium in solid form on the area of impact. After impact, a portion of the uranium aerosolizes into fine particles, which can settle or drift in the air, contaminating the surrounding environment.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has sent a team of experts to Kosovo to further investigate the links between DU and cancer cases. Meanwhile, NATO is trying to calm fears in Europe by denying DU's role in high rates of leukemia in the unexplained sicknesses and deaths. (sources: Green Horizon, 11 January 2001; NY Times, 11 January 2001; BBC News, 19 January 2001)

IDF Confirms Possession of DU Ammunition

On 11 January, the Yediot Aharonot, Israel's largest daily newspaper, reported that Israel possesses Depleted Uranium (DU) ammunition, similar to the NATO ammunition used in the bombings of the former Yugoslavia. According to the article, Israeli Defense officials confirmed the possession of the DU ammunition just three days after Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) issued an announcement stating that "depleted uranium missiles were never used by the IDF."

Tsadok Yechezkel and Anat Tal-Shir, co-authors of the article, reported that the DU ammunition was taken out of service one year ago and is no longer used by the Israeli army. The report also stated that Israel first used DU ammunition in 1985 when the Israeli Navy fired a missile at a "large terrorist" boat 160 kilometers from its shore. Pe'er Weisner, chairperson of the Israeli Green Party, said that IDF soldiers were exposed to extreme danger in handling DU materials. He also called for the establishment of an independent organization to supervise environmental safety in the IDF.

(source: Yediot Aharonot, 11 January 2001)

NUCLEAR MATTERS

Ukraine Ratifies the CTBT

The Verkhovna Rada (Ukrainian Parliament) adopted, almost unanimously, a law on ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) on 16 November 2000. The Ukraine is one of

the remaining 14 states whose ratification is required for the CTBT's entry into force. Mr. Oleh Semenets, Director of Arms Control Affairs in the Ukrainian Ministry described the ratification as the Ukraine's confirmation of its leading and responsible role in the field of arms control and nuclear disarmament.

The following thirteen states are required to ratify the treaty before it can enter into force:

Vietnam, Indonesia, Egypt, Algeria, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Colombia, Iran, United States, China, Israel, North Korea (sign and ratify), India (sign and ratify), Pakistan (sign and ratify)
(source: CTBT Express, 25 January 2001)

New Zealand Government Expresses Concern Over MOX Shipment

The New Zealand Government expressed its very strong concern over a shipment of nuclear waste traveling from France to Japan. Phil Goff, Foreign Affairs and Trade Minister, said that New Zealand and other Pacific states do not want nuclear shipments coming through the Pacific region.

Mr. Goff stated, "The South Pacific prides itself on its nuclear-free status. The countries along the possible shipment routes are innocent bystanders. The Government calls on the British and French companies undertaking this atest shipment to adhere to the highest possible safety standards. The overwhelming public support for our anti-nuclear laws illustrates the extent to which New Zealanders want nothing to do with these dangerous cargoes."

Of great concern is that the nations that ship nuclear waste claim to have safeguards in place should an accident occur, but refuse to accept liability in the event of an accident. The shipment destined for Japan contains a uranium and plutonium mixed oxide fuel (MOX), which could in theory be converted into nuclear weapons material.

(source: Hon Phil Goff, Minister of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Media Statement)

Trident Protesters Found "Not Guilty"

On 18 January, Sylvia Boyes and Keith Wright were cleared of charges of "conspiracy to cause criminal damage" to one of Britain's Trident submarines. The two anti-nuclear activists, both members of Trident Ploughshares, admitted that they plotted to damage the HMS Vengeance but denied criminal charges, arguing that their actions were justified because nuclear weapons are immoral and illegal under international law. The HMS Vengeance is equipped to carry approximately one quarter of the UK's nuclear arsenal and the protesters aimed to disarm the submarine in November 1999.

The verdict was delivered by a Manchester jury and follows a string of acquittals in the UK of sabotage against military equipment. Anti-nuclear activists see such acquittals as highly significant in terms of setting a precedent for UK citizens to hold the government accountable to its obligations for nuclear disarmament. A spokesperson for Trident Ploughshares said that the acquittal will also "pave the way for further direct action to disarm submarines." Gareth Pierce,

lawyer for Sylvia Boyes, "The jury has given the clearest possible decision legally, factually and morally, on the continued possession by Britain of weapons of mass destruction and where governments fail us, of the necessity of direct action."

(source: Guardian Newspapers Limited, 19 January 2001)

NUCLEAR TESTING

India Tests Advance Intermediate-range Missile

On 17 January, India successfully test-fired its Agni intermediate-range ballistic missile. The test was the second of the upgraded version of the Agni missile, which has a 1,250 mile range. The test-fire prompted immediate concern from Pakistan, Japan and the UK. Pakistan's Foreign Ministry stated, "India's test-firing today of its Agni II missile is part of its ambitious nuclear and missile program, which poses a direct threat to Pakistan's security and has been a matter of concern for the international community."

Japan also called on India to refrain from further testing and said it hoped that Pakistan would exercise restraint. The UK's Foreign Office also urged India to restrain from further testing stating, "restraint in developing nuclear weapons and possible delivery systems is in the long-term interests of both India and the region."

(source: LA Times 18 January 2001)

BOOK REVIEWS

Losing Control: Global Security in the Twenty-first Century

Paul Rogers

£ 12.99 / US\$ 19.95 PAPER

2000/11 / 176pp / DEMY (215x135mm)

ISBN: 0745316794

In *Losing Control: Global Security in the Twenty-first Century*, Paul Rogers argues that there are two fundamental issues that will determine the evolution of conflict in the 21st century: the widening gap between rich and poor, and the environment. Taking into account the proliferation of military technologies, the book argues that attempts to maintain the present world order in the interests of a minority elite, mainly in the West, are unlikely to succeed and will instead increase the risk of conflict. It calls for a radical re-thinking of western perceptions of security that embraces a willingness to address the core issues of global insecurity.

Rogers draws on examples from around the world, covering both the North and South. Topics covered include the legacy of the Cold War's proliferation of weapons of mass destruction; the impact of human activity on the fragile ecosystem; the growth of hyper-capitalism and

resulting poverty and insecurity; the competition for energy resources and strategic minerals; biological warfare programs; and paramilitary actions against centers of power.

Paul Rogers is Professor of Peace Studies at Bradford University where he teaches courses on international security, arms control and political violence. He lectures at universities and defense colleges in several countries.

NUCLEAR INSANITY

Rumsfeld on reducing the US nuclear arsenal:

"I don't know whether we can reduce or not. There is a minimum below which you cannot go and maintain the kind of target list that rational people think is appropriate."

Declassified Documents Reveal US Considered Bombing China

Recently declassified US government documents show that the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations were alarmed by the rapid developments of China's nuclear program in the early 1960's and considered bombing targets and killing experts as well as supplying India with nuclear weapons. Pentagon officials began to express concern with China's nuclear program as early as 1961, when the CIA estimated that China could have the bomb as early as 1963. In 1963, intelligence reports concluded that China had made significant progress and it became a prominent issue for the Kennedy Administration. Kennedy's Joint Chiefs of Staff prepared a document that examined options to prevent the development of China's nuclear program. The options included blockading China and infiltrating and sabotaging the program; air attacks on Chinese nuclear facilities; supporting a Taiwanese invasion of China; and launching a tactical nuclear attack.

Later reports from Robert Johnson, a State Department official for both the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations, stated that China's nuclear capability would never be great enough to threaten US interests. Other declassified papers demonstrate that both Administrations considered helping India develop nuclear weapons capability in order to contain China. President Johnson never chose such means to stop China from developing its nuclear capability and instead chose diplomatic means to contain nuclear expansion.
(source: AP, 13 January 2001)

NAPF HAPPENINGS

Sunflower Survey

Dear Sunflower Readers,

Thank you for taking the time to complete our readership assessment survey. We appreciate your continued interest in nuclear and international security issues as well as your support of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation. Readership feedback and suggestions are extremely important to us. The survey will allow us to focus on news that is most pertinent to our readership of now more than 5,200 individuals worldwide. You can help us grow by forwarding "The Sunflower" to friends, family and anyone else who may be interested.

Thank you again for your valuable input. Please feel free to send any future comments or suggestions for the editor to Carah Ong at abolition2000@napf.org.

WagingPeace.org Update by Jason Sattler

Join us as a member in Waging Peace Worldwide, visit:
<http://www.wagingpeace.org/mbrshp/html>

Order your Nuclear Age Peace Foundation T-shirt online today, visit:
<http://www.wagingpeace.org/tshirts.html>

Our Resource page now includes 14 international perspectives on Ballistic Missile Defense.
<http://www.wagingpeace.org/resources/index.html>

Read An Alternative Approach to US and Global Security by David Krieger
http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/01.01/krieger_bmd_AnAlternative%20Approach.html

Our online exhibit from the Hiroshima and Nagasaki Peace Museums should be complete by mid February. New info is being added almost daily.
http://www.wagingpeace.org/exhibit/slides/01_title.htm

Read Leo Tolstoy's Last Message to Mankind.
http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/01.01/tolstoy_last_message_to_mankind.html

Want the latest figures on the world's nuclear arsenal? We have the latest estimates.
<http://www.wagingpeace.org/resources/answers/nuclearweaponsstates.html>

Please Sign our Appeal to End the Nuclear Weapon Threat and send the link to a friend.
<http://www.wagingpeace.org/secure/signtheappeal.htm>

Read Radiation Fallout Exposures: Demand for Full Disclosure and an Action Alert by Trisha Pritikin
http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/01.01/pritikin_radiation_fallout_exposures.html

View our Guidebook for Youth Leaders: Advice and Resources for Peace, sections on The Nuclear Weapons Threat to Humanity and the Small Arms Trade with more to come
<http://www.wagingpeace.org/students/contents.html>

View an updated list of Calendar events for 2001
http://www.wagingpeace.org/calendar/events_current.html
New to NuclearFiles.org

View the complete "Last Act" Exhibit from the Smithsonian.
<http://www.nuclearfiles.org/docs/lastact.html>

The Ethical Legacy of Nuremberg:
<http://www.nuclearfiles.org/ethics/nuremberg/nurembergindex.htm>

ACTIONS YOU CAN TAKE

5-6 February: National Call-in Days to the White House!

The Back from the Brink Campaign and its allied organizations are promoting National Call-in Days to the White House on 5-6 February to urge President George W. Bush to reduce the danger of accidental nuclear war by working with the Russians to take all nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert. Call and remind President Bush that the Republican Platform of 2000 stated, "the United States should work with other nuclear nations to remove as many weapons as possible from high-alert, hair-trigger status, another unnecessary vestige of Cold War confrontation, to reduce the risks of accidental or unauthorized launch." For more information or to order campaign supplies, contact:

Esther Pank, Back from the Brink Campaign

Tel: +1 202-545-1001 Fax: +1 202-545-1004 Email: brinkprogram@backfromthebrink.net

[Http://www.backfromthebrink.org](http://www.backfromthebrink.org)

29 January-4 April: A Season for Nonviolence

29 January to 4 April is the fourth annual Season for Nonviolence. A Season for Nonviolence was inspired by the 50th memorial anniversary of Mahatma Gandhi (January 30, 1998) and the 30th memorial anniversary of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. (April 4, 1998). The overall mission of A Season for Nonviolence is to make peace a way of life and to create a society that honors the dignity and worth of every human being.

For more information on A Season for Nonviolence or for action ideas and daily inspiration, please visit <http://www.nonviolenceworks.com>

29 March-2 April: School of the Americas Resistance
in Washington D.C.

On 17 January, the School of Americas (SOA) reopened as the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation. The "cosmetic" change was included in the Defense Authorization Bill for Fiscal Year 2001. The new military school will ensure that the SOA can continue its mission and operation to train Latin American soldiers in combat, counter-insurgency, and counter-narcotics. Graduates of the SOA have been responsible for some of the worst human

rights abuses in Latin America. The Western Hemisphere for Security Cooperation is the "same shame under a new name."

The SOA Watch is holding Spring Days of Resistance in Washington D.C. from 29 March to 2 April to vigil and lobby at the capitol. An optional six day fast will coincide with the days of resistance. For more information or to obtain an organizing packet, please visit <http://www.soaw.org>
Say No To Star Wars!

Say "No" to Star Wars! Visit the website of Abolition 2000 for more information, action ideas, and sample letters to send to elected officials and the Bush Administration. <http://www.abolition2000.org/action/saynotostarwars.html>

RESOURCES

Visit the new and improved website of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation at <Http://www.wagingpeace.org>

View the exhibit from the Hiroshima and Nagasaki Peace Museums online at: <Http://www.wagingpeace.org/exhibit/welcome.htm>

Take a journey through the Nuclear Age. Visit the Nuclear Files at <Http://www.nuclearfiles.org>

Ecoaid, healing the earth through music. <http://www.ecoaid.org>

Secretary of Defense William S. Cohen's Annual Report to the President and the Congress is now available online in pdf format. It highlights the \$227 billion in additional funding the Administration has provided for defense, and lays out how that money is being used. To obtain a copy, visit: <http://www.dtic.mil/execsec/adr2001/>

Martin Auer, renowned Austrian author of children's books has put together a collection of stories for children and young people named "The Strange War: Stories for a Culture of Peace". The book, published by Beltz & Gelberg, Germany, in summer 2000, can be read online and can also be downloaded for printing. Also available are materials for peace education. For more information, please visit: <http://www.peaceculture.net>.

"Nuclear Weapons, Morals, Ethics and Law" by Jonathan Granoff is now available in pdf format online at: <http://www.law2.byu.edu/lawreview/archives/2000/4.htm>

A report of last week's US Air Force war game positing space warfare with China in 2017 is available at: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A58813-2001Jan28.html>

The City of Arcata Nuclear Weapons Free Zone Commission invites you to visit its new, updated website, which features an extensive list of nuclear weapons contractors that it is boycotting.
<http://www.arcatacityhall.org/nukefree/>

EDITORS

Carah Ong
David Krieger

--

Carah Lynn Ong
Research and Publications

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation
PMB 121, 1187 Coast Village Road, Suite 1
Santa Barbara, California 93108-2794 USA

Tel: 805-965-3443
Fax: 805-568-0466
Email: abolition2000@napf.org
[Http://www.wagingpeace.org](http://www.wagingpeace.org)
[Http://www.nuclearfiles.org](http://www.nuclearfiles.org)
[Http://www.abolition2000.org](http://www.abolition2000.org)

"He aha te nui mea o te ao? He tangata, he tangata, he tangata." (A Maori Saying)

"What is the most important thing in the world? It is the people, the people, the people."

To Unsubscribe, send a blank message to: sunflower-napf-unsubscribe@eGroups.com

To: "Marilyn Mecham" <im50427@alltel.net>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Calling on Senator Hagel
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments: A:\abolish.312.doc; A:\abolish.313.doc; A:\abolish.320.doc; A:\abolish.321.doc;
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Marilyn,

As I indicated in our telephone conversation, the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, is starting to contact key senators on nuclear disarmament issues that may be coming before the new Congress. Senator Hagel is one of them because of his membership on the Foreign Relations Committee and his strong interest in these issues. Therefore, we would like to encourage an interfaith delegation in Nebraska to call on him or his staff to discuss these matters.

Among the issues to be considered are (1) de-alerting to take the nuclear arsenal off hair-trigger alert, (2) START II, the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty that needs final action by the Senate to go into effect, (3) the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT), defeated in 1999, not likely to come up in 2001 but possibly in 2002, (4) the Nunn-Lugar program that provides financial assistance to Russia to dismantle nuclear weapons, and (5) national missile defense (NMD). I am sending several attachments that provide background material on these issues.

Below is a sample set of questions that might be used in talking with Senator Hagel or his staff. If it would be helpful, we could arrange a conference call to brief persons from your delegation.

I appreciate your willingness to help. Please let me know if you need further information.

Shalom,
Howard

###

Questions on nuclear disarmament for Senator Hagel

Senator, we thank you for your leadership on foreign policy issues. Many faith groups have a strong interest in nuclear disarmament issues. We would like to discuss some of them with you.

De-alerting and strategic arms reduction

What are the prospects for completion of the ratification process for START II? Do you think there should be a START III agreement for further reductions?

Last May in a campaign speech President Bush said that "the United States should remove as many weapons as possible from high-alert, hair-trigger status." He said, "It should be possible to reduce the number of nuclear weapons significantly further than what has already been agreed to under START II without compromising our security in any way." He further stated that de-alerting and strategic arms reduction could come about through executive leadership without waiting for years of treaty negotiations. What do you think of these ideas?

Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT)

Recently General John Shalikashvili proposed that the Senate reconsider the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) and ratify it. He suggested that the treaty be reviewed after ten years by a commission set up by the president and

Congress. He suggested a more integrated approach to non-proliferation. He offered several other ideas. What do you think of General Shalikashvili's recommendations?

Nunn-Lugar Program

The Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction Program seems to be an important endeavor. How do you think this program is working? What can we do to bolster support for it?

National Missile Defense

Persons in the faith community have grave doubts about National Missile Defense (NMD). They believe that the threat of attack on the United States by long-range missiles by small nations is greatly exaggerated, that deployment of NMD by the United States would risk a renewed nuclear arms race with Russia and China, that it wouldn't guard against more likely means of attack by terrorists and small nations, that the cost of NMD is wasteful and takes money away from other needs. What are your views on National Missile Defense?

From: PVmsmagic@aol.com
Date: Fri, 2 Feb 2001 09:03:21 EST
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice
To: mupj@igc.org
X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 40

Hi Howard,
I'm planning on being there, financial reports in hand.

Phil

Reply-To: From: "Brink Campaign" To: "Howard Hallman" Subject: FW: TIME TO CALL THE WHITE HOUSE_HERE'S HOW Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 22:51:19 -0500 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0) Importance: Normal X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600

-----Original Message-----

From: Brink Campaign [mailto:prgrm@backfromthebrink.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 01, 2001 10:41 PM
To: rogersnyder@pobox.com
Subject: FW: TIME TO CALL THE WHITE HOUSE_HERE'S HOW

Only 3 more days to get out the message. This message includes important directions on how to reach the White House. Please forward it to your network. Thanks, Esther

See directions on how to make the call at the bottom of this message.

If you cannot view the animation part of this message, go to
<http://www.backfromthebrink.net/call.html>

National Call-In Days: February 5-6, 2001

During his Presidential campaign, George W. Bush said that having thousands of US and Russian nuclear weapons on hair-trigger alert, "may create unacceptable risks of accidental or unauthorized launch."

One false alarm could turn into nuclear war. Tell President Bush he should work with the Russians to get all nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert.

Ask your friends to participate in these National Call-In Days to the White House. Your efforts can help prevent nuclear war!

Please forward this to 10 of your friends.

Call 202-456-1414 or 202-456-1111 . Follow directions to the Comment Line. Ignore the message "The survey has been removed." PRESS "0" [zero] FOR THE COMMENTS LINE OPERATOR. You may be put on hold and have to wait a few minutes. When the operator answers, give your name (not essential) and the state from where you are calling and then the message.

If you cannot get through on Monday or Tuesday, keep calling throughout the week. Or, send a postcard to 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington DC 20500. Or send a fax to 202-456-2461. Let your voice be heard.

Sponsored by Back From the Brink, A Campaign to Take All Nuclear Weapons off Hair Trigger Alert

<http://www.backfromthebrink.org>

Content-Type: image/gif; name="call.gif" Content-Location:

<http://backfromthebrink.policy.net/images/call.gif> Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\call.gif"

X-Lotus-FromDomain: MCC
From: J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Date: Fri, 2 Feb 2001 10:27:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Senate staff visit

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj @ igc.org>
From: J. Daryl Byler
Date: 2/2/2001 10:26:29 AM
Subj: Re: Senate staff visit

Hi Howard:

I'm leaving for the Middle East late next week, so I'm trying to keep my calendar clear to finish up what must be done before traveling. Hope you get some help on this. Thanks for your recent summary.

Warm regards,
Daryl

Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 09:46:12 -0800
From: Don Whitmore <3RDM@gte.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice

Hi Howard. I still do not know for certain but will try to attend if in town.
Best wishes for a good meeting. Take care, Don

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote:

> To: Board of Directors
>
> Re: Board meeting announcement -- 2nd notice
>
> The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice will
> meet on Friday, March 23, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Foundry
> United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Please let
> me know whether you plan to attend.
>
> Shalom,
> Howard
>
> #####
>
> ____ I will attend the meeting of the Board of Directors on March 23, 2001.
>
> ____ Sorry, I cannot attend.
>
> ____ I am interested in having host housing.
>
> Name:
>
> Howard W. Hallman, Chair
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice
> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
>
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

From: "Jason Lee United Methodist Church" To: "Howard Hallman" Subject: PWJ Coordinator
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 13:55:18 -0800 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Mailer: Microsoft
Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600 X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE
V5.00.2919.6600

Howard, Gwen Drake from the Dallas UMC in Dallas Oregon is the new Peace with Justice
Coordinator. Her email address is dallasmc@open.org
Her phone is 503:623-2481 and home:503: 623-2181
Dallas UMC 565 SE La Creole Dr
Dallas, OR 97338

Rev. Marvin Jones is the Conference Rep to EMO
His e:marvin@umoi.org
Marvin is the Conference Network and Media Resource Ministries Director
His phone is 1-800-593-7539
His Address is: Conference Center
1505 SW 18th Ave.
Portland, OR 97201
Fax: 503:226-4158

As you may know, Rev. Steve Sprecher is now the Chair for Peace with Justice Program on the
GBSC. He is our Assistant to the Bishop. He can be reached at Steve@umoi.org
same address fax and phone as Marvin.

Hope this helps.

FYI: Michael Carrigan at Peace Works is Michael Carrigaqn
E-mail Address(Es):
opw@teleport.com
Business Information:
Title: Director
Company: Oregon Peace Works
Address:
333 State St
Salem OR 97301
Phone: 585-2767
Fax: 585-0088
Web Page: <http://www.teleport.com~opw>

Hope this is what you needed.

Kathy Campbell-Barton

Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 17:14:46 -0500

From: Christine Kucia <ckucia@basicint.org>

Organization: BASIC

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en

To: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>, Martin Butcher <mbutcher@psr.org>, Theresa Hitchens <thitchens@basicint.org>, William Peden <william.peden@uk.greenpeace.org>, Stacie Robinson <srobinson@clw.org>, Steve Kent <skent@kentcom.com>, Josh Baran <jcbaran@aol.com>, Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>, Ira Schorr <iraschorr@hotmail.com>, Scott Cantor <scantor@lawscns.org>, Jack Mendelsohn <jacklaws@lawscns.org>, Spurgeon Keeny <aca@armscontrol.org>, Mark Bromley <mbromley@basicint.org>, Dan Plesch <dplesch@basicint.org>

Subject: Minutes of NMD & Western Allies meeting

Please find pasted below and attached (in MS Word 2000 format) the minutes from the NMD & Western Allies meeting held on Monday. Also attached is the 2001 calendar of meetings/events that may involve or affect NMD plans.

Feel free to contact me with any changes or additions to either document.

Meeting on NMD and Western allies
Monday, 29 January, 2-3:30pm

[Note: > Denotes action point from meeting; actions summed up at end of minutes.]

In attendance: Josh Baran, Fenton Communications; Martin Butcher, PSR; Simon Carroll, Greenpeace (GPI); Howard Hallman, Methodists United for Peace with Justice; Theresa Hitchens, BASIC; Christine Kucia, BASIC; Steve Kent, Kent Communications; William Peden, Greenpeace (GPI); Stacie Robinson, CRND; Kristin Thompson, BASIC.

