

Status: U

Return-Path: <millerph@att.net>

Received: from mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net ([204.127.131.48])

by walker.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17eaRu1wHm3Nl3s70
for <mupj@igc.org>; Sat, 1 Jun 2002 11:32:12 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from webmail.worldnet.att.net ([204.127.135.75])

by mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net

(InterMail vM.4.01.03.27 201-229-121-127-20010626) with SMTP

id <20020601153211.TTIC5116.mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>;

Sat, 1 Jun 2002 15:32:11 +0000

Received: from [12.91.138.193] by webmail.worldnet.att.net;

Sat, 01 Jun 2002 15:32:10 +0000

From: millerph@att.net

To: mupj@igc.org (Howard Hallman), debate44646@yahoo.com (James Hipkins)

Subject: East Ohio Conference

Date: Sat, 01 Jun 2002 15:32:10 +0000

X-Mailer: AT&T Message Center Version 1 (May 26 2002)

Message-Id: <20020601153211.TTIC5116.mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>

I am today starting Check No. 1327 through Howard to the East Ohio Conference to pay for services provided in November, 2001. As I mentioned to Howard, I'm glad I decided to call the failure of our earlier check No. 1306 to clear. It has been voided.

Thanks for your help, Jim, and commend your conference buddies for their patience. It's a virtue!

Phil

Status: U

Return-Path: <millerph@att.net>

Received: from mtiwmhc21.worldnet.att.net ([204.127.131.46])

by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17eydF1U23NI3oW0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Sun, 2 Jun 2002 12:28:39 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from webmail.worldnet.att.net ([204.127.135.75])

by mtiwmhc21.worldnet.att.net

(InterMail vM.4.01.03.27 201-229-121-127-20010626) with SMTP

id <20020602162838.DWUE19182.mtiwmhc21.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>

for <mupj@igc.org>; Sun, 2 Jun 2002 16:28:38 +0000

Received: from [12.91.138.236] by webmail.worldnet.att.net;

Sun, 02 Jun 2002 16:28:37 +0000

From: millerph@att.net

To: mupj@igc.org (Howard Hallman)

Subject: Income

Date: Sun, 02 Jun 2002 16:28:37 +0000

X-Mailer: AT&T Message Center Version 1 (May 26 2002)

Message-Id: <20020602162838.DWUE19182.mtiwmhc21.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>

You've done it again. I picked up a check from the GBSC
this morning for \$300 that I'll deposit on Tuesday.
Tomorrow I will be in NYC all day.

I plan to put it in the general fund but if you would
rather it go into the Ed fund just reply to this message.

Phil

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <millerph@att.net>
References: <20020602162838.DWUE19182.mtiwmhc21.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Income
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2002 13:29:22 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Phil,

I didn't expect another check from UMGBCS after the \$5,000. Does it say what it is for? Or perhaps it came from some one else, for I am expecting other contributions to our web site.

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: <millerph@att.net>
To: "Howard Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 02, 2002 12:28 PM
Subject: Income

> You've done it again. I picked up a check from the GBSC
> this morning for \$300 that I'll deposit on Tuesday.
> Tomorrow I will be in NYC all day.
>
> I plan to put it in the general fund but if you would
> rather it go into the Ed fund just reply to this message.
>
> Phil
>

Status: U
Return-Path: <millerph@att.net>
Received: from mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net ([204.127.131.48])
by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17eFok70J3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Sun, 2 Jun 2002 20:08:07 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from webmail.worldnet.att.net ([204.127.135.57])
by mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net
(InterMail vM.4.01.03.27 201-229-121-127-20010626) with SMTP
id <20020603000808.UORP5116.mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 3 Jun 2002 00:08:08 +0000
Received: from [12.78.118.67] by webmail.worldnet.att.net;
Mon, 03 Jun 2002 00:08:07 +0000
From: millerph@att.net
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Income
Date: Mon, 03 Jun 2002 00:08:07 +0000
X-Mailer: AT&T Message Center Version 1 (May 26 2002)
Message-Id: <20020603000808.UORP5116.mtiwmhc23.worldnet.att.net@webmail.worldnet.att.net>

Sorry, Howard,
I noticed the words "General Board" without noting that
it was from Church of the Brethren, General
Board/Brethren Press 1451 Dundee Avenue, Elgin, Illinois
60120.

I'll still deposit it to the General Fund on Tuesday.

Phil
> Phil,
>
> I didn't expect another check from UMGBCS after the \$5,000. Does it say
> what it is for? Or perhaps it came from some one else, for I am expecting
> other contributions to our web site.
>
> Howard
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <millerph@att.net>
> To: "Howard Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
> Sent: Sunday, June 02, 2002 12:28 PM
> Subject: Income
>
>
>> You've done it again. I picked up a check from the GBSC
>> this morning for \$300 that I'll deposit on Tuesday.
>> Tomorrow I will be in NYC all day.
>>
>> I plan to put it in the general fund but if you would
>> rather it go into the Ed fund just reply to this message.
>>
>> Phil
>>
>>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <ricejohn16@aol.com>
Subject: Senior Softball Review
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2002 10:18:43 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Senior Softball Review Panel,

Let me start out by saying how much I have enjoyed playing senior softball in Montgomery County. I started four years ago when I turned 70.

My main suggestion comes from my experience as a rookie who hadn't played for 40 years, never played slow pitch, never swung an aluminum bat. My timing was bad, judging a fly ball an adventure, and I had forgotten many nuances of the game. I was aided here and there by teammates, who reminded me to get my glove down for grounders, to hold glove fingers up for fly balls, etc. But it was haphazard re-training. I've observed other rookies go through the same process on a hit-or-miss basis.

Have mentors. From this experience I suggest that it would be useful for each rookie (someone who hasn't played for quite a while) to be assigned a mentor. This could be someone playing the same position, such as infield or outfield. The mentor could help the rookie regain fielding, batting, and base-running skills and also understand the special rules of senior softball. The mentor could also be an advocate on the team to be certain that the rookie gets his/her fair time in games. I've noticed that managers are sometimes reluctant to play rookies even half the game because of their relative incompetence. Most rookies are reluctant to speak up for themselves. But you regain your skills only by playing, making errors, and correcting them. So you need playing time.

Super 70 League. I enjoy the super 70 league because the rules and style of play (such as flexibility on substitute runners) are suitable to our age. Last year the four teams were fairly close together in the final standings. The core of each team stayed together for this year, which was sort of nice. So far there is some disparity in wins and losses. If it ends up that way, maybe there should be some reshuffling next year. But if final standings are fairly close, I can see keeping the same teams together with adjustments through assignment of newcomers, such as experienced players those just turning 70.

Co-Rec League. I can see some advantage in letting teams pick their own players, especially for those which are the nucleus of tournament teams. But this has produced too much disparity among the teams. When you're on a team that ranks at or near the bottom Division B, it is quite

disheartening to play the Upper County powerhouse, which scores 25 to 30 runs against you. Therefore, I question inter-division games. Also, when I started playing four years ago, I thought there was provision for shifting teams from one division to another to achieve better balance. Looking at standings so far this year, I feel that this should be considered again.

Hi-tech bats. I believe that we should use the county list as a minimum but reserve the right to have further restrictions, especially for over 60 teams.

Umpires. There is disparity in ability. They run from excellent to okay to a couple who don't even know the rules. There might be a rating system used for re-hiring from one season to the next. Most of the volunteer umpires are as good as most of the paid umpires.

Courtesy runners. I favor the generosity of the Super Senior League. There might be some relaxation in the Co-Rec League but some protection against teams who would use a few very fast runners over and over.

Thanks to you who are conducting this review. I look forward to your report.

Howard Hallman

6508 Wilmet Road
Bethesda, MD 20817

phone: 301 897-3668
e-mail: mupj@igc.org

.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Refinement of Religious Statements
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2002 13:31:00 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
 boundary="-----=_NextPart_000_0013_01C20A39.BC814FC0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

The Religious Statement page is coming along fine. I am attachment some suggestions for further refinements. After they are made, I would like to go on line with Religious Statements through a linkage with the home page. Thereafter, we can add other statements when we have them.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Refinements for Religious Statement page of www.zero-nukes.org

June 2, 2002

1. Masthead. Put "Your Feedback" (with "Your" added) on first line. On second line extend brown to right margin.

2. Nuclear Weapons A Moral Issue and dove. Looks good.

3. I would like to change the introductory paragraph as follows and put it in a box.

Here we present a collection of statements on nuclear weapons by a variety of religious bodies and religious leaders. Most were issued since the end of the Cold War.

- *Without exception they oppose the use of nuclear weapons.*
- *Most advocate abolition or total elimination.*
- *A few offer interim acceptance of nuclear deterrence as a step on the way to progressive disarmament.*

➤ *But most oppose nuclear deterrence here and now.*

[Note: I had to format this artificially to show the pattern.]

4. Brown around Interfaith and Ecumenical looks good.

5. World Council of Churches

a. "Report of the Sixth Assembly (1983)" might be in color. The same applies to similar headings throughout the page.

b. In introductory paragraph:

(i) Put period after "in 1983."

(ii) Add: ***This statement declared that "the production and deployment as well as the use of nuclear weapons are a crime against humanity and that such activities must be condemned on ethical and theological grounds."***

(iii) Strike "as follows:[scan paragraphs 13-18 from that report]"

c. "Nuclear Arms, Doctrines and Disarmament" I would like this and similar statements to follow the pattern you used for the Holy See and U.S. Catholic Bishops, that is, putting it in a box. This helps to contain and give better visibility to long texts.

d. Item 17 of this statement. Eliminate space before sub-item "a".

e. Statement to NPT Preparatory Conference. The linkage is to Act Now for Nuclear Abolition, which we present below under Religious Statements to 1998 NPT Preparatory Conference.

f. Policy Statement of 2001. In second clause, underline Final Document and link it to the How to Get to Zero page.

6. Religious Statements to 1998 NPT Preparatory Committee

a. Enclose the two statements in boxes following the Holy See model.

b. In second paragraph put in bold face: "described nuclear weapons as grossly evil and morally wrong and stated that the doctrine of nuclear deterrence is morally corrupt."

c. In third paragraph put in bold face: "spoke of the immorality of nuclear weapons from all three viewpoints."

d. Co-signers. This is long and boring, but necessary. Maybe the names, etc. should be in a smaller type.

e. A Spiritual, Ethical.... statement. This needs reformatting to eliminate broken lines within paragraphs. There must have been a problem in transmission.

7. 1999 Parliament of the World's Religions. (a) Put in italic the last words of the introductory paragraph. (b) Divide the quote into two separate sentences, each preceded by a bullet (arrow). Strike the extra periods at the end of the first sentence.

8. Nuclear Reduction/Disarmament Initiative. (a) Insert the photograph I gave you. (b) Use bullet (arrows) for each of the highlight sentences. Eliminate the broken line in the third highlight.

9. Holy See. I like the way you have presented this, the framing, the pictures of St. Peter's. Pope John Paul II, and Archbishop Martino. I'm seeking similar photos for use elsewhere.

10. U.S. Catholic Bishops. I'm still waiting for permission to use the summary from The Challenge of Peace.

11. Pax Christi International. (a) Second paragraph. Make the linkage -- its under NPT Preparatory Committee and eliminate instructions. (b) New Challenges on Nuclear Disarmament Agenda. I've tried to get a URL from them without success. The route is www.paxchrist.net -- resources -- archive (more) -- security & disarmament -- 10/00 -- New Challenges on Nuclear Disarmament Agenda SD04 (EFGDP)00 English. (c) Change the last sentence of the introductory paragraph to: "Signed by 74 bishops from

five continents, the statement noted:" (d) Change the last sentence to read: "*The Pax Christi International statement then presented a set of immediate steps to move closer to abolition, including nuclear reductions by the United States, Russia, and the small nuclear-weapon states, ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, de-alerting, pledge of no first use, and establishment of nuclear free zones.*"

12. Church of the Brethren. Four points after introduction, put in bullets (arrows).

13. Dr. Siddiqi. (a) Amend last part of introductory paragraph as follows: "...made a [statement](#) that included the following remarks:" (b) Reformat as follows:

- We in the United States must take lead to stop the nuclear arms race...We must say to ourselves first and then to the world that we want a total and universal ban on the possession and production of nuclear weapons.
- All countries, starting with those that have the largest amount of nuclear weapons, should destroy these weapons. There should be a total ban on their production and testing. Nuclear technology should be used only for humanitarian and peaceful purposes.
- Islam stands for peace and protection of all human beings and their environment. Islam is against any war in which the innocent and the non-combatants are made to suffer.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <support@earthlink.net>
Subject: Need for assistance
Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2002 08:05:30 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Support:

Recently I increased my RAM to 64 MB so that I could upgrade my Internet service. I installed your EarthLink CD, "The Real Internet". Among other tasks, this upgraded my computerto Internet Explore 5.5 and Outlook Express 5, the two systems I prefer. For the most part this is working out well, but I have several problems. Please advise me how to solve.

1. When I turn on my computer, I get a box entitled "Sign On -- Netscape AOL Instant Messenger". How do I get rid of that permanently?
2. When I click the Outlook Express icon, I have to wait for an EarthLink sign-on message before I can gain access. Is it possible to set things up so that clicking Outlook Express will connect me with the Internet?
3. I want to use Internet Explorer as my default browser. When I click on that icon, I get a message, "This page cannot be displayed." Therefore, I have been connecting first with Outlook Express to get on line, then go to Internet Explorer. How can I obtain a direct connection?

Thanks for your assistance.

Howard Hallman

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>
Subject: June 5 meeting
Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2002 12:19:34 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Alistair,

It turns out that I will be able to attend the session to hear about "An Urgent Call." Do you have any instructions about access to the Capitol that I should know?

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <ife@wcc-coe.org>

Received: from wccxsmtp ([193.73.242.105])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17eRAe3zn3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 3 Jun 2002 09:09:14 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from wccgeneva-Message_Server by wccxsmtp

with Novell_GroupWise; Mon, 03 Jun 2002 15:05:43 +0200

Message-Id: <scfb85c7.009@wccxsmtp>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise Internet Agent 5.5.4.1

Date: Mon, 03 Jun 2002 15:05:40 +0200

From: "Isabelle Ferrari" <ife@wcc-coe.org>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Cc: "Dwain Epps" <dce@wcc-coe.org>

Subject: Re: Zero-nukes web site

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Disposition: inline

Dear Mr. Hallman,

Our website needs to be updated. For the moment there is no specific =
section on nuclear disarmament in which Dwain's report could fit. We hope =
to improve the site as soon as we can. In the meantime you may post the =
document on to your website and when we'll have it on ours we will let you =
know so that you can make a link.=20

thanks!

Best regards,=20

isabelle ferrari
administrative assistant
international relations
WCC - Geneva
+41-22-791 63 15

>>> "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> 05/29/02 06:04PM >>>

Thanks for your prompt response. Here is further query.

Regarding Dwain's 2000 report on "The Evolution of World Council of =
Churches

Policy on Nuclear Arms and Disarmament, 1948-2000", is it correct to =
assume

that it is not available on your web site? And that if we want it to be
available, we should post it on www.zero-nukes.org? I am willing to do
that. However, it would be preferable to have a linkage to your web site =
if

you are willing to post it there.

I'll let you know when our site is available for wider viewing.

Shalom,
Howard Hallman

The Sunflower
Online monthly newsletter of the
Nuclear Age Peace Foundation
June 2002 (No. 61)

The Sunflower is a monthly e-newsletter providing educational information on nuclear weapons abolition and other issues relating to global security. Back issues are available at <http://www.wagingpeace.org/sf/backissues.html>

IN THIS ISSUE

PERSPECTIVE
NUCLEAR SOUTH ASIA
NUCLEAR MATTERS
MISSILES & MISSILE DEFENSE
NUCLEAR WASTE
NUCLEAR INSANITY
NUCLEAR ENERGY
NAPF HAPPENINGS
ACTION
RESOURCES

PERSPECTIVE

Stopping a Nuclear War in South Asia
By David Krieger

Two nuclear-armed countries stand on the brink of war and the world seems paralyzed as it watches events unfolding in what seems like slow motion. It is a war that could easily escalate into a nuclear holocaust taking millions or tens of millions of lives, and virtually nothing is being done to end the standoff. The US and the UK have advised their citizens to leave the region and the UN is pulling out the families of UN workers in the region, but the UN Security Council has not yet even put the matter on its agenda let alone put forward any constructive solution.