1. Reporting on current news and efforts in Europe

a. Recent news from the Bush administration, Europe

- A senior official at the Pentagon indicated that the Bush administration is likely to have preliminary plans on NMD ready in 60 days (NYT article, 20 Jan 2001)
- The Wehrkunde meeting on 4-6 February 2001 in Munich is important for the new administration. Defense policies to be discussed; Rumsfeld attending.
- NATO Parliamentarians will be in Washington for Defense Committee meetings 30 Jan-2 February. Agenda focuses primarily on selling the U.S. NMD plan to Europeans, with little on arms control concerns. BASIC, CRND, and PSR to try and meet with delegates.
- Parliamentary hearings and debate may take place in Copenhagen this spring.

> BASIC to draft a letter for grassroots to send to their government leaders ahead of the meeting. Will distribute via its network in Greenland/Denmark, and share with this group to disseminate further.
- Denmark and Britain have quietly engaged in bilateral discussions on their respective decisions on radar use in NMD. Both have agreed to withhold comment on the issue until they're formally requested to participate by the United States.

b. Outreach and raising awareness: grassroots campaigns, media coverage, publications, network-building
All groups reported on their current activities and initiatives:

- BASIC: Has worked during the last few months to develop the North Atlantic Network (NAN), made up of groups and individuals concerned about Fylingdales/Thule radar usage. NAN has been a loose coalition via email, but BASIC recently moved the project onto its website to encourage greater NAN participation and create better awareness of their position in the NMD debate. BASIC also continues to maintain "Global Quotations on NMD" on its website, and is looking toward the upcoming U.S. budget cycle to identify monies appropriated for radar upgrades.

> BASIC to maintain NAN, and will ask individuals and groups to be listed on the website as local contacts for media inquiries. Will distribute list to this group as soon as available. Will aim to organize a meeting of groups/individuals in Greenland, Denmark and UK concerned with the radar issue so that those working on local levels can coordinate efforts.

> Stacie Robinson to contact Elise Frye at Taxpayers for Common Sense and find out if they are already tracking the budget to avoid work duplication.

> BASIC to work with CRND to disseminate its accumulated global quotations in a future issue brief

- CRND: Suggested bringing group of U.S. NMD experts to Europe - would require collaboration with European NGOs in key cities. Theresa Hitchens reported that this idea was well-received among BASIC's network partners. CRND also interested in assembling a list of international NGOs working on NMD and building support for those groups. BASIC's NAN and this meeting is a good start toward fulfilling that plan.

> CRND to work with interested member organizations to get 1 or 2 small delegations of Coalition NMD experts to visit European capitals and the CD in Geneva to brief foreign/defense ministry officials, diplomats, reporters, and parliamentarians on NMD technical issues, US NMD politics, and to discuss alternatives to NMD.

- Greenpeace (GPI): Simon Carroll will be the point person for lobbying on NMD in GPI's political unit. All major GPI offices in Europe have committed to either highlighting NMD or making it an issue area in their work for the coming year. For U.K. NMD concerns: the U.K. GPI office has hired Nicola Butler as a part-time staffer for lobbying/media. May develop booklets, commission an opinion poll. Greenland: GPI is also hoping to come to an agreement on working with the Inuits to advance work there. Campaign ideas include a fundraising drive, opinion polling (both in Greenland) and a poster campaign (Copenhagen). GPI's Denmark coordinator will also target the EU for lobbying efforts. Theresa

Hitchens brought up the idea of developing a publication in Danish & Greenland for local use, which GPI may undertake.

- Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR): Is waiting for Bush administration decisions on NMD plans before moving further with international efforts (working with International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War - IPPNW). JAMA is publishing an article jointly written by PSR and NRDC on the negative health effects in an attack featuring an NMD strike (August), and the San Francisco PSR chapter is trying to organize a major conference for activists.

- Methodists United for Peace with Justice: Howard Hallman attended a conference on NATO nuclear policy last autumn, and made contacts with WCC and Pax Christi on the NMD issue. Thinks that these groups would be valuable network partners to include on grassroots work to counter NMD.
> Howard Hallman to send Christine Kucia information for WCC or Pax Christi contacts in Europe who would be engaged in the NMD issue.

- Steve Kent/Josh Baran: Looking to develop an integrated media strategy to counter NMD, addressing the consequences both for NATO and for European states. Key issues: 1. The biggest question is how to get media work funded? 2. Greenland could be "the mouse that roared" if it's the centerpiece of a huge controversy (Greenland and its Inuits vs. the big bad USA). 3. Marketing/branding NGO efforts could be useful. Ideas abound about ways to get the issue out there (program developed by CDI's film unit, ad campaigns, op-eds by eminents, Ted Turner involvement).

2. What's not covered by our present work?

Gaps noted in work in the EU (GPI now has someone working on it; BASIC could incorporate it as it works on the NMD & NATO aspect of the debate); not yet using the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) and the potential limitations it could place on U.S. transfer of NMD technology to Europe as part of a larger, shared NMD system.

3. Ways to close the gaps in information dissemination, and how to collaborate more to advance awareness of NMD concerns in Europe.

Action points agreed at this meeting [some listed below were noted throughout meeting minutes]:

> CRND to work with interested member organizations to get 1 or 2 small delegations of Coalition NMD experts to visit European capitals and the CD in Geneva to brief foreign/defense ministry officials, diplomats, reporters, and parliamentarians on NMD technical issues, US NMD politics, and to discuss alternatives to NMD.

> BASIC to maintain NAN, and will ask individuals and groups to be listed on the website as local contacts for media inquiries. Will distribute list to this group as soon as available. Will aim to organize a meeting of groups/individuals in Greenland, Denmark and UK concerned with the radar issue so that those working on local levels can coordinate efforts.

> Howard Hallman to send Christine Kucia information for WCC or Pax Christi contacts in Europe who would be engaged in the NMD issue.

> BASIC to draft a letter for grassroots to send to their government leaders ahead of the meetings in Danish Parliament [note: hearing date

set for 20 March 2001].

> Stacie Robinson to contact Elise Frye at Taxpayers for Common Sense and find out if they are already tracking the budget to avoid work duplication with BASIC.

> BASIC to work with CRND to disseminate global quotations on NMD in a future issue brief

> Christine Kucia of BASIC to research upcoming bilateral and multilateral governmental meetings at which NMD will be discussed. Calendar will be shared with Coalition for broader distribution.

> At future CRND meeting, organize group to meet with political-military affairs officers of key embassies, including Germany, U.K., Japan, Canada, France, and South Korea, to learn more about their views on NMD, nuclear reductions, and nuclear testing issues. Ideally, 2-3 people might take responsibility to arrange these meetings and report back to the full group.

Group will remain in contact on the action points and to brainstorm further steps to make collaboration more complete. Participants are invited to keep this memo as a reference of groups in the U.S. working on NMD as it relates to Western allies, and inform other group members/the Coalition as new activities and plans take shape or require further input.

This meeting provided a good information exchange so CRND participants know where other groups stand on NMD/allies work and show areas where further collaboration could take place. Further meetings to be set as the need arises.

Christine Kucia
Analyst
British American Security Information Council (BASIC)
1012 14th St., NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
USA
Phone: +1 202 347 8340 ext. 103
Fax: +1 202 347 4688
Website: <http://www.basicint.org>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\NMD&Allies Mtg Notes-29Jan01.doc"

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\NMD-Int'l dates-2001.doc"

Meeting on NMD and Western allies

Monday, 29 January, 2-3:30pm

[Note: Denotes action point from meeting; actions summed up at end of minutes.]

In attendance: Josh Baran, Fenton Communications; Martin Butcher, PSR; Simon Carroll, Greenpeace (GPI); Howard Hallman, Methodists United for Peace with Justice; Theresa Hitchens, BASIC; Christine Kucia, BASIC; Steve Kent, Kent Communications; William Peden, Greenpeace (GPI); Stacie Robinson, CRND; Kristin Thompson, BASIC.

1. Reporting on current news and efforts in Europe

a. Recent news from the Bush administration, Europe

- A senior official at the Pentagon indicated that the Bush administration is likely to have preliminary plans on NMD ready in 60 days (NYT article, 20 Jan 2001)
- The Wehrkunde meeting on 4-6 February 2001 in Munich is important for the new administration. Defense policies to be discussed; Rumsfeld attending.
- NATO Parliamentarians will be in Washington for Defense Committee meetings 30 Jan-2 February. Agenda focuses primarily on selling the U.S. NMD plan to Europeans, with little on arms control concerns. BASIC, CRND, and PSR to try and meet with delegates.

- Parliamentary hearings and debate may take place in Copenhagen this spring.
BASIC to draft a letter for grassroots to send to their government leaders ahead of the meeting. Will distribute via its network in Greenland/Denmark, and share with this group to disseminate further.
- Denmark and Britain have quietly engaged in bilateral discussions on their respective decisions on radar use in NMD. Both have agreed to withhold comment on the issue until they're formally requested to participate by the United States.

b. Outreach and raising awareness: grassroots campaigns, media coverage, publications, network-building

All groups reported on their current activities and initiatives:

- BASIC: Has worked during the last few months to develop the North Atlantic Network (NAN), made up of groups and individuals concerned about Fylingdales/Thule radar usage. NAN has been a loose coalition via email, but BASIC recently moved the project onto its website to encourage greater NAN participation and create better awareness of their position in the NMD debate. BASIC also continues to maintain "Global Quotations on NMD" on its website, and is looking toward the upcoming U.S. budget cycle to identify monies appropriated for radar upgrades.
BASIC to maintain NAN, and will ask individuals and groups to be listed on the website as local contacts for media inquiries. Will distribute list to this group as soon as available. Will aim to organize a meeting of groups/individuals in Greenland, Denmark and UK concerned with the radar issue so that those working on local levels can coordinate efforts.
Stacie Robinson to contact Elise Frye at Taxpayers for Common Sense and find out if they are already tracking the budget to avoid work duplication.

BASIC to work with CRND to disseminate its accumulated global quotations in a future issue brief

- CRND: Suggested bringing group of U.S. NMD experts to Europe – would require collaboration with European NGOs in key cities. Theresa Hitchens reported that this idea was well-received among BASIC's network partners. CRND also interested in assembling a list of international NGOs working on NMD and building support for those groups. BASIC's NAN and this meeting is a good start toward fulfilling that plan.

CRND to work with interested member organizations to get 1 or 2 small delegations of Coalition NMD experts to visit European capitals and the CD in Geneva to brief foreign/defense ministry officials, diplomats, reporters, and parliamentarians on NMD technical issues, US NMD politics, and to discuss alternatives to NMD.

- Greenpeace (GPI): Simon Carroll will be the point person for lobbying on NMD in GPI's political unit. All major GPI offices in Europe have committed to either highlighting NMD or making it an issue area in their work for the coming year. For U.K. NMD concerns: the U.K. GPI office has hired Nicola Butler as a part-time staffer for lobbying/media. May develop booklets, commission an opinion poll. Greenland: GPI is also hoping to come to an agreement on working with the Inuits to advance work there. Campaign ideas include a fundraising drive, opinion polling (both in Greenland) and a poster campaign (Copenhagen). GPI's Denmark coordinator will also target the EU for lobbying efforts. Theresa Hitchens brought up the idea of developing a publication in Danish & Greenlander for local use, which GPI may undertake.
- Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR): Is waiting for Bush administration decisions on NMD plans before moving further with international efforts (working with International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War – IPPNW). JAMA is publishing an article jointly written by PSR and NRDC on the negative health effects in an attack featuring an NMD strike (August), and the San Francisco PSR chapter is trying to organize a major conference for activists.
- Methodists United for Peace with Justice: Howard Hallman attended a conference on NATO nuclear policy last autumn, and made contacts with WCC and Pax Christi on the NMD issue. Thinks that these groups would be valuable network partners to include on grassroots work to counter NMD.
Howard Hallman to send Christine Kucia information for WCC or Pax Christi contacts in Europe who would be engaged in the NMD issue.
- Steve Kent/Josh Baran: Looking to develop an integrated media strategy to counter NMD, addressing the consequences both for NATO and for European states. Key issues:
 1. The biggest question is how to get media work funded?
 2. Greenland could be "the mouse that roared" if it's the centerpiece of a huge controversy (Greenland and its Inuits vs. the big bad USA).
 3. Marketing/branding NGO efforts could be useful. Ideas abound about ways to get the issue out there (program developed by CDI's film unit, ad campaigns, op-eds by eminents, Ted Turner involvement).

2. What's not covered by our present work?

Gaps noted in work in the EU (GPI now has someone working on it; BASIC could incorporate it as it works on the NMD & NATO aspect of the debate); not yet using the Missile Technology

Control Regime (MTCR) and the potential limitations it could place on U.S. transfer of NMD technology to Europe as part of a larger, shared NMD system.

3. Ways to close the gaps in information dissemination, and how to collaborate more to advance awareness of NMD concerns in Europe.

Action points agreed at this meeting [some listed below were noted throughout meeting minutes]:

CRND to work with interested member organizations to get 1 or 2 small delegations of Coalition NMD experts to visit European capitals and the CD in Geneva to brief foreign/defense ministry officials, diplomats, reporters, and parliamentarians on NMD technical issues, US NMD politics, and to discuss alternatives to NMD.

BASIC to maintain NAN, and will ask individuals and groups to be listed on the website as local contacts for media inquiries. Will distribute list to this group as soon as available. Will aim to organize a meeting of groups/individuals in Greenland, Denmark and UK concerned with the radar issue so that those working on local levels can coordinate efforts.

Howard Hallman to send Christine Kucia information for WCC or Pax Christi contacts in Europe who would be engaged in the NMD issue.

BASIC to draft a letter for grassroots to send to their government leaders ahead of the meetings in Danish Parliament [note: hearing date set for 20 March 2001].

Stacie Robinson to contact Elise Frye at Taxpayers for Common Sense and find out if they are already tracking the budget to avoid work duplication with BASIC.

BASIC to work with CRND to disseminate global quotations on NMD in a future issue brief
Christine Kucia of BASIC to research upcoming bilateral and multilateral governmental meetings at which NMD will be discussed. Calendar will be shared with Coalition for broader distribution.

At future CRND meeting, organize group to meet with political-military affairs officers of key embassies, including Germany, U.K., Japan, Canada, France, and South Korea, to learn more about their views on NMD, nuclear reductions, and nuclear testing issues. Ideally, 2-3 people might take responsibility to arrange these meetings and report back to the full group.

Group will remain in contact on the action points and to brainstorm further steps to make collaboration more complete. Participants are invited to keep this memo as a reference of groups in the U.S. working on NMD as it relates to Western allies, and inform other group members/the Coalition as new activities and plans take shape or require further input.

This meeting provided a good information exchange so CRND participants know where other groups stand on NMD/allies work and show areas where further collaboration could take place. Further meetings to be set as the need arises.

Dates for International Meetings/Events Related to NMD

DATE	LOCATION	MEETING/EVENT
February		
5	Washington	Canadian Prime Minister Jean Chretien visits
23-24	Washington	U.K. Prime Minister Tony Blair visits
March		
20	Copenhagen	Danish Parliament debate on NMD
March-June		Likely time frame for fourth intercept test IFT-6, with decision on precise timing to be made in February
April		
–	Copenhagen	Public hearing on NMD at Danish parliament
–		Bush administration to roll out plan on how to proceed with NMD development
15		Deadline for Pentagon report on long-range plan for nuclear forces
May		
29-30	Budapest	NATO Foreign Ministers meeting
May-July		Likely time for committee markup and floor action on the FY 2002 Defense Authorization bill
June		
7-8	Brussels	NATO Defense Ministers meeting
14-15	Stockholm/ Goteburg	EU-U.S. summit
15-16	Goteburg	European Council meeting
July		
1	Belgium	EU Presidency passes to Belgium
20-22	Genoa	G-8 Summit
September-December		Approximate date of completion of the Nuclear Posture Review. (Congressionally mandated deadline is Dec. 1, but the NPR and more focused policy reviews on NMD could be completed sooner.
December		
		NATO Foreign Ministers meeting
	Brussels	NATO Defense Ministers meeting
	Brussels	EU-U.S. Summit
	Brussels	European Council meeting

X-Sender: jameshipkn@mail.sssnet.com
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.0
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 18:17:42 -0500
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: JamesHipkins <jameshipkn@sssnet.com>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice

At 08:40 AM 2/2/01 -0500, you wrote:

>To: Board of Directors

>

>Re: Board meeting announcement -- 2nd notice

>

>The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice will
>meet on Friday, March 23, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Foundry
>United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Please let
>me know whether you plan to attend.

>

>Shalom,

>Howard

>

>####

>

>____ I will attend the meeting of the Board of Directors on March 23, 2001.

>

>___ Sorry, I cannot attend.

>

>___ I am interested in having host housing.

>

>

>Name: Jim and Char Hipkins We are tentative. We have to see if Char can
>get her blood done on Friday. It has to be done each week. We will check
>into it and let you know.

>

>Howard W. Hallman, Chair

>Methodists United for Peace with Justice

>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

To: JamesHipkins <jameshipkn@sssnet.com>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <5.0.0.25.0.20010202181346.009f15d0@mail.sssnet.com>
References: <3.0.3.32.20010202084003.0068f088@pop2.igc.org>

At 06:17 PM 2/2/01 -0500, you wrote:

Jim and Char Hipkins We are tentative. We have to see if Char can
>>get her blood done on Friday. It has to be done each week. We will check
>>into it and let you know.

Jim and Char,

I realize that. You were the only ones who responded to my first notice, so I had to send the second.

Char, I hope you are progressing satisfactorily.

Shall we reserve our guest room for you?

Howard

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-1413460-2391-981175156-mupj=igc.apc.org@returns.onelist.com
X-Sender: arwilcock@sympatico.ca
X-Apparently-To: abolition-caucus@egroups.com
To: "Abolition-Caucus-L" <abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com>
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2377.0
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Importance: Normal
From: "Ross Wilcock" <arwilcock@sympatico.ca>
Mailing-List: list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com; contact abolition-caucus-owner@yahoogroups.com
Delivered-To: mailing list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:abolition-caucus-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Fri, 2 Feb 2001 23:38:58 -0500
Subject: [abolition-caucus] List abuse

Thought for a while:

This list is increasingly cluttered with off topic material and abuses.
There is concern that it is deteriorating.

Relevance to list objectives

The purpose of this list is defined by the Abolition Statement readable on the Abolition 2000 site and at <http://www.pgs.ca/pages/a2/a295425.html>
Many understand there are issues of concern about radiation from Food Irradiation to DU - but these are not relevant to the pressing issue of abolishing nuclear weapons.

Copying text excesses

Some people load this list with what they think everyone should read. Often these are 'reprints' of news articles available elsewhere. It is much more efficient and considerate to provide an html link perhaps with a headline, first paragraph or summary.

Recopying already sent messages

Some people ritually to send serially packaged email. If you didn't read the first issue you will eventually get the benefit. Resending irrelevance is lazy. Prune what isn't necessary before sending.

List Damage

Some new list members may not realize the harm they are doing to a list that can serve valuable functions as circumstances change. If people are moved to leave the list - and only the list owner knows if this is happening - they may be damaging the list unacceptably. List privileges should be suspended if the list purpose is being harmed.

List Discipline

If persons are warned to change annoying habits and fail to do so, the list-owner can suspend them from the list for a time or permanently. The purpose of the list needs protection.

Parallel Concerns

A master list of Email Lists addressing parallel concerns exists. This

helps people make their own choices about what they want to read and know about.

Computer Viruses & Worms

These are transmitted as programs [e.g. .exe or .doc files] in mail attachments. Sending attachments by email may put others at risk and encourage bad habits. To my knowledge viruses and worms are not transmitted by plain text email files - the preferred format.

Bulky Fashion

Tendencies are growing to send rich text format and html mail messages. I convert them to plain text to save space. For instance the Sunflower Newsletter is good reading but the most recent is 60kb as rich text and 27kb as plain text. In plain text html links work better than fancy text. Rich text is useful for images if necessary - but these are even bulkier. Rich text is time consuming to edit and prune complicating archiving.

High Importance

Some people designate ALL their messages 'high importance'

With appreciation for all who nonetheless help make this list interesting, relevant and valuable. We generally get along in spite of differences. Thank you.

Ross Wilcock

arwilcock@sympatico.ca

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

eGroups is now Yahoo! Groups

Click here for more details

http://click.egroups.com/1/11231/0/_/91925/_/981175157/

----->

To subscribe to the Abolition Global Caucus, send an email from the account you wish to be subscribed to: "abolition-caucus-subscribe@egroups.com"

Do not include a subject line or any text in the body of the message.

To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: List abuse
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

I agree with what Ross Wilcock says. I find that I am reading ten percent or less of what comes through the abolition-caucus list serve: reports on the Conference on Disarmament, the UN, reports on governmental actions outside the United States related to nuclear abolition, meaningful strategic discussion. None of the basic news reports on U.S. happenings, nothing on DU and other tangential issues. The most frequent users I filter automatically to trash, scan the entries there, but seldom open them. I am close to dropping out, so I hope the situation improves.

Howard Hallman

To: "Greg Laszakovits" <glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: State visits
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <sa76bb0b.034@brethren.org>
References:

Greg,

We are moving ahead in Indiana to try to get visits with Senator Lugar. Larry Hayes from your list is organizing a delegation in northeastern Indiana, and a Disciples minister is organizing a delegation in the Indianapolis area. So far I haven't found anyone in Pennsylvania to take on this task. At the moment I am concentrating on Nebraska and Oregon.

Howard

To: mupjbd
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Board meeting: 2nd notice
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

To: Board of Directors

Re: Board meeting announcement -- 2nd notice

The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice will meet on Friday, March 23, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Foundry United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Please let me know whether you plan to attend.

Shalom,
Howard

####

I will attend the meeting of the Board of Directors on March 23, 2001.

Sorry, I cannot attend.

I am interested in having host housing.

Name:

From: David Culp <david@fcnl.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: RE: Appointment with Senate staff
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 09:13:34 -0500
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2448.0)

Sorry, monthly staff meeting at 9:30 a.m.

David

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Monday, February 05, 2001 8:30 AM
To: david@fcnl.org
Subject: Appointment with Senate staff

David,

This morning, Monday, February 5, I have a 9:30 appointment with Martha Cagel on Senator Gordon Smith's staff to talk about nuclear disarmament issues. None of the regulars from denominational offices were available to join me. If you would like to come, you would be welcome. It's in 404 Hart.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.5

Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 12:19:17 -0500

From: "Carroll Houle" <CHOULE.MKSPO.MKSISTERS@mksisters.org>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Re: Call-In

Dear Howard,

Please let whoever in Back from the Brink know that Maryknoll is putting their national call in action in our Action Alert Notice, so hopefully some people will respond. Thanks and Peace, Carroll

To: brinkprogram@backfromthebrink.net
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Call-In
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Esther,

FYI

Howard

>Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 12:19:17 -0500
>From: "Carroll Houle" <CHOULE.MKSPO.MKSISTERS@mksisters.org>
>To: <mupj@igc.org>
>Subject: Re: Call-In
>
>Dear Howard,
>
>Please let whoever in Back from the Brink know that Maryknoll is putting their national call in action in our Action Alert Notice, so hopefully some people will respond. Thanks and Peace, Carroll
>
>

To: david@fcnl.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Appointment with Senate staff
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

David,

This morning, Monday, February 5, I have a 9:30 appointment with Martha Cagel on Senator Gordon Smith's staff to talk about nuclear disarmament issues. None of the regulars from denominational offices were available to join me. If you would like to come, you would be welcome. It's in 404 Hart.