The US has sent its Secretary of Defense to the region, but has lifted sanctions on the sale of military equipment to both countries that it imposed after they conducted nuclear tests in 1998. At the same time, the US continues to demonstrate its own reliance on nuclear weaponry, announcing on June 1st that it will resume production of plutonium "pits" used to trigger nuclear warheads.

Here is what Indian novelist Arundhati Roy has to say about the situation:

"Terrorists have the power to trigger nuclear war. Non-violence is treated with contempt. Displacement, dispossession, starvation, poverty, disease, these are all just funny comic strip items now. Meanwhile, emissaries of the coalition against terror come and go preaching restraint. Tony Blair arrives to preach peace and on the side, to sell weapons to both India and Pakistan. The last question every visiting journalist asks me: 'Are you writing another book?'"

"That question mocks me. Another book? Right now when it looks as though all the music, the art, the architecture, the literature, the whole of human civilization means nothing to the monsters who run the world. What kind of book should I write? For now, just for now, for just a while pointlessness is my biggest enemy. That's what nuclear bombs do, whether they're used or not. They violate everything that is humane, they alter the meaning of life.

"Why do we tolerate them? Why do we tolerate the men who use nuclear weapons to blackmail the entire human race?"

Arundhati Roy is absolutely right. It is because we tolerate these men and their dangerous, inhumane and genocidal policies whether they be in the US, Russia, UK, France, China, Israel, India or Pakistan -- that nuclear war is possible and increasingly likely.

But what should we do now, while these men remain in control of the future of the fate of the people of India, Pakistan and the rest of the world? Here are a few modest suggestions:

Call for the UN Security Council to take charge of the situation as a matter of highest priority, require Indian and Pakistani forces to stand down their nuclear forces, move back from their front line positions, interpose UN Peacekeeping forces between them and require mediated talks between the leaders of the two countries.

Call for the permanent members of the UN Security Council (US, Russia, UK, France and China) to immediately cancel the sale and delivery of all military equipment to both India and Pakistan.

To deal with the continuing dangers of nuclear war, so easy to visualize in the India-Pakistan standoff, we should also call for all nuclear weapons states to immediately commence good faith negotiations for the elimination of all nuclear weapons as required by the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and the International Court of Justice.

Forty years ago, the world stood by helplessly as the US and former Soviet Union almost stumbled into nuclear war during the Cuban Missile Crisis. We obviously failed to learn the lesson then that nuclear weapons are too dangerous to be left in the hands of any military force. Now we run the risk that acts of terrorists in the Kashmir conflict could trigger a war in South Asia that could quickly escalate to nuclear war. Similar conditions exist in the Middle East.

The potential for war in South Asia must be defused now before it erupts into large-scale conflict

that could go nuclear. But it is not enough to only defuse the present crisis. The world must also become deadly serious about putting away forever these dangerous instruments of annihilation and genocide, before these instruments become seriously and massively deadly in wars that no one can truly desire or in the hands of terrorists.

NUCLEAR SOUTH ASIA

India and Pakistan: The Crisis Casts A Nuclear Shadow

India and Pakistan are moving dangerously toward war. On 22 May, Indian Prime Minister Atal Vajpayee told troops "to be ready for sacrifice...It's time to fight a decisive battle." The Pakistani government responded by saying they would use "full force" if India is to strike. The greatest concern not only to the region, but to the world is whether or not either country will resort to using nuclear weapons in order to "win" a war.

Tensions have been mounting between South Asian nuclear rivals India and Pakistan, particularly since the 13 December terrorist attacks on the Indian Parliament. On 12 January, Pakistani President General Musharraf made a landmark speech condemning terrorism, promising internal reform and calling for a peaceful resolution with India over the disputed Kashmir region--the issue at the center of the standoff between the two nations. However, in India's view, Musharraf has done substantively little to stop Islamic militants and Indian officials have charged Musharraf with continuing to support them.

Both India and Pakistan must show restraint and resolve the current crisis before the conflict escalates any further, making the use of nuclear weapons in a war between the two countries even more likely. Neither country will win a war in which nuclear weapons are used. The situation in India and Pakistan evidences that the use, let alone the existence, of nuclear weapons is completely irrational because they do the exactly opposite of what they purport to do. Nuclear weapons do not provide security. Neither India, nor Pakistan, nor anyone in this world is more secure because of the existence of nuclear weapons. In fact, at this moment India, Pakistan and indeed the whole world sit on the precipice of nuclear annihilation. It is time for global leadership, particularly from the nuclear weapons states, to rid the planet of these completely irrational weapons.

Pakistan Threatens Using Nukes

On 30 May, Pakistan threatened to use nuclear weapons even if India only used conventional weapons in a conflict. Pakistani Ambassador to the United Nations Munir Akram stated, "India should not have license to kill with conventional weapons while Pakistan's hands are tied regarding others means to defend itself." He also asserted that Pakistan has never subscribed to a "no-first-use policy" of nuclear weapons. Akram told diplomats and officials at the UN that Pakistan would rely on the "means it possessed to deter Indian aggression" and would not "neutralize" that deterrence by any doctrine of "no-first-use."

Furthermore, Akram defined what Pakistan viewed an "aggression" by India as any action by India across the border, any aerial attack on Pakistani territory and its assets, and any action to

economically strangle it. He claimed that Pakistan believes in "no-first-use of force" and that is why Pakistan has offered a non-aggression pact to India, a pact that was rejected. Akram said that the Security Council should address the issue of tensions between India and Pakistan that "constitutes a threat to international peace and security." However, there has previously been no support among the Security Council's 15 members who stressed after a meeting on 10 January that this is a bilateral issue and should be resolved between the two countries. According to diplomats on the council, the immediate issue is for Pakistan to crackdown on terrorist groups that are operating in Kashmir.

In related news, Bruce Riedel, an adviser to former US President Bill Clinton on India and Pakistan, reveals in a new report that the Pakistani Army mobilized its nuclear force against India in 1999 without the knowledge of then-Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif. A recent report by the US Central Intelligence Agency entitled, "Global Trends 2015," predicts that the threat of nuclear war will remain a serious regional issue for the next fifteen years.

(sources: Times of India, 10 January 2002; PTI, 30 May 2002)

Pakistan May Have More Nuclear Weapons Than Previously Thought

According to Pervez Hoodboy, a leading Pakistani nuclear physicist, the country has been secretly working over the past three years to accelerate production of weapons-grade uranium for nuclear warheads. Hoodboy stated in an interview, "The scientists have been working in three shifts over the past three years since the Kargil conflict." He also said that there were clear indications that the warheads were already in place on missiles. Hoodboy's statement means that Pakistan could have more warheads than the estimated 30 to 50. Each warhead is estimated to have the same explosive power as the US nuclear weapon that was dropped on Hiroshima in 1945. Hoodboy stated, "We are much closer to a nuclear confrontation with India than at any other time."

(source: The Times, UK; 27 May 2002)

Pakistan Conducts Missiles Tests

As tensions continued to escalate with India, Pakistan test fired three missiles capable of carrying nuclear warheads into India between 25 and 28 May. The final missile test coincided with the fourth anniversary of Pakistan's underground nuclear test in 1998, which took place just two weeks after India tested its own nuclear weapons. Pakistan insisted that the missile tests were routine. However, some say the tests were clearly meant to send a message to India that Pakistan could meet any attack with massive retaliation and has the capability to send nuclear weapons to Indian cities.

(sources: AP Asia, 28 May 2002; Reuters, 28 May 2002)

US and UK Aiding and Abetting India

On 11 May, US and Indian Armies launched a two-week joint military exercise, the biggest in 40 years. *Exercise Balance Iroquois* took place in the northern Indian tourist town of Agra, some 400 miles from the Pakistan border. While Pakistan has been a US ally for much longer, India has been building up relations with the US in recent months. The US has agreed to resume sales of defense equipment to India that were banned under sanctions imposed after the country tested nuclear weapons in 1998. On 11 May, also the fourth anniversary of India's nuclear tests, the ruling National Democratic Alliance stated India's esteem in the world has grown after it

conducted the nuclear tests.

The UK is also trying to profit from the Indian arms market, despite the government's 1997 policy to "not issue an export license if there is a clearly identifiable risk that the intended recipient would use the proposed export aggressively against another country." British ministers have been pushing the sale of 60 Hawk jets worth some \$1.5 billion. Additionally, with official blessing, British companies offered howitzers, anti-aircraft guns, missiles and tanks at a major arms fair in India earlier this year. The British Foreign Office stated on 23 May that arms sales were considered "carefully" and were "under constant review."
(sources: Reuters, 11 May 2002; The Guardian, 24 May 2002)

NATO Leaders Urge De-escalation

During a NATO summit at which Russia was recognized as a junior partner on 28 May, leaders of the countries expressed concern over the escalating crisis between nuclear-armed India and Pakistan. NATO Secretary General Lord Robertson, speaking in the name of the 19 NATO allies and Putin, urged both countries to take urgent steps to draw back from the brink of war, "to de-escalate and resume talking together."
(source: AP World Politics; 28 May 2002)

Individuals Can Take Action on India-Pakistan Crisis

Individuals can access the URL's below to contact their UN mission and urge their Ambassadors to invoke Article 8 of the 1899 Hague Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes to allow Pakistan and India a 30-day cooling off period.

<http://www.unsystem.org/>

<http://www.un.org/Overview/missions.htm#usa>

<http://www.unsystem.org/en/permanent.missions.en.htm>

Sign an online petition calling on Pakistani President Musharraf and India Prime Minister Vajpayee to disavow the use of nuclear weapons under any circumstances, reestablish diplomatic ties, and engage in a comprehensive peace agreement on the future of Kashmir, to be enforced by international bodies. <http://www.moveon.org/nonukesoverkashmir/>

Write to the Indian and Pakistani governments, their embassies, consulates or High Commissions (in Commonwealth countries). The fax numbers of the Prime Minister of India, the President of Pakistan, other government ministers, and the UN representatives of those countries are listed below.

Organize demonstrations or vigils outside Indian or Pakistani embassies, consulates, high commissions, or travel offices.

Persuade parliamentarians to put forward urgent resolutions urging restraint on both parties and calling for an end to arms sales. Limited resolutions have already been passed by the British, Canadian, and European parliaments. For more information, please contact: Parliamentarians Network for Nuclear Disarmament: alynw@ibm.net

PRIME MINISTER OF INDIA A.B. VAJPAYEE, SOUTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, 110-004
+91-11-301-6857 +91-11-301-9545, +91-11-972-2-664-838
MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS INDIA
+91-11-301-0700

PRESIDENT MUSHARRAF OF PAKISTAN,+92-51-920-3938, +92-51-920-1968
+92-51-811390
FOREIGN MINISTER OF PAKISTAN +92-51-920-7217 +92-51-920 0420 or 820-420

NUCLEAR MATTERS

Significant Nuclear Reductions or Maximum Nuclear Flexibility?

Presidents George W. Bush and Vladimir Putin signed the *Strategic Offensive Reductions Treaty* between the US and the Russia during a summit in Moscow on 23 May. The treaty calls for the reduction of strategic forces of each country's arsenal to 1,700 to 2,000 by 2012, the year in which the treaty expires. It also does not require the destruction of a single missile launcher or warhead and each side can carry out the reductions at its own pace and even reverse them to temporarily build up its forces. In other words, the treaty allows either side to worry more about protecting their own nuclear options than constraining the options of the other country. A senior US administration official stated, "What we have now agreed to do under the treaty is what we wanted to do anyway. That's our kind of treaty."

Under the terms of the treaty, either side can temporarily suspend reductions or even build up forces without violating the treaty. This will allow maximum flexibility to the US, which insists on continuing to rely on nuclear weapons in its national security policy. The US Nuclear Posture Review, released in January 2002, stated, "In the event that US relations with Russia significantly worsen in the future, the US may need to revise its nuclear force level and posture." The new treaty will allow the US to do so.

Rather than completely destroying the strategic weapons, the US has repeatedly stated that it will shelve or stockpile the warheads. Although previously adamantly opposed to US plans to store warheads, Russia announced on 15 May that it will also store some of the warheads. A Russian diplomat, speaking on the condition of anonymity, stated, "Each one will do what it wants: a part of them will be stored, a part will be recycled and part will be destroyed. We will do the same as they [the Americans] will."

China welcomed the treaty between the US and Russia. Foreign Minister Tang Jiaxuan stated that China "hoped that the two countries will continue their efforts to reduce their arsenals in this manner, so as to further advance the process of international disarmament."
(sources: AP World, 16 May 2002; NY Times, 13 May 2002)

Text of the Strategic Offensive Reductions Treaty:

<http://www.nuclearfiles.org/issues/nuclearweapons/sortreaty.htm>

"Nuclear Dangers Remain After Bush-Putin Summit" by David Krieger:
<http://www.napf.org/articles/02.05/0515kriegerbushputin.htm>

"Still Missing: A Nuclear Strategy" by Nuclear Threat Initiative Board Members Sam Nunn, William Perry & Eugene Habiger is available to download in pdf format at:
http://www.nti.org/c_press/c_index.html#oped

US To Construct New Plutonium Pit Production Plant

The US Department of Energy (DoE) announced on 31 May that it will resume production of plutonium pits, which are used to trigger nuclear warheads. Plutonium pits were last produced at the Rocky Flats Facility in Colorado, but the DoE halted production in 1989.

A statement from the National Nuclear Security Administration stated that design work is beginning for a manufacturing plant, which is expected to cost between \$2.2 billion and \$4.4 billion, depending on production capacity. The plant will be built at a weapons facility and is anticipated to begin production by 2020. According to the DoE, the site selection process will begin in September.

US Energy Secretary Spencer Abraham stated, "We need to have the capacity to manufacture certified pits to maintain the safety, security and reliability of the US nuclear deterrent into the future." According to the New York Times, a recent study by the Bush administration urged that a pit production plant be constructed. Some members of Congress have also expressed concern that the lack of a plutonium pit production plant could jeopardize the future readiness of the US nuclear arsenal.

(source: New York Times, 1 June 2002)

Report Calls for Better Security at Research Reactors

A report released on 20 May raises concerns about inadequate safeguards on uranium used at some 345 civilian research reactors in 58 countries. The report was produced by Harvard's Kennedy School of Government and urges the US and Russia to launch a global effort to end the use of highly enriched (also called weapons grade) uranium (HEU) at these research facilities. HEU could be converted for use in a weapon by terrorists if they were to obtain the material.

According to the report, "Security at these hundreds of buildings varies widely from excellent to appalling. In some cases, security is provided by a single sleepy watchman and a chain-link fence." The report urges US President George W. Bush and Russia President Vladimir Putin to accelerate efforts to secure and account for nuclear materials worldwide. Former Democratic Senator Sam Nunn, co-chairman of the Nuclear Threat Initiative that helped produce the report, stated, "Terrorists are racing to get weapons of mass destruction. We should be racing to stop them."

The report specifically sites three "impoverished" reactors with no money to tighten security including: a closed reactor near Belgrade; a reactor in Ukraine that has 165 pounds of HEU; and a reactor in Belarus with 660 of HEU. The report calls for a \$50 million-per-year-program to fund uranium "take-back" and get research institutions to use low-enriched uranium.

(source: AP World Politics, 20 May 2002; <http://www.nti.org>)

Putin Calls for Proposals to Destroy Aging Weapons

President Vladimir Putin urged the Russian government on 20 May to draft proposals to dispose of aging weapons stockpiles inherited from the Soviet Union. Putin stated at a meeting with Cabinet officials, "We must think about financing the destruction of excessive stockpiles of aging weapons which have become a liability and, sometimes, an environmental hazard."

Putin also pointed out the need to deal with the country's chemical weapons arsenal. Russia has the world's largest arsenal with some 40,000 metric tons of chemical weapons, which the country pledged to destroy when it signed the Chemical Weapons Convention in 1997. Last year, the Russian government approved a program to allow the country to destroy its arsenal by 2012 without having to seek international help beyond what has already been pledged. The program is estimated to cost some \$3.5 billion.
(source: AP, 20 May 2002)

Kazakh Upper Parliament Ratifies US Agreement Extension

The upper house of Kazakhstan's parliament ratified an agreement with the US on 16 May, extending an earlier agreement that provides US assistance in destroying leftover Soviet missile and infrastructure. Kazakhstan surrendered all former Soviet nuclear weapons to Russia after 1991. In 1993, the US and Kazakhstan signed a seven-year agreement that provided funds to the ex-Soviet state to help it destroy missile silos and nuclear infrastructure. After the agreement expired in 2000, the US and Kazakhstan agreed to extend it, but the Kazakh parliament just ratified it in May. To date, the US has made \$183 million available to Kazakhstan under the original 1993 agreement. Kazakhstan still must destroy six intercontinental ballistic missile silos at its southern launchpad, Leninsk.

(source: Interfax News Agency, 16 May 2002)

Russia Passes Law to Punish Theft of Weapons of Mass Destruction

Russian President Vladimir Putin signed a law on 9 May that will make the theft of nuclear or other weapons of mass destruction or the theft of the material to produce them punishable by a five to ten year jail sentence. The law will also make failure to ensure that weapons of mass destruction are safely guarded punishable by a sentence of three to seven years. The law comes amid concern of terrorists being able to obtain such material and at the fact that weapons-grade nuclear material has been stolen from Russian facilities on several instances. The Duma, Russia's parliament, passed the law in April.

(source: RIA Novosti News, 9 May 2002)

MISSILES & MISSILE DEFENSE

Conservative Think Tank to Hold Cheerful Wake for ABM Treaty

The Heritage Foundation, a conservative think tank based in Washington, D.C., will be holding a "cheerful wake for a flawed treaty" on 12 June 2002. The invitation-only event is being held at the Russell Caucus Room in Washington, D.C. The event is entitled "ABM: RIP [Rest In

Peace]" and will feature, among other guests, The Honorable [sic] John Bolton, Undersecretary of State for Arms Control, Senator Trent Lott (R-Missouri), Senator Jesse Helms (R-North Carolina), The Honorable [sic] Frank Gaffney, Jr., and Lt. General James A. Abrahamson. The event will celebrate the "lapse" of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty, which the US is set to withdraw from on 13 June. The Heritage Foundation and those attending the event consider withdrawal from the ABM Treaty and "important step forward for national interest." They have praised the decision of the Bush administration saying that it will serve "to bolster the national security by allowing the unfettered development and deployment of missile defenses."

(source: The Heritage Foundation; <http://www.heritage.org>)

Iran Confirms Missile Test

On 26 May, Iran Defense Minister Ali Shamkhani confirmed US reports that his country had conducted a successful test flight of *Shahab-3*, a ballistic missile, earlier in the month. The *Shahab-3*, which means shooting star in Farsi, is based on North Korea's No Dong missile. The current test is believed to be the fifth test of the missile, which has a range of some 800 miles. Shamkhani stated that the test "should not be considered a new production or a new step to increase the missile's range," but rather the tests were meant to "enhance the power and accuracy of *Shahab-3* missile."

(source: AP Middle East, 26 May 2002)

Army National Guard Tests PAC-2 Missile

According to the Pentagon, the US Army National Guard successfully shot down a drone aircraft on 15 May using a Patriot PAC-2 missile at Eglin Air Force Base in Florida. The intercept is part of a series of tests to familiarize part-time National Guard soldiers with the Patriot system. The PAC-2 is made by Raytheon Co. and is scheduled to be replaced by a more advanced PAC-3 that is being developed and tested by Lockheed Martin Corp. Unlike the PAC-3, which is designed to track and collide with a target, the PAC-2 carries an explosive warhead which blows up nears a target and spreads a cloud of debris in its path.

(source: Reuters, 15 May 2002)

Russia To Develop New Generation of Interceptor Missiles

According to a Russian military newspaper, the country is developing a new generation of light missiles designed to intercept enemy cruise missiles and aircraft. The *Igla-S* will be capable of carrying more explosives than current similar weapons and will also have a new guidance system. According to the KBM Company developing the new missile, the *Igla-S* will also be cheaper and lighter than current interceptor missiles.

(source: Voyenny Parad, 14 May 2002)

Taiwan Test-Fires New Missile

On 10 May, Taiwan successfully test-fired the locally-made *Sky Bow II*, a surface-to-air missile that some Taiwanese experts hope will eventually replace the US-made Patriot missiles. It was the first missile test open to media. Three US short-range, surface-to-air *Hawk* missiles were also successfully test-fired. The *Sky Bow II*, Taiwan's version of the US Patriot missile, has a range of some 120 miles and the country's experts claim is better than the Patriot at hitting fighter jets and bombers. Continuing to develop its own missiles may prove difficult for

Taiwan as its weapons program has a limited budget and many engineers and scientists have taken higher-paying jobs in the computer industry.
(source: AP Asia, 10 May 2002)

NUCLEAR WASTE

Taiwan Seeks Home for 100,000 Barrels of Nuclear Waste

In a pledge that ended a four-day standoff between residents and the government, Taiwan agreed on 4 May to study ways to remove 100,000 barrels of nuclear waste from Orchid Island. For years, residents have demanded that the waste be removed, but the government ignored them. The Yamis, one of Taiwan's 10 major indigenous tribes, want the waste removed by the end of the year when a government contract to store the waste on the island runs out. However, Economics Minister Lin Yi-fu, who flew to the island to settle the dispute, would not give a timeline for when the waste will be removed.

The Yamis were not consulted when the government began dumping waste on the island more than twenty years ago, during Taiwan's martial law era. After martial law ended in 1987, the government told protesters that it was unable to find another place to store the waste. Taiwan Power is currently considering moving the waste to another outlying island or possibly shipping the waste abroad. Russia is one foreign possibility because it passed a law earlier this year allowing it to receive foreign waste. China is also under consideration.

(source: AP, 4 May 2002)

Hot Waste, Cold Cash

A new report from Public Citizen entitled, "Hot Waste, Cold Cash," reveals that US Senators and senatorial candidates have taken more than \$5 million from the nuclear power industry in political action committee (PAC) contributions since 1997. The report found Ranking minority member of the Senate Energy Committee Frank Murkowski (R-Alaska) the indisputable Nuclear PAC Man, with more than \$143,582 received from nuclear PACs since 1997. PACs of corporations belonging to the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), the nuclear industry's most powerful lobby in Washington, have contributed \$1.3 million to Senate campaigns from 1 January 2001 to 28 February 2002 alone.

Among the report's other findings:

- Of the Senate's 20 leading recipients of nuclear PAC money, eight serve on the Senate Energy Committee and six sit on the Environment and Public Works Committee, both of which are committees for legislation related to nuclear power.
- All but seven current US senators have accepted nuclear PAC money.
- The largest total contributions by an NEI member came from General Electric, which designs and services nuclear power plants.

The full report is available online at http://www.citizen.org/hot_issues/issue.cfm?ID=297

NUCLEAR INSANITY

NASA to Purchase Russian Plutonium for Spacecraft

US Energy Secretary Spencer Abraham announced that NASA will renew a contract to purchase plutonium-238 from Russia as part of US efforts to reduce Russia's stockpiles of the nuclear material, which the US government fears terrorists could get their hands on and use to build a "dirty bomb." According to Abraham, NASA will use the plutonium purchased from Russia to power spacecraft. Russian experts estimate a minimum cost of \$10 million for 30 kilograms of the plutonium-238.

The new deal revives the 1995 Voinoi agreement, potentially worth \$12 billion for Russia. Plutonium deliveries were put on hold when George W. Bush took office in January 2001 and insisted that the plutonium was too expensive. In February 2002, Russia agreed to lower the price by about 15%. Officials have declined to reveal the value of the current deal or the amount of plutonium that will be purchased.

(source: Moscow Times, 14 May 2002)

UK MoD Abandons Construction of Nuclear Re-Arming Dock

The UK Ministry of Defense (MoD) announced on 14 May that it will abandon construction on a dock for re-arming nuclear submarines. The concrete jetty was being built in the middle of the River Tamar at Plymouth in order to relocate the work of re-arming Britain's submarine fleet away from the heavily populated area around Devonport. However, a new MoD safety assessment concluded that the risk to people living near the existing submarine base is less than originally estimated.

Environmentalists and anti-nuclear campaigners have always opposed the construction of the dock, but the MoD insisted that it was absolutely necessary. Some \$21.8 million has already been spent and it will cost some additional \$7.3 million to pay off contractors. Colin Breed, Member of Parliament (Liberal Democrat Party) for South East Cornwall, stated, "We asked whether it was absolutely necessary bearing in mind the environmental damage and we were told it was vital. Now they have suddenly decided it is not needed at all."

(source: UK Times Online, 15 May 2002)

NUCLEAR ENERGY

Vietnam Studying Feasibility of Nuclear Power

An official from the National Institute of Atomic Energy announced on 23 May that Vietnam is setting up a group to study the feasibility of building the country's first nuclear power plant. The group will be comprised of government representatives and scientists and is expected to submit its findings to Vietnam's National Assembly in late 2003. According to the official, Vietnam's energy consumption has increased by 12-15% in recent years. The country currently produces 5,500 to 6,000 megawatts a year, 55% of which is produced from hydropower

plants. The official stated that Vietnam will need some 20,000 to 30,000 megawatts of electricity by 2020 and the nuclear power plant will help meet that demand. According to the official, Russia, China and South Korea have offered to sell Vietnam the technology to build the plant.

(source: AP World Politics, 23 May 2002)

Finland Approves Construction of New Nuclear Power Plant

On 24 May, Finland's parliament approved the construction of the country's fifth nuclear reactor. It is the first such nuclear plant to be authorized in Western Europe or North America since the 1986 Chernobyl disaster. Currently some 70% of Finland's energy is imported from abroad. Some 28% of the country's energy comes from nuclear facilities and with the construction of the new reactor, this figure will rise to 35%. The decision comes at a time when other European countries, notably Germany and Sweden, plan to phase out their nuclear power. The new reactor is set to have an operating life of 60 years. In 2001, Finland became the first country in the world to decide on a permanent underground storage facility for its nuclear waste that will be situated by its nuclear power plant in the city of Eurajoki, 155 miles northwest of Helsinki.

For information on the movement in Finland to oppose the construction of the reactor, please contact Ulla "KI-tzer" ullaklotzer@yahoo.com, Women Against Nuclear Power - Finland.

(source: AFP, 24 May 2002)

Russia Will Help Myanmar Build a Research Nuclear Reactor

The Russian government announced on 15 May that the country will help Myanmar, also called Burma, to construct a center for nuclear studies and a research nuclear reactor with a thermal capacity of 10 megawatts. The agreement will also include structures for the disposal of nuclear waste and a waste burial site. Under the agreement, Russia will deliver the fuel. Myanmar, one of the poorest Asian countries and which frequently suffers energy shortages, has informed the International Atomic Energy Agency of its intention to acquire the research reactor.

(source: AP World, 15 May 2002)

NAPF HAPPENINGS

Calling All Poets!

In 1995 the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation established an annual series of awards to encourage poets to explore and illuminate positive visions of peace and the human spirit. The Barbara Mandigo Kelly Poetry Awards are offered in three categories: adults, youth 13 to 18, and children 12 and under. Each year a committee of talented poets meets to select the winning poems. The deadline to submit 2002 entries is July 1st. To find out more about the Barbara Mandigo Kelly Peace Poetry Contest and to obtain the 2002 guidelines, please visit the website at: <http://www.wagingpeace.org/awards/poetryaward.html>

New Security Challenges: Ten Themes

By David Krieger

The International Network of Engineers and Scientists for Global Responsibility, in cooperation with Scientists for Global Responsibility and the University of Bradford Department of Peace Studies, held a seminar on "New Security Challenges: Global and Regional Priorities" at Bradford University on May 23-24, 2002. Ten themes emerged from the seminar. To read the full text of the article, please visit:

<http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/02.05/0531kriegernewsecurity.htm>

**UC Nuclear Free Campaign:
Nobel Peace Laureate Calls on University of California to
Stop Making Nuclear Weapons**

For more than 50 years the Regents of the University of California have been responsible for management and oversight of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and the Los Alamos National Laboratory, where most of the US nuclear arsenal has been researched, developed and tested. Sir Joseph Rotblat, a distinguished scientist and recipient of the Nobel Peace Prize, recently sent an Open Letter to the University of California Community. As Rotblat states in his letter, "For more than 50 years, the UC system has provided respectability to these laboratories that carry out research, develop and test nuclear weapons -weapons that could destroy civilization and probably the human species." In his letter, Rotblat asks the students, faculty and staff of the University to "raise your voices and demand that the University get out of the business of making weapons of mass destruction."

Rotblat worked as a scientist on the Manhattan Project, but resigned in 1944 when he realized that the Germans would not succeed in creating their own atomic weapons and therefore the Allied powers would not need these weapons to deter the Germans. Since that time, Rotblat has worked for a world free of nuclear weapons.

For information on how you can play a role in ending the University of California's oversight and management of the US nuclear weapons laboratories, visit the web site of the UC Nuclear Free Campaign sponsored by the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation at <http://www.ucnuclearfree.org>. To read the full text of the Open Letter from Sir Joseph Rotblat, please visit: <http://www.ucnuclearfree.org/articles/020529rotblatletter.htm>

ACTION

Tri-Valley CAREs Launches International Petition

Tri-Valley CAREs has created an international petition to:

- o End funding for the development of nuclear earth-penetrating bombs and other new and modified nuclear weapons,
- o Bring US nuclear policy into compliance with the nation's Non-Proliferation Treaty obligation to eliminate nuclear weapons, and
- o Reject the Bush administration's "Nuclear Posture Review."

The petition is an important way to make your voice heard. Please join in this international effort to restrain and reorient U.S. nuclear policy by signing and circulating the petition. Tri-Valley CAREs will collect the signed petitions and send copies of them to (1) President Bush, (2) the U.S. Congress and, (3) the United Nations.

Download the petition from the website of Tri-Valley CAREs at <http://www.trivalleycares.org>.

Send The Sunflower to Ten Friends

A simple way to take action is to send this electronic newsletter to ten friends or even better, to your entire electronic address book. Help spread the message and help us to reach a wider audience with important news of nuclear dangers.

RESOURCES

Visit the ever-evolving website of the **Nuclear Age Peace Foundation** at <Http://www.wagingpeace.org>

Moving Beyond Missile Defense is a joint project of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation and the International Network of Engineers and Scientists Against Proliferation. Visit the MBMD website at <http://www.mbmd.org>.

Take a journey through the Nuclear Age. Visit the Nuclear Files at <Http://www.nuclearfiles.org>

Apply now for the 2002 Brower Youth Awards! The Awards were established by Earth Island Institute to honor founder and lifelong environmentalist, David R. Brower. The Brower Youth Awards recognize young leaders age 13-22 who are working for Global Conservation, Preservation and Restoration (CPR). Each winner receives a cash award of \$3,000 and is honored at a gala celebration in Berkeley on 26 September. Applications are available on the Earth Island web site at <http://www.earthisland.org/bya>. Or, request an application via e-mail <bya@earthisland.org>.

"Courting Nuclear Disaster (India-Pakistan)," an article by Praful Bidwai is available online at: <http://www.jang.com.pk/thenews/may2002-daily/16-05-2002/oped/o1.htm>

"Nuclear-tipped Foolishness," an article by Michael Kraig and Michael Roston is available online at: <http://www.fpiif.org/commentary/2002/0205nuke.html>

The Reaching Critical Will website newly features a web-page on the Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space (PAROS) and the United Nations: <http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/paros/parosindex.html>

Two experts deliver the low-down in plain English on the Bush administration's decision to

study the possible use of nuclear-tipped interceptor missiles. Their message: What could they possibly be thinking? To read the report, visit:

<http://www.foreignpolicy-infocus.org/commentary/2002/0205nuke.html>

The Annual Report 2001 of INESAP is now available at the INESAP website at:

<http://www.inesap.org>

"Stop nuclear waste from travelling through your neighborhood!"