Howard

To: afong@jps.net

Cc: Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com, revmmbird@aol.com, chapLarry@aol.com, jeffrancis@juno.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, akimpart@mosquitonet.com, aheart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com, mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFRICE@aol.com, LSabin1313@aol.com, kenttmc@ffni.com, CarolCWalker@aol.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net, wah@uswest.net

Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 11:50:10 -0700

Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

X-Mailer: Juno 3.0.13

From: John E Francis <jeffrancis@juno.com>

Adrienne,

As usual you are an extremely thoughtful and 'on the ball' person. I would agree that something needs to be done [by all means] to show appreciation for the work/ministry of Robin at the March meeting. This, to my knowledge, has not yet been discussed in the PwJ Committee of the Rocky Mountain Conference. But I will be sure that it gets on the agenda for the next meeting. Also I am not sure who will be attending the March meeting from the RMC PwJ Committee. But if, under your leadership, something continues to develop as a way to express appreciation please keep us in the loop. I think that you can direct correspondence to Bill Humphrey [wah@uswest.net] or Annie Heart [AHeart1000@cs.com]. As I look at the copy list of those to whom you sent your message I think there are some duplicates and I apologize.

I am going to be out of the country for the month of March. So I will send a personal word of appreciation to Robin.

Hope that Judy Newton is working well with your conference..
Peace to you all, John Ed.

On Tue, 30 Jan 2001 19:41:59 -0800 "Adrienne Fong" <afong@jps.net> writes:

> Hello from the CA-NV Conference!

> I hope that this finds each of you well.

> As you know the March gathering will be the last time that Robin

> Ringler will be with us as the director of the Peace with Justice

> Program. Was wondering what you thought of doing something special

> to honor her and the peace with justice ministry that she has

> advocated for??

> Can you also check to see if I have sent this out to all the

> coordinators that you know of??

> Thank you!

> Adrienne Fong

Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 16:28:24 -0600 From: Wanda Holcombe PwJ X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 (Macintosh; U; PPC) X-Accept-Language: en,pdf To: Adrienne Fong CC: Gwen Drake , Rich Aronson , Kent/Kathy Barton , Mary Lynn Bell , Marianne Bird , Larry Coleman , John E Francis , Joyce Georgieff , Carol Green , "Howard W. Hallman" , "Brenda B. Hardt" , Dick Heacock , Annie Heart , Rich Hendricks , Robert Hughes , Clara Lou Humphrey , LeMerle Milsom , Judy Newton , Irene Pierce , Ann Price , Linda Sabin , Joe Smith , Carol Walker , "Walter Parker, Jr." , Larry Wayman , Don Whitmore <3RDM@gte.net>, Carol Windrum , Sandy Yerton

Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering So pleased that we are all thinking about this.

Does anyone have any specific ideas of what to give her? I would be glad to contribute \$20.00 towards a common gift.

Some ideas:

1. A donation in her name to her favorite program/project globally
2. A gift certificate to a book store (since she will be staying home and might have time to read)
3. A nice leather bound scrap book/photo album for photos she might have collected over the years of her work.
4. A nice glass crystal type paper weight with an inscription of appreciation from us.

Grace & Peace,
Wanda Holcombe, PwJ Educator-Southwest Texas Conference

Adrienne Fong wrote:

> Hello from the CA-NV Conference! I hope that this finds each of you well. As you know the March gathering will be the last time that Robin Ringler will be with us as the director of the Peace with Justice Program. Was wondering what you thought of doing something special to honor her and the peace with justice ministry that she has advocated for?? Can you also check to see if I have sent this out to all the coordinators that you know of??

Thank you!

Adrienne Fong

From: Lsabin1313@aol.com
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 06:49:59 EST
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering
To: wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net
CC: Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com,
RevMMBird@aol.com, ChapLarry@aol.com, jeffrancis@juno.com,
jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org,
bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, akimpact@mosquitonet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com,
hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net,
milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com,
ANNFPRICE@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, kenttumc@ffni.com,
CarolCWalker@aol.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net,
3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net
X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 67

I like the donation idea, but please tell me that she is not going to "stay home". Linda Sabin

X-Sender: dkimball@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0 (32)
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 17:10:45 -0500
To: dkimball@clw.org
From: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>
Subject: NMD/START Update: Ivanov on NMD; the debate in Europe; calendar

February 3, 2001

TO: Coalition members and friends

FR: Daryl Kimball

RE: NMD/START UPDATE -- Russia outlines proposals on missile threats;
Rumsfeld in Munich; Blair and Chretien to meet Bush this month;
NMD calendar of events

On Thursday, Russia's foreign minister, Igor Ivanov, called for an urgent and meaningful dialogue with the new administration in Washington to find alternatives to its planned national missile defence programme. He told the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva that the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty, banning such defence systems, was a cornerstone of efforts to reduce the threat of nuclear weapons and should be enhanced, not dismantled as suggested by the Americans.

Ivanov also proposed drastic reductions in Russia's strategic nuclear arsenal (to 1500 deployed strategic nuclear weapons) if alternative measures were adopted to counter the threat identified by the United States of missile attacks from places like Iraq and North Korea. He proposed the creation of a joint Russian-American data centre on missile launches, a "global control system" (GCS) to stop the proliferation of missile technology, and cooperation on "theatre missile defenses." The full Ivanov speech is attached below.

GLOBAL CONTROL SYSTEM (GCS)

While the Russian GCS proposal may not be the ideal solution, over the longer term, multilateral efforts to freeze and reduce the military missile capabilities of all states may be the most effective tool to address real or perceived new ballistic missile threats.

The Russian GCS proposal was first proposed by President Yeltsin in June 1999. The GCS calls for: a multilateral missile launch notification regime; an international missile launch data exchange centre; a verification regime to monitor missile launches; and assistance in peaceful uses of outer space to GCS member states renouncing missiles. It further proposes that states that would participate in the GCS that do not possess or that "renounce the possession of missile delivery systems for WMD" should be given assurances by states possessing WMD-armed missiles that they will not be used against them, and if they are threatened or attacked with such missiles, the Security Council and GCS participants will take immediate steps in accordance with the United Nations Charter.

GCS could be a useful mechanism, but in its current form it fails to require states already possessing ballistic missiles to make progress toward eliminating their missile stockpiles, significantly decreasing the possible effectiveness of such a regime.

The Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR), established in 1987, constitutes the most significant effort in this area thusfar. It seeks to control the transfer of WMD delivery systems. These systems include missiles, unmanned air vehicles and related technology capable of carrying a 500 kilogram payload a distance of at least 300 kilometres. Currently thirty-two countries, including Russia and Ukraine, participate in the MTCR; other countries, including China, adhere to its principles (although not necessarily to its lists of material and technology not to be exported).

The MTCR provides a valuable check on missile proliferation, but it is limited in its value at the moment. The MTCR is not a treaty but rather a voluntary agreement among countries and does not have clear verification and enforcement mechanisms; it does not address existing ballistic missile arsenals, including the many short-range missiles deployed in developing states and missiles deployed by the nuclear-weapon states; and it is perceived by some nations as discriminatory.

The U.S., Russia and other states would be wise to engage in talks on GCS similar proposals and accelerate discussion on strengthening the MTCR in order to build a stronger missile non-proliferation regime.

RUMSFELD IN EUROPE

Meanwhile, U.S. Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld is in Munich this weekend for a defense conference and will, among other issues, press his counterparts from Europe on the United States' intentions on national missile defense and the importance of European support.

For news and speeches from Feb. 2-4 Munich Conference on Security Policy, see <<http://www.usconsulate.de/securityconference/index.htm>>

CHRETIEN AND BLAIR TO MEET BUSH

This week Canadian P.M. Jean Chretien will meet with President Bush and on February 23 and 24 British P.M. Tony Blair will visit the White House. NMD will be on the agenda at both meetings. The London Times reports that Blair's government "does not expect any formal tabling of U.S. NMD plans for some months" and he would be happier if it does not happen until after the U.K. general election, expected in May. It is also reported that at this time, Blair would probably not refuse to approve the upgrading of the early warning radar station at Fylingdales, North Yorkshire, as a key part of the missile system.

- DK

NOTE: The attached articles are for educational purposes only. For previous editions of the Coalition's "NMD/START Update," see <http://www.clw.org/coalition/nmdnews.htm>

IN THIS UPDATE:

1. "Russia Slams Bush On ABM Treaty Ahead Of Defense Ministers Meeting," Aerospace Daily, February 2, 2001
2. Statement by Russian Foreign Minister Ivanov, Feb 1, 2001, Geneva
3. "Blair To Caution Bush On 'Star Wars'" London Times - February 2, 2001
4. "PM may be spared missile-defence query: Will meet with U.S. President on Monday By Paul Koring And Jeff Sallot," The Globe and Mail, Friday, February 2, 2001
5. Projected Timeline of NMD-RELATED EVENTS

2. Aerospace Daily, February 2, 2001

"Russia Slams Bush On ABM Treaty Ahead Of Defense Ministers Meeting"

By Jim Mathews

On the eve of new U.S. Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld's trip to Germany to meet with NATO and West-friendly defense ministers, Russia's foreign minister warned a Geneva conference that the Bush Administration's missile defense plans threaten progress in ridding the world of nuclear weapons.

Igor Ivanov told the 66-nation Conference on Disarmament that the Bush team is essentially launching a go-it-alone policy that is "doomed to failure," arguing that it's "illusory" for "even the most powerful" country to think it could create "isolated islets of well-being and stability in today's world" all by itself.

The alternative, Ivanov says, is to stick closely to arms climb-down agreements that have been reducing nuclear tensions for decades. He outlined a series of proposals aimed at further nuclear cuts, including a new U.S.-Russian data center on missile launches, similar to the mechanism set up for joint monitoring of missile launches during the Year 2000 computer-date rollover, a global system to track missile technology, and immediate talks on a third strategic arms reduction treaty aimed at shrinking Russian and U.S. inventories to 1,500 warheads each.

Ivanov's proposals are part of Moscow's package aimed at addressing U.S. concerns about rogue-state missile launches from places such as North Korea or Iran while preserving the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) treaty, which President Bush vowed on the campaign trail to scrap, either through negotiation or simply a unilateral pullout.

Bush's position, coupled with plans to push ahead with a national missile defense at a more aggressive pace than the Clinton Administration, has annoyed Moscow and Beijing and worried many European nations who view the

Bush platform as provocative at a time of lowering tensions. Earlier this week German defense minister Rudolf Scharping worried aloud about the missile defense plans during a trip to Moscow, and praised the ABM treaty.

Pressed on what message Rumsfeld will carry to the 37th Munich Conference on Security Policy, commonly known as the Wehrkunde conference, a senior U.S. defense official Thursday noted that his visit is intended to underscore U.S. intentions to consult with "allies and friends," but sketched out little wiggle room for compromise on Washington's basic position.

President Bush has "been perfectly clear on where he stands" on National Missile Defense and "the overall objective," the official said, and "the Secretary...works for the President.

"I think we all know that Secretary Rumsfeld is very experienced, he's professional," the official continued. "The President has, you know, said very clearly that they want to have consultations. The administration has positions, but they would certainly want to talk with the allies and friends on issues."

Other items on Rumsfeld's agenda include NATO's role in the new environment of diffuse security threats, and the official expects Rumsfeld to bring up weapons of mass destruction and cyberterrorism.

Rumsfeld is also expected to address the importance of identifying adequate resources for defense, along with NATO's Defense Capabilities Initiative aimed at matching resources to strategic programs.

"You can't go forward very well on upgrading technologies for your forces unless you have adequate resources," the official said. "The Europeans, some have modestly increased budgets, some have decreased budgets, some are flat. That will be an issue" for discussion during the meetings.

Rumsfeld is slated to fly to Germany Friday to arrive Saturday morning, and is expected to meet with USAF Gen. Joseph Ralston, the Supreme Allied Commander in Europe, along with NATO Secretary General George Robertson and others.

3. Statement by Russian Foreign Minister Ivanov, Feb 1, Geneva

Unofficial translation

STATEMENT

by H.E.Mr. Igor IVANOV Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation at the plenary meeting of the Conference on Disarmament Geneva, 1 February 2001

175-01-02-2001

Distinguished Mr. Westdal, Ladies and Gentlemen,

The era of globalization is bursting into our life making us change its traditional ways and confronting us with increasingly complicated tasks. The international community will only be able to resolve them if it acts jointly and rationally, using the achievements of contemporary science and technology and the aggregate economic and intellectual potential for the benefit of all the States of the globe.

Not a single State, even the most powerful one in economic and militarily terms, can find responses to new challenges that would really threaten international security in the 21st century. All attempts to create isolated islets of well-being and stability in today's world are illusory and, in our view, doomed to failure.

This is strikingly testified by the area of disarmament where all pros and cons of the globalization era are most conspicuous. Indeed, it is the threat of a global nuclear confrontation which has dramatically changed our perception of those foundations upon which every State should build its security and paved the way to painstaking disarmament negotiations.

Since the inception of this process multilateral diplomacy and, first and foremost, the United Nations has played an active and meaningful role in it. That is why it is quite legitimate that even today in our search for reliable and concerted responses to present-day challenges we are turning to time-tested institutions and mechanisms. In this respect, the Conference on Disarmament and "the Geneva process", in a broader sense, offer us unique experience. It is in this Conference that multilateral agreements prohibiting entire classes of weapons of mass destruction have been elaborated. It is in Geneva that the most important bilateral agreements have been reached which enabled Russia and the United States to initiate drastic reductions in strategic offensive arms.

We are strongly convinced that even today the capacities of the Conference on Disarmament are far from being exhausted. Rather, the era of globalization urgently calls for an integrated and multilateral approach to disarmament problems. This means that search for their solution cannot and should not be the privilege of a narrow circle of the nuclear powers or States possessing the largest military capabilities. An arms race in contemporary world, wherever it emerges, is bound to affect the interests of all States and influences the general international environment.

Under these conditions, the process of disarmament, like global security itself, is becoming global and indivisible in nature. This fact has been, once more, visibly demonstrated by the outcome of the Millennium Summit and Assembly held within the framework of the United Nations. For the disarmament process to develop in a normal manner, each State should be fully confident that its security is closely linked to that of the whole international community and is ensured by political means and international legal instruments. In other words, collective provision of strategic stability in the world is a necessary prerequisite for a stable and progressive disarmament process. And this should take place in the broadest sense of the word, that is in political, military, economic, humanitarian, ecological and other dimensions. This is the only way in which a secure and democratic model of the world order can be created that meets the requirements of today's era.

In short, time itself raises the question of intensifying the work of our Conference in order to conduct an in-depth exploration of military, political and disarmament aspects of strategic stability. However, the future of any forum and effectiveness of decisions it takes depend on the will of the member States and their capacity to seek and find solutions.

The Russian Federation, on its part, is not only ready for this but is also taking specific steps aimed at strengthening global and regional security in all its aspects.

In 2000, President Putin approved new versions of our country's Concept of National Security and Foreign Policy, which emphasize that the Russian Federation will strictly observe its obligations under the existing treaties and agreements in the area of arms limitations and reductions. We will continue to take an active part in the elaboration and conclusion of appropriate new agreements ensuring comprehensive strategic stability.

In the first place, this concerns further measures to reduce the nuclear danger. Being fully aware of its share of responsibility in the area, Russia ratified in the spring of 2000 the START-11 Treaty, which provides for more than two-fold reductions in Russian and US strategic arsenals.

Russia is ready to start immediately negotiations with the United States on the development of a START-111 Treaty. The Russian side proposes that deeper drastic reductions of strategic warheads should be undertaken in the new treaty than agreed before, i.e. down to 1,500 units instead of 2,000-2,500.

But neither, President V.V.Putin, stated was this the limit. We are ready subsequently to consider even lower levels. Agreement on such additional cuts would meet the aspirations of the peoples of the world. It would be in line with the decisions of the last year's Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons.

Another concrete example is the ratification by the Russian Federation of the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty. We hope that the other States on which depends its entry into force will follow suit, thus enabling this major document to add up to the agreements that are already in force.

Such is the extent of specific measures in the area of nuclear disarmament, which can be really - and I stress it, really - implemented as soon as in the nearest future and thereby give a powerful impetus to the whole disarmament process in the world and expand its scope. No doubt, this process should be pursued on the basis the principle of equal security. It is important that the other nuclear powers be involved in it on a multilateral basis.

One more point of principle. Such large-scale advances can only be achieved in the conditions of maintaining and strengthening the 1972 ABM Treaty, which even now remains to be one of the pillars of today's architecture in the area of arms control and disarmament.

I do not believe that there is any need to reiterate the arguments of the Russian side in favor of this underlying instrument in terms of strategic stability. This approach, as shows the outcome of the vote during the recent sessions of the General Assembly of the United Nations on the resolution supporting the 1972 ABM Treaty, is shared by the overwhelming number of States.

We think it necessary that an active and meaningful dialogue on this topic be resumed with the new US administration as soon as possible. In this respect, we proceed from the simple truth which was once stated by Seneca: "Some medicines are more dangerous than diseases themselves". As an alternative to a national missile defense system we propose a whole package of constructive, political and diplomatic measures. Their aim is to dispel concerns - not only by the United States, - about the so-called "new missile threats" while preserving the ABM Treaty. These steps include: creation of a Moscow-based Missile Launch Data Exchange Center established by Russia and the United States, the initiative on the Global Control System for Non-Proliferation of Missiles and Missile Technology. And finally, we suggest a broad international cooperation, open to all States, in the area of theatre missile defense which was initiated through a number of arrangements reached by Moscow and Washington in 1997-2000.

Russia considers the creation of nuclear-weapon-free zones in different regions of the world and non-deployment of nuclear weapons beyond national territories as a substantial contribution to strengthening strategic stability. In this connection, I would recall that all the nuclear weapons left outside Russia after the disintegration of the USSR were withdrawn to the Russian national territory. The cause of the non-proliferation will only benefit if all nuclear weapons are concentrated on the territories of the States to which they belong.

Russia also proposes to develop and implement under the auspices of the IAEA an international project which allows to exclude using main weapon-grade materials in the sector of peaceful energy, namely enriched uranium and pure plutonium.

It is not by accident that I pay so much attention to the issues of strengthening strategic stability, since the prospects of finding solutions to problems on the agenda of our Conference largely depend on them.

Mr. President,

Russia is ready to work actively with the other member States of the Conference in order to follow the path of nuclear disarmament in accordance with its obligations assumed under Article VI of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons.

Our primary consideration in this respect is that our joint steps be realistic, balanced and specific. Given all this, we support the idea of establishing within the Conference on Disarmament a subsidiary body entrusted with an exploratory mandate for broad discussions on the problem area of nuclear disarmament.

It is time now to re-establish in the Conference the Ad Hoc Committee to

elaborate a treaty on the prohibition of the production of fissile materials for weapon purposes. All the more so, that its mandate was agreed upon in 1995.

In terms of strengthening the international non-proliferation regime it would be crucial that the work be continued at the Conference on the agreement on "negative" security assurances to non-nuclear-weapon States.

A matter of special concern to many States represented at the Conference is now the prospect of an arms race in outer space. That was why we, like the overwhelming majority of other States, supported during the last session of the General Assembly of the United Nations the earliest start of substantive negotiations on outer space topic at our forum in Geneva.

The same path follows the proposal by the President of Russia V.V.Putin to convene this spring, in 2001, in Moscow, under the auspices of the United Nations, an international conference on the prevention of an arms race in outer space. It is high time that a reliable international legal "safety-net" be created in this respect. Efforts and resources of our space agencies should be aimed at peaceful, including commercial, cooperation.

Having re-solved, jointly, these issues of principle, we shall create favorable conditions for progress in other important disarmament areas as well, such as strengthening various non-proliferation and export control regimes, prevention of uncontrolled spread of small arms and light weapons and movement towards the prohibition of inhumane types of mines.

In conclusion, I should like to stress once more that the Russian Federation highly appreciates the role played by the Conference on Disarmament in building new stable and safe world order and will persistently strive to enhance its international authority and effectiveness.

Thank you for your attention.

4. "Blair To Caution Bush On 'Star Wars'" - By Philip Webster, Political Editor

London Times - February 2, 2001

TONY BLAIR is going to warn George W. Bush that he must avoid creating a dangerous split between the United States, Europe and Russia with his plans for a new missile defence system.

However, the Prime Minister, risking opposition from the Labour Left, will tell the US President later this month that Britain will not stand in the way of the so-called "Son of Star Wars" system that Mr Blair accepts as inevitable.

He will use his position as a close Western ally of President Putin — they met five times last year and he was on the telephone to the Russian President for 25 minutes yesterday — and his good relations with European Union leaders, to try to persuade Mr Bush to come up with a scheme that can

win support.

Mr Blair has also discussed the American project with several European leaders, including Gerhard Schröder, the German Chancellor, earlier this week, and believes that there is greater scope for an agreement than appears likely at present.

The key will be the formulation of a system that offers protection to European countries as well as Russia. America wants the new system to help to defend it against nuclear attack from "rogue states" such as Iran and North Korea. EU states and Russia accept the need for protection, but the Russians are understood to be working on an alternative plan involving Nato and the European Union that it claims would leave the current military balance intact. Moscow is trying to secure German support against the US plan, as it believes it stands at the moment. Valeri Manilov, Russia's First Deputy Chief of General Staff, said this week that the US system was "liable to destroy the balance of strategic, defensive and offensive weapons and lead to a new arms race".

Mr Blair, who meets Mr Bush in Washington on February 23, believes that the Americans understand the need for sensitivity. The British Government does not expect any formal tabling of the plans for some months and ministers will be keen that it does not happen until after the general election, expected in May.

Mr Blair would probably need to approve the upgrading of the early warning radar station at Fylingdales, North Yorkshire, as a key part of the missile system. Informed sources say he will not refuse.

Mr Blair was always seen to be taking a gamble when he hastened to Russia to meet Mr Putin before he became President. Government sources say, however, that the move has paid off and the two have a good working relationship.

Mr Blair's two-day Washington visit will include a trip to Camp David, the presidential retreat. Mr Bush has had two recent telephone conversations with Mr Blair and hopes they will have time for informal talks to know each other. The Prime Minister is also expected to try to reassure the Americans that the European rapid reaction force will not, and cannot, damage Nato.

5. The Globe and Mail, Friday, February 2, 2001

"PM may be spared missile-defence query: Will meet with U.S. President on Monday By Paul Koring And Jeff Sallot"

WASHINGTON, OTTAWA -- Canada and the United States will probably be able to postpone a potentially nasty feud over the controversial issue of national missile defence for some time yet, Defence Minister Art Eggleton said yesterday.

Mr. Eggleton came away from a working lunch in Washington with the new U.S. Defence Secretary, Donald Rumsfeld, confident that President George W. Bush

is not about to put Jean Chrétien on the spot when the Prime Minister visits the White House on Monday.

A large part of Mr. Eggleton's reconnaissance mission yesterday was to make sure Mr. Chrétien doesn't get a surprise question from Mr. Bush about whether Canada will allow the Canada-U.S. North American Aerospace Defence Command -- NORAD -- to be used for Washington's controversial national missile defence.

The Bush administration is not going to ask Canada to participate in national missile defence -- known as NMD, or "Son of Star Wars" -- until it has figured out what type and how large a system it wants to build, Mr. Eggleton said.

"They haven't asked us a question and I don't think they are going to do that until they have determined what they're going to do themselves," he said.

Mr. Eggleton added Canada is "open-minded" about the new U.S. government's determination to go ahead with the system. He insisted Ottawa's stand -- that it has taken no position but has concerns the system could undermine arms-control treaties and spark proliferation -- hasn't changed. But he said Canada wants to maintain its close, continental defence arrangements with the United States.

NORAD is expected to provide the command and control for the antimissile shield. If Canada were to oppose NMD, it could imperil the NORAD treaty that, along with the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, has been central to Canadian defence policy for 50 years.

Senior Washington officials have been making it clear, privately and publicly, that the U.S. wants its allies to support the system.

Only hours before the Rumsfeld-Eggleton lunch, U.S. officials bluntly told NATO parliamentary representatives at the Pentagon that the administration is pushing ahead with the antimissile system.

Senator Colin Kenny, Canada's representative on the NATO group, said: "The Americans want support from us and they want it now."

Russia, China, India and Britain are among the countries expressing concerns about NMD.