<http://nuclearneighborhoods.org/images/nn-pub.swf>

EDITORS

Carah Ong
David Krieger

--

Carah Lynn Ong
Director of Research and Publications

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation
PMB 121, 1187 Coast Village Road, Suite 1
Santa Barbara, California 93108-2794 USA

Tel: +1 805-965-3443
Fax: +1 805-568-0466
Cell: +1 805-453-0255
[Http://www.wagingpeace.org](http://www.wagingpeace.org)
[Http://www.nuclearfiles.org](http://www.nuclearfiles.org)
<http://www.mbmd.org>

"He aha te nui mea o te ao? He tangata, he tangata, he tangata." (A Maori Saying)
"What is the most important thing in the world? It is the people, the people, the people."

To become a free on-line participating member of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation,
click here: <https://www.sbwh.com/wagingpeace/mbrshp.html>.

To Unsubscribe, send a blank message to: sunflower-napf-unsubscribe@eGroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the [Yahoo! Terms of Service](#).

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13907.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.70])

by kendall.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17f3oL5uK3Nl3pM0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 3 Jun 2002 21:46:10 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020604014611.20384.qmail@web13907.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.157.53.87] by web13907.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 03 Jun 2002 18:46:11 PDT

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2002 18:46:11 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Material for a new page

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <000901c207ee\$2b3500e0\$706bf7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-335398808-1023155171=:17850"

--0-335398808-1023155171=:17850

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi,

We came back Saturday evening and spent all of Sunday recuperating and doing laundry. We had a great time but glad to be home.

I made the changes to the homepage based on your letter. Before I continue with the bottom half of the page, I need to get your initial feedback first. It takes me a long time to make little changes because each box is an image. Let me know what you think about the revised homepage and I will continue with the bottom half.

You can view the page at <http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/trial.html>

Regards,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie,

Welcome back! While you were gone, I purchased a new monitor with a larger screen and adjusted it so that I can see our web site in its full width. It makes me better appreciate your design and choice of colors.

I took a fresh look at the home page. I'm speculating about some changes but haven't made up my mind. By snail mail I'm sending you a crude paste up of a possible revision.

I have completed the initial material for the Military Leaders Speak Out page. I'm sending it as Word attachment. It is ready for you to put on line as another draft page.

For a graphic at the beginning I suggest a bald eagle holding olive branches in one claw and arrows in the other. This is based upon the Great Seal of the United States, which is shown on: <http://www.greatseal.com/>

However, I don't want the complete Great Seal design with its shield with stripes and the stars. Our site is international in scope and will have military leaders from other countries. Rather try to find an eagle without this augmentation and put the olive branches and arrows in its claws.

If this is too difficult to accomplish, let me know, and I'll think of another design. You may have an idea, too.

In looking at other web sites, I note the use of colored type for heads and subheadings of articles and speeches. You may want to try this in Military Leaders Speak Out.

I like the way you framed statements on the Religious Statements page. The lines on either side provide a better appearance than words by themselves. Please do the same for Military Leaders Speak Out.

In this latest page I'm making more use of bold in introductory paragraphs to highlight the subject. And also more bullets to break into stream of written text. I have used arrows, which I like better than the standard dot, for it has dynamism. But you may have something else available.

Next I'm going to make a critical review of the Religious Statements page and the How to Get to Zero pages to see whether some these graphic ideas apply. After such revisions are made, do you think we can put these pages on line for others to access?

I'm starting to work on the Arsenal and Treaties page and gathering material for Civil Sector Statements and Reports.

Let me know when you're back and have things for me to see.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=MILITARY LEADERS SPEAK OUT.doc

Reply-To: "{USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME}" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "{USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME}" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020604014611.20384.qmail@web13907.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Material for a new page
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 09:50:22 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_000B_01C20BAD.3E7CA8C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_000B_01C20BAD.3E7CA8C0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

Yes, I think it works out better having the general's quotes and "All =
nuclear weapons on Earth should be eliminated" paired at the top. On my =
screen I see the Powel quote but not his name. Is that true with you, =
also? If so, is there some way his name could show? Such as by =
reducing the masthead a quarter of an inch or so, or by having less =
space between the boxes (though the proportions look good).

On "From a statement by 21 U.S. religious leaders....", it might be =
better to spread it across the box.=20

With these refinements, go ahead with the rest of the page.

I appreciate your willingness to one more design.

Howard

----- Original Message -----=20
From: Marie Kayser=20
To: Howard W. Hallman=20
Sent: Monday, June 03, 2002 9:46 PM
Subject: Re: Material for a new page

Hi,=20

We came back Saturday evening and spent all of Sunday recuperating and =
doing laundry. We had a great time but glad to be home.=20

I made the changes to the homepage based on your letter. Before I =
continue with the bottom half of the page, I need to get your initial =
feedback first. It takes me a long time to make little changes because =

each box is an image. Let me know what you think about the revised =
homepage and I will continue with the bottom half.=20

You can view the page at <http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/trial.html>=20

Regards,
Marie=20

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:=20

Marie,

Welcome back! While you were gone, I purchased a new monitor with a =
larger
screen and adjusted it so that I can see our web site in its full =
width. It
makes me better appreciate your design and choice of colors.

I took a fresh look at the home page. I'm speculating about some =
changes
but haven't made up my mind. By snail mail I'm sending you a crude =
paste
up of a possible revision.

I have completed the initial material for the Military Leaders Speak =
Out
page. I'm sending it as Word attachment. It is ready for you to put =
on
line as another draft page.

For a graphic at the beginning I suggest a bald eagle holding olive
branches in one claw and arrows in the other. This is based upon the =
Great
Seal of the United States, which is shown on: =
<http://www.greatseal.com/>

However, I don't want the complete Great Seal design with its shield =
with
stripes and the stars. Our site is international in scope and will =
have
military leaders from other countries. Rather try to find an eagle =
without
this augmentation and put the olive branches and arrows in its =
claws.

If this is too difficult to accomplish, let me know, and I'll think =
of
another design. You may have an idea, too.

In looking at other web sites, I note the use of colored type for =
heads and
subheadings of articles and speeches. You may want to try this in =
Military
Leaders Speak Out.

I like the way you framed statements on the Religious Statements =
page. The
lines on either side provide a better appearance than words by =
themselves.

Please do the same for Military Leaders Speak Out.

In this latest page I'm making more use of bold in introductory =
paragraphs
to highlight the subject. And also more bullets to break into stream =
of
written text. I have used arrows, which I like better than the =
standard
dot, for it has dynamism. But you may have something else available.

Next I'm going to make a critical review of the Religious Statements =
page
and the How to Get to Zero pages to see whether some these graphic =
ideas
apply. After such revisions are made, do you think we can put these =
pages
on line for others to access?

I'm starting to work on the Arsenal and Treaties page and gathering =
material
for Civil Sector Statements and Reports.

Let me know when you're back and have things for me to see.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association =
of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist =
denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=3DMILITARY LEADERS SPEAK =
OUT.doc=20

Status: U
Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Received: from web13901.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.27])
by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17ffGo8u3Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 10:53:12 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <20020604145311.18938.qmail@web13901.mail.yahoo.com>
Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13901.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 04 Jun 2002 07:53:11 PDT
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 07:53:11 -0700 (PDT)
From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Material for a new page
To: {USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME} <mupj@igc.org>
In-Reply-To: <000e01c20bce\$cc3ccb60\$566ef7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-426798795-1023202391=:18822"

--0-426798795-1023202391=:18822
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi,

I can reduce the spacing of the quote boxes so Powell's name will appear without having to scroll down. I will make the other changes and continue with the rest of the design.

Do you want to put Feedback on the top line of the navigational line? The size of the screen is currently 780 pixels. To reduce it down to 700 pixels, I will have to reduce the width of the masthead by cutting out the section currently above the feedback button and move the feedback button down to the second navigation line. This will allow you to view the entire page on your small screen.
mk

{USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME} <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie, Yes, I think it works out better having the general's quotes and "All nuclear weapons on Earth should be eliminated" paired at the top. On my screen I see the Powel quote but not his name. Is that true with you, also? If so, is there some way his name could show? Such as by reducing the masthead a quarter of an inch or so, or by having less space between the boxes (though the proportions look good). On "From a statement by 21 U.S. religious leaders....", it might be better to spread it across the box. With these refinements, go ahead with the rest of the page. I appreciate your willingness to one more design.
Howard----- Original Message ----- From: Marie Kayser To: Howard W. Hallman Sent: Monday, June 03, 2002 9:46 PM
Subject: Re: Material for a new page

Hi,

We came back Saturday evening and spent all of Sunday recuperating and doing laundry. We had a great time but glad to be home.
I made the changes to the homepage based on your letter. Before I continue with the bottom half of the page, I need to get your initial feedback first. It takes me a long time to make little changes because each box is an image. Let me know what you think about the revised homepage and I will continue with the bottom half.
You can view the page at <http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/trial.html>

Regards,
Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie,

Welcome back! While you were gone, I purchased a new monitor with a larger screen and adjusted it so that I can see our web site in its full width. It makes me better appreciate your design and choice of colors.

I took a fresh look at the home page. I'm speculating about some changes but haven't made up my mind. By snail mail I'm sending you a crude paste up of a possible revision.

I have completed the initial material for the Military Leaders Speak Out page. I'm sending it as Word attachment. It is ready for you to put on line as another draft page.

For a graphic at the beginning I suggest a bald eagle holding olive branches in one claw and arrows in the other. This is based upon the Great Seal of the United States, which is shown on: <http://www.greatseal.com/>

However, I don't want the complete Great Seal design with its shield with stripes and the stars. Our site is international in scope and will have military leaders from other countries. Rather try to find an eagle without this augmentation and put the olive branches and arrows in its claws.

If this is too difficult to accomplish, let me know, and I'll think of another design. You may have an idea, too.

In looking at other web sites, I note the use of colored type for heads and subheadings of articles and speeches. You may want to try this in Military Leaders Speak Out.

I like the way you framed statements on the Religious Statements page. The lines on either side provide a better appearance than words by themselves. Please do the same for Military Leaders Speak Out.

In this latest page I'm making more use of bold in introductory paragraphs to highlight the subject. And also more bullets to break into stream of written text. I have used arrows, which I like better than the standard dot, for it has dynamism. But you may have something else available.

Next I'm going to make a critical review of the Religious Statements page and the How to Get to Zero pages to see whether some these graphic ideas apply. After such revisions are made, do you think we can put these pages on line for others to access?

I'm starting to work on the Arsenal and Treaties page and gathering material for Civil Sector Statements and Reports.

Let me know when you're back and have things for me to see.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=MILITARY LEADERS SPEAK OUT.doc

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020604145311.18938.qmail@web13901.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Material for a new page
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 13:34:02 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0009_01C20BCC.7D6E26C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0009_01C20BCC.7D6E26C0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

I bought a 17" screen, so I can see the entire masthead. In the process =
I learned that I could have probably adjusted the resolution on my 15" =
screen to get the whole page on it. In talking around I have concluded =
that we should stick with the size of your original. So don't reduce =
it.

Howard

----- Original Message -----=20
From: Marie Kayser=20
To: {USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME}=20
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 10:53 AM
Subject: Re: Material for a new page

Hi,

I can reduce the spacing of the quote boxes so Powell's name will =
appear without having to scroll down. I will make the other changes and =
continue with the rest of the design.=20

Do you want to put Feedback on the top line of the navigational line? =
The size of the screen is currently 780 pixels. To reduce it down to =
700 pixels, I will have to reduce the width of the masthead by cutting =
out the section currently above the feedback button and move the =
feedback button down to the second navigation line. This will allow you =
to view the entire page on your small screen.=20

mk=20

=20

{USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME} <mupj@igc.org> wrote:=20

Marie,

Yes, I think it works out better having the general's quotes and =
"All nuclear weapons on Earth should be eliminated" paired at the top. =
On my screen I see the Powel quote but not his name. Is that true with =
you, also? If so, is there some way his name could show? Such as by =
reducing the masthead a quarter of an inch or so, or by having less =
space between the boxes (though the proportions look good).

On "From a statement by 21 U.S. religious leaders....", it might be =
better to spread it across the box.=20

With these refinements, go ahead with the rest of the page.

I appreciate your willingness to one more design.

Howard

----- Original Message -----=20

From: Marie Kayser=20

To: Howard W. Hallman=20

Sent: Monday, June 03, 2002 9:46 PM

Subject: Re: Material for a new page

Hi,=20

We came back Saturday evening and spent all of Sunday recuperating =
and doing laundry. We had a great time but glad to be home.=20

I made the changes to the homepage based on your letter. Before I =
continue with the bottom half of the page, I need to get your initial =
feedback first. It takes me a long time to make little changes because =
each box is an image. Let me know what you think about the revised =
homepage and I will continue with the bottom half.=20

You can view the page at =
<http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/trial.html>=20

Regards,
Marie=20

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:=20

Marie,

Welcome back! While you were gone, I purchased a new monitor =
with a larger
screen and adjusted it so that I can see our web site in its =
full width. It
makes me better appreciate your design and choice of colors.

I took a fresh look at the home page. I'm speculating about some =
changes
but haven't made up my mind. By snail mail I'm sending you a =
crude paste
up of a possible revision.

I have completed the initial material for the Military Leaders =
Speak Out
page. I'm sending it as Word attachment. It is ready for you to =
put on
line as another draft page.

For a graphic at the beginning I suggest a bald eagle holding =
olive
branches in one claw and arrows in the other. This is based upon =
the Great
Seal of the United States, which is shown on: =
<http://www.greatseal.com/>

However, I don't want the complete Great Seal design with its =
shield with
stripes and the stars. Our site is international in scope and =
will have
military leaders from other countries. Rather try to find an =
eagle without
this augmentation and put the olive branches and arrows in its =
claws.

If this is too difficult to accomplish, let me know, and I'll =
think of
another design. You may have an idea, too.

In looking at other web sites, I note the use of colored type =
for heads and
subheadings of articles and speeches. You may want to try this =
in Military
Leaders Speak Out.

I like they way you framed statements on the Religious =
Statements page. The
lines on either side provide a better appearance than words by =
themselves.
Please do the same for Military Leaders Speak Out.

In this latest page I'm making more use of bold in introductory =
paragraphs
to highlight the subject. And also more bullets to break into =
stream of
written text. I have used arrows, which I like better than the =
standard
dot, for it has dynamism. But you may have something else =
available.

Next I'm going to make a critical review of the Religious =

Statements page

and the How to Get to Zero pages to see whether some these =
graphic ideas
apply. After such revisions are made, do you think we can put =
these pages
on line for others to access?

I'm starting to work on the Arsenal and Treaties page and =
gathering material
for Civil Sector Statements and Reports.

Let me know when you're back and have things for me to see.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership =
association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist =
denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=3DMILITARY LEADERS =
SPEAK OUT.doc=20

Status: U

Return-Path: <irashorr@hotmail.com>

Received: from hotmail.com ([64.4.9.9])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17fgvH2Gw3Nl3p20

Tue, 4 Jun 2002 11:46:13 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;

Tue, 4 Jun 2002 08:46:13 -0700

Received: from 67.249.194.154 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;

Tue, 04 Jun 2002 15:46:12 GMT

X-Originating-IP: [67.249.194.154]

From: "Ira Shorr" <irashorr@hotmail.com>

To: jcbridgman@earthlink.net, tmoavero@peace-action.org, amillar@fourthfreedom.org, agallivan@psr.org, rsherman@fas.org, balexander@fourthfreedom.org, brian@ecoculture.com, bfinlay@thejusticeproject.org, BBlair@cdi.org, nuclear@wand.org, chris@2020vision.org, cpaine@nrdc.org, chrispaine@earthlink.net, dan@clw.org, dkimball@armscontrol.org, david@fcnl.org, SCOTTEJ@aol.com, vfp@igc.org, EPica@foe.org, prgrm@backfromthebrink.net, ggilhool@ix.netcom.com, gordonclark@earthlink.net, mupj@igc.org, jasonwilliamforrester@hotmail.com, jason@vi.org, jtiwari@psr.org, jdi@clw.org, kcrandall@disarmament.org, kmartin@fourthfreedom.org, kroberts@psr.org, wand@wand.org, laura@techrocks.org, lydia@fcnl.org, lynniskine@hotmail.com, mbutcher@psr.org, mmartin@armscontrolcenter.org, mmckinzie@nrdc.org, mlevi@fas.org, miriam@ips-dc.org, sam@fcnl.org, slynch@peace-action.org, srobinson@clw.org, syoung@ucsusa.org, tcollina@ucsusa.org

Subject: Colin Powell on the Lehrer News Hour

Date: Tue, 04 Jun 2002 11:46:12 -0400

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Message-ID: <F9jalWdGBJzfer0qA3900018bce@hotmail.com>

X-OriginalArrivalTime: 04 Jun 2002 15:46:13.0158 (UTC) FILETIME=[F4726C60:01C20BDE]

Folks,

Here is a quote from Powell on the news Hour show of last Thursday, May 30th--the interview centered on the possibility of nuclear conflict between India and Pakistan--the following Powell quote (particularly the second paragraph) may be useful in the fight against Bunker Buster:

JIM LEHRER: If there is, in fact, a conflict, how likely is it that it would eventually lead to the use of nuclear weapons by these two countries?