5. PROJECTED TIMELINE OF NMD-RELATED EVENTS -- (Updated: January 31, 2001)

*prepared by the Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers and BASIC

February 5 Canadian Prime Minister Jean Chretien visits Washington

February 23-24 U.K. Prime Minister Tony Blair visits Washington

Feb-March Approximate date by which Bush administration FY 2002 budget proposal and a possible FY 2001 supplemental will be delivered to Congress.

March 20 Danish Parliament debate on NMD

April Bush Administration might be expected to unveil the broad outlines of its planned NMD program by this time, perhaps later

March-June 2001 Likely time frame for the fourth intercept test (IFT-6), with decision on precise timing to be made in February.

March-April 2001 Date by which Bush administration would have to decide to let contracts to begin preparatory construction work at Shemya Island in 2001. Activity violating the ABM Treaty could occur in 2001 but would be unlikely to take place before summer 2002. It is unclear whether Bush will decide to allow construction to begin this year.

April 15 Deadline for Pentagon report on long-range plan for nuclear forces.

May 29-30 NATO Foreign Ministers meeting in Budapest

May-July Likely time for committee markup and floor action on the FY 2002 Defense Authorization bill

June 7-8 NATO Defense Ministers meeting in Brussels

late- 2001 Intercept tests 5, 6, 7: The production-version booster, now more than a year behind schedule, will first be used the sixth intercept test.

July 20-22 G-8 Summit, Genoa

Sept.-Dec. 2001 Approximate date of completion of the Nuclear Posture Review. (Congressionally- mandated deadline is December 1, but the NPR and more focused policy reviews on NMD could be completed sooner.)

December 2001 NATO Foreign Ministers meeting; NATO Defense Ministers meeting; EU-U.S. summit

Daryl Kimball, Executive Director
Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers
110 Maryland Avenue NE, Suite 505
Washington, DC 20002
(ph) 202-546-0795 x136 (fax) 202-546-7970
website <<http://www.crnd.org>>

X-Sender: jameshipkn@mail.sssnet.com
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.0
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 23:25:00 -0500
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: JamesHipkins <jameshipkn@sssnet.com>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice

At 10:16 AM 2/3/01 -0500, you wrote:
>At 06:17 PM 2/2/01 -0500, you wrote:

Howard: Save us a room o.k. Jim and Char Thanks! She is doing o.k. Much better this week. jIM

>Jim and Char Hipkins We are tentative. We have to see if Char can
>>>get her blood done on Friday. It has to be done each week. We will check
>>>into it and let you know.

>
>Jim and Char,

>
>I realize that. You were the only ones who responded to my first notice,
>so I had to send the second.

>
>Char, I hope you are progressing satisfactorily.

>
>Shall we reserve our guest room for you?

>
>Howard
>Howard W. Hallman, Chair
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

From: "Paul Lansu" To: "AEFJN (E-mail)", "Atwood David (E-mail)", "Basic UK (E-mail)", "Basic US (E-mail)", "Beyers Jan (E-mail)", "Camilleri Joseph Prof (E-mail)", "Comiskey Joan Vince (E-mail)", "Cordaro Tom (E-mail)", "Coughlan John (E-mail 2)", "De Vrieze Franklin (E-mail)", "Delahaye Jos (E-mail)", "Dell'Olio Antonio (E-mail 2)", "Dell'Olio Antonio (E-mail)", "Gaffney Pat (E-mail)", "Georgeot Bernard (E-mail)", "Hallman W. Howard (E-mail)", "Hally Cyril (E-mail)", "Heidegger Klaus (E-mail)", "Hovey Michael (E-mail)", "IANSAs Coordinator", "IANSAs Flanders (E-mail)", "IPB International Peace Bureau G (E-mail)", "Ireland (E-mail)", "Isis Europe (E-mail)", "Kerremans Bart (E-mail)", "Lafouasse Michel (E-mail)", "Malsch Ineke (E-mail)", "Meeusen Frank (E-mail)", "Mellon Christian (E-mail)", "Morvan Guy (E-mail)", "Pagnucco Ronald (E-mail)", "Robinson Dave (E-mail)", "Rothbauer Holger (E-mail 2)", "Rothbauer Holger (E-mail)", "Rougeot Jean Pierre (E-mail)", "Ryzenko Jakub (E-mail)", "Schennink Ben (E-mail)", "Schneckenleitner Meinrad (E-mail)", "Theunis Bart (E-mail)", "Van Hecken Jef (E-mail)", "Van Kemseke Peter (E-mail)", "Wakim David (E-mail)", "Wicker Brian (E-mail)", "Yasutomi Atsushi (E-mail)"
CC: "PCPS Mailing list PCusa (E-mail)" Subject: NGO-Disarmament-2001 Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 14:08:22 +0100 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0) X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Importance: Normal X-MDAemon-Deliver-To: mupj@igc.org X-Return-Path: paul@paxchristi.net
NGO Committee for Disarmament – programme 2001 - Geneva

Pax Christi International is a member of this NGO Committee

Chair of the NGO Committee is with David Atwood, Quakers Office, e-mail: dpatwood@igc.apc.org

The Secretariat is with Colin Archer, IPB, mailbox@ipb.org

The NGO Committee is involved in a number of activities over the coming months that we hope will break new ground and contribute to important debates. As you will see, this is a busy year!

Our spring events are divided into 2 parts this year: We invite you to support the following 2 programmes:

March 7 – International Women’s Day conference on Small Arms – open to all; followed by:

March 8 – International Women’s Day annual statement at the conference on Disarmament;

possible visits to government delegations;

March 9 – NGO Committee Business meeting.

April 22 – day seminar/briefing for NGOs and others on Biological Weapons and related issues

April 23 – lunchtime briefing for delegates and NGOs on Biological Weapons (1)

April 24 – lunchtime briefing for delegates and NGOs on Biological Weapons (2)

BW is a new area for the NGO Committee. To achieve effective results we have begun a new cooperation with NGOs working in this and related areas, including the Bio-Safety Protocol of the Convention on Biological Diversity. This Nov-Dec sees the Biological Weapons Convention Review Conference, at which we hope there will be agreement on a Verification Protocol. This is crucial for the effective functioning of the Treaty. Since the Protocol is being negotiated in Geneva in April-May by an Ad Hoc Working Group, we felt that their meeting would be a good opportunity to make presentations and enter into dialogue with the experts. In addition we are keen to make the link with the Bio-Safety Protocol and to begin discussions about some of the challenges for control regimes posed by new developments in genetics and drug eradication schemes like Plan Colombia. Further details of this programme will be issued soon. If you are interested, please get in touch.

Other disarmament calendar dates:

Conference on Disarmament: Jan 22-March 30, May 14-June 29, July 30-Sept 14 (Geneva)

Feb 12-23: Biological Weapons Convention Ad Hoc Group on verification protocol (Geneva)

March 19-30: Small Arms Conference PrepComm 3 (NY)

April 2-6: Certain Conventional Weapons Convention (Geneva)

April 3-20: Disarmament Commission (NY)

April 23-May 11: Biological Weapons Convention Ad Hoc Working Group on verification

protocol (Geneva)

July 9-20: Small Arms Conference (NY)

July 23-Aug 17: Biological Weapons Convention Ad Hoc Working Group on verification protocol (Geneva)

Sept 18-21: Mine Ban Treaty meeting of States Parties (Geneva)

Sept 24-28: Certain Conventional Weapons Convention (Geneva)

Oct 8-Nov 9: General Assembly, First Committee (NY)

Nov 19-dec 7: Biological Weapons Convention Review Conference (Geneva)

Dec 10-21: Certain Conventional Weapons Convention (Geneva)

Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 11:22:31 -0600
From: brenda hardt <bbhardt@mail.esc4.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: PeaceWithJustice Ministry Message <aahardt@mail.esc4.com>
Subject: Mother's Day to Remember Women of Iraq

Remember the Women of Iraq on Mother's Day May 13, 2001

Returning to Its Activist Roots

The history and meaning of Mother's Day, before it was mangled by Hallmark Cards and FTD, was about civic responsibility, activism and, most importantly, building bridges to peace.

Anna Jarvis, a young Appalachian homemaker, attempted in 1858 to improve sanitation through what she called Mothers' Work Days. During the Civil War she also organized women to work for better sanitary conditions for both sides. Then in 1868 she began work to reconcile Union and Confederate neighbors.

Julia Ward Howe, inspired by Jarvis' actions and distressed by her experience of the realities of war, determined that peace was one of the most important causes of the world. In 1870 she called for women to rise up and oppose war in all its forms. She wanted women to come together across national lines, to recognize our common humanity, and commit to finding peaceful resolutions to conflicts. She issued her Mother's Day Proclamation in hopes of inspiring women to join Together in a congress of action. The first part of her statement reads as follows:

“Arise then...women of this day! Arise, all women who have hearts! Whether your baptism be of water or of tears! Say firmly: "We will Not have questions answered by irrelevant agencies. Our husbands will not come to us, reeking with carnage, for caresses and applause. Our sons shall not be taken from us to unlearn All that we have been able to teach them of charity, mercy and patience. We, the women of one country, will be too tender of those of another country to allow our sons to be trained to injure theirs . . .The sword of murder is not the balance of justice . . . ”

Later, after the turn of the century, Anna Jarvis' daughter, also Named Anna Jarvis, started her own crusade to found a memorial day for women. The first such Mother's Day was celebrated in West Virginia in 1907 in the church where the elder Anna Jarvis had taught Sunday School.

In the true meaning of Mother's Day, New Hampshire Peace Action (NHPA) calls for a respectful day of protest against the sanctions and bombing of Iraq.

According to a 1998 UNICEF report, approximately 4,500 Iraqi children under the age of five die each and every month due to the effects of the US/UN led sanctions. The result of these horrific deaths is profound and long lasting.

In honor of the hundreds of thousands of mothers who have lost Children in Iraq, in honor of all the mothers who have themselves died from
The bombings and sanctions, we call upon all women, all citizens, female

Or male, parent or non-parent, adult or child, to step forward on Mother's Day, May 13, 2001, and let there voice of dissent be heard.

NHPA specifically asks people to commit to up to a half hour of Action at their local church, synagogue or mosque on May 13 or as close as possible to that date. (This is obviously dependent on one's religion.) Of course, you don't need to be involved with religious institutions To commit an action. We welcome anyone and everyone to participate in this day of protest Recommended actions include:

- ? Moment of silence during the service
- ? Asking your religious leader to make a statement or prayer
- ? Offer a public prayer yourself
- ? Leaflet the cars in the parking lot
- ? Hold a brief vigil either before or after the service and hand out leaflets as people enter/leave.
- ? Give out carnations and tell each recipient that it's in honor of an Iraqi mother who has died or lost children due to the sanctions and bombing
- ? Or do whatever is appropriate and corresponds with your personal religious beliefs!

We also welcome actions by those who are not involved in religious activities and institutions . . . you can still leaflet, vigil etc. in a public place on or near May 13th.

NHPA will provide whatever logistical support it can to make your action meaningful and appropriate, including leaflets and information on what people can do to stop the deaths of innocent Iraqi civilians.

Our goal is to have actions take place at a minimum of 100 religious institutions in the state of New Hampshire. For that reason, we need to hear from each and every person who is willing to commit to an action on Mother's Day. The purpose of this is so that we can send out a press release and alert the media as to how large this event really is. It's to remember: Even if you do this all by yourself, you're really not alone. People from all over the state will all be committing the same or similar act at the same time. Your one act, combined with the actions of others, could have a profound impact. Anyone committing to an action on or near Mother's Day is asked to contact Patrick Carkin by phone at 228-0559 or email at travvller@earthlink.net.

NHPA also calls upon other individuals and organizations around the US and world to follow suit with similar actions on Mother's Day. We ask that any group that proceeds with such a project to contact us so that we can incorporate that information into our press releases to the media.

*** Iraq Action Coalition Discussion Forum ***

<http://iraqaction.org/discussion.html>

To: "Rev. Gwen Drake" <dallasmc@open.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Nuclear disarmament issues
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments: A:\abolish.312.doc; A:\abolish.313.doc; A:\abolish.320.doc; A:\abolish.321.doc;
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Gwen,

I was glad to make your acquaintance on the phone. A major mission of Methodists United for Peace with Justice is to work for achievement of objectives set forth in the "Nuclear Abolition" resolution in the UMC Book of Resolution. Information about some of the issues we are working on is contained in four attachments that deal with de-alerting, strategic arms reduction, and the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT). One attachment indicates what President Bush said about de-alerting and strategic arms reduction during the presidential campaign. Another is an op-ed piece by General John Shalikashvili after he conferred with senators on the CTBT.

I hope this information is useful to you.

Shalom,
Howard

To: lmckiern@juno.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Denominational contacts
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Linda,

I am seeking suggestions for denominational contacts in Indiana for a delegation to visit Senator Lugar. Below is a reply from Mary Miller of the Episcopal Peace Fellowship. You may use her name in making contact.

Since bishops like to be involved with other prelates, you might try to get Dr. Richard Hamm involved. I know he gave a strong pitch for the CTBT in 1999 at your convention in Cincinnati.

The United Methodist bishop is Bishop Woodie W. White (1100 W. 42nd Street, Indianapolis, IN 46208; 317 924-1321). He wrote Senator Lugar a couple of times on the CTBT. The United Methodist Church has a strong stand for nuclear abolition.

I've been trying to reach the United Methodist peace with justice coordinator for the South Indiana Conference, but I haven't caught up with him. He is Rev. John Gaus, a retired minister called in to serve the Washington Street UMC (2801 W. Washington Street, Indianapolis; 317 638-0196). He lives in Bloomington (812 333-2638).

The Presbyterian Peace Making Program is going to provide contacts. I have requests pending with Lutherans and United Church of Christ. If the delegation is going to consist primarily of official church representatives (as compared to peace fellowships), I can get my contact at the U.S. Catholic Conference to find a representative in Indianapolis if you need help.

I appreciate your willingness to do this. Let's keep in touch.

Shalom,
Howard

###

Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 09:00:22 -0500
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: Episcopal Peace Fellowship <epf@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Indiana contacts

Howard,

Have the folks try the Episcopal Bishop of Indianapolis, and if she can't do it, ask the former bishop of Indianapolis - they are both EPF members. They are to be addressed in speaking with or about them as "Bishop Waynick" or "Bishop Jones." In order they are reachable at:

The Rt. Rev. Catherine E.M. Waynick
Diocese of Indianapolis
1100 West 42nd Street
Indianapolis IN 46208

office: 312-926-5454

The Rt. Rev. Edward Jones
5008 Derby Lane
Indianapolis IN 46226
home: 317-546-4226

Ask the folks to be clear when they call that I at the Episcopal Peace Fellowship suggested and provided the contacts.

Mary Miller
Executive Director

To: brinkprogram@backfromthebrink.net
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Senate staff contact
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Esther,

This morning I talked with Martha E. Cagle, legislative assistant to Senator Gordon Smith (R-OR). She's never discussed de-alerting with him and doesn't know his view. She knows almost nothing about the issue but is interested. Can you send her a packet of information: your basic flyers, Bruce Blair's Scientific American article, etc.? You can say you are sending it at my suggestion. Address is 404 Russell Building.

She says Senator Smith's foreign policy interests emphasis religious freedom. But he voted for the Kerrey amendment the past two years. And, of course, he voted for the CTBT.

Howard

To: phil
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Address request
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Phil,

I don't have an address for the Spaulding Co. to send that check to. Please supply me with one.

Thanks,
Howard

From: "Surratt, Doris"

To: zz Social Equity Panel Subject: Panel Meeting - Feb. 9th

Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 16:20:48 -0500

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)

To: Standing Panel on Social Equity

As a reminder, the Standing Panel on Social Equity is scheduled to meet on Friday, February 9th, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., in the Academy's conference room at 1120 G Street, NW, 8th Floor, Washington, DC. A conference call has been arranged for members who wish to participate via teleconference. The dial -in number is 1-800-311-9403; security code is Equity.

We look forward to your participation.

Doris Surratt

dsurratt@napawash.org

PH: 202-347-3190

To: "Surratt, Doris" <DSurratt@NAPAWASH.ORG>

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>

Subject: Re: Panel Meeting - Feb. 9th

Cc:

Bcc:

X-Attachments:

In-Reply-To: <043191BD8403D411A2760090279CBFA92206FA@NAPA-NT1>

References:

I plan to attend.

Howard Hallman

To: abolition-caucus@yahooogroups.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
Subject: We're withdrawing
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Friends,

Since the debate started about the abolition-caucus list-serve, the volume of unsolicited news stories and messages on tangential topics has grown even larger. It's become too overwhelming. Therefore, with great reluctance we are going to unsubscribe.

Those who maintain separate lists for UNGA and CD happenings, please include us. For those who want to reach me for the work I do with the faith community in the United States as chair of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, please contact me directly at mupj@igc.org.

Shalom,
Howard W. Hallman

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-1413460-2427-981410125-mupj=igc.apc.org@returns.onelist.com
X-Sender: mupj@igc.apc.org
X-Apparently-To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
X-Sender: mupj@pop2.igc.org
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.3 (32)
To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
Mailing-List: list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com; contact abolition-caucus-owner@yahoogroups.com
Delivered-To: mailing list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:abolition-caucus-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 16:45:48 -0500
Subject: [abolition-caucus] We're withdrawing

Dear Friends,

Since the debate started about the abolition-caucus list-serve, the volume of unsolicited news stories and messages on tangential topics has grown even larger. It's become too overwhelming. Therefore, with great reluctance we are going to unsubscribe.

Those who maintain separate lists for UNGA and CD happenings, please include us. For those who want to reach me for the work I do with the faith community in the United States as chair of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, please contact me directly at mupj@igc.org.

Shalom,
Howard W. Hallman

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
eGroups is now Yahoo! Groups
Click here for more details
http://click.egroups.com/1/11231/0/_/91925/_/981410126/
----->

To subscribe to the Abolition Global Caucus, send an email from the account you wish to be subscribed to: "abolition-caucus-subscribe@egroups.com"

Do not include a subject line or any text in the body of the message.

From: "Rutledge, Philip" <rutledge@indiana.edu>
To: "Fred Riggs" <fredr@hawaii.edu>,
"Surratt, Doris"
<DSurratt@NAPAWASH.ORG>
Cc: zz Social Equity Panel <SocEqPanel@NAPAWASH.ORG>
Subject: RE: Panel Meeting - Feb. 9th
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 17:23:59 -0500
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Colleagues:

Fred Riggs makes a good point, and I will use a response to him to outline the agenda for the Friday meeting, and to share some additional information. First, to comment on Fred's concerns about the time zone problem, let me remind ourselves that we made a commitment at the beginning that our meetings, including teleconferencing would be held at a time when our mainland west coast Panel members as well as those in the pacific could also participate. In fact, Fred and I had exchanged e-mails on this subject during the Panel formation process. So, Fred, -- so far we have just blown it, but promise to do better. Costis Toregas is thinking through how we might best use the Web and other technologies in our meetings. You might also access to the Social Equity website to check out existing documents, minutes and drafts the Panel has produced by logging onto the NAPA website and linking to us.

The agenda for the Friday meeting will consist primarily of program planning items. While the meeting time is listed as 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM, the main part of the meeting, that connected via telephone, should be completed by 12:00 Noon or before. Those in the NAPA Conference room may have lunch as usual, and continue the conversation regarding details of the session and other strategic planning. Co-Vice Chair Gail Christopher will chair the session from the NAPA Conference Room; I will chair vial conference call from my Indianapolis SPEA Office.

Item I..... The NAPA Board, at its Retreat the past week-end, approved restoring "Governance" to the title of the Panel in order to close slightly the Pandora's Box opened by raising long smothering issues of social equity in our society and abroad. Although the originally proposed name was "Standing Panel on Social Equity and Governance", we were pleased to settle for "Standing Panel on Social Equity in Governance", although my personal view is that this is a distinction without a difference fore our purposes. All future documents will reflect the new title.

Item II..... We must plan our program sessions for the remainder of the year to explore further he ideas in our work-plan. For next year, we want to have selected two or three major issues to work on and maybe generate a funded project or two, that may be managed somewhere else in the Academy. Standing Panels generally do not run projects, but may undertake studies inherent in their charter. The February 9 meeting will be consumed with discussions regarding these plans.

Item III..... Explore these tentative forum plans:

1) late March or early April, a partially hosted Panel meeting in Indianapolis, focused around social equity issues in central and northwest Indiana as case studies. Possible dates are March 23, March 30, April 5; Astrid Merget and I will take the lead on this possibility.

2) Late April or early May, a Washington forum at NAPA on social equity issues in Federal law enforcement agencies, which Cora Beebe will discuss with the Panel.

3) Progress on generating outside funding for the Panel's "Summit Meeting on Social Equity in Governance", to which representatives of all cognizant groups in public administration would be invited. Gail Christopher, Jim Kunde and others will report on current status.

4) Sy Murray, chair of the International Opportunities Working Group, will give a program report.

5) There are always other business items persons will want to bring up, in addition to the ones Fred Riggs raises in his e-mail.

Look forward to talking to you on the horn this coming Friday. Please let either Doris or me know if you will be present in Washington, or on the horn.

Phil

-----Original Message-----

From: Fred Riggs [mailto:fredr@hawaii.edu]

Sent: Monday, February 05, 2001 4:38 PM

To: Surratt, Doris

Cc: zz Social Equity Panel

Subject: Re: Panel Meeting - Feb. 9th

Dear Doris: I have received the following note from you and am still much interested in the Social Equity panel, but I cannot come to Washington from Hawaii for any of its meetings, nor am I really able to participate via teleconference. Would it not be possible to use the Internet in a simpler way via a Web Site and an e-mail list? You could post relevant information on the Site, and give members the opportunity to interact when it is convenient for them. Because of time-zone differences, it is generally the wrong time for me to join any group discussion by telephone. I'd be interested to learn if any other members share this problem -- in general, as a NAPA Fellow, I want to share in your discourse but time and distance have really made it virtually impossible. Thanks for your thoughts. Sincerely, with aloha, Fred

On Mon, 5 Feb 2001, Surratt, Doris wrote:

> To: Standing Panel on Social Equity

>

> As a reminder, the Standing Panel on Social Equity is scheduled to meet on

> Friday, February 9th, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., in the Academy's conference

> room at 1120 G Street, NW, 8th Floor, Washington, DC. A conference call

has

> been arranged for members who wish to participate via teleconference. The
> dial -in number is 1-800-311-9403; security code is Equity.
>
> We look forward to your participation.
>
> Doris Surratt
> dsurratt@napawash.org <mailto:dsurratt@napawash.org>
> PH: 202-347-3190
>

Sent by:

```
*****  
*                                     *  
*   FRED W. RIGGS, Professor Emeritus   *  
*   Political Science Department, University of Hawaii *  
*   2424 Maile Way, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, U.S.A. *  
*   Phone: (808) 956-8123 or Home: (808) 732-5308 *  
*   E-mail: FREDR@HAWAII.EDU, Fax: (808) 956-6877 *  
*   Web Page: http://www2.hawaii.edu/~fredr/ *  
*                                     *  
*****  
*                                     *  
*   Century old slogan of the Cosmopolitan Clubs: *  
*                                     *  
*   ABOVE ALL NATIONS IS HUMANITY *  
*                                     *  
*****
```

From: PVmsmagic@aol.com
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 17:14:33 EST
Subject: Re: Address request
To: mupj@igc.org
X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 40

Howard,

R. L. Spaulding Corp.
1906 Harrisburg Road N.E.
Canton, OH 44706

I believe that this is our first bill from this company. They seem to have mailed the last newsletter. The bill was composed of \$65 services and \$103.98 for postage and \$3.41 for sales tax.

P.

To: HALLEDEE@aol.com
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
Subject: Best wishes
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Dear Ed.

We are sorry to hear about your health problem. We hope and pray that your treatment goes well and is successful.

I watched the KU-Iowa State game on ESPN last night and wondered if you were in the audience. I can't recall a hotter set of guards from super-three-point range.

I'm looking forward to the end of winter and the beginning of senior softball. Meanwhile my work for nuclear disarmament continues with the challenges and opportunities of the Bush administration.

Our best wishes to you and Ernestene,
Howard

From: "Paul Lansu" <paul@paxchristi.net>
To: "'AEFJN (E-mail)'" <aefjn@innet.be>,
"Atwood David (E-mail)" <dpatwood@igc.apc.org>,
"Basic UK (E-mail)" <basicuk@basicint.org>,
"Basic US (E-mail)" <basicus@basicint.org>,
"Beyers Jan (E-mail)" <Jan.Beyers@soc.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Camilleri Joseph Prof (E-mail)" <j.camilleri@latrobe.edu.au>,
"Comiskey Joan Vince (E-mail)" <IntlPaxChr@aol.com>,
"Cordaro Tom (E-mail)" <pcusachair@juno.com>,
"Coughlan John (E-mail 2)" <john.coughlan@comece.org>,
"De Vrieze Franklin (E-mail)" <franklin.devrieze@paxchristi.be>,
"Delahaye Jos (E-mail)" <jos.delahaye@soc.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Dell'Olio Antonio (E-mail 2)" <a.dellolio@tiscalinet.it>,
"Dell'Olio Antonio (E-mail)" <a.dellolio@ba.nettuno.it>,
"Gaffney Pat (E-mail)" <p.gaffney@paxchristi.freeserve.co.uk>,
"Georgeot Bernard (E-mail)" <bernard.georgeot@wanadoo.fr>,
"Hallman W. Howard (E-mail)" <mupj@igc.org>,
"Hally Cyril (E-mail)" <cmi@columban.org.au>,
"Heidegger Klaus (E-mail)" <k.heidegger@tirol.com>,
"Hovey Michael (E-mail)" <MHovey@iona.edu>,
"IANSAs Coordinator" <coordinator@iansa.org>,
"IANSAs Flanders (E-mail)" <iansa@paxchristi.be>,
"IPB International Peace Bureau G (E-mail)" <mailbox@ipb.org>,
"Ireland (E-mail)" <paxtdc@indigo.ie>,
"Isis Europe (E-mail)" <isis-europe@ping.be>,
"Kerremans Bart (E-mail)" <bart.kerremans@soc.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Lafouasse Michel (E-mail)" <mmj.lafouasse@wanadoo.fr>,
"Malsch Ineke (E-mail)" <postbus@malsch.demon.nl>,
"Meeusen Frank (E-mail)" <frank.meeussen@planetinternet.be>,
"Mellon Christian (E-mail)" <Justice.Paix@wanadoo.fr>,
"Morvan Guy (E-mail)" <gmorvan@club-internet.fr>,
"Pagnucco Ronald (E-mail)" <RPagnucco@CSBSJU.EDU>,
"Robinson Dave (E-mail)" <dave@paxchristiusa.org>,
"Rothbauer Holger (E-mail 2)" <hokasajo.Rothbauer@t-online.de>,
"Rothbauer Holger (E-mail)" <Rothbauer@holger.tue.schwaben.de>,
"Rougeot Jean Pierre (E-mail)" <jp_rougeot@hotmail.com>,
"Ryzenko Jakob (E-mail)" <ryzenko@poczta.onet.pl>,
"Schennink Ben (E-mail)" <b.schennink@bw.kun.nl>,
"Schneckenleitner Meinrad (E-mail)" <meinrad@m2.khg-heim.uni-linz.ac.at>,
"Theunis Bart (E-mail)" <bart.theunis@cgrs.mibz.fgov.be>,
"Van Hecken Jef (E-mail)" <Jef.Vanhecken@paxchristi.be>,
"Van Kemseke Peter (E-mail)" <peter.vankemseke@arts.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Wakim David (E-mail)" <Wakim.Fam@xtra.co.nz>,
"Wicker Brian (E-mail)" <Brian.Wicker@ukgateway.net>,
"Yasutomi Atsushi (E-mail)" <atsushi.yasutomi@student.kuleuven.ac.be>,
"Austria (E-mail 2)" <pax@m2.khg-heim.uni-linz.ac.at>,
"Czech Republic" <peter.moree@etf.cuni.cz>,
"Dekkers Anton" <dekke@nsg-gym.dk>, "Denmark" <pax.dk@post7.tele.dk>,
"Flanders" <paxchrvl@ngonet.be>,
"Germany" <paxchristi.sekretariat@online.de>,
"Hungary" <pax@theol.u-szeged.hu>,
"Italy (E-mail)" <paxchristi@tiscalinet.it>,
"Mens Arie PCNederland (E-mail)" <mens@paxchristi.nl>

"Messerschmidt Anette" <a.messerschmidt@teliamail.dk>,
"Molnar Peter (E-mail)" <molnarp@lamar.colostate.edu>,
"Molnar Tomas and Ludo (E-mail)" <molnars@nextra.sk>,
"Netherlands" <paxchristi@paxchristi.antenna.nl>,
"Poland (E-mail 2)" <pxchw@free.ngo.pl>,
"Puerto Rico" <bgcdpr@prtc.net>, "Rasmussen Peter" <pr.hir@ci.kk.dk>,
"Renato Stefani (E-mail)" <nicaragu@aichinet.ne.jp>,
"Saco Margarida" <msaco@mail.teleweb.pt>,
"Small Nancy" <nsmall@paxchristiusa.org>,
"United Kingdom" <paxchristi@gn.apc.org>,
"USA" <info@paxchristiusa.org>, <allwyn@giasbm01.vsnl.net.in>,
"Arab Educational Institute (E-mail 2)" <tvant@p-ol.com>,
"Arab Educational Institute (E-mail)" <aei@p-ol.com>,
"Budhdev Karishma AEI (E-mail)" <kbudhdev@yahoo.com>,
"Center for Peace, Non-violence and Human Rights Osijek (E-mail)" <czmos@zamir.net>,
<cptnac@mail.cultura.com.br>, <cross@dhaka.agni.com>, <Gam@concyt.gob.gt>,
"Gielen Annemarie (E-mail)" <daems.gielen@planetinternet.be>,
"Interchurch Partnership \"Apostolic City - Nevskaya Perspective\" (E-mail)" <pimen@online.ru>,
<jpthai@asiaaccess.net.th>, <juspax@nodo50.ix.apc.org>, <maptus@ejje.com>,
<mccoy@bdonline.com>, <pteam@zamir.net>, <raymac80@hotmail.com>,
<saffron@citechco.net>, <siraj@pol.com.pk>, <vijmar@erols.com>,
"Jordan Pancras (E-mail)" <panjordan@yahoo.com>,
"Sydney (E-mail 2)" <judysang@yahoo.com>,
"Sydney (E-mail)" <hedghog@matra.com.au>, <info@soldiersmothers.spb.org>,
<lena@soldiersmothers.spb.org>,
"Henry Michael (E-mail)" <mhenry@parade.vic.edu.au>

Subject: Call and Statement Nuclear Disarmament: more names please!!

Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 10:31:13 +0100

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)

Importance: Normal

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300

X-MDAemon-Deliver-To: mupj@igc.org

X-Return-Path: paul@paxchristi.net

Dear friends and colleagues,

This is to inform you that former International Pax Christi President Godfried Cardinal Danneels co-signed our statement on nuclear disarmament, next to Franz Cardinal König and Patriarch Michel Sabbah. We count on our Italian Pax Christi friends to approach Bishop Luigi Bettazzi. We also count on our national sections and affiliated organisations to approach their bishops to get their name with this Call and Statement. Deadline is 31 March 2001!! See text in SD04E00.

With kind regards,

Paul Lansu

Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament

Pax Christi International is working to prepare a Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament. It took more than a year to prepare this project. All partners within our international Pax Christi network have had the chance to

contribute to this text. It is our aim to stimulate the debate on nuclear disarmament, both within the Catholic Church and within the International Community. This could strengthen the position of the Holy See on disarmament. Therefore, members of the hierarchy, presidents of national sections and all bishop members of Pax Christi International are invited to give their agreement and name to the call/statement.

This text contains two parts: a background briefing and a statement. It is suggested that the statement should be signed. Msgr. Michel Sabbah, President of Pax Christi International, already gave his name to the statement. All three former International Presidents have also been invited to co-sign.

All partners have been asked to approach their presidents and bishops, inviting them to co-sign the statement. Deadline is 31 March 2001.

In April 2001, at the meeting of our Executive Committee, Pax Christi International will make this initiative public. We hope that we/you will be able to make this initiative known to public, Churches and governments. The statement is/will be available in English, French, German, Spanish, Dutch and Portuguese. We hope to have a good list of names. Info:
paul@paxchristi.net

Paul Lansu

Pax Christi International
Rue du Vieux Marché aux Grains 21
1000 Brussels
Belgium

phone: +32 (-2) 502.55.50
fax: +32 (-2) 502.46.26
mobile: +32 (0475) -382170
e-mail: paul@paxchristi.net
url: <http://www.paxchristi.net>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\SD04E00.doc"

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\SD04F00.doc"

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\SD04P00.doc"

21, Rue du Vieux Marché aux Grains
B-1000 Brussels · Belgium
tel: 32/2/502.55.50
fax: 32/2/502.46.26
e-mail: hello@paxchristi.net
url: <http://www.paxchristi.net>

New Challenges on Nuclear Disarmament Agenda

A Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament

I. Background Briefing

Pax Christi International stresses the need of the International Community and the Churches to insist once again on the continuing threat of nuclear weapons. We are at a very critical moment of new challenges to the international disarmament agenda. Statements alone will not be enough. Pax Christi International appeals to public opinion to raise its voice in the debate.

Like Pax Christi International, numerous religious bodies have a long history of addressing nuclear weapons issues. Many have condemned nuclear weapons and called for their abolition. In their joint statement to the NPT Review PrepCom of April 1998, Cardinal Danneels, President of Pax Christi International, and Dr. Konrad Raiser, General Secretary of the World Council of Churches, stated: "Nuclear weapons, whether used or threatened, are grossly evil and therefore morally wrong. As an instrument of mass destruction, nuclear weapons slaughter the innocent and ravage the environment."

In May 2000 at the conclusion of the Review Conference of the 1968 Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), the major nuclear powers made an important new commitment. They promised to make "an unequivocal undertaking to accomplish the total elimination of their nuclear arsenals." True, a commitment to nuclear abolition was and is one of the obligations of the NPT itself (Art. VI); but the nuclear weapons states never took it seriously. Time and again the Holy See asked for an "unequivocal commitment" during interventions in the United Nations.

Today, the window of opportunity for moves towards the elimination of nuclear weapons seems to be open again. However, there is still no concrete timeframe for the total abolition of nuclear weapons. The need for abolition exists because of a real possibility that nuclear weapons could be used in anger for the first time since Hiroshima and Nagasaki, with disastrous results for the whole of humanity. In recent years, we have witnessed policies by several states to extend the role for nuclear weapons in their security policies. India and Pakistan are the most prominent examples. The USA and the Russian Federation too are modernizing and extending the role of nuclear weapons.

Public opinion takes little notice of the problem. This is due in part to the mistaken belief that with the end of the cold war nuclear weapons no longer pose serious dangers. However, the window of opportunity may be closed again if political leaders are not pressed by public opinion "to accomplish the total elimination" soon. Notwithstanding their proclaimed commitment to the nuclear disarmament required of them by the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, the Nuclear Weapons States still insist that their own security

depends on retaining nuclear weapons while at the same time insisting that the security of other states depends on their renunciation of exactly the same weapons. The incoherence of this position is obvious and must be rectified before it is too late.

Some Special Dangers

The Churches and other religious organisations can play an important role in informing public opinion of some of the dangers that confront our common security:

1. The likely further proliferation of nuclear weapons following the example of India and Pakistan, where there is a danger of nuclear weapons being used inadvertently or by miscalculation over (for example) the Kashmir dispute.
2. The danger of a nuclear strike elsewhere in the world by chance, accident or inadvertence.
3. The refusal of Cuba, Israel, India and Pakistan to accede to the NPT and to place their nuclear facilities under comprehensive International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) safeguards, or to accede to the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty.
4. The refusal of North Korea to preserve and make available to the IAEA all information on its nuclear material, as it is obliged to do as a party to the NPT and its safeguard agreement with IAEA.
5. The presence, especially in Europe, of many tactical nuclear weapons.
6. The failure of the USA to ratify the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, and to rule out the extension of the role of nuclear weapons deter attacks by other weapons of mass-destruction and attacks by terrorist groups and so-called “rogue states,” or “states of concern.”
7. The announcement by Russia of its intention to increase its reliance on nuclear weapons in response to its conventional military weakness and its sense of encirclement due to the expansion of NATO; and by China because of the alleged threat of Theatre Missile Defences in South East Asia.
8. The fact that the deployment of nuclear weapons provides a strong rationale for the development and proliferation of all kinds of weaponry, especially biological and chemical weapons, often thought of as the mass-destruction weapons “of the poor and the weak.”
9. US plans for “National Missile Defence,” a provocative and destabilising concept which threatens very serious damage to the arms control and disarmament efforts of other states.

II. The Elimination of Nuclear Weapons - A Statement by Pax Christi International

We call upon all states to put urgent negotiation of a nuclear disarmament convention at the top of the international agenda. We welcome the overwhelming support given to the “New Agenda Resolution” in the United Nations General Assembly in November 2000, including that of most (though regrettably not all) of the states which possess nuclear weapons.

We see nuclear weapons abolition as a major component in the process of re-examining our traditional ideas about security and war. Our ultimate vision is of a peace built on common security based on justice and reconciliation. It is for all of us to work for the elimination of war, the priority of non-violence and the establishment of a global culture of peace.

The Holy See has summarised the measures that need to be pursued at the present time; measures largely agreed by the expert opinion-makers:

”It should be an immediate objective of the international community to eliminate non-strategic nuclear weapons, de-alert weapons by removing warheads from delivery vehicles, establish a legally binding negative security assurance regime, and secure from the Nuclear Weapons States a pledge not to be the first to use nuclear weapons.”

Negotiations do not have to start from scratch. A detailed scheme for nuclear abolition has been prepared and is lodged with the United Nations. We welcome the recent vote at the United Nations affirming the need of a universal and multilaterally negotiated legally binding instrument or a framework encompassing a mutually reinforcing set of instruments for the establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free world, although we are disappointed that the New Agenda Resolution’s adopted text has weakened the sense of urgency present in the earlier drafts.

Certain immediate steps should be taken which would move us closer to abolition. We urge:

1. Russia and the USA to make rapid progress towards Start III with lower limits than those envisaged at Helsinki.
2. The smaller Nuclear Weapons states to promote multilateral disarmament negotiations for the purpose of reducing nuclear arsenals in order to make progress towards the global elimination of nuclear weapons. The UK and France should take a lead here, by abandoning talk of relying on nuclear weapons for national security, promoting a no first use treaty among the Nuclear Weapons States, and announcing their intention not to replace their current arsenals at any time in the future.
3. All states to ratify the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, and to promote its early entry into force.
4. The removal of nuclear weapons from the territory of all non-nuclear European states.
5. Nuclear weapons everywhere to be taken off alert, including removing warheads from all land-based missiles and placing them in secure internationally monitored storage.
6. A no first use pledge to be given by all nuclear weapon states, as a confidence building measure.
7. The establishment of nuclear weapon free zones where they do not yet exist, such as in the Middle East, Central Europe and South Asia.

+ Msgr. Michel Sabbah
Latin Patriarch of Jerusalem
President of Pax Christi International

+ Franz Cardinal König
Former Archbishop of Vienna
Former International Pax Christi President

+ Godfried Cardinal Danneels
Archbishop of Malines – Brussels
Former International Pax Christi President

Professor Joseph A. Camilleri
School of Sociology, Politics & Anthropology
La Trobe University
President Pax Christi Australia

From: CarolCWalker@aol.com

Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 10:08:12 EST

Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

To: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net

CC: Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com,

RevMMBird@aol.com, jeffrancis@juno.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,

jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com,

akimpact@mosquitonet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net,

Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com,

Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com,

kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net,

cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net

X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Mac sub 28

Hi, everyone,

Wanda Holcombe and I have been pondering this for about a month, as apparently have most of you. So if we are all in agreement, I have this suggestion - I'll be glad to do the buying and choosing UNLESS one of you is really anxious to do it.

I think we have several suggestions, and can just gather all those, send out a sort of ballot, and move on with a decision. If we all contribute, not more than \$20 (less?) we can do several things:

1. choose to contribute a sum to a, or the, PWJ funds in honor of Robin.

This could be only a part of what we collect.

2. give her a gift card from a bookstore (yes, I like that one alot)

3. the bonsai plant

4. an engraved paperweight

5. something we can all sign. Wanda suggested a leather-bound album for PWJ memorabilia.

I know others will come to mind. If anyone wants to carry on from here, that's fine. I'll be happy to if you desire! Texas does have other things besides Ornamental Shrubs planted in shallow dirt that thrive on pollution and oil.

Peace, Carol Walker

X-Sender: dkimball@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0 (32)
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 10:24:45 -0500
To: dkimball@clw.org
From: Daryl Kimball <dkimball@clw.org>
Subject: REMINDER: NMD/START Wkg Grp Mtg, 2/9 @ 930am @UCS

<x-rich>February 6, 2001

TO: Coalition member and friends

RE: NMD/Deep Cust Working Group Mtg, Friday, 2/09 at 9:30am at UCS, 1707
H. St., NW, Suite 600

FR: Daryl Kimball, Executive Director

This Friday there will be a joint meeting of the NMD and Deep Cuts Working Groups. The meeting is open to all Coalition member organizations, as well as like-minded NGOs outside the Coalition.

Please note that this is a **WORKING MEETING** so please be prepared to contribute to the meeting, offer your suggestions, and volunteer to work on aspects of our developing NMD strategy. Please be prepared to report on projects that your organization is undertaking or planning that may be of interest to others.

Attached below are:

- * DRAFT MEETING AGENDA
- * DRAFT NMD STRATEGY OUTLINE
- * POSSIBLE AND PLANNED WORK BY NGOs on NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE
- * and an excellent op-ed by Stephen Young in the IHT, "But A System That Does The Job Is A Long Way Off"

Contact me if you have any additions to the agenda or suggestions about the draft strategy outline and workplan.

Thank you,

- DK

Draft Agenda:

I. Introductions

II. Summary and discussion of recent political developments (10 minutes)

III. National Missile Defense

* report on European-U.S. NGO NMD planning meeting (Theresa Hitchens)

* Filling in the details and taking assignments on our draft NMD strategy plan (see below)

- research and analysis
- legislative and executive branch work
- grassroots activities
- media activities
- outreach activities

III. Deep Cuts (incl. de-alerting and NPR)

* report on De-Alerting campaign efforts (Ira Schorr, Back from the Brink)

IV. Brief announcements

V. Set next meeting dates and adjourn

February 6, 2001

TO: NMD colleagues

FR: Daryl Kimball

RE: draft NMD plan of action

Attached below please find a draft strategy paper & workplan that is based on last

year's NMD action plan and input from many of you over the last few weeks.

I am circulating it to you for your comments, suggestions and contributions.

You may circulate this draft plan to colleagues in your organization, but

PLEASE DO NOT FORWARD THIS ANY FURTHER.

Please keep in mind that with this document I am trying to lay out the

general outlines of what the NMD-concerned NGO community in the U.S. might

try to accomplish and how in 2001. It is not meant to provide answers to

every key area and there are a number of blank spots -- that is for you and

others to help fill in. Eventually I hope that we can fill in the blanks

with commitments for good work from various organizations. It will be a

work in progress that I hope can guide our ongoing conversations and

activities.

- Daryl

DRAFT NGO Plan of Action on National Missile Defense (NMD)

(February 4, 2001)

PRIMARY GOAL: Postpone actions leading to U.S. deployment of an NMD system and prevent withdrawal from or abrogation of the ABM Treaty that would undermine prospects for nuclear arms reductions, while encouraging more effective and less destabilizing alternative approaches to deal with ballistic missile proliferation.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES FOR 2001: (i.e. What is needed to achieve the Primary Goals and we can help influence)

- * Re-establish the importance of the four common sense deployment criteria

- * Support of major U.S. opinion-leaders for our primary goals and arguments: that the NMD system is not operationally effective, that the damage to arms control and natl/intl. security is too great, the threat is overstated, and the cost is enormous

- * Support from majority of Congress for holding Bush accountable on meeting

four criteria (see below) before committing to deployment or withdrawing from ABM Treaty

- * Continued, public expression of concern and opposition about NMD from major U.S. Allies and leading opinion makers

* Support alternatives to NMD: maintain diplomatic support for efforts to

achieve a permanent freeze of the N. Korean ballistic missile and nuclear

programs and curb missile proliferation

KEY VARIABLES:

? The Bush administration's NMD and strategic reductions proposals

? Outcome of scheduled NMD tests

? Unwillingness/willingness of Russia to agree to proposed modifications to

ABM Treaty

? Support/non-support of U.S. allies in Europe and Japan for NMD deployment

? North Korean missile program activities/progress on diplomatic

initiatives to freeze program

PRIMARY ARGUMENTS/CRITERIA — There is no workable NMD system available and

the Bush Administration should not take action to deploy NMD and/or

withdraw or violate the ABM Treaty; before a concrete commitment to

deployment is made, the Bush administration must be able to demonstrate

that common sense criteria for deployment can be met.

1. Technology and Operational Effectiveness. Operational capability of

existing or proposed NMD technology cannot be proven any time soon.

Current

and future NMD test programs should be reconfigured to provide a sufficient

basis to prove that the system is operationally effective against realistic

threats.

The current GBI test program will not provide rigorous testing against the full range of targets and countermeasures that could be launched by a country capable of fielding a long-range missile; and a national missile defense system cannot defend against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) delivered by other means.

2. Cost of a "layered" NMD system is high and will go higher. The GBI system will cost \$60 billion or more over the next decade. Additional sea and space-based layers will increase the cost to \$100 or more. The U.S. taxpayer has already spent more than \$120 billion over the life of the ballistic missile defense program, without deploying a workable system. A

significant investment in NMD will incur significant opportunity costs in other, more cost-effective efforts to curb and respond to WMD threats.

3. Decision to deploy NMD will decrease -- not increase -- U.S. and international security. Taking into account the impact on arms reductions,

non-proliferation objectives, and U.S. relations with Russia, China, and

our close allies, a decision to deploy and/or to withdraw from the ABM

Treaty will decrease rather than increase U.S. security. National missile

defenses are a "last line" of defense should only be pursued only if they

work and if they do not undercut our "first lines" of defense to prevent

and reduce nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons threats. (NOTE: A

U.S./Russian agreement to modify the ABM Treaty to allow limited NMD

deployment would likely change this assessment.)

4. The long-range ballistic missile threat from North Korea has not and will not materialize if the United States and other nations work cooperatively to permanently freeze that nation's missile program. The U.S. should work with other states on additional measures to strengthen existing ballistic missile controls and implement new restrictions on such technologies.

POSSIBLE AND PLANNED WORK BY NGOs on NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE

I. RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS --

Overall:

* Revise NMD Briefing Book by Council for a Livable World Education Fund

and Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers (CLW and CRND)

* Operational Effectiveness of NMD Technology:

- conduct new analysis and evaluation of proposed NMD technologies

* Cost and Cost-Effectiveness:

- Congressional request for cost estimate from GAO
- Bill Hartung is undertaking a study on contractors involved in NMD
- NGO study on historical NMD costs and future projections?

* The Ballistic Missile Threat:

- critique next official threat analysis of Bush administration

- monitor progress/developments relating to diplomacy w/N. Korea
- describe diplomatic/arms control options for curbing missile proliferation

* Impact on Arms Control and International Security:

- assess of Russia's possible responses to a U.S. deployment
- maintain summary of statements from foreign officials on NMD (BASIC?)