COLIN POWELL: I can't answer that question, but I can say this: In my conversations with both sides, especially with the Pakistani side, I have made it clear that this really can't be in anyone's mind, I mean, the thought of nuclear conflict in the year 2002 - with what that would mean with respect to loss of life, what that would mean with respect to the condemnation, the worldwide condemnation that would come down on whatever nation chose to take that course of action would be such that

I can see very little military, political, or any other kind of justification for the use of nuclear weapons. Nuclear weapons in this day and age may serve some deterrent effect, and so be it, but to think of using them as just another weapon in what might start out as a conventional conflict in this day and age, seems to me to be something that no side should be contemplating.

Chat with friends online, try MSN Messenger: <http://messenger.msn.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Ira Shorr" <irashorr@hotmail.com>
References: <F9jalWdGGBJzfer0qA3900018bce@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Colin Powell on the Lehrer News Hour
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 13:54:20 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Ira,

Thanks for the quote. I'm going to add it on our web page,
www.zero-nukes.org to two Powell quotes made when he was chair of the Joint
Chiefs of Staff. In one he spoke of the goal of zero and the other the
disutility of nuclear weapons.

Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: GRACEGENERATION@aol.com

Received: from igcb.igc.apc.org ([192.82.108.46])

by hazard.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17fhH47SU3NkYBY0

for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 13:02:02 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from imo-d01.mx.aol.com (imo-d01.mx.aol.com [205.188.157.33])

by igcb.igc.apc.org (8.9.3/8.9.3) with ESMTP id JAA12560

for <mupj@igc.apc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 09:59:55 -0700 (PDT)

From: GRACEGENERATION@aol.com

Received: from GRACEGENERATION@aol.com

by imo-d01.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v32.5.) id p.f9.1d1f12bf (15700);

Tue, 4 Jun 2002 13:00:58 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from aol.com (mow-m18.webmail.aol.com [64.12.180.134]) by air-id05.mx.aol.com (v86.12) with ESMTP id MAILINID52-0604130058; Tue, 04 Jun 2002 13:00:58 -0400

Date: Tue, 04 Jun 2002 13:01:33 -0400

To: rerh@bcpl.net, omgrif@aol.com, egallow@aol.com, ahunt@bwcumc.org, decondan@msn.com, harrod@erols.com, mupj@igc.apc.org, tgreen@erols.com, clifndot@erols.com, robjoe1927@aol.com, soldierjim@webtv.net, revharpold@yahoo.com, kngould@pot.infi+net, dougjhays@hotmail.com, adg2726StPaul@msn.com, sharrell@crosslink.net, dhynson@umpublishing.org, sbrandon4Jesus@yahoo.com, robert.hershberger@med.va.gov

Subject: The Truth About Gibbons-Resurrection Property (Brandywine, MD)

Message-ID: 33DC44A2.1908A21B.DB494252@aol.com

X-Mailer: Atlas Mailer 2.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=-----33dc6bb21908c92b33dc6bb21908c92b

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

MIME-Version: 1.0

June 4, 2002

Dear Friends:

I am profoundly disappointed at the false and misleading statements distributed by the United Methodist Church

All parties in the litigation with United Methodist Church agreed that there had been a full and fair opportunity to provide an accounting of my stewardship and books and records of Gibbons-Resurrection United Methodist Church. There was no wrongdoing. There was no misappropriation of funds. There was no finding of wrongdoing.

To the contrary, any fair reading of the terms of the Settlement Agreement will make it clear that the allegations in the lawsuit brought by United Methodist Church were false and completely without merit.

Indeed, I encourage each of you to demand to see a copy of the confidential settlement agreement between the United Methodist Church and myself. The Settlement Agreement permits each church to share the terms of the confidential settlement agreement with its own members. I intend to share the terms with my members. I encourage you to demand to see the Settlement Agreement itself. Do not settle for the Church's "version" of the agreement. Ask to see the document itself. Don't take "no" for an answer.

I especially urge you to compare the terms of the Settlement Agreement with the allegations that have been made by the United Methodist Church publicly and in the lawsuit. Compare the allegations made by the United Methodist Church with the final result. The end result is that we agreed to split 50/50 the final collection (half of which was collected at Ark of Safety, not Resurrection) and half of the balance in the building fund account (for a total of \$13,210) and give to Resurrection an old desk, a TV set and a mixing board. That is all. Ask to see the documents yourself.

If you read the Settlement Agreement, it will be quite apparent to you that there was absolutely no merit whatsoever to the false, disparaging and defamatory allegations made by the United Methodist Church. The Church frivolously spent hundreds of thousands of dollars in your money in unnecessary legal fees pursuing a case that had no merit whatsoever.

Indeed, you be shocked at the amount of your hard earned donations spent in this case. I urge you to demand an accounting from the United Methodist Church of the legal fees they spent in this case. Again, ask to see the documents. Don't take "no" for an answer. This is your money. You are entitled to an accounting.

Most importantly, compare the amount of your donations spent on legal fees with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. You will be shocked and saddened, as I was, with the gross misuse of Church money spent to embarrass me. You should ask why the Church spent hundreds of thousand dollars in this case, especially given the final result.

Sadly, the United Methodist Church has distributed many other false statements about the condition it finds itself in, and the reasons for its abandonment of Gibbons. The Church suggests that \$2.75 million is the real value of the property and that its offer was unfairly rejected by the bondholders. Indeed, the property was appraised at \$3.5 million **before** the new sanctuary was completed. The fact is that Gibbons only qualified for a \$2.4 million loan and the bondholders rejected that amount. This is why the building went into foreclosure.

I urge you to demand to see the appraisal, the loan qualification documents and the bondholders' response. Ask to see the documents. You are entitled to the truth about these transactions. Don't take "no" for an answer.

Each of the transactions with United Methodist Church were fully agreed upon to complete the new sanctuary. During my tenure at Gibbons, the United Methodist Church regularly sent auditors and examiners to review our books, as they do with all churches within the Conference. The Conference's reviews of the books at Gibbons during my tenure showed all of the finances in order and all of the expenditures were appropriate. Ask to see the documents. Don't take "no" for an answer.

The sad reality is that the Conference never had to defend its "trust" clause. I never tried to keep the building. In fact, I offered to the Conference to remain in the building at the time of our split and pay all of the expenses if the Conference could not afford it. I even offered to allow the remaining Gibbons congregation to use the church and the cemetery. The Conference rejected my offer, even when it was clear that so many members of Gibbons were following me to Ark of Safety. When the Conference rejected my offer, I left within the week. Had the Conference accepted my offer, it could have saved itself much heartache.

The innocent people of Gibbons are dear to me, and it pains me that the United Methodist church is attempting to use their emotions to attack me. At the Annual

Conference last year, I was denied the opportunity to address you directly and in person. I extend the same offer to you again. I urge you to host me as a group, or to speak directly with me. I am happy to provide any documentation concerning these events, and urge you to demand the same from the United Methodist Church.

My door is always open to you and I look forward to hearing from you. Please contact me at 301-686-0690.

Sincerely,

Rev. Dr. C. Anthony Muse

Status: U
Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Received: from web13903.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.29])
by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17figXzO3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 13:39:06 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <20020604173908.46832.qmail@web13903.mail.yahoo.com>
Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13903.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 04 Jun 2002 10:39:08 PDT
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 10:39:08 -0700 (PDT)
From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Material for a new page
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
In-Reply-To: <000c01c20bee\$06b14cc0\$2858f7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1217062026-1023212348=:43588"

--0-1217062026-1023212348=:43588
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

that's great! I hope you got a good deal.

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie, I bought a 17" screen, so I can see the entire masthead. In the process I learned that I could have probably adjusted the resolution on my 15" screen to get the whole page on it. In talking around I have concluded that we should stick with the size of your original. So don't reduce it. Howard-----
Original Message ----- From: Marie Kayser To: {USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME} Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 10:53 AM Subject: Re: Material for a new page

Hi,

I can reduce the spacing of the quote boxes so Powell's name will appear without having to scroll down. I will make the other changes and continue with the rest of the design.

Do you want to put Feedback on the top line of the navigational line? The size of the screen is currently 780 pixels. To reduce it down to 700 pixels, I will have to reduce the width of the masthead by cutting out the section currently above the feedback button and move the feedback button down to the second navigation line. This will allow you to view the entire page on your small screen.

mk

{USER_FIRSTNAME} {USER_LASTNAME} <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie, Yes, I think it works out better having the general's quotes and "All nuclear weapons on Earth should be eliminated" paired at the top. On my screen I see the Powel quote but not his name. Is that true with you, also? If so, is there some way his name could show? Such as by reducing the masthead a quarter of an inch or so, or by having less space between the boxes (though the proportions look good). On "From a statement by 21 U.S. religious leaders....", it might be better to spread it across the box. With these refinements, go ahead with the rest of the page. I appreciate your willingness to one more design. Howard-----
Original Message ----- From: Marie Kayser To: Howard W. Hallman Sent: Monday, June 03, 2002 9:46 PM Subject: Re: Material for a new page

Hi,

We came back Saturday evening and spent all of Sunday recuperating and doing laundry. We had a great time but glad to be home.

I made the changes to the homepage based on your letter. Before I continue with the bottom half of the page, I need to get your initial feedback first. It takes me a long time to make little changes because each box is an image. Let me know what you think about the revised homepage and I will continue with the bottom half.

You can view the page at <http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/trial.html>

Regards,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie,

Welcome back! While you were gone, I purchased a new monitor with a larger screen and adjusted it so that I can see our web site in its full width. It makes me better appreciate your design and choice of colors.

I took a fresh look at the home page. I'm speculating about some changes but haven't made up my mind. By snail mail I'm sending you a crude paste up of a possible revision.

I have completed the initial material for the Military Leaders Speak Out page. I'm sending it as Word attachment. It is ready for you to put on line as another draft page.

For a graphic at the beginning I suggest a bald eagle holding olive branches in one claw and arrows in the other. This is based upon the Great Seal of the United States, which is shown on: <http://www.greatseal.com/>

However, I don't want the complete Great Seal design with its shield with stripes and the stars. Our site is international in scope and will have military leaders from other countries. Rather try to find an eagle without this augmentation and put the olive branches and arrows in its claws.

If this is too difficult to accomplish, let me know, and I'll think of another design. You may have an idea, too.

In looking at other web sites, I note the use of colored type for heads and subheadings of articles and speeches. You may want to try this in Military Leaders Speak Out.

I like the way you framed statements on the Religious Statements page. The lines on either side provide a better appearance than words by themselves. Please do the same for Military Leaders Speak Out.

In this latest page I'm making more use of bold in introductory paragraphs to highlight the subject. And also more bullets to break into stream of written text. I have used arrows, which I like better than the standard dot, for it has dynamism. But you may have something else available.

Next I'm going to make a critical review of the Religious Statements page and the How to Get to Zero pages to see whether some these graphic ideas apply. After such revisions are made, do you think we can put these pages on line for others to access?

I'm starting to work on the Arsenal and Treaties page and gathering material for Civil Sector Statements and Reports.

Let me know when you're back and have things for me to see.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of

Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=MILITARY LEADERS SPEAK OUT.doc

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020604173908.46832.qmail@web13903.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Sponsors
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 14:16:04 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0008_01C20BD2.5C798260"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0008_01C20BD2.5C798260
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

Marie,

For our sponsors list, remove Cooperative Baptist Fellowship.

Add: National Council of Churches [www.nccusa.org]

Other URL's that I know are as follows:

Pax Christi USA [www.paxchristiusa.org]

Presbyterian Church, Washington Office =
[www.pcusa.org/washington/issuenet/gs.htm]

Union of American Jewish Congregations [www.rac.org]

United Church of Christ [www.ucc.org]

United Methodist General Board of Church and Society [www.umc-gbcs.org]

I'm collecting the others.

Yes, with advice from friends I bought my new monitor at a Computer Fair =
and got a good deal. I've also added memory to my old computer so that =
I could upgrade my e-mail server and web browser.

I'm working on some other pages, so you'll hear from me quite a bit this =
week.

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Phillip H. Miller" <millerph@att.net>
Subject: Request
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 14:41:40 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Phil,

Thanks for replacing the East Ohio check.

I would like to request \$500 for my services in May in working on the web site. It should come from the fund in which you put the GBCS grant.

Thanks,
Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: How to Get to Zero
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 17:14:34 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002B_01C20BEB.4D548500"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

I have gone over the draft How to Get to Zero page in detail and have some refinements to propose. They are offered in the attachment. When they are made, this page could go on line, linked to the home page.

Is it time for us to make another payment to you? If so, let me know, and I'll instruct our treasurer.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Refinements for How to Get to Zero page.

1. Although the no-nuke symbols are where I suggested, I don't like the appearance. It's too formal and rigid. Instead, I suggest that one no-nuke symbol be used as an inset for the re-written introductory paragraph. Perhaps the quote of Butler and anon. (needs a period) could move up so that it would show on the opening screen. How to Get to Zero could move right.

2. Re-write the introductory paragraph as follows, in italic with margins suitable to the space, with no-nuke symbol inset.

Other pages of this web site present recommendations from religious organizations, military leaders, and civil-sector organizations [linkages to these pages] for the global elimination of nuclear weapons. This web page explores practical steps for achieving this goal.. It offers:

- *Recommendations from major reports of the past ten years.*
- *Consideration of interim measures, such as de-alerting and deep cuts in nuclear arms.*
- *Proposals from military professionals and civilian experts for getting to zero.*
- *An invitation for others to offer their proposals.*

3. Reports of Commissions and International Bodies (index)

- a. Commissions should be plural.
- b. Put "Common Elements" at the end of the list of reports. Later relocate this element.
- c. Add "INVITATION FOR YOUR PROPOSALS" as another major heading (in CAPS like "REPORTS....", "PROPOSALS....", "INTERIM MEASURES").

4. Formatting. (a) For report excerpts, use the box arrangements that you used with the Holy See on Religious Statements page. (b) Use color for some of the headings.

5. Common Elements. (a) Relocate to after Final Document. (b) Add a blank line after # 5. This makes the list easier to read.

6. Canberra Commission.

a. As graphic, an outline of Australia with a star and name to identify location of Canberra.

b. In introductory paragraph, highlight the two main elements of the report as follows: *...issued in 1996:*

- *Made the case for the elimination of nuclear weapons.*
- *Offered concrete recommendations on how this could be accomplished.*

c. Members. (i) Visually separate the list of members, such as with horizontal lines above and below, or put them in an inset box. (ii) Perhaps use one size smaller type. (iii) In the list where United Kingdom and United States carry over, keep them together indented on second line.

d. Reinforcing Steps. Indent to match numbers of previous section

7. National Academy of Sciences

a. I like the way you placed Einstein and his quote.

b. Recommended Near-term and Mid-term Actions. For the three items inset after the second paragraph, use bullets (arrows), such as:

Eliminate.... etc.