II. INTERNATIONAL WORK

* Support development of European NGO strategy and actions on NMD (BASIC,

PSR, Greenpeace, CND, BITS, PENN, IPPNW, Acronym Institute)

* NGO delegations to Europe: CRND proposes working with interested member

organizations to get 1 or 2 small delegations of Coalition NMD experts to

visit European capitals and the CD in Geneva to brief foreign/defense ministry officials, diplomats, reporters, and parliamentarians on NMD technical issues, US NMD politics, and to discuss alternatives to NMD

* Meet with officials at key embassies in Washington on regular basis

III. MEDIA WORK

* Editorial advisories and follow-up calls to U.S. and European newspapers

* Fax-blast distribution of timely issue briefs on the subject (Coalition

to Reduce Nuclear Dangers and Carnegie Endowment Non-Prolif. Program).

* Develop capability for rapid response to pro-natl. missile defense

deployment opeds, stmts, etc.

- * Organize semi-regular press briefings/seminars (CRND, Carnegie, CLW, others)

- * Arrange meetings between our experts and columnists and key reporters in

the U.S. and Europe

- * Arrange for placement of experts on radio talk shows on subject

- * Hire a media firm (or firms) to support and help coordinate these media

efforts and the other media efforts of organizations and individuals working the issue (CRND?)

- * Paid advertising campaign in select markets and at select times (CLW?; BLSP?)

PUBLIC OPINION POLLING

- * conduct further public opinion research to dispel the notion that the

U.S. public supports NMD deployment (CLW and CRND)

IV. LEGISLATIVE/CONGRESSIONAL TACTICS

- * CRND will arrange a meeting with key Senate and House staff on NMD strategy

- * conduct regular Congressional briefings on key NMD topics

- * Brief new Senators on the NMD issue

- * Amendments to defense bills requiring: impartial review of technical readiness; criteria for assessing technical readiness; Presidential

certification that all four criteria can be met before committing to deployment

V. GRASSROOTS & GENERAL PUBLIC EDUCATION WORK

* Individual membership based organizations should urge members and chapters to take action on NMD at key times throughout the year

via web via email via newsletter when?

Peace Action —

PSR —

WAND —

20/20 Vision —

Greenpeace —

FCNL —

Interfaith Coalition —

dontblowit.org —

Project Abolition —

Others —

* Develop a coordinated, web-based cybercampaign for fast information and

instant messages to the President and Members of Congress

* Grassroots organizations and contacts in key states should reach out to

"unusual" suspects and communicate their views to Congressional representatives and the President

* bring citizens and scientific experts into DC to meet with their Congressional reps (UCS?)

VI. OUTREACH/BUILDING SUPPORT FOR OUR MESSAGE:

- * develop expert list for press interviews, OpEds, speeches (Coalition and others?)
- * conduct outreach to unusual allies, including labor, business
- * military/diplomatic leaders letter(s)
- * scientists letter
- * Nobel laureates
- * taxpayers groups raising cost and effectiveness issues
- * send speakers to debates at Committee on Foreign Relations chapters, Commonwealth Clubs, City Clubs, etc.
- * document/maintain list of persons and organizations who are skeptical or who oppose NMD (CRND)

COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION

- * Regular "NMD Working Group" meetings (convened by Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers)
- * Regular e-mail bulletins on NMD issues to NGOs and experts (Coal. to Reduce Nuclear Dangers and CLW)
- * BASIC has established "North Atlantic Network" to keep NGOs in U.K., Denmark, Greenland and Western Europe in touch and coordinated

(Revised, February 4, 2001)

International Herald Tribune

February 6, 2001

"But A System That Does The Job Is A Long Way Off"

By Stephen W. Young

WASHINGTON -- The election of George W. Bush and the appointment of Donald

Rumsfeld as secretary of defense have created a sense of inevitability that

the United States will soon deploy a national missile defense. In reality,

no combination of rhetoric, commitment and funding can solve the technical

and scheduling challenges that will prevent early deployment of any nationwide system. The technology is simply not ready.

The Bush administration is likely to spend its first months in office evaluating several options. First in line is Bill Clinton's plan, a national defense with missile interceptors based in Alaska and North Dakota. Last year the system failed two of its first three intercept tests,

while scientists showed that it is inherently vulnerable to simple countermeasures like decoys.

These problems, along strong international opposition from U.S. allies and

Russia, led Mr. Clinton to decide not to begin construction last year. When

announcing his decision, he admitted that his goal of having an initial

system in place by 2005 was not feasible, and claimed that 2006 or 2007 were more realistic dates. In fact, as the Pentagon's then head of testing told Congress last year, even those dates are optimistic.

Mr. Bush has pledged to deploy a system to protect U.S. troops overseas and

other countries, a goal that U.S.-based interceptors cannot achieve. To

reach that goal, he may consider a ship-based interceptor system, airplane-

or space-based laser systems, and a boost phase system designed to shoot

down missiles early in their flight, while the rockets are still firing.

It will be extremely difficult, however, to deploy any of these systems,

even if Mr. Bush wins a second term. The sea-based option would require

major changes to an existing, shorter range system, itself years from

deployment, while the space based option is more than a decade away from

its first test flight, and is sure to cause a storm of international

criticism.

Along with technical troubles, Mr. Bush may face internal fights. Secretary

of Defense Donald Rumsfeld is personally committed to deployment. However,

he admits that he is an expert only on the threat, not on missile defense

technology. He does not have the answers, and will soon find that there are

no easy or quick ones.

Secretary of State Colin Powell endorsed developing missile defenses, but

he has been more cautious about deployment. He seems unlikely to endanger

relations with Russia and China or offend U.S. allies in Europe for a dubious defense.

Logistical and technological constraints will prevent Mr. Bush from deployment any time soon, but he could do substantial political damage in

the near term. He has made statements suggesting U.S. withdrawal from the

Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty, which prohibits the United States and Russia

from building national missile defenses. The treaty is central to efforts

to verifiably reduce Russia's aging nuclear arsenal and should not be abandoned for an unproved defense.

Expect a lot of noise and fury, a few billion dollars more in research funding for a variety of missile defense options, and further delays in deployment. In the meantime, changes in threat perceptions, continuing European opposition and rising costs may make the seemingly inevitable sensibly avoidable.

<center>***

</center>

The writer is senior analyst at the Union of Concerned Scientists in Washington. He contributed this comment to the International Herald Tribune.

Daryl Kimball, Executive Director

Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers

110 Maryland Avenue NE, Suite 505

Washington, DC 20002

(ph) 202-546-0795 x136 (fax) 202-546-7970

website <<<http://www.crnd.org>>>

</x-rich>

Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 06:25:17 +0000
From: "Richard K. Heacock, Jr." <akimpact@mosquitonet.com>
Reply-To: akimpact@mosquitonet.com
Organization: Alaska IMPACT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: CarolCWalker@aol.com
CC: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net,
RevGwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com,
RevMMBird@aol.com, jefffrancis@juno.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,
jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com,
AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com,
claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com,
icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttmc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com,
lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

Hi, Carol!

Thanks for your offer. I support the idea of contributions to a fund at national PWJ in her honor (and the card from a bookstore!). This would be in the best tradition of Alternatives and the Heiffer Project (contributing animals to go on an Ark) which really got our local UMC excited last year.

Dick

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\akimpact.vcf"

Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 11:21:34 -0600
From: Wanda Holcombe PwJ <wholcomb@umcswtx.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
To: Clara Lou Humphrey <claralou@qwest.net>
CC: akimpact@mosquitonet.com, CarolCWalker@aol.com,
ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, afong@jps.net,
Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net,
paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jeffrancis@juno.com,
jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net,
mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, AHeart1000@cs.com,
hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net,
milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com,
ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com,
lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com,
sandy@citlink.net
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

Thanks for the news update on Robin and her next steps.

Grace & Peace,
Wanda

Clara Lou Humphrey wrote:

> I won't be at the gathering, but want to let you all know that I've heard
> that Robin has accepted a job with FAVAN (Families Against Violence
> Advocacy Network) and will be very much engaged in Peace With Justice Work,
> just not under the GBCS. I also like the idea of a donation to a program
> (FAVAN?) as well as a gift certificate for a book store. My husband, Bill,
> plans to be at the gathering.
>
> "Richard K. Heacock, Jr." wrote:
>
>> Hi, Carol!
>>
>> Thanks for your offer. I support the idea of contributions to a fund at
>> national PWJ in her honor (and the card from a bookstore!). This would
>> be in the best tradition of Alternatives and the Heiffer Project
>> (contributing animals to go on an Ark) which really got our local UMC
>> excited last year.
>>
>> Dick

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.2
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 04:52:20 -0600
From: "Greg Laszakovits" <glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org>
To: mupj@igc.org
Subject: Re: State visits

Howard,

Apologies for getting back with you later rather than sooner. I have a couple of questions about logistics. Will Larry be calling people in Indiana, or is he just stting up the time right now? Should I alert my other folks to be in touch with him?

Greg

Greg Laszakovits
Director, Church of the Brethren Washington Office
337 North Carolina Avenue
Washington, DC 20003
202.546.3202

>>> "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> 02/02/01 08:58AM >>>
Greg,

We are moving ahead in Indiana to try to get visits with Senator Lugar. Larry Hayes from your list is organizing a delegation in northeastern Indiana, and a Disciples minister is organizing a delegation in the Indianapolis area. So far I haven't found anyone in Pennsylvania to take on this task. At the moment I am concentrating on Nebraska and Oregon.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

To: CarolCWalker@aol.com

Cc: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net,
Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net,
paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jeffrancis@juno.com,
jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org,
bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, akimpact@mosquiconet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com,
hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net,
milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com,
ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com,
lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net

Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 10:58:23 -0700

Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

X-Mailer: Juno 3.0.13

From: John E Francis <jeffrancis@juno.com>

This is a response to the "Gathering" comments..... I am assuming that copies of this go to all that have been involved in the dialogue. I am ready to send my \$20.00 [or a little more] to someone who is going to coordinate this. I agree that a donation, in Robin's name, to some PwJ project would be good. However, I also think that in addition some gift (book certificate or be it bonsai) to her personally would be good. I want to write a personal note to her to express my appreciation for who she is and for what she does - - - if other personal notes are collected and put in a volume it would be a good memory for her. Shalom, -
John Ed.

X-Sender: m9628@toadmail.toad.net
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.0.2
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 13:31:22 -0500
To: mupj@igc.org
From: "J. Wayne Ruddock" <wruddock@baltimorepresbytery.org>
Subject: Interfaith Coalition for Peace meeting

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Thank you for agreeing to speak to the Interfaith Coalition for Peace at our meeting March 20th at 1:30 pm on the topic: Interfaith Action for Nuclear Disarmament. The meeting will be held at Cathedral House, which is at the north-east corner of University Parkway and North Charles Street in Baltimore.

We look forward to meeting you and hearing your thoughts on this important matter.

J. Wayne Ruddock, Chair
Interfaith Coalition for Peace

From: "bruce edwards" <b3ruce@socket.net>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice
Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 13:33:16 -0600
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

howard, i am not sure at this moment if i will be there on march 23rd or not. if i am able to attend i will need host housing--that much is certain. by when do you absolutely have to know--what's my last deadline? i'll try and get you an answer by the time you need one, but the next 8-10 days are just terrible for me here.

bruce edwards

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <b3ruce@socket.net>; <visionaires@hotmail.com>; <jameshipkn@sssnet.com>; <pvmsmagic@aol.com>; <srhodes@igc.org>; <3rdM@gte.net>
Sent: Friday, February 02, 2001 7:40 AM
Subject: Board meeting: 2nd notice

> To: Board of Directors
>
> Re: Board meeting announcement -- 2nd notice
>
> The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice will
> meet on Friday, March 23, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Foundry
> United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Please let
> me know whether you plan to attend.
>
> Shalom,
> Howard
>
> ####
>
> ____ I will attend the meeting of the Board of Directors on March 23,
2001.
>
> ____ Sorry, I cannot attend.
>
> ____ I am interested in having host housing.
>
>
> Name:
>
> Howard W. Hallman, Chair
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice
> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

From: AHeart1000@cs.com

Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 17:47:34 EST

Subject: Robin Ringler

To: Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net, Revgwen1@aol.com,
pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com,
RevMMBird@aol.com, jefrancis@juno.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,
jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com,
akimpact@mosquitonet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net,
Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com,
Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com,
kenttmc@ffni.com, CarolCWalker@aol.com, wlparker@bayou.com,
lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net

X-Mailer: CompuServe 2000 32-bit sub 107

Hi all. Robin is not retiring - she is moving on to something else.

What if we were to present her with a special book--created by PWJ
Ministers--containing quotes and messages about what keeps our souls
motivated to do PWJ ministry, or something along those lines that she could
use or just read and enjoy from time to time.

Just a thought. Annie

From: Lsabin1313@aol.com
Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 18:08:03 EST
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering
To: jeffrancis@juno.com, CarolCWalker@aol.com
CC: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net,
Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net,
paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,
jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com,
akimpact@mosquitonet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net,
Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com,
Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com,
kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net,
cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net
X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 67

I agree with the donation to something and await a destination for the \$20. I
also agree with a collection of notes from each of us. Linda

From: Lsabin1313@aol.com

Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 18:27:18 EST

Subject: Re: Robin Ringler

To: AHeart1000@cs.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net, Revgwen1@aol.com,
pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com,
RevMMBird@aol.com, jefrancis@juno.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,
jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com,
akimpact@mosquitonet.com, hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com,
claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com,
icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttunc@ffni.com,
CarolCWalker@aol.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net,
3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net

X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 67

I like that too. Linda

From: "Mladinov, Ann" <AMladinov@NAPAWASH.ORG>

To: ebeaumo@aol.com, jkunde@aol.com, jkirlin@iupu.edu, wncassella@aol.com, mupj@igc.org, randy_johnson@co.hennepin.mn.us, posnerp.aimd@gao.gov, andrew_fogarty@csx.com, svara@ncsu.edu, gormleyw@gunet.georgetown.edu, FXHOUSE@COMPUSERVE.COM, BMCADOWELL@napawash.org, revhillenbrand@aol.com, jpt6n@virginia.edu, merget@indiana.edu, gfred@ukans.edu, n-hoshi@nira.go.jp, dkrane@unomaha.edu, dswright@mindspring.com, bross@american.edu, novicL@earthlink.net, wise@indiana.edu, steve.carter@ci.champaign.il.us, labeals@AOL.COM

Cc: amladinov@napawash.org

Subject: Federal System Forum on Supreme Court/Transition Highlights

Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 18:31:03 -0500

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)

<<meeting highlights 11-16-00.doc>>

Thank you again for participating in NAPA's Federal System Panel Meeting in November. Due to a glitch in my e-mail messaging, I am afraid you did not receive a copy of the meeting highlights from Panel Chair Beth Kellar. On her behalf I am resending a copy of those notes, including the intergovernmental accomplishments and challenges the panel identified for the transition, as well as an invitation to the next forum sponsored by the panel. Summaries of the February 21 forum will also appear on the panel's Web site <www.excelgov.org/fsp> along with the highlights of recent meetings, copies of panel publications and papers from recent panel-sponsored conferences, and the panel's latest work plan.

FEDERALISM AND THE SUPREME COURT

Wednesday, February 21, 2001, 9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

at the NAPA offices, 8th Floor, 1120 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Speakers: Vicki Jackson, Associate Dean, Georgetown University Law School
Richard Rudo, Chief Counsel, State and
Local Legal Center

The panel will discuss Supreme Court decisions in recent sessions, cases now pending, and the trends they suggest in court perspectives on federal-state-local relations and the balance of power in the federal system.

Please RSVP to me at the Academy 202-347-3190 or 1-800-883-3190 or by e-mail <amladinov@napawash.org>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\meeting highlights 11-16-00.doc"

X-Sender: m9628@toadmail.toad.net
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.0.2
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 13:31:22 -0500
To: mupj@igc.org
From: "J. Wayne Ruddock" <wruddock@baltimorepresbytery.org>
Subject: Interfaith Coalition for Peace meeting

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Thank you for agreeing to speak to the Interfaith Coalition for Peace at our meeting March 20th at 1:30 pm on the topic: Interfaith Action for Nuclear Disarmament. The meeting will be held at Cathedral House, which is at the north-east corner of University Parkway and North Charles Street in Baltimore.

We look forward to meeting you and hearing your thoughts on this important matter.

J. Wayne Ruddock, Chair
Interfaith Coalition for Peace

From: "bruce edwards" <b3ruce@socket.net>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice
Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 13:33:16 -0600
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

howard, i am not sure at this moment if i will be there on march 23rd or not. if i am able to attend i will need host housing--that much is certain. by when do you absolutely have to know--what's my last deadline? i'll try and get you an answer by the time you need one, but the next 8-10 days are just terrible for me here.

bruce edwards

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <b3ruce@socket.net>; <visionaires@hotmail.com>; <jameshipkn@sssnet.com>; <pvmsmagic@aol.com>; <srhodes@igc.org>; <3rdM@gte.net>
Sent: Friday, February 02, 2001 7:40 AM
Subject: Board meeting: 2nd notice

> To: Board of Directors
>
> Re: Board meeting announcement -- 2nd notice
>
> The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice will
> meet on Friday, March 23, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Foundry
> United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Please let
> me know whether you plan to attend.
>
> Shalom,
> Howard
>
> #####
>
> ____ I will attend the meeting of the Board of Directors on March 23,
2001.
>
> ____ Sorry, I cannot attend.
>
> ____ I am interested in having host housing.
>
>
> Name:
>
> Howard W. Hallman, Chair
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice
> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

To: "bruce edwards" <b3ruce@socket.net>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Board meeting: 2nd notice
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <000601c09073\$f0dfa000\$28336ad8@b3ruce>
References: <3.0.3.32.20010202084003.0068f088@pop2.igc.org>

At 01:33 PM 2/6/01 -0600, you wrote:

>howard, i am not sure at this moment if i will be there on march 23rd or
>not. if i am able to attend i will need host housing--that much is certain.
>by when do you absolutely have to know--what's my last deadline?

Bruce,

I may need a week or two to line up host housing. If you know by early March, that will give me enough time.

Howard

From: CarolCWalker@aol.com
Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 22:09:13 EST
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering
To: jeffrancis@juno.com, CarolCWalker@aol.com
CC: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net,
Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net,
paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,
jimvert@worldnet.att.net, mupj@igc.org, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com,
akimpact@mosquitonet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net,
Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com,
Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com,
kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net,
cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net
X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Mac sub 28

OK, gang,

I've now heard from about 8-10 of you, so if you want to contribute, send a check (let's say not more than \$20) to Carol Walker, 5001 Strass Dr., Austin TX 78731. I'll be checking on what the vote is for the gift/s. Lots of good ideas.

And if Carol Windrum will take the lead in a celebration/skit/testimonial, whatever, that will be great. I'm sure that all suggestions will be welcome to her, too.

On a sobering note, mourn with me, wear black, weep for the new reality in Israel. The butcher of Beirut will now lead the bellicose right wing to the heights of brutal power. I pray that the voice of moderation will be heard through the rattling of sabers.

Grieving, Carol

To: CarolCWalker@aol.com, jeffrancis@juno.com, CarolCWalker@aol.com

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

Cc: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net, Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net, paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, akimpact@mosquionet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net

Bcc:

X-Attachments:

In-Reply-To: <78.1028a07c.27b21659@aol.com>

References:

At 10:09 PM 2/6/01 EST, CarolCWalker@aol.com wrote:

>OK, gang,

>I've now heard from about 8-10 of you, so if you want to contribute, send a

>check (let's say not more than \$20) to Carol Walker, 5001 Strass Dr., Austin

>TX 78731. I'll be checking on what the vote is for the gift/s. Lots of good

>ideas.....

Dear Friends,

I want to participate in giving Robin recognition. I'll be sending my check to Carol. Since I'm based in D.C., I'm willing to help make a local purchase if you want me to, such as a book store gift certificate or a bonsai or whatever. Just let me know.

Howard

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-1413460-2444-981569460-mupj=igc.apc.org@returns.onelist.com
X-Sender: xstarwarx@juno.com
X-Apparently-To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
X-eGroups-Return: xstarwarx@juno.com
To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
User-Agent: eGroups-EW/0.82
X-Mailer: eGroups Message Poster
X-Originating-IP: 216.28.152.74
From: xstarwarx@juno.com
Mailing-List: list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com; contact abolition-caucus-owner@yahoogroups.com
Delivered-To: mailing list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:abolition-caucus-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:09:44 -0000
Subject: [abolition-caucus] New site about nuclear issues and the probblems they cause

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Nuke_Warfare

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~>
eGroups is now Yahoo! Groups
Click here for more details
http://click.egroups.com/1/11231/0/_/91925/_/981569461/
-----_->

To subscribe to the Abolition Global Caucus, send an email from the account you wish to be subscribed to: "abolition-caucus-subscribe@egroups.com"

Do not include a subject line or any text in the body of the message.

From: "Surratt, Doris"

To: zz Social Equity Panel Subject: Social Equity Panel - Agenda Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001
14:19:54 -0500 X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)

Phil Rutledge asked me to express his regrets that he will not be able to participate in person in the Panel meeting on Friday. Phil will chair the session via conference call and Gail Christopher, Vice-Chair, will chair the session from the conference room. The agenda will consist primarily of business and program planning matters. The main part of the meeting (that connected via teleconference) should be completed by 12:00 noon. Lunch will be served and those in the conference room may continue the conversation regarding details of the session and other strategic planning. The following is the meeting agenda.

AGENDA

STANDING PANEL ON SOCIAL EQUITY IN GOVERNANCE

February 9, 2001 - 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Academy Conference Room - Washington, DC

- | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1) Welcome and Opening Remarks | Phil Rutledge |
| 2) Technology Strategies to Improve Panel Deliberations | Costis Torgas |
| 3) Panel Meeting in Indiana | Phil Rutledge |
| 4) Progress on Planning 'Summit Meeting' | Jim Kunde and
Gail Christopher |
| 5) Social Equity Issues in Federal Government Agencies | Cora Beebe |

Doris Surratt

dsurratt@napawash.org

PH: 202-347-3190

X-Sender: abolition2000@abolition2000.org

Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 11:32:21 -0700

To: zia@princeton.edu, alichterman@worldnet.att.net, alynw@attglobal.net, mupj@igc.apc.org,
crramey@igc.apc.org, Dave@paxchristiusa.org, robwcpuk@gn.apc.org,
greensfelder@igc.apc.org, aslater@gracelinks.org, fme@int.knooppunt.bewslf@earthlink.net,
mbkalinowski@yahoo.com, dkrieger@napf.org, miltoxpr@ime.net, globalnet@mindspring.com,
scheffran@hrzpub.th-darmstadt.de

From: Carah Lynn Ong

Subject: Abolition 2000 Working Group Update

Dear Abolition 2000 Working Group Conveners,

Abolition 2000 will be mailing out an update to all of its member organizations at the end of February. The Coordinating Committee would like to include a list of all working group conveners and their contact information so that individuals and organizations will know how to become more involved in the work of the Network. Below is the most current list of contacts. Please review the list and let me know if the information is correct. Also, if you would like to include a brief 2-3 line update on your working group, please send it to me along with any changes to the contact information no later than Monday, 12 February 2001. Thank you for your continued support of the Network. My best wishes to you in all your endeavors.

In peace and solidarity,
Carah Ong

Nuclear Weapons Convention:

Jurgen Scheffran

INESAP, Institut fuer Kernphysik,

Schlossartenstrae 9

D-64289, Darmstadt, Germany

tel: +49-6151-163016, fax: +49-6151-166039

email: scheffran@hrzpub.th-darmstadt.de

and

Alyn Ware

Lawyers' Committee on Nuclear Policy

211 East 43rd St. #1204

New York, NY 10017

tel: 212-818-1861, fax: +1-212-818-1857

email: alynw@attglobal.net; URL: www.lcnp.org

To subscribe to the NWC email list, please email Alyn Ware.

Religious Organizations:

Howard Hallman

Methodists United for Peace With Justice

1500 16th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036, USA
tel & fax: +1-301-896-0013
email: mupj@igc.apc.org

and

Clayton Ramey
Fellowship of Reconciliation
Nyack, NY 10960
tel: 914-358-4601 - fax: 914-358-4924
email: crramey@igc.apc.org

and

Dave Robinson,
Pax Christi
532 West 8th Street
Erie PA 16502
tel: 814-453-4955 - fax: 814-452-4784
email: Dave@paxchristiusa.org

To subscribe to the Religious Working Group E-Mail list server, contact Howard Hallman.

Overcoming Nuclear Threats/Legal Issues:

Rob Green

WCP UK

2 Chiswick House, High Street
Twyford, Berks, RG10 8AG, England
tel & fax: +44-734-340-258
email: robwcpuk@gn.apc.org

Non-Nuclear Security Model for Europe:

Solange Fernex

WILPF and Greens, France

F-68480, Biederthal, France

tel: +33-1-89-407183, fax: +33-1-89-407804

NATO:

Working Group Convenor Needed

Sustainable Energy

Claire Greensfelder

Plutonium Free Future

2267 Summer Street

Berkeley, CA 94709

tel: +1- 510-849-1342, fax:+1- 510-849-2549,

email: greensfelder@igc.apc.org

and

Alice Slater

Global Resource Action Center for the Environment

15 E. 26th Street, Rm. 915
New York, N.Y. 11215
tel: +1-212-726-9161, fax: +1-212-726-9160
email: aslater@gracelinks.org

CTBT and Beyond:

Jackie Cabasso
Western States Legal Foundation
1440 Broadway, Suite 500
Oakland, CA 94612, USA
tel: +1-510-839-5877, fax: +1-510-839-5397
email: wslf@earthlink.net

Radiation Health Effects:

Working Group Convenor Needed

Abolition Days Direct Action:

Pol D'Huyvetter
For Mother Earth
Lange Steenstraat 16/D
Gent, B-9000, Belgium
tel: + [32] (9) 233 73 02, fax: + [32] (9) 233 84 39
email: fme@int.knooppunt.be
To join the A-days listserve, please email Pol D'Huyvetter

Weapon Usable Radioactive Materials:

Martin Kalinowski
INESAP, Institut fuer Kernphysik,
Schlossartenstrasse 9
D-64289, Darmstadt, Germany
tel: +49-6151-163016, fax: +49-6151-166039
email: mbkalinowski@yahoo.com

Grassroots:

David Krieger
Nuclear Age Peace Foundation
PMB 121, 1187 Coast Village Road, Suite 1
Santa Barbara, CA 93108-2794
tel: +1- 805-965-3443, fax: +1- 805-568-0466
email: dkrieger@napf.org

Depleted Uranium Working Group:

Tara Thornton
Military Toxics Project
60 Pine Street, Suite 2
Lewiston ME 04240

tel: 207-783-5091

email: miltoxpr@ime.net

BMD/Nuclearization of Space:

Bruce Gagnon

Global Network Against Weapons & Nuclear Power in Space

PO Box 90083

Gainesville, FL 32607

Tel: +1 (352) 337-9274

Email: globalnet@mindspring.com URL <http://www.globenet.free-online.co.uk>

Communications:

Richard Salvador

Pacific Islands Association of NGOs

2424 Maile Way, Porteus #640

Honolulu, Hawai'i 96822

tel: 808-956-8141 ax: 808-956-6877

salvador@hawaii.edu

Finance:

David Krieger

Nuclear Age Peace Foundation

PMB 121, 1187 Coast Village Road, Suite 1

Santa Barbara, CA 93108-2794

tel: +1- 805-965-3443, fax: +1- 805-568-0466

email: DKrieger@napf.org

Non-Aligned Movement

Working Group Convener needed

Missile Flight Test Ban

Jurgen Scheffran

INESAP, Institut fuer Kernphysik,

Schlossartenstrae 9

D-64289, Darmstadt, Germany

tel: +49-6151-163016, fax: +49-6151-166039

email: scheffran@hrzpub.th-darmstadt.de

and

Andrew Lichterman

Western States Legal Foundation

alichterman@worldnet.att.net

and

Zia Mian

Princeton University

zia@princeton.edu

To: Carah Lynn Ong <admin@abolition2000.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Abolition 2000 Working Group Update
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <a05010405b6a7431c2cdf@[192.168.10.37]>
References:

Carah,

The Religious Working Group is no longer functioning. I dropped the list serve a year or more ago. So you can drop my name from the list. However, you may want to ask Dave Robinson and Ibrahim Ramey whether they still want to be listed as a contact.

Howard

From: "Housmans Peace Resource Project" <worldpeace@gn.apc.org>
To: "\"Howard W. Hallman\""" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
Subject: Re: [abolition-caucus] We're withdrawing
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 20:48:04 -0800
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 12:39:13
Subject: Re: [abolition-caucus] We're withdrawing
Reply-to: worldpeace@gn.apc.org

Dear friend.....

> To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
> From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
> Date sent: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 16:45:48 -0500
> Subject: [abolition-caucus] We're withdrawing

>

> Dear Friends,

>

> Since the debate started about the abolition-caucus list-serve, the volume
> of unsolicited news stories and messages on tangential topics has grown
> even larger. It's become too overwhelming. Therefore, with great
> reluctance we are going to unsubscribe.

>

> Those who maintain separate lists for UNGA and CD happenings, please
> include us. For those who want to reach me for the work I do with the
> faith community in the United States as chair of the Interfaith Committee
> for Nuclear Disarmament, please contact me directly at mupj@igc.org.

>

> Shalom,

> Howard W. Hallman

>

> Howard W. Hallman, Chair

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice

> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

Firstly, can I say that I sympathise with your withdrawal from the list, and regret it because the fewer people there are sharing ideas and information on this list, the less useful it is for those that remain. I wish that the organisers of the list would exclude input from people who send so many messages which are away from the main purpose of the list. It seems that they are the ones who should leave the list.

Have you tried asking those in charge of the list to do this?

And have you tried approaching directly the people who are filling the list with off-topic material?

On a completely separate topic - I am the editor of the Housmans World Peace Database & Directory. And MUPJ does of course appear in the US section of the database. However, I see from my records that the annual directory update forms (which are sent to every organisation on the database) have not come back to me from MUPJ for the last couple of years. But, I see that the address you give on your e-mail is the same as the one I have logged for MUPJ - so I presume that it is still the correct information. But in case there is some distinction between your own address and the official address for MUPJ, perhaps you could confirm for me that what I have (as below) is still correct for listing for MUPJ. Thanks.

Methodists United for Peace with Justice, 1500 16th St NW,
Washington, DC 20036, USA (tel/fax +1-301-896 0013; e-mail
mupj@igc.org).

Whilst checking this, I note that your message also refers to something called the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament. This is not an organisation which I currently have on the database - though it sounds similar to another one which I did see a reference to recently, the Interfaith Campaign for a Nuclear-Free World. So perhaps you could let me know whether or not these two groups are related; also, what are the official publishable contact details for your Interfaith Committee; and lastly, if the two are not related, can you tell me anything you know about the group I have come across (details below), since they haven't replied to my query to them.

Interfaith Campaign for a Nuclear-Free World, c/o St
Camillus Catholic Center, 1911 Zonal Ave, Los Angeles, CA
90033, USA (tel +1-323-223 9047; fax 225 9096; web
<http://www.circlesofhope.org>).

Many thanks for whatever help you can give me on these points.

Best wishes

Albert Beale

|
| From: |
| Albert Beale, Housmans Peace Resource Project |

| 5 Caledonian Road, Kings Cross, London N1, UK |
| Tel +44-20-7278 4474 Fax +44-20-7278 0444 |
| E-mail worldpeace@gn.apc.org |

| The Project produces the World Peace Database |
| which includes up-to-date contact details for |
| over 3000 peace and related organisations, in |
| more than 170 countries. |

To: "Housmans Peace Resource Project" <worldpeace@gn.apc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: [abolition-caucus] We're withdrawing
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To: <00a701c0918d\$0bd37e80\$926a45c2@nva>
References:

At 08:48 PM 2/7/01 -0800, you wrote:

>....Have you tried asking those in charge of the list to do this?
>And have you tried approaching directly the people who are
>filling the list with off-topic material?

Answer: I am holding back with my unsubscribe notice with the hope that I and others might stir the list managers to action. I haven't received anything from "kevcross" (if I have the name right), who has been the greatest nuisance, for 24 hours, so maybe he repented or was kicked off the list. I haven't wanted to confront any of the abusers directly.

....perhaps you could confirm for me that what I have (as below) is
>still correct for listing for MUPJ. Thanks.

>
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice, 1500 16th St NW,
> Washington, DC 20036, USA (tel/fax +1-301-896 0013; e-mail
> mupj@igc.org).

Yes, that's correct.

Whilst checking this, I note that your message also refers to
>something called the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear
>Disarmament.

This is an ad hoc coalition that has participation from about 35 denominational offices and religious associations in the United States. I serve as chair based on consensus. We have no other officers, no staff, no mailing address or telephone of our own. It is easier to hold it together in this informal manner than to have a formal organization. Therefore, it isn't particularly the kind of organization to be listed in your directory.

This is not an organisation which I currently
>have on the database - though it sounds similar to another one
>which I did see a reference to recently, the Interfaith
>Campaign for a Nuclear-Free World.....

>
> Interfaith Campaign for a Nuclear-Free World, c/o St
> Camillus Catholic Center, 1911 Zonal Ave, Los Angeles, CA
> 90033, USA (tel +1-323-223 9047; fax 225 9096; web
> <http://www.circlesofhope.org>).

This is an organization that based in Southern California. I know very little about them, but they are on my list of organizations to get in contact with.

Shalom,
Howard

To: david@fcnl.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Notes on Senate staff visits
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

David,

Here's my memo of January 30

To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

First, a reminder that the next meeting will be on Tuesday, February 13 from 1:00 to 2:30 p.m. in the Methodist Building, Conference Room 4, 100 Maryland Avenue, NE. For the remainder of 2001 we will meet at the same time and place on the second Tuesday of each month except August.

We have now completed visits with defense aides of eight Republican senators: Chafee, Collins, Domenici, Hagel, Jeffords, Lugar, Snowe, and Specter. We are still seeking appointments with aides of Senators Smith (OR) and Stevens. Here is a summary of what we are finding out.

CTBT. It won't come up this year. Next year is possible only if President Bush wants the treaty reconsidered. Some who voted against the CTBT are open to reconsideration but will need to be persuaded. One supporter suggested that we should keep the CTBT on our list of demands.

De-alerting. Most haven't thought much about it. They need more information on how it would work, on verification issues. They would likely support de-alerting if initiated by President Bush and accepted by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Strategic arms reduction. The START II protocol extending the time would pass easily if brought up separately from the ABM protocol. START III with further reductions would have support. They tend to be willing to accept presidential initiative for reductions through executive action though there is some verification concern.

Restrictions. Language in defense authorization that restricts de-alerting and strategic reductions through executive action was intended to block action by President Clinton. The Senate would readily remove such restrictions if requested by President Bush.

Nunn-Lugar. There is strong support for the Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction program.

NMD. Most of the senators support National Missile Defense in some form. Some would prefer sea-based to land-based. Cost is a concern. For one or two the effect on U.S. allies is also a concern. Some want to assure that the ABM treaty remains in force. Altogether support for NMD is less than one hundred percent solid.

On a number of these matters senators' defense aides, and presumably the senators themselves, haven't given a great deal of thought this early in the session. Most of the aides are receptive to receiving further information. Our visits have helped raise their conscienceness on these issues and set the stage for further contacts.

Laurie Schultz Heim on Senator Jefford's staff suggested that we should extend our visits to other offices, totaling 30 to 35 senators who might be favorably disposed to our views on some of the issues. Therefore, I suggest that we keep going by adding the other Republicans on the Foreign Relations Committee (except Helms), that is, Senators Thomas, Frist, Brownback, and Allen, and that we go next to the Armed Services Committee, starting with Senators Warner, McCain, Roberts, and Sessions. Any views on this?

In a separate memo I will report on where we are on lining up home-state visits. (Not as far).

Shalom,
Howard

To: "Kris Hoxha" <bethesdaum@aol.com>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Bulletin announcement
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

Kris,

If there is space in Sunday's bulletin, please include the following notice.

DRIVERS NEEDED. Casa del Pueblo in D.C. is looking for volunteers to drive their van to pick up children for their after school program. One driver is needed each day, Monday through Friday from 3:00 to 4:00 p.m. A staff person accompanies the van to be with the children. For further information, contact Howard Hallman at 301 897-3668/

Thanks,
Howard

Religious Leaders' Appeal to President Bush to De-alert Nuclear Weapons

January 25, 2001

Dear President George W. Bush,

We, leaders and members of national religious organizations, join in an interfaith appeal for you to take action to reduce the threat of accidental nuclear war. Specifically we ask for your commitment to work with Russian leaders to take all nuclear weapons off "hair-trigger" alert.

Although the Cold War ended over a decade ago, the United States and Russia combined have five thousand nuclear weapons--the equivalent of 100,000 Hiroshima bombs-- on hyper-alert status, ready to be fired at a moment's notice. In a time of crisis or perceived attack, decision makers on both sides have only minutes to decide whether to launch a nuclear strike.

A single miscalculation or computer error could lead to nuclear war. We have already come too close to this ultimate catastrophe. In 1995, a U.S. research rocket launched off the coast of Norway appeared on Russian radar screens. Because the rocket had a profile similar to that of a nuclear missile from a U.S. Trident submarine, Russian radar could not distinguish the research rocket from a U.S. nuclear missile. Russia came within minutes of launching their own nuclear missiles at the United States. The United States and Russia narrowly avoided nuclear disaster, instigated because of poor communications and the hair-trigger alert status of U.S. and Russian nuclear missiles.

The continued deterioration of Russia's radar and early warning systems only increases the nuclear danger. The poor conditions of Russian facilities, substandard training and pay, and low morale of personnel increases the likelihood of mistakes. The recent Russian Kursk submarine tragedy reminds us that we are just one accident away from nuclear war. The security of the United States--and the world--now rests with an increasingly fragile and vulnerable Russian nuclear system.

The United States and Russia should move now to end the threat of accidental nuclear war, by "de-alerting" their arsenals--taking them off the hair-trigger. De-alerting means lengthening the time needed to prepare nuclear missiles for launch. One method of de-alerting, endorsed by General George Lee Butler, USAF (Ret.), former Commander-in-Chief of the U.S. strategic command, is separating nuclear warheads from their missiles. This would give U.S. and

Russian officials more time to make an assessment of any threat. It would provide a critical margin of safety in case of a failure of early warning systems or nuclear command and control.

Lengthening the launch time of nuclear missiles from minutes to hours, days, or even weeks is like putting a safety lock on nuclear weapons.

To protect the world from nuclear disaster, the United States can and should take the lead on de-alerting. There is a precedent. In 1991, President Bush removed hundreds of U.S. warheads from high-alert status, which prompted President Gorbachev to do the same.

De-alerting is a critical step toward reducing the nuclear threat and building common security among nations. We seek to achieve these goals through a continuing process of arms control and multilateral diplomacy.

Within our faith communities, policies concerning nuclear weapons raise profound questions about our moral responsibilities, the integrity of God's creation, and human destiny. These moral questions persist as long as the threat of nuclear war continues. As an interfaith community, we assert that the de-alerting of all nuclear weapons is a prudent and necessary step toward eliminating the threat of nuclear war.

We urge you, as the President of the United States, to take action to remove all nuclear weapons off hair-trigger status. We await your response and would welcome the opportunity to meet with you on this important matter.

Sincerely,

(Faith Groups listed in
Alphabetical Order)

Christian:
Councils of Churches
Bob Edgar
General Secretary
National Council of Churches

J. George Reed
Executive Director
North Carolina Council of
Churches

Baptist
The Rev. Dr. James M. Dunn

Wake Forest University Divinity
School

Rev. Lonnie Turner
Cooperative Baptist Fellowship,
Washington Office

Church of the Brethren
Joe Detrick
District Executive
Church of the Brethren,
Southern Pennsylvania District

Georgia R. Markey
Associate District Executive
Church of the Brethren,
Southern Pennsylvania District

Disciples of Christ
Dr. Richard Hamm
General Minister and President
Christian Church (Disciples of
Christ) in US & Canada

Rev. Barbara Fuller
Christian Church (Disciples of
Christ) of Ann Arbor, MI

Episcopal
Reverend Frank T. Griswold
Presiding Bishop and Primate
Episcopal Church

Rev. J. Edwin Bacon, Jr.
Rector
All Saints Episcopal Church

Episcopal Peace Fellowship:
The Reverend David O. Selzer,
Chair; Janet G. Chisholm, Vice
Chair; Christopher Pottle,
Treasurer;
Mary H. Miller, Executive
Secretary;
William Stuart-Whistler,
Co-Convener, Nuclear Abolition
Interest Group; William K.
Yates, Co-Convener, Nuclear

Abolition Interest Group

Lutheran
Reverend H. George Anderson
Presiding Bishop
Evangelical Lutheran Church in
America

Mennonite
Jose Ortiz
Executive Director
Mennonite Central Committee,
US

Daryl Byler
Director
Mennonite Central Committee,
Washington Office

Methodist
Bishop Melvin G. Talbert
Ecumenical Officer
The United Methodist Church,
Council of Bishops

Jim Winkler
General Secretary
General Board of Church &
Society
The United Methodist Church

Albert F. Mutti
Resident Bishop, Kansas Area
The United Methodist Church

James H. Zeisloft
Executive Director
A United Methodist Witness in
Pennsylvania

Rev. Galen Wray
United Methodist Church,
Christian Social Witness

Rev. Arthur M. Crawford
Minister, Retired
United Methodist Church

Robert E. Hughes
Chair, Board of Church and
Society
Pacific Northwest United
Methodist Annual Conference

Howard W. Hallman
Chair
Methodists United for Peace
with Justice

Orthodox Christian
The Most Rev. Metropolitan
Christopher
Presiding Bishop - Episcopal
Council
Serbian Orthodox Church in the
USA and Canada, St. Sava
Serbian Orthodox Monastery

Presbyterian
Reverend Dr. Cliff Kirkpatrick
Stated Clerk of the General
Assembly
Presbyterian Church, USA

Reformed Church in America

The Rev. Wesley
Granberg-Michaelson
General Secretary
Reformed Church in America

Religious Society of Friends
(Quakers)
Mary Ellen McNish
General Secretary
American Friends Service
Committee

James Matlack
Director
American Friends Service
Committee, Washington Office

Joe Volk
Executive Secretary
Friends Committee on National

Legislation

Bruce Birchard
General Secretary
Friends General Conference
(For purposes of identification
only)

Renda McCaughan
Secretary
Atlanta Friends Meeting

Norval Hadley
Executive Director
Evangelical Friends Mission

Francis Elling
Clerk
Oread Friends Meeting

Henry Elkins
Clerk
Purchase Quarterly Meeting

Roman Catholic
Father Robert F. Drinan
Professor
Georgetown University Law
Center

Bishop Walter F. Sullivan
Catholic Diocese of Richmond
President
Pax Christi, USA

Nancy Small
National Coordinator
Pax Christi, USA

Anne Hablas
PBVM
Sisters of the Presentation,
Catholic Diocese of Knoxville,
TN

Kathy Thornton, RSM
National Coordinator
NETWORK: A Catholic Social
Justice Lobby

Marcus and Glenda Keyes
Co-Directors
Justice-Peace-Integrity of
Creation (Catholic Diocese of
Knoxville)

Sister Maria Liebeck
Daughters of Charity

Rev. Michael Dodd
Director
Columban Fathers' Justice and
Peace Office

Sister Joan Pytlik, D.C.
Social Action Director
Catholic Diocese of Little Rock

United Church of Christ
Rev. Dr. Werner Lange
Pastor
Auburn Community Church,
United Church of Christ

Lynne M. Simcox
Interim Conference Minister
United Church of Christ, Rocky
Mountain Conference

Donald Clark
Convener
United Church of Christ, Network
for Environmental and Economic
Responsibility

Martha L. Lammers
Retired Missionary (40 yrs.) To
Japan
United Church of Christ

Other Ecumenical
Organizations
David Beckmann
President
Bread for the World

Dr. Danny Yu
President

Christian Leadership Exchange

Dr. Ronald J. Sider
President
Evangelicals for Social Action

William J. Price
Director
World Peacemakers

Jewish:
Rabbi Paul Menitoff
Executive Vice-President
Central Conference of American
Rabbis

Rabbi David Saperstein
Director
Religious Action Center of
Reform Judaism

Rabbi Arthur Waskow
Director
The Shalom Center

Ken Giles
Executive Committee
Jewish Peace Fellowship

Muslim:
Sayyid Muhammad Syeed,
Ph.D.
Secretary General
Islamic Society of North America

Dr. Mohammad A. Cheema
Member, Board of Directors
American Muslim Council

Wali Abdel-Ra'oof
Imam
New Orleans Majid

Native American:
Ines Talamantez
Professor of Native American
Religions and Philosophies

University of California, Santa
Barbara

Universalist:
Rev. Dr. John A. Buehrens
President
Unitarian Universalist
Association

Rev. Jane Dwinell
First Universalist Parish,
Unitarian Universalist

Brydie Palmore
Member of UUA Board of Review

Unitarian Universalist
Congregation of Hillsborough

Rev. Charles G. Kast
Parish Minister
The Community Church of
Chapel Hill, Unitarian
Universalist

This letter has been jointly
facilitated by the Friends
Committee on National
Legislation and the Back from
the Brink Campaign.

Joe Volk
Executive
Secretary
Friends
Committee on
National
Legislation
245 Second St.,
NE
Washington D.C.
20002
(202) 547-6000
(202) 547-6019
(Fax)

Ira Shorr
Director
Back From the

Brink Campaign
6856 Eastern
Ave., Suite 322
Washington, D.C.
20012
(202) 545-1001
(202) 545-1004
(Fax)

[Back to Top](#)

[This Issue's Index](#)

FCNL, 245 Second Street, NE, Washington, DC, 20002-5795 USA
phone: (202) 547-6000 fax: (202) 547-6019 email: fcnl@fcnl.org
In the U.S. (800) 630-1330

Have comments on this Web site? Please contact our webmaster.
[FCNL's Privacy Statement](#)

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-1413460-2445-981585387-mupj=igc.apc.org@returns.onelist.com
X-Sender: info@abolition2000.org X-Apparently-To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
X-Sender: abolition2000@abolition2000.org
To: abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com
From: Carah Lynn Ong
Mailing-List: list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com; contact
abolition-caucus-owner@yahoogroups.com
Delivered-To: mailing list abolition-caucus@yahoogroups.com List-Unsubscribe:
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 14:37:50 -0700
Subject: [abolition-caucus] PLEASE READ CAREFULLY!

Dear Abolition Caucus Subscribers,

There has been much discussion on the Abolition Global Caucus about the purpose of the list as well as recent problems with the list. I would like to take this opportunity to share several options members of the list have to receive email (see "A" below). However, I realize that changing your email preferences will not eliminate all the problems, which is why I would like to request on behalf of the Coordinating Committee that members please limit postings and practice proper email etiquette (see "B" below). Rather than losing members of this list, we need to be considerate of each other so that we can continue to network and share valuable information as well as reach out to new members. Thank you in advance for your consideration of others. If you have any questions about the information below, please feel free to contact me.

In conclusion, please carefully read the messages below and do not hesitate to contact me if you have any technical questions. My sincerest apologies on behalf of the Coordinating Committee for all those who have been inconvenienced by the recent problems. I hope we can work together to resolve this issue and utilize the caucus to its fullest potential.