8. New Agenda Coalition.

a. I couldn't think of a graphic, like Einstein and the map of Australia. Do you have any suggestion? One possibility would be the Mercator projection of Earth (or a more modern) with these countries identified. But they are probably too small to show. What else? Maybe I could find a photo of the foreign ministers releasing the report.

b. Add a blank line after #4.

9. Tokyo Forum

a. Suggested graphic.

b. In list a blank line after #5, 10, and 15.

10. 2000 NPT Review Conference

a. Good job with photo.

b. When we get the Arsenals and Treaties page, there will be a linkage for the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty.

c. In introductory paragraph, the last sentence in italic. Bring "follows" under "undertaken". It's lost on the left margin.

d. In list, one less blank line before #10.

11. SCENARIOS

a. Introductory paragraph in italic.

b. When this page goes on line, can you help set up e-mail for proposals@zero-nukes.org and moderator@zero-nukes.org (from home page) and tell me how to access incoming messages.

c. Maybe after each of "Ideas of Military Professionals" and "Ideas of Civilians" we should indicate: [To be added.]

12. INTERIM MEASURES

a. Same type size as SCENARIOS

b. Introductory paragraph in italic.

c. After De-alerting and Reductions Approaching Zero, indicate: [To be added.]

13. INVITATION FOR YOUR PROPOSALS

a. I would like to add a new section for an invitation, even though we've made an invitation in the introduction to Scenarios. This will be listed at the beginning of this page so that users can make quick reference to how to submit their ideas.

b. Here is the wording (in italic):

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament invites you who have an interest in achieving the elimination of nuclear weapons to offer your ideas for achieving this goal. You can present a scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out an interim measure.

Send your ideas to proposals@zero-nukes.org. To facilitate the process, please send them as Word attachments or similar format. We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through [Your Feedback](#).

[linkage to that page]

Status: U
Return-Path: <irashorr@hotmail.com>
Received: from hotmail.com ([64.4.9.117])
by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17fjtw5ty3Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 14:56:09 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
Tue, 4 Jun 2002 11:56:09 -0700
Received: from 67.242.147.215 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;
Tue, 04 Jun 2002 18:56:08 GMT
X-Originating-IP: [67.242.147.215]
From: "Ira Shorr" <irashorr@hotmail.com>
To: mupj@igc.org
Subject: Notes from Brink Strategy Session
Date: Tue, 04 Jun 2002 14:56:08 -0400
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed
Message-ID: <F1175ZgR1zRL25AExgT0000d6da@hotmail.com>
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 04 Jun 2002 18:56:09.0153 (UTC) FILETIME=[7CFD4310:01C20BF9]

Howard--I had the wrong address for you the first time I sent this--

Folks,

Thanks for participating in last week's strategy session. I've copied below the notes from the meeting. Looking forward to working with you all on this effort.

PS--below the notes there's a letter of ours published in the Buffalo News on Sunday.

Bra

Brink Campaign-Planning Meeting Notes May 29, 2002

(Organizations represented: FCNL, WAND, 20/20, FAS, ACA, WILPF, Fourth Freedom, Justice Project, PSR, Peace Action, NDP, Methodists United for Peace and Justice, Brink Campaign)

1. Status of De-alerting Issue

It was noted that elite critiques of the US/Russian Treaty, had a convergence of similar themes, of which the need for de-alerting was one piece. These included:

1. Expand Nunn-Lugar
2. De-alert
3. Dismantle – accelerate
4. No new nukes – no testing
5. Get a better handle on Russia's tactical nuclear weapons

2. It was agreed that the Treaty hearings/debate offer an opportunity to promote these themes, inside and outside the beltway.

NEED:

- Develop better messages, talking points, theme to use with Grassroots and media. Polling (Council and NTI may be doing polling that can aide in this effort).
- New “Road Map” for de-alerting

3. Legislative Opportunities

- Use Treaty votes and Defense Authorization bill
- On de-alerting, focus might be Nunn-Habinger language (“...devise operational changes in the alert status of nuclear forces that would reduce toward zero the risk of accidental launch or miscalculation and increase the decision time for each President..”
- Need to explore “pro-active” legislation, find new Democratic champions, secure leadership from Biden, Daschle, and Levin.

GRASSROOTS FOCUS-PUBLIC EDUCATION CAMPAIGN ON NPR (TREATY, KEY VOTES, ETC.)

Goal: Build Constituencies for new nuclear weapons policies.

- Brink has developed draft target list, will be modified as planning progresses.
Targets include key leaders, committee members.
- Campaign will include on-site visits by Brink and others, possible state conferences, state-wide conferences, etc.
- Should attempt to reach out to new constituencies: students, World Affairs Councils, etc.
- Resources could include: ads, the “Urgent Call”, petitions
- Need to develop strategic action plan—incorporate “calendar” events (Congressional votes, Hiroshima Day, summer tabling, Treaty debate, elections, etc.)
- Need to catalogue on-going activities, resources developed by groups on Treaty/NPR

FOLLOW-UP –Grassroots Campaign Development

A following-up strategy session of grassroots groups will take place the week of June 16th (following Kathy Guthrie’s return to town):

1. Map out initial campaign strategies, message, resources, etc.
2. Develop plans for Brink/others On-Site visits in fall.

Nuclear arms treaty offers little to cheer

6/2/2002

Buffalo News

President Bush and Russian President Vladimir Putin were all smiles over the arms "reduction" treaty they say will cut nuclear arsenals by two-thirds. Only time will tell if there's real cause for celebration. Unfortunately, at the urging of Pentagon planners, the treaty does not require the destruction of even one nuclear weapon.

Instead, the United States and Russia are set to store the thousands of warheads they take off of missiles, because U.S. war planners want the "flexibility" to be able to have more than 2,200 nuclear weapons to launch. It sounds like the administration's solution to global warming might be nuclear winter.

I would celebrate this treaty if it really made the world safer - if it called for moving more quickly than 10 years to not only remove these unneeded weapons from missiles, but to also dismantle them. I would applaud the two presidents if they also agreed to take their nuclear weapons off full-alert, so we wouldn't have to rely on decaying Russian early-warning systems to protect us against nuclear war by accident or miscalculation.

Then we'd all have something to smile about.

THADEUS DZIEKONSKI
Buffalo

Send and receive Hotmail on your mobile device: <http://mobile.msn.com>

Status: U
Return-Path: <J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org>
Received: from mail.mcc.org ([24.229.80.113])
by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id
17fkHI5Rv3NI3sj0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 16:14:54 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by mail.mcc.org(Lotus SMTP MTA v4.6.5 (863.2 5-20-1999)) id
85256BCE.006F4130 ; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 16:15:11 -0400
X-Lotus-FromDomain: MCC
From: J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Message-ID: <85256BCE.006F40C7.00@mail.mcc.org>
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 16:24:07 -0400
Subject: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-type: multipart/mixed;
Boundary="0__=P5UvTmfkv6zLu7tGMiSP9QshDGeDnzTApoHkz5h2vMeIFPQ
aaJGmBFQg"
Content-Disposition: inline

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj @ igc.org>
From: J. Daryl Byler
Date: 6/4/2002 4:00:17 PM
Subj: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms

Hi Howard:

The connection to our web site is: <http://www.mcc.org/us/washington/index.html>

Below find a 1981 statement from the Mennontie Church General Assembly:

(See attached file: ArmsRace.Aug15.1981.doc)(See attached file:
ArmsRace.Aug15.1981.wpd)

Mennonite Church General Assembly Resolution on Security and the Current World
Arms Race

As members of the Mennonite Church in the United States and Canada, we have gathered in biennial General Assembly in Bowling Green, Ohio, August 11-16, 1981, to affirm and celebrate our hope in Jesus Christ. In worship, prayer, and mutual deliberations we have sought the mind of Christ.

While celebrating our hope we are also aware of a growing despair in the world due to the escalation of the arms race. The development of new nuclear weapons proceeds amidst a political mood which is apparently ready to use them. Many are now saying

that the question is no longer if nuclear weapons will be used, but when. The probable resulting devastation to human life is beyond comprehension.

Two years ago this Assembly adopted a statement on militarism and conscription. In deploring the arms race observed then, the 1979 Assembly called our members and all people to trust in God rather than military technology, urging the church to "plead and pray for reversal of the world's collision course in manufacturing and deploying the most destructive weapons in all of history." The need for prayer is even more urgent today.

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We also feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

God's commandment that we love our neighbors motivates us to oppose those things that would destroy them. The false god of military security must be challenged by our witness to the sole source of salvation in Jesus Christ. To express our nonresistant faith in the context of the current arms race, we encourage our congregations and members to practice the following forms of faithfulness:

1. To pray regularly for national leaders who have in their hands the fateful power of nuclear weapons.
2. To become informed about the potential of nuclear war and the policies that move in that direction. We encourage congregations to find ways to study the issue and clarify their witness. Conference and churchwide peace committees can suggest helpful resources.
3. To declare our readiness, in the light of our faith in Christ, to live without nuclear weapons in our countries. The World Peace Pledge is one way to record and share this testimony.
4. To enter into discussion and study with other Christians in our communities. Many Christians who have not fully considered the way of love are asking questions about the meaning of the biblical message for issues of war and peace. As an aid for such discussions, we commend the New Abolitionist Covenant, which raises the faith question in regard to nuclear weapons in a manner similar to the way Christians two centuries ago began to question slavery in the light of the Word of God.
5. To invite men and women, including government leaders to that saving faith in Jesus Christ which provides ultimate security and frees from fear.

6. To consider ways to witness to the decision making process in our governments, urging alternatives to military confrontation and supporting the use of scarce resources for human need rather than armaments.

We confess that we have sometimes compromised our loyalty to the Prince of Peace by our silence in the face of preparations for war. We commit ourselves anew to Jesus Christ and the gospel of peace, sharing that gospel by our words and our consistent living as God's people. We resolve in all our relationships to witness to Christ's reconciling love through proclamation of His good news, through ministries of service, and through sacrificial sharing of our resources.

Our hope is in the gospel; our strength is in God. We rely upon the promise found in Zechariah 4:6: Not by might, nor by power, but by my Spirit, says the Lord of Hosts.

Mennonite Church General Assembly
Bowling Green
August 15, 1981

110 Maryland Ave. NE #502
Washington, DC 20002
(202) 544-6564

Status: U

Return-Path: <support@earthlink.net>

Received: from mail15b.boca15-verio.com ([208.55.91.59])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17fnlF6uv3Nl3p20 for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 19:04:18 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from www.knoahinc.com (168.143.143.169)

by mail15b.boca15-verio.com (RS ver 1.0.63s) with SMTP id 072760; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 19:04:03 -0400 (EDT)

Date: Wed, 05 Jun 2002 04:30:59 +0530

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Re: Need for assistance (KMM2069935V28780L0KM)

From: "support@earthlink.net" <support@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: "support@earthlink.net" <support@earthlink.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset = "us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

X-Mailer: Kana 6.0

X-Loop-Detect: 1

Message-Id: <200206041904.17fnlF6uv3Nl3p20@pickering.mail.mindspring.net>

Dear Mr. Hallman,

Thank you for contacting us.

Resolution 1:

Please follow the steps as given below to add or remove programs:

1. Click Start.
2. Click Settings.
3. Click Control Panel.
4. Double-click Add/Remove Programs.
5. Click Install/Uninstall tab.
6. Select the application which you want to uninstall and then click Add/Remove button.
7. Click Apply and ok.
8. Close all windows.

Resolution 2:

In order to connect to EarthLink after starting Outlook Express, =
please
follow the instructions given
below:

01. Start Outlook Express
02. Go to Tools menu
03. Go to Options
04. Select connection
05. Select the option of Always Dial my default Connection
06. Click Ok.

Resolution 3:

Please follow the below steps to make Internet Explorer 5.x the =
default
web browser:

1. Open Internet Explorer 5.x.
2. From the View menu, choose Internet Options.
3. Click on the Programs tab.
4. Check the box next to Internet Explorer should check to see whether =
it
is the default web browser.
4. Click the Apply and OK button.
5. Close the Internet Explorer window.
6. Open Internet Explorer.
7. Click the Yes button when asked if you would like to make Internet
Explorer the default browser.

We have further information about Internet access through EarthLink at =
our customer support site:

<http://support.earthlink.net>

(Also accessible from the "Support" link on the top right of your
Personal Start Page.)

If you need any further assistance, please let us know.

--

Sripad J.
Electronic Support
EarthLink, Inc.
The #1 Provider of the Real Internet

Email Case ID: K 886264

Original Message Follows:

Dear Support:
Recently I increased my RAM to 64 MB so that I could upgrade my =
Internet
service. I installed your EarthLink CD, "The Real Internet". Among
other
tasks, this upgraded my computerto Internet Explore 5.5 and Outlook
Express
5, the two systems I prefer. For the most part this is working out
well,
but I have several problems. Please advise me how to solve.
1. When I turn on my computer, I get a box entitled "Sign On --
Netscape
AOL Instant Messenger". How do I get rid of that permanently?
2. When I click the Outlook Express icon, I have to wait for an

EarthLink

sign-on message before I can gain access. Is it possible to set =

things

up

so that clicking Outlook Express will connect me with the Internet?

3. I want to use Internet Explorer as my default browser. When I =

click

on

that icon, I get a message, "This page cannot be displayed." =

Therefore,

I

have been connecting first with Outlook Express to get on line, then =

go

to

Internet Explorer. How can I obtain a direct connection?

Thanks for your assistance.

Howard Hallman

.

Status: U
Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Received: from web13908.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.71])
by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17fozI3Jy3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 20:22:54 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <20020605002255.55400.qmail@web13908.mail.yahoo.com>
Received: from [64.157.58.192] by web13908.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 04 Jun 2002 17:22:55 PDT
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 17:22:55 -0700 (PDT)
From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Sponsors
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
In-Reply-To: <000b01c20bf3\$e8421ac0\$4b56f7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1861450742-1023236575=:55007"

--0-1861450742-1023236575=:55007
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi Howard,
I finished the homepage and added links to the sponsor section. Let me know if you have additional changes.

Regards,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie,
Marie,

For our sponsors list, remove Cooperative Baptist Fellowship.

Add: National Council of Churches [www.nccusa.org]

Other URL's that I know are as follows:

Pax Christi USA [www.paxchristiusa.org]

Presbyterian Church, Washington Office [www.pcusa.org/washington/issuenet/gs.htm]

Union of American Jewish Congregations [www.rac.org]

United Church of Christ [www.ucc.org]

United Methodist General Board of Church and Society [www.umc-gbcs.org]

I'm collecting the others.

Yes, with advice from friends I bought my new monitor at a Computer Fair and got a good deal. I've also added memory to my old computer so that I could upgrade my e-mail server and web browser.

I'm working on some other pages, so you'll hear from me quite a bit this week.

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020605002255.55400.qmail@web13908.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Home page
Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2002 08:49:30 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0008_01C20C6D.E81BCDA0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0008_01C20C6D.E81BCDA0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

The revised Home Page is almost perfect. However, you misunderstood me. =
I want the quote from General Horner to go first, the quote from General =
Powell to go second and for his name to show on the initial screen. It =
looks to me that there is enough space for that. If not, you can (a) put =
the dates on the same line with the generals and the Cathedral (although =
I like it the way it is) or (b) reduce the masthead enough to =
compensate.

The URL for the Mennonite Central Committee is =
<http://www.mcc.org/us/washington/index.html>.

I've decided that we should identify the Interfaith Committee for =
Nuclear Disarmament on the home page. Therefore, I have written the =
following

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament is a coalition of =
denominational offices and religious associations that advocate the =
elimination of nuclear weapons. This project is sponsored primarily by =
denominational offices.

It might go across the page with an orange background just above the =
sponsors. Or it might go in a box below "How to submit your ideas". You =
might have another idea.=20

The "How to submit your ideas" can be linked to a new section I have =
written for the How to Get to Zero page.

Thanks for your good work.

Howard

----- Original Message -----=20

From: Marie Kayser=20

To: Howard W. Hallman=20

Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 8:22 PM

Subject: Re: Sponsors

Hi Howard,=20

I finished the homepage and added links to the sponsor section. Let =
me know if you have additional changes.=20

Regards,=20

Marie=20

Status: U

Return-Path: [J. Daryl Byler@mail.mcc.org](mailto:J.Daryl.Byler@mail.mcc.org)

Received: from mail.mcc.org ([24.229.80.113])

by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17fkHI5Rv3NI3sj0

for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 16:14:54 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by mail.mcc.org(Lotus SMTP MTA v4.6.5 (863.2 5-20-1999)) id 85256BCE.006F4130 ; Tue, 4 Jun 2002 16:15:11 -0400

X-Lotus-FromDomain: MCC

From: [J. Daryl Byler@mail.mcc.org](mailto:J.Daryl.Byler@mail.mcc.org)

To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org

Message-ID: 85256BCE.006F40C7.00@mail.mcc.org

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2002 16:24:07 -0400

Subject: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-type: multipart/mixed;

Boundary="0__=P5UvTmfkv6zLu7tGMiSP9QshDGeDnzTApoHkz5h2vMeIFPQa aJGmBFQg"

Content-Disposition: inline

Dear Bob, Lisa, Andrew:

Establishment of our web site, www.zero-nukes.org, is moving along. The home page is set. Our page on Religious Statements is in the works with information from a number of interfaith and ecumenical organizations and denominations. Soon it will be available through the home page. An interim version is available at [www:zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html).

Lisa has given me enough information from the National Council of Churches to make an initial draft. It is attached for your review, suggestions, and additions. I am particularly interested in obtaining past resolutions on nuclear disarmament issues. My preference is to reach them through linkage with your web site, but if that isn't possible we can post them on zero-nukes.

I notice that NCC lacks a recent comprehensive statement on nuclear disarmament issues. At a time when President Bush's Nuclear Posture Review and other actions constitute steps backward on a number of nuclear issues, it would be highly desirable for the largest ecumenical organization in the United States to speak out.

My understanding is that many of the NCC policy statements come about through the initiative of member denominations, though often with staff collaboration. Therefore, I'm wondering whether it would be possible to encourage particular denominational units, such as the United Methodist General Board of Church and Society, the United Methodist Council of Bishops, and some others, to take the initiative in developing a

new NCC policy statement on nuclear disarmament. I'm willing to use my contacts in the ecumenical community to encourage this, but I would want to do so with your knowledge and cooperation. What do you think?

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

National Council of Churches

[logo]

The National Council of the Churches of Christ in the U.S.A. is an ecumenical body involving 35 Protestant and Orthodox denominations in the U.S. with a total membership of 52 million members with 140,000 congregations. For more than four decades it has opposed nuclear weapons and favored their elimination.

*Its current policy framework is based upon **Pillars of Peace for The 21st Century** [<http://www.nccusa.org/about/pillars.html>], A Policy Statement On The United Nations, adopted by the General Assembly of the National Council of Churches on November 11, 1999. The fifth of seven pillars deals with peace issues, as follows:*

5) Peace and Conflict Resolution. Peace rooted in justice requires the nurturing of a culture of peace in homes, communities, religious institutions, nations and across the world; the use of non-violent means of resolving conflict; appropriate systems of common security; and the end of the unrestrained production, sale and use of weapons worldwide.

The Rev. Dr. Robert Edgar, General Secretary, National Council of the Churches, expanded upon this pillar in a paper offered to Millennium Peace Summit at the United Nations in August 2000. He stated (emphasis added):

In an age of higher military spending for weapons that feed deadly civil as well as international wars that mostly displace, wound or kill noncombatants, and create urgent needs for large international relief and reconstruction efforts, religious communities play important peacemaking roles. **The churches continue to be advocates for reduction and nonproliferation of both nuclear and convention weapons**, teachers of conflict resolution techniques that are applicable in many local communities, leaders in Reconciliation Commissions following warfare and repression, and pioneers in crossing lines of hostility between countries, such as the outmoded impasse between the U.S. and Cuba.

When India and Pakistan conducted nuclear weapons tests in 1998, Bishop Craig B. Anderson, President, and The Rev. Dr. Joan B. Campbell, General Secretary, National Council of Churches issued a statement in which they said:

These events point to the urgency on the global level to develop binding agreements on nuclear, chemical, biological and conventional armaments, seeking restraint on development, production, sale and transfer, so that the existence and trafficking of such weapons does not become a stimulus for tension and conflict. They also point to the necessity of developing alternate security systems and effective means of conflict resolution.

These recent statements are based upon long-standing policies of the National Council of Churches, including a policy statement on "Defense and Disarmament: New Requirements

for Security", adopted by the General Board in September 1968 and updated in November 1977. Among other things this statement indicated:

[to be added]

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <gpowers@nccbuscc.org>
Subject: Web site and the Holy See
Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2002 10:58:45 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Jerry,

I know you're busy getting ready for the Bishops meeting, but would you have a moment to give me some advice?

We're fairly far along putting Catholic information into the Religious Statements page of our new web site, www.zero-nukes.org. You can see it now at www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html, and within a few days through the home page. I have Mary Sperry's permission to use the excerpt from The Harvest of Justice and am awaiting her okay to use the summary from The Challenge of Peace.

For the Holy See we are using statements by Archbishop Martino and Monsignor Chullikat before UN bodies. Although their statements are in the public domain, I would like to touch base with their office to be certain that they are comfortable with our use of them. Can you suggest a contact at the Holy See's UN office that I might get in touch with? I would rather do it through staff than going directly to Archbishop Martino.

Thanks,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <GPowers@usccb.org>

Received: from peter.nccbuscc.org ([207.32.122.194])

by hazard.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17fDuIeF3NkYBY0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 5 Jun 2002 12:18:43 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from peter.nccbuscc.org (peter [207.32.122.194])

by peter.nccbuscc.org (8.9.3/8.9.3) with SMTP id MAA18468
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 5 Jun 2002 12:12:00 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from NCCB-Message_Server by usccb.org

with Novell_GroupWise; Wed, 05 Jun 2002 12:17:21 -0400

Message-Id: <scfe0151.073@usccb.org>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.5.2

Date: Wed, 05 Jun 2002 12:16:24 -0400

From: "Gerard Powers" <GPowers@usccb.org>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Web site and the Holy See -Reply

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Disposition: inline

Howard:

I'm afraid I don't know any particular individuals at +Martino's office at =
the moment (they come and go rather frequently).

I would just call and ask the secretary how to proceed. The number is =
212-370-7885.=20

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: [J. Daryl Byler@mail.mcc.org](mailto:J.Daryl.Byler@mail.mcc.org)
References: 85256BCE.006F40C7.00@mail.mcc.org
Subject: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms
Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2002 17:16:28 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====
=_NextPart_000_000F_01C20CB4.BB7133C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Daryl,

I've taken the statement you sent me, plus information from your web site and that of Mennonite Church USA, and written the attached statement for our web site. I share it with you for your review, changes, and additions.

Would it be okay to use the logo from the Mennonite Church USA web page. We are doing this with other denominations, though with one refusal so far.

Thanks for your assistance.

Howard

Mennonite Church USA

Mennonite Church USA [www.mennonitechurchusa.org] with 116,000 members is known for its peace stand, taken because of the belief that Jesus Christ taught the way of peace. Many Mennonites choose not to participate in military service.

Mennonite Church USA is one of 15 sponsors of the **Mennonite Central Committee** [www.mcc.org], a relief, service, community development and peace agency of the North American Mennonite and Brethren in Christ churches.

In 1981 the biennial General Assembly of the Mennonite Church (one of two predecessor bodies of Mennonite Church USA) adopted a **Resolution on Security and the Current World Arms Race**, which noted:

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

PDF document

[indented, in box like Holy See model]

Resolution on Security and the Current World Arms Race

As members of the Mennonite Church in the United States and Canada, we have gathered in biennial General Assembly in Bowling Green, Ohio, August 11-16, 1981, to affirm and celebrate our hope in Jesus Christ. In worship, prayer, and mutual deliberations we have sought the mind of Christ.

While celebrating our hope we are also aware of a growing despair in the world due to the escalation of the arms race. The development of new nuclear weapons proceeds amidst a political mood which is apparently ready to use them. Many are now saying that the question is no longer if nuclear weapons will be used, but when. The probable resulting devastation to human life is beyond comprehension.

Two years ago this Assembly adopted a statement on militarism and conscription. In deploring the arms race observed then, the 1979 Assembly called our members and all people to trust in God rather than military technology, urging the church to "plead and pray for reversal of the world's collision course in manufacturing and deploying the most destructive weapons in all of history." The need for prayer is even more urgent today.

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We also feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

God's commandment that we love our neighbors motivates us to oppose those things that would destroy them. The false god of military security must be challenged by our witness to the sole source of salvation in Jesus Christ. To express our nonresistant faith in the context of the current arms race, we encourage our congregations and members to practice the following forms of faithfulness:

1. To pray regularly for national leaders who have in their hands the fateful power of nuclear weapons.
2. To become informed about the potential of nuclear war and the policies that move in that direction. We encourage congregations to find ways to study the issue and clarify their witness. Conference and church wide peace committees can suggest helpful resources.
3. To declare our readiness, in the light of our faith in Christ, to live without nuclear weapons in our countries. The World Peace Pledge is one way to record and share this testimony.
4. To enter into discussion and study with other Christians in our communities. Many Christians who have not fully considered the way of love are asking questions about the meaning of the biblical message for issues of war and peace. As an aid for such discussions, we commend the New Abolitionist Covenant, which raises the faith question in regard to nuclear weapons in a manner similar to the way Christians two centuries ago began to question slavery in the light of the Word of God.
5. To invite men and women, including government leaders to that saving faith in Jesus Christ which provides ultimate security and frees from fear.
6. To consider ways to witness to the decision making process in our governments, urging alternatives to military confrontation and supporting the use of scarce resources for human need rather than armaments.

We confess that we have sometimes compromised our loyalty to the Prince of Peace by our silence in the face of preparations for war. We commit ourselves anew to Jesus Christ and the gospel of peace, sharing that gospel by our words and our consistent living as God's people. We resolve in all our relationships to witness to Christ's

reconciling love through proclamation of His good news, through ministries of service, and through sacrificial sharing of our resources.

Our hope is in the gospel; our strength is in God. We rely upon the promise found in Zechariah 4:6: Not by might, nor by power, but by my Spirit, says the Lord of Hosts.

Status: U

Return-Path: <afong@jps.net>

Received: from atlantic.mail.pas.earthlink.net ([207.217.120.179])

by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17fwts5jn3Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 5 Jun 2002 04:48:58 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from pool0045.cvx22-bradley.dialup.earthlink.net ([209.179.198.45] helo=jps.net)

by atlantic.mail.pas.earthlink.net with smtp (Exim 3.33 #2)
id 17FWTR-0000R3-00

for mupj@igc.org; Wed, 05 Jun 2002 01:48:57 -0700

Message-ID: <41200263584856185@jps.net>

X-EM-Version: 5, 0, 0, 0

X-EM-Registration: #3003520714B31D032830

X-Priority:

Reply-To: afong@jps.net

X-Mailer: EarthLink MailBox 5.0.7.9 (Windows)

From: "Adrienne Fong" <afong@jps.net>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: RE: MUPJ National Advisory Committee

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2002 1:48:56 -0700

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Howard, My e-mail system is finally working! and am just learning how to use the new computer! Will get back to you on this after annual conf. Am going through some difficult times with church, district and the conference right now. I still want to support work of the GBCS and you etc - but I need to do some reflecting for right now. Will explain all this to you some time. Always Adrienne

> [Original Message]

> From: Howard W. Hallman <mupj@igc.org>

> To: <afong@jps.net>

> Date: 5/10/2002 12:44:37 PM

> Subject: MUPJ National Advisory Committee

>

> Dear Adrienne,

>

> At the P/J retreat I invited you to be a member of our National Advisory

> Committee. I never got a definite answer. I want to re-extend the

> invitation.

>

> It does not entail attending any meeting. Rather we communicate with NAC

> members from time and place their names on our letterhead and membership

> flyer. This helps to establish our legitimacy. Members include several

UM

> bishops (Dale White, Felton May, Joseph Sprague, and a couple other

> pending), Bob Edgar from the National Council of Churches, Richard Deats

of

> the FOR, a couple of theologians, Carol Windrum and Brenda Hardt from the

> P/J network. Because you are well known on the West Coast we would like

> you to join this group -- even though you may no longer be your conference

> P/J coordinator.

>
> Shalom,
> Howard
>
>
> Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice
> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
>
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.
>

--- Adrienne Fong
--- afong@jps.net
--- EarthLink: The #1 provider of the Real Internet.

Status: U
Return-Path: <sojourners@sojo.net>
Received: from sojonet1.dedicated.expresstech.net ([216.26.147.11])
by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17fHGwBG3NI3oW0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 5 Jun 2002 16:47:12 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from 127.0.0.1 ([127.0.0.1]) by sojonet1.dedicated.expresstech.net with Microsoft
SMTPSVC(5.0.2195.2966);
Wed, 5 Jun 2002 16:46:24 -0400
Content-type: text/plain
Date: Wed, 05 Jun 2002 15:43:33 -0400
From: sojourners@sojo.net
Subject: SojoMail 06.05.02 - Nuclear Denial
To: mupj@igc.org
X-mailer: SojoNet
Message-ID: <SOJONET1txQEfQm5UpS00004566@sojonet1.dedicated.expresstech.net>
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 05 Jun 2002 20:46:24.0126 (UTC) FILETIME=[0E3BC9E0:01C20CD2]

S O J O M A I L

Promoting values at the crossroads where
spirituality, politics, and culture meet

Brought to you by the publishers
of Sojourners magazine
<http://www.Sojo.net>

+++++ 5-June-2002 +++++
+++++ Nuclear Denial +++++

=====

BE GENEROUS TODAY - deliver a generous message to your family and
friends. Don't you think they would also like to get SojoMail weekly?
<http://www.sajo.net/sojomail/index.cfm/mode/display/action/share.html>

=====

Quote of the Week

*Progress report

Hearts & Minds

*Nuclear denial

Funny Business

*Why the _____s Hate the _____s

Culture Watch

*Interview with filmmaker John Sayles

Building a Movement

*What's at stake in the welfare bill

S o u l W o r k s

*The middle of wisdom...

P o l i t i c a l l y C o n n e c t

*The suicide bombers: Why do they do it?

B y t h e N u m b e r s

*Where the U.S. gets its oil

H e a r i n g t h e C a l l

*When kids count

R e l i g i o n M a t t e r s

*News from Siberia: Jesus is back!

B o o m e r a n g

*SojoMail readers hit reply

W e b s c e n e

*Rent A Priest...1-800-PRIEST-9

*What's going on down the Hill?

Q u o t e o f t h e W e e k

+++++

"Progress is nothing but the victory of laughter over dogma."

- Benjamin DeCasseres
U.S. writer (1893-1961)

H e a r t s & M i n d s

+++++

Nuclear denial

by Jim Wallis

Last night I took a break with some good friends and went to see the hot new movie "The Sum Of All Fears."

It's about a neo-Nazi group that is able to construct and then detonate a small nuclear bomb at a Super Bowl game - in an attempt to provoke full-scale nuclear war between the United States and Russia. I won't reveal how the young CIA agent Jack Ryan (Ben Affleck) saves the day, but I was struck by how easily the top U.S. and Russian leaders were able to contemplate the unthinkable option of nuclear attacks against each other - yet it is an entirely believable prospect in today's perilous international

environment.

Sunday's New York Times carried a chilling description of the current showdown between India and Pakistan, in an article titled "Nuclear Denial." More than one million troops are massed along a contested border in Kashmir, backed by artillery, tanks, and fighter jets. Daily shelling from both sides is increasing as are the resulting casualties. Fifteen thousand people living in the war zone have already fled their homes. The leaders of both nations have traded threatening speeches, while the leaders of other countries are desperately pressing the bitter adversaries to stand down.

Tragically, it is the kind of crisis that happens far too often around the world. But what makes this one different is that both India and Pakistan have nuclear weapons. Yet neither seems to grasp what that means, and neither has disavowed the possible use of their weapons of mass destruction. India, with far more troops, has said it will not use nuclear weapons first, but has reserved the right to retaliate. Pakistan has said it will not initiate a war, but will defend itself if attacked. The incredible dangers of miscalculation are frighteningly like those in the Tom Clancy movie.