In peace and solidarity,
Carah Ong
List Moderator

A) Email preferences:

1. Registering at Yahoo Groups

The Abolition Global Caucus is hosted by Yahoo Groups (formerly Egroups). As a member of the caucus, you may choose to register at: <http://groups.yahoo.com/>

2. Selecting format of email (html / plain text)

After registering at Yahoo Groups, it will list the groups to which you are a member on your home page. If you click on "My Preferences," you may edit your account. Click on the "Edit" button to modify your subscriptions and choose whether or not you wish to receive plain text messages or html messages. Don't forget to click on "Save Changes" once you have selected the appropriate format!

3. Selecting Mail Delivery Preferences (individual emails, daily digest, web only)

As a member of Yahoo groups, you can also select your own mail delivery preferences. For those who feel overwhelmed by the amount of daily traffic on the abolition caucus, I recommend receiving a daily digest of emails. While I recognize that this will not alleviate the problem for those who are charged by the minute for internet service, or those with slower connections, it will improve the amount of email traffic you receive. The daily digest is a summary of activity and messages posted on the caucus each day. The daily digest will inform you of what is being posted and if you wish to review the entire text of a message, you can visit the abolition caucus website.

To change your preferences, click on "My Groups." Click on "Edit Mail Delivery Preferences" to select whether you wish to receive individual emails, the daily digest, or web only messages.

4. Abolition Caucus Website

Yahoo Groups provide a website for each listserv. All members of Yahoo Groups can click on "My Groups" after signing in at <http://groups.yahoo.com> "My Groups" will list all the groups to which you belong. Simply click on the group you wish to view. On the Abolition Caucus website, you can browse through recently posted messages, search for a particular message, or search in the message archive. Another potentially valuable option on the website is the "Chat" feature. For those who wish to discuss a particular issue or message, you can invite other members of the caucus to join in chat. There is a "Chat Help" feature if you would like more information on this subject. The "Chat" option will help alleviate back and forth dialogue, but at the same time allow members who wish to participate in a certain discussion do so. The "Chat" can also be saved in an archive if any member wishes to review the discussion that took place.

B) Email Etiquette

-Please be considerate of other members of the listserv.

-Please refrain from conducting conversations back and forth with other members of the listserve, whenever possible. Please respond to the author of an email rather than the entire listserve.

-Please be considerate of other members of the listserv.

-Please only post messages of international relevance to this listserve (e.g., although things are heating up this election year and we all know it is going to be interesting, US citizens should post election-related messages to the abolition-usa listserv rather than the global caucus). Several regional listserves have been created to accommodate discussion on nuclear issues.

-Please be considerate of other members of the listserv.

-Please do not post non-nuclear related items.

-Please be considerate of other members of the listserv.

-Although a reminder message is certainly understandable, please do not post the same message repetitively to solicit responses from members.

-When all else fails, please be considerate of other members of the listserv.

--

Carah Lynn Ong
Coordinator

Abolition 2000 Global Network to Eliminate Nuclear Weapons
PMB 121, 1187 Coast Village Road, Suite 1
Santa Barbara, California 93108-2794 USA

Tel: 805-965-3443
Fax: 805-568-0466
Email: abolition2000@napf.org
Http://www.abolition2000.org

Join the Abolition Global Caucus, send a message to abolition-caucus-subscribe@egroups.com

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

[www.](http://www.abolition2000.org)

To subscribe to the Abolition Global Caucus, send an email from the account you wish to be subscribed to: "abolition-caucus-subscribe@egroups.com"

Do not include a subject line or any text in the body of the message.

To: mupj@ifc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: February 13 meeting
Cc:
Bcc: icnd,brinkprogram@backfromthebrink.net, timb@2020vision.org
X-Attachments:
In-Reply-To:
References:

To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

Here is the agenda and background information for the February 13 meeting of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, scheduled for 1:00 to 2:30 p.m. in Conference Room 4 of the Methodist Building, 100 Maryland Avenue, NE, Washington, DC.

The listing of issues derives from our visits to nine Senate offices and one House office and meetings with Monday Lobby organizations. We will need to determine priorities, but I want to lay out the issues on nuclear disarmament that may come up in 2001.

If you cannot attend the meeting, I would welcome your comments in advance by phone or e-mail.

Shalom,
Howard

###

Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament
Agenda for meeting of February 13, 2001
1:00 to 2:30 p.m., Methodists Building, Conference Room 4

I. Introductions

II. Issue focus (choices and priorities among the following)

A. Executive initiatives

1. Nuclear posture review
2. De-alerting
3. Strategic arms reduction
4. National missile defense (NMD) decisions
5. CTBT resubmission

B. Congressional authorization and appropriations

1. Nunn-Lugar program
2. Restrictions on de-alerting and strategic arms reduction
3. NMD funding
4. Stockpile Stewardship: mini-nukes, National Ignition Facility (NIF)
5. CTBT Organization

C. Treaty ratification

1. START II protocol; START III (?)
2. CTBT

III. Advocacy in Washington

A. Executive Branch

1. White House
2. Department of Defense

- 3. Department of State
- 4. Department of Energy
- B. Senate
 - 1. Armed Services
 - 2. Foreign Relations
 - 3. Appropriations
- C. House of Representatives
 - 1. Armed Services
 - 2. Appropriations

IV. Grassroots mobilization

- A. Key states
- B. Across the board

Next meeting: Tuesday, March 13, 1:00 to 2:30 p.m., Methodist Building

###

Nuclear Disarmament Issues for 2001

Analysis by Howard W. Hallman

The following discussion offers a perspective on nuclear disarmament issues that will be on the national agenda in 2001. The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament can consider which of these issues to take up and how to become involved.

Nuclear Posture Review

As required by Congress, the Bush Administration is undertaking a review of the U.S. nuclear posture and will present a report to Congress in December. At our last meeting Pat Conover (UCC office) and Lisa Wright (National Council of Churches) suggested that we express our views on this matter. This has led to a draft statement, which I will send under separate cover. I suggest that at our February meeting we lay this draft on the table for later discussion. First we should affirm whether we want to get involved in the nuclear posture review, whether such a statement is desirable, who would sign it, how we would use it, and alternative approaches to the subject. If we decide to go ahead, we can spend the rest of February in e-mail exchange on the content of the draft and then review a final version at our March 13 meeting.

De-alerting

In November we decided to support actions to de-alert the nuclear arsenal. This is primarily a matter for executive action. President Bush spoke in favor of de-alerting during the presidential campaign. On January 25 the Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL) sent President Bush an appeal for de-alerting from religious leaders. In January eleven faith organizations joined eleven civil-sector organizations in cosponsoring a 20/20 postcard encouraging letters to President Bush. Many members of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament promoted the February 5-6 call-in day to the White House, organized by Back from the Brink Campaign. We can build upon these initiatives and continue to work with Back from the Brink, 20/20 Vision, and other civil-sector organizations to build public support for de-alerting. We can encourage U.S. senators and representatives to provide their support for executive action and to remove legislative obstacles to de-alerting.

Strategic Arms Reduction

Ratification of the second Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START II), signed in January 1992 by President George Bush, the elder, is still not complete. The U.S. Senate needs to ratify a protocol extending the time for completion of the treaty from 2003 to 2007. The Russian Duma wants to tie this to two protocols related to the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty, which President Clinton never submitted to the Senate. It is possible that the Senate will consider and

adopt only the START II protocol and ask the Russians to sever the connection with the ABM Treaty. We can offer our support.

In Helsinki in 1997 President Clinton and President Yeltsin agreed upon a framework for START III, but treaty negotiations haven't been undertaken. During the presidential campaign President George W. Bush, the younger, spoke of taking executive action to reduce strategic weapons rather than engaging in prolonged treaty negotiations, but he has yet to offer specifics. We can push for strategic arms reduction through either or both methods.

Congressional Restrictions

Defense authorization legislation places restrictions on de-alerting and strategic arms reduction below the START I level until START II is ratified. Hill sources indicate that this legislation stems largely from distrust of President Clinton and that the restrictions would be lifted if President Bush requests it. We may want to offer our support, especially in the House.

Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Nuclear Security Program

Since 1991 the United States has provided financial and technical assistance to Russia for downsizing and securing its nuclear arsenal. The U.S. is spending about \$1 billion a year for the various components of this program, including elements related to chemical and biological weapons. Recently a task force co-chaired by Howard Baker and Lloyd Cutler recommended substantial increases in this and related non-proliferation efforts. Former Senator Sam Nunn and Ted Turner have announced a Nuclear Threat Initiative for which Turner is donating \$250 million for a five-year period. Altogether these initiatives will do far more to enhance U.S. security than national missile defense. We haven't dealt with Nunn-Lugar and related endeavors, but it appears that these efforts would benefit from our support, particularly in the House of Representatives. Therefore, I have invited Ken Meyers on Senator Lugar's staff to come to our March meeting to talk about this program.

National Missile Defense

The Bush Administration is making a big push for national missile defense (NMD). We laid out our opposition last summer in (i) a sign-on letter to President Clinton initiated by FCNL and (ii) a postcard alert developed jointly with 20/20 vision. The NMD Working Group of the Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers is considering a plan of action for 2001 with the primary goal of postponing actions leading to U.S. deployment of an NMD system and preventing withdrawal from or abrogation of the ABM treaty while encouraging alternative approaches to ballistic missile proliferation. I believe that we can support efforts to postpone deployment while expressing our concern for the negative impact NMD will have on international relations and stating our opposition to this misdirection of resources. We can express our view (a) that the real and present danger to the United States stems from the Russian nuclear arsenal for which the remedy is disarmament and control of fissile material, (b) that Nunn-Lugar and Baker-Cutler investments, diplomacy, and missile technology control are more appropriate responses to potential and speculative dangers of missile attack on the U.S., and (c) that true national security would be enhanced by spending on human needs instead of dubious technology. Beyond stating our case we need to determine when, where, and how we will speak out and encourage grassroots action on NMD.

CTBT

There is broad consensus that ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) will not come before the Senate in 2001. President Bush has opposed CTBT ratification, so have Vice President Cheney and Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld, but Secretary of State Powell previously was a supporter. Some hope that now in office Bush and his appointees will see the desirability of CTBT for international non-proliferation purposes. One Senate staffer suggested that we should keep the CTBT issue alive in 2001. The Coalition to Reduce Nuclear Dangers has asked the Senate Armed Services Committee to invite General Shalikashvili to testify on his recommendations. We might join in making this request. We can also raise the CTBT and the Shalikashvili report in visits with senators and their staffs.

Even though the CTBT is not in effect, a CTB Organization has been set up to prepare for verification and other

implementing actions. The United States has contributed funds, but this may be contested in this year's appropriation process. We may want to provide our support.

Stockpile Stewardship

The Stockpile Stewardship has the ostensible purpose of assuring the reliability of the nuclear arsenal without test explosions. During Senate ratification debate on the CTBT both Republican opponents of the treaty and Democratic proponents spoke of the importance of Stockpile Stewardship and the need for adequate funding. However, our allied civil-sector organizations which have studied Stockpile Stewardship have concluded that only about half of the funds are being spent on stockpile reliability and safety and that the rest is going toward new weapon development and scientific experiments. Two issues likely to arise in 2001 are (a) plans to develop mini-nukes capable of penetrating bunkers and (b) the National Ignition Facility (NIF) at the Livermore Laboratory in California, a vastly expensive project that is behind schedule and over budget and that has more to do with weapon design than reliability. In the faith community Pax Christi USA representatives have visited Livermore and have criticized NIF and other phases of Stockpile Stewardship. FCNL is opposing mini-nukes. We can decide whether others want to get involved on these issues.

From: "Rutledge, Philip" <rutledge@indiana.edu>
To: "DSkoler@aol.com" <DSkoler@aol.com>, DSurratt@napawash.org,
SocEqPanel@napawash.org
Subject: RE: Social Equity Panel - Agenda
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 08:37:43 -0500
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Dan:

As usual, you hit the nail on the head! The "Master Plan" is as complete as it can be at this time, so the February 9 meeting will concern getting the show on the road and coping with the neglected commitment to find a way to involve Panel members across the country. Costis Toregis will lead this discussion from the Academy office, and will demonstrate some technology that may move us toward this goal. We will also need to change the time and possibly the format of our meetings Panel Meetings. Thanks again to Fred Riggs for pulling my coat tail on this, as he has been doing for over 30 years of intercessions.

Phil

-----Original Message-----

From: DSkoler@aol.com [mailto:DSkoler@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2001 10:35 PM
To: DSurratt@napawash.org; SocEqPanel@napawash.org
Subject: Re: Social Equity Panel - Agenda

Doris, Phil (and all). Dan Skoler plans to be there (in D.C.) during the announced time. I've been fascinated by the dialogue and assume that our next meeting dates and time will involve some adjustments to cope with the dilemmas of members across the land. I hope we can get rolling with some concrete initiatives even as the master plan is polished.

From: "Rutledge, Philip"
To: "'Surratt, Doris'" , zz
Social Equity Panel Subject: RE: Social Equity Panel - Agenda
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:40:15 -0500
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Colleagues:

One of the proposals I plan to make at the Panel meeting tomorrow is that we hold the next Panel Forum in Indianapolis on Social Equity Issues In Governance in Central and Northwestern Indiana from noon Friday, March 30 through noon Saturday, March 31. The meeting would be a combination of PowerPoint presentations and a case study of an environmental justice legal dispute involving the Northwest Indiana Regional Planning Commission. Local expenses would be hosted, but travel would be the responsibility of participating Panel members. Electronic interactive processes will be utilized during the meeting. It would be helpful to know how many Panel members might attend such a meeting. Since attendance in person and via telephone tomorrow will be small, please send me an e-mail response on the probability that you would or would not attend the March 30-31 meeting in Indianapolis. Non responses will be interpreted as a "NO" regarding attendance.

Phil

-----Original Message-----

From: Surratt, Doris [mailto:DSurratt@NAPAWASH.ORG]
Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2001 2:20 PM
To: zz Social Equity Panel
Subject: Social Equity Panel - Agenda

Phil Rutledge asked me to express his regrets that he will not be able to participate in person in the Panel meeting on Friday. Phil will chair the session via conference call and Gail Christopher, Vice-Chair, will chair the session from the conference room. The agenda will consist primarily of business and program planning matters. The main part of the meeting (that connected via teleconference) should be completed by 12:00 noon. Lunch will be served and those in the conference room may continue the conversation regarding details of the session and other strategic planning. The following is the meeting agenda.

AGENDA

STANDING PANEL ON SOCIAL EQUITY IN GOVERNANCE

February 9, 2001 - 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Academy Conference Room - Washington, DC

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| 1) Welcome and Opening Remarks | Phil Rutledge |
| 2) Technology Strategies to Improve Panel Deliberations | Costis Toregas |
| 3) Panel Meeting in Indiana | Phil Rutledge |
| 4) Progress on Planning 'Summit Meeting' | Jim Kunde and |

5) Social Equity Issues in Federal Government Agencies

Gail Christopher
Cora Beebe

Doris Surratt
dsurratt@napawash.org
PH: 202-347-3190

Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 04:56:47 +0000
From: "Richard K. Heacock, Jr." <akimpact@mosquitonet.com>
Reply-To: akimpact@mosquitonet.com
Organization: Alaska IMPACT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Kent/Kathy Barton <kentkathyb@earthlink.net>
CC: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>, CarolCWalker@aol.com,
jefrancis@juno.com, ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com,
wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net, Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com,
paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net,
jimvert@worldnet.att.net, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, AHeart1000@cs.com,
hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net,
milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com,
ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttumc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net,
3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

Hi, Gang!

My check is on the plane to Carol. I'm open to any possible consensus on the nature of the gift. Whatever it turns out to be, it will include my personal appreciation for Robin and her work of bringing us together over the years.

The task of keeping the heavens free of giant laser weapons so they can continue to glorify God has just escalated. So even in the 13th year of my "retirement", the need for PWJ witnesses is greater than ever, I believe! Grace & courage to all!

Dick Heacock
(AMC PWJ Coordinator "Emeritus")

Attachment Converted: "C:\Program Files\Internet\download\akimpact.vcf"

From: Lsabin1313@aol.com
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 09:12:24 EST
Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering
To: kentkathyb@earthlink.net, mupj@igc.org, CarolCWalker@aol.com,
jefrancis@juno.com
CC: ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net,
Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com,
jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net,
bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, akimpact@mosquitonet.com, AHeart1000@cs.com,
hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net,
milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com,
ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttunc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com,
lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandyy@citlink.net
X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 67

Just ask her husband! Or have one of the others in the office ask her
husband. That is what spouses are good for among other things. Linda

From: Lsabin1313@aol.com

Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 09:51:55 EST

Subject: Re: PwJ Gathering

To: akimpact@mosquitonet.com, kentkathyb@earthlink.net

CC: mupj@igc.org, CarolCWalker@aol.com, jefrancis@juno.com, ChapLarry@aol.com, Lsabin1313@aol.com, wholcomb@umcswtx.org, afong@jps.net, Revgwen1@aol.com, pwjp@juno.com, paxmlb@juno.com, RevMMBird@aol.com, jgeorgieff@earthlink.net, jimvert@worldnet.att.net, bbhardt@mail.esc4.com, AHeart1000@cs.com, hendricksrev@usa.net, Hughes123@aol.com, claralou@uswest.net, milsomhart@hotmail.com, Mayjudy@aol.com, icpierce@msn.com, ANNFPRICE@aol.com, kenttmc@ffni.com, wlparker@bayou.com, lwayman@gte.net, 3RDM@gte.net, cawindrum@yahoo.com, sandy@citlink.net

X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Windows sub 67

I was wondering if we should invited someone from the AME church to our special gatherings like this? I have been moved to attend some services this past year at a AME church in Xenia. You know we are more in fellowship with our Baptist peace brethren than the AME who we are in merger talks with. This is a new world that we are working in and we have been saying in West Ohio that we need to be inclusive and is this a way? I have been rattling my brain over the Korean and Viet. churches in West Ohio and how to get them involved. I know it is late to do anything this year but come with some ideas. Linda Sabin

X-Mailer: Lyris Web Interface

Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 06:49:48 -0800

Subject: General Board of Church and Society Announces Staff Transitions, New Staff

To: Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>

From: GBCS<actiongbc@umc-gbc.org>

List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:leave-gbc-5112739D@earth.lyris.net>

Reply-To: GBCS<actiongbc@umc-gbc.org>

February 6, 2001

Contact: Erik Alsgaard

(202) 488-5631

General Board of Church and Society Announces
Staff Transitions, New Staff

Jim Winkler, the General Secretary of the General Board of Church and Society of The United Methodist Church -- the denomination's international social justice, witness, and advocacy agency -- has announced three staff transitions and the addition of four new staff members.

Joining the Washington D.C. based Board are:

Regina Owens, Accounts Payable/Office Manager/Receptionist working with the Service Department. Ms. Owens brings extensive experience as an office manager, executive assistant and accounts payable with the Jubilee Enterprise of Greater Washington. She began her employment with GBCS in December.

Ana C. Toledo, Program Assistant for the United Methodist Seminar Program. Ms. Toledo was born in Angola, raised in California, and speaks English, Spanish and Portuguese. She is a former General Board of Global Ministry mission intern in South Africa and Baltimore, and attends St. Luke's UMC in Woodlawn, Maryland. She began working at GBCS last November.

Virginia Ann Bess Gill is the new Environmental Justice Program Director working in the Ministry of God's Creation. She previously worked as a biochemist in Virginia and Texas, and is an active lay member of the Texas Annual Conference, especially in their United Methodist Women's organization. She began her ministry at GBCS in mid-January.

The Rev. Dr. Clayton Childers is the new Program Director for Annual Conference Relations, Resourcing Congregational Life. He and his wife, the Rev. Denise Childers, are members of the South Carolina Annual Conference. Rev. Childers began his ministry at GBCS Feb. 1.

Winkler also announced three promotions:

Jaydee Hanson, who has been appointed Assistant General Secretary for Public Witness and Advocacy, effective January 25, 2001. Hanson supervises the staff of the Ministry of God's Creation and the Ministry of God's Human Community, overseeing the work on a wide variety of social issues. He has been with GBCS for over 15 years, most recently as AGS of the Ministry of God's Creation. He is a member of the Mt. Olivet United

Methodist Church in Arlington, Virginia.

Frances Jett has been named to the new position of Assistant General Secretary for Administrative Coordination and Management. Ms. Jett has been with GBCS for almost six years, and was the Program Director for Restorative Justice. She is a member of the St. Paul's United Methodist Church in Oxon Hill, Maryland.

The Rev. Neal Christie is the new Assistant General Secretary for Resourcing Congregational Life. Rev. Christie has been with GBCS since 1996, and was a Program Director in the Seminar Program. He is a clergy member of the Greater New Jersey Annual Conference.

You are currently subscribed to gbcS as: mupj@igc.org

To unsubscribe send a blank email to leave-gbcS-5112739D@earth.lyris.net

X-Sender: jdi@[63.106.26.66]
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:34:41 -0500
To: jdi@clw.org
From: John Isaacs <jdi@clw.org>
Subject: Military Budget Continues Increasing to about \$324 Billion

Military Budget Continues Increasing to about \$324 billion;
An Analysis of the Recent Budget Numbers

President George W. Bush's recent announcement that he will use the outgoing Clinton Administration's fiscal 2002 Defense Department budget request has sparked a wave of controversy. An OpEd in the February 7 Washington Post blasts President Bush for failing to provide a significant increase, while a New York Times editorial praises his decision not to provide new funds.

However, few in the debate acknowledge that the request still represents a sizable increase.

In fact, the \$310 billion figure represents a \$19 billion increase from the original fiscal 2001 request presented to Congress in early 2000, and about a \$14 billion increase from the fiscal 2001 level after Congress added about \$4.5 billion to the Clinton budget.

The \$310 billion request for fiscal 2002 referred to in all the articles does not include Department of Energy nuclear weapons activities. The fiscal 2001 request for DOE defense programs was \$14.3 billion; the fiscal 2002 figure has not yet been released.

Using the official Office of Management and Budget definition of military spending, which includes both Pentagon and Department of Energy military activities (plus some smaller amounts), the total for military budget authority fiscal 2001 at this point is about \$310 billion. If the Department of Energy military activities budget authority for fiscal 2002 stays roughly even at \$14 billion, the total military budget request for next year will be \$324 billion (before any congressional add-ons or supplemental requests).

Thus despite talk of "holding the line," military spending will continue to increase significantly. Meanwhile the U.S. is spending more than the next 12 biggest defense spenders combined. We spend more than three times the amount of all our potential enemies combined.

The increase projected for next year is more than four times greater than the State Department's \$3 billion budget. Just the research budget of the Pentagon is greater than all federal education expenditures. Military spending has reached almost 95 percent of the Cold War average. In fact, the Pentagon budget is greater now than it was when Mr. Rumsfeld finished his first term as Secretary of Defense.

Massive investments in defense are not necessary to fulfill President

Bush's promise to strengthen national defense:

==Simply eliminating billions in unneeded Cold War era weapons could free funds for other priorities such as readiness and advanced weapons research.

==Fixing the Pentagon's accounting problems would save billions while solving spare parts problems.

==Closing unnecessary bases will save \$3-5 billion annually and removing "Buy America" provisions that require the procurement of products made in the U.S. could save \$5-7 billion a year.

==According to Sen. John McCain (R-AZ), the Senate's top pork buster, Congress added \$7 billion in unrequested spending to the fiscal 2001 defense spending bill.

==Revising the Pentagon's unrealistic two war strategy, which defines the size of the military and its procurement needs, would also alleviate many readiness problems and save money.

John Isaacs

Council for a Livable World

110 Maryland Avenue, NE - Room 409

Washington, D.C. 20002

(202) 543-4100 x.131

www.clw.org

From: "bruce edwards" <b3ruce@socket.net>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: thursday feb 8
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:38:14 -0600
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

thanks howard. i'll try and let you know one way or the other by feb 26th...

peace,

bruce