British Foreign Secretary Jack Straw noted in a speech last week that "The current tension and the buildup of military forces in Kashmir could all too easily spiral out of control into a conventional and then a nuclear conflict of a kind we have never seen before." Straw described the result of such a conflict as "death, destruction, disease and economic collapse..." that would affect the entire globe.

The long-standing dispute over Kashmir escalated after attacks inside India by Islamic militants based in Pakistan, including one against the Indian Parliament. India claims that Pakistan supports the violence against innocent civilians, or at least isn't doing enough to stop them. And it claims that, like the U.S., it has the right to protect itself militarily against terrorism.

But India and Pakistan are not the only countries practicing nuclear denial. The Bush administration's new Nuclear Posture Review contemplates the first use of nuclear weapons, integrates them into conventional military strategies, and reduces restraints against their use in its "war against terrorism." And in the president's graduation speech at West Point Military Academy this week, he spoke of possible "preemptive action." Why are we surprised if other countries make the same claims?

What these national leaders fail to comprehend is that nuclear weapons are themselves the ultimate terrorism. So

indiscriminate in their destruction, they are in a completely different military and moral category from other weapons. The devastation nuclear bombs would cause erases all distinctions between military and civilian casualties.

News sources have reported on a U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency assessment of India and Pakistan's nuclear capabilities. That study concluded that a full-scale nuclear exchange between the two could kill as many as 12 million people and injure 7 million more. It's a nightmare scenario with completely unforeseeable consequences for that region and the rest of the world. What are they thinking?

And what in the world are U.S. leaders thinking when they contemplate the use - even the initiation - of nuclear weapons in the "war on terrorism"? It's past time to wake up from our nuclear denial. It's time for sanity to counter such irresponsible nuclear posturing and brinkmanship, in South Asia or in Washington, D.C. It's especially time to hear from the religious community about the moral and practical necessity of disavowing and dismantling nuclear weapons. If we don't speak and act boldly, we may not have Jack Ryan around to save us.

*****ADVERTISEMENT*****

GET YOUR OWN COPY!

Have you read Sojourners (the paper version) lately? You ought to check it out for yourself. Produced by the fine folks who bring you SojoMail each week.

https://www.sojo.net/magazine/index.cfm/action/subscribe_ASM.html

F u n n y B u s i n e s s

+++++

Why the _____s Hate the _____s

by Michael Gerber and Jonathan Schwarz

A guide to ethnic and religious strife through all human history:

1. They stole our _____!
2. At the Battle of _____ in the _____ century, they used unfair tactics to defeat us. We cannot rest until the souls of our dead are avenged.
3. Their religion is absurd. Offensive, really. Did you know they actually believe _____? And they won't be happy until EVERYBODY believes it!
4. While it's not "politically correct" to say so, science has proven them to be _____.

Find 11 more "good reasons" to hate the _____s at:

<http://www.villagevoice.com/issues/0220/schwarz.php>

*****ADVERTISEMENT*****

Attend the FOR National Conference!
June 15-19 in Riverdale, New York

Nobel Peace Prize winner Mairead Maguire, Rev. James Lawson, Jimmy Breslin, David Kaczynski (Ted's Brother), and many more! Performances by Pete Seeger, Tom Chapin, and others! Bid on a rare Dylan photo in our auction! Details and registration: <http://www.forusa.org>

C u l t u r e W a t c h

+++++

Interview with filmmaker John Sayles

by Aimee Shieh

John Sayles has directed "Eight Men Out," "Matewan," "Lone Star," and scores of other independent films while writing scripts for other films like "Apollo 13" and "The Quick and the Dead."

*How have you managed to succeed as a screenwriter for hire within the Hollywood system and as a filmmaker independent of that system?

JS: In a way, I think the two complement each other. I still make a living as a screenwriter for hire, but my patience is increased by the fact that the money I've made writing screenplays for other people allows me to go off and do my own thing.

*Your films often examine American regional cultures. What makes this country such a compelling subject?

JS: There's this idea that you can govern people and yet they can retain some of their own culture and their own way of thinking. But it doesn't always work, and it's a really interesting dynamic that not many countries have had to face.

*Are your interests born out of a sense of nostalgia, or is your aim to demystify contemporary stigmas?

JS: I'm very interested in American historical myths, but you have to reexamine them once in a while and include some people who were left out the first time.

There's a reason why certain stories become iconic - people choose them because they satisfy some need. But you have to say, Wait a minute, that isn't exactly what happened. Let's look at what did and see if we can pull things out that are more relevant today.

B u i l d i n g a M o v e m e n t

+++++

What's at stake in the welfare bill

by Nathan Wilson

On August 22, 1996, then-President Bill Clinton signed a revolutionary welfare reform bill, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act. While the legislation had its critics within and outside Congress, in the end it passed with a larger bipartisan majority than that which enacted Medicare in 1965. By October 1, 2002, Congress must pass and the president must sign legislation renewing many of the major provisions in the law.

The 1996 welfare reform law and its reauthorization this year is the most substantial change in social policy in well over 30 years. It will instantly impact the lives of millions of poor children, women, and men and will shape the long-term possibilities for low-wage workers to make work pay. As well, any social policy communicates a message about the commitments of our country.

The Senate soon will be voting on its bill. After it passes, a conference committee consisting of a few members of the Senate and the House will be formed to work out the differences between the Senate bill and the more regressive bill passed by the House in May. (You can find out which members of the House and Senate are on the conference committee by visiting www.calltorenewal.com. Of course, even if neither of your senators nor representative are on the committee, they still should hear your values and perspectives about our nation's obligations to all people, including the poor).

With the impending elections in November, the conference committee likely will seek as much agreement as possible before the August recess and then have staff fight out the remaining differences while their bosses are back home campaigning. Then Congress will vote in early September.

What this means for us is that while time is short, your senators and representatives and their staffs should be ready to talk and ready to listen. Now is the time to remind them that successful welfare legislation should provide sufficient child-care funding so parents don't

have to choose between being good workers and being good parents, enough flexibility and funding for families to get the education and training they need to advance in the workplace, and benefits for all taxpayers, including legal immigrants.

Specifically, ask your legislator to support four simple and winnable ideas:

1. Define acceptable "work" in the law to include efforts to improve employment skills through education or vocational training for at least 24 months.
2. Invest in the quality and availability of child care for low-wage workers by substantially increasing the Child Care and Development Block Grant.
3. Base the amount of the TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) block grants to states on the number of poor children per state.
4. Enable legal immigrants, who work hard and pay taxes, and their children to receive TANF, Medicaid, and CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program) when in need so they can contribute to society.

In short, if the goal of the 1996 law was to get people off welfare, then the goal of reauthorization must be to help people stay off of welfare and achieve long-term independence.

Many of the families left on welfare are working hard to make it on their own. Our officeholders should give those families the support and tools they need to keep a decent job and work their way off the system permanently.

Rev. Nathan Wilson is the director of public policy for Call to Renewal (<http://www.calltorenewal.com>)

S o u l W o r k s

+++++

The middle of wisdom...

Who is wise? One who learns from all.

- The Talmud

P o l i t i c a l l y C o n n e c t

+++++

Suicide bombers...why do they do it?

There are lots of Western and Israeli terrorism experts and political pundits out there who can explain the motivations of the suicide bombings. Ever wonder how the Palestinians who support this strategy - or at least see it as necessary - view it? Go to these sites:

<http://www.fav.net/socialLogicOfHumanBombs.htm>

<http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/news/2002/04/4731.php>

<http://palestinechronicle.com/article.php?story=20020522074320545>

<http://www.jerusalem.indymedia.org/news/2002/03/1900.php>

B y t h e N u m b e r s

+++++

Where the U.S. gets its oil

Domestic	41%
Canada	9%
Saudi Arabia	8.5%
Venezuela	8%
Mexico	7%
Iraq	4%
Nigeria	4%

*Source: Wall Street Journal

***** ADVERTISEMENT *****

DRINK YOUR MORNING CUP OF JAVA IN GOOD CONSCIENCE

BUY SOJOBLEND COFFEE

All of our coffee is "fair trade," which guarantees coffee farmers a set price at least four times higher than the industry average. All of our SojoBlend coffee is shade-grown and organic as well. Call it the "triple seal" of java justice. And just to show that justice doesn't have bad taste, the coffee is just as good or better than you can get at a premium coffee house.

Consider making your coffee drinking an act of justice. You can order individual bags - \$9.95 a pound - or become a "Constant Cup" member and we'll deliver to you a fresh pound every month. Order now at:

http://www.puravidacoffee.com/store_aff_frameset.asp?Aff=5410

Hearing the Call

+++++

When kids count

The Annie E. Casey Foundation has released the 2002 "Kids Count Data Book." This year's book specifically looks at low-income working families, their difficulties in making ends meet and caring for their kids, and what it takes to help them gain financial and family success. It includes state-by-state profiles with data on child well-being, education, the economic condition of families, child health, and children in low-income working families.

This annual resource of state-specific and national data on children's well-being can be useful for work on TANF reauthorization. To access the Kids Count database, go to:

<http://www.aecf.org/kidscount/kc2002/>

Religion Matters

+++++

News from Siberia: Jesus is back!

by Ian Traynor

Sergei Torop was a traffic cop in the small Russian town of Minusinsk until 1989, when he announced that he was the son of God. Now he commands a following of thousands and rules over a large swath of the Siberian mountains.

To read the entire feature article, go to:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4420273,00.html>

*****ADVERTISEMENT*****

Holy Ground: A Resource on Faith and the Environment
from the editors of Sojourners

Are you an environmentalist or are you beginning to explore environmental issues? This terrific study guide offers an exciting challenge to all of us who share creation. "Holy Ground" contains practical reflections and models for action through articles and study questions concerning issues of environmental racism, eco-feminism, and more.

To order, click here:

B o o m e r a n g

+++++

Alex Araujo writes from Washington state:

Batstone's commentary in last week's SojoMail - "Work On the Tipping Point" - on the work of Brazilian Sebastião Salgado caught my eye. I am a transplanted Brazilian.

A recurring theme in America regarding work is "choice." Americans are encouraged to find the job that best fits their goals and gifts. I have seen several friends and acquaintances that think little before quitting employment because they just don't like what they do, or dislike the supervisor, or some other personal preference. Some didn't even know yet what else they were going to do.

Only an affluent society affords that kind of freedom to choose, including the choice of not working rather than doing something unpleasant. I used to nod approvingly whenever I heard that from teachers, career counselors, and friends. At the same time I felt a certain discomfort whose cause did not come to me until some time later: It turns out that I am much too much aware that choosing one's job is a luxury of only a few, even in America. Like the illusive ideal of the slim fashion model, this idea of work as fashion is not available to most Americans. Most people have no choice but to do what they can to make ends meet. I have traveled extensively and this fact is overwhelmingly true in most of the rest of the world.

Leonard Campbell writes from Center Harbor, New Hampshire:

Re: Batstone's "Work at the Tipping Point"

The tipping point is here, with me. I can do with what God has gifted me.

Forgive me for not doing what I could have done.
Forgive me for doing more than what I should have done.
Help me to act justly.

Bob Douglas writes from St. Paul, Minnesota:

Although Batstone did not mention it in his article, you

don't have to go to Sydney, Australia, or wait for the photo essay to come to a city near you to view Salgado's work. You can view many of his images online at this excellent website:

<http://www.terra.com.br/sebastiaosalgado/>

Tom Boughan writes from Cowan, Tennessee:

I read with interest the article in last week's SojoMail about various reactions of Christians on the Mideast. I saw a thing about Women Aglow coming there to support Israel. They took pictures of themselves near Israeli tanks and blessing the tanks! How strange! Reminds me of St. Augustine blessing spears of Roman soldiers before they fought the barbarians.

Boomerang is an open forum for all kinds of views. The views expressed are not necessarily those of Sojourners. Want to make your voice heard? Send Boomerang e-mails to the editor:

"boomerang@sojo.net"

W e b s c e n e

+++++

This week's best of the Web

*Rent A Priest...1-800-PRIEST-9

This is not a joke Web site. The home page offers an option for "how you can affect change in light of the pedophilia scandal..." It is a very informative link and a fascinating organization for married priests.

<http://www.rentapriest.com/>

*What's going on down the Hill?

Find out how the business of U.S. government gets done. This online nonpartisan political newspaper delves into the behind-the-scenes maneuverings of lawmakers, lobbyists, and other key players on Capitol Hill. Learn which bills are gaining momentum and which ones are getting bogged down. Go to:

<http://www.thehill.com/>

To make a secure donation to support our work,
go to https://www.sojo.net/Online_Giving

..... EDITORIAL

David Batstone T 415.422.6660
Executive Editor batstoned@sojo.net

Jim Rice T 202.328.8842
Managing Editor jrice@sojo.net

Molly Marsh T 202.328.8842
Assistant Editor mmarsh@sojo.net

Rose Marie Berger T 202.328.8842
Assistant Editor rberger@sojo.net

Ryan Beiler T 202.328.8842
Web Editor rbeiler@sojo.net

..... ADVERTISING

Larry Bellinger T 202.328.8842
Advertising Manager lbellinger@sojo.net

..... TECHNICAL

Bob Sabath T 202.328.8842
Chief Technologist bsabath@sojo.net

Jodi Hochstedler T 202.328.8842
Internet Assistant jodish@sojo.net

..... SOJONET

Sojourners T 202.328.8842
2401 15th Street NW F 202.328.8757
Washington, DC 20009 <http://www.sojo.net>

To make a secure donation to support our work,
go to https://www.sojo.net/Online_Giving/

For more information, e-mail us: info@Sojo.net

.....
..... L E G A L N O T I C E S

Copyright (c) 2002 Sojourners. All Rights Reserved.

SojoNet material may be freely distributed, as long as it bears the following attribution:

Source: SojoNet 2002 (c) <http://www.sojo.net>
.....

..... A R C H I V E S

For a history of SojoMail, visit

http://www.sojo.net/sojomail/index.cfm/action/back_issues.html
.....

..... S U B S C R I P T I O N S

SojoMail is published weekly. Subscriptions are free.

SUBSCRIBE online at:

<http://www.sojo.net/sojomail/index.cfm>
or by e-mail: subscribe@Sojo.net

UNSUBSCRIBE online at:

<http://www.sojo.net/sojomail/index.cfm/action/unsubscribe.html>
or by e-mail: unsubscribe@Sojo.net

---- SOJONET IS A SPAM-FREE ZONE ----

(SojoNet won't trade, sell, or give away your address.)

Nuclear denial

by Jim Wallis

Last night I took a break with some good friends and went to see the hot new movie "The Sum Of All Fears."

It's about a neo-Nazi group that is able to construct and then detonate a small nuclear bomb at a Super Bowl game - in an attempt to provoke full-scale nuclear war between the United States and Russia. I won't reveal how the young CIA agent Jack Ryan (Ben Affleck) saves the day, but I was struck by how easily the top U.S. and Russian leaders were able to contemplate the unthinkable option of nuclear attacks against each other - yet it is an entirely believable prospect in today's perilous international environment.

Sunday's New York Times carried a chilling description of the current showdown between India and Pakistan, in an article titled "Nuclear Denial." More than one million troops are massed along a contested border in Kashmir, backed by artillery, tanks, and fighter jets. Daily shelling from both sides is increasing as are the resulting casualties. Fifteen thousand people living in the war zone have already fled their homes. The leaders of both nations have traded threatening speeches, while the leaders of other countries are desperately pressing the bitter adversaries to stand down.

Tragically, it is the kind of crisis that happens far too often around the world. But what makes this one different is that both India and Pakistan have nuclear weapons. Yet neither seems to grasp what that means, and neither has disavowed the possible use of their weapons of mass destruction. India, with far more troops, has said it will not use nuclear weapons first, but has reserved the right to retaliate. Pakistan has said it will not initiate a war, but will defend itself if attacked. The incredible dangers of miscalculation are frighteningly like those in the Tom Clancy movie.

British Foreign Secretary Jack Straw noted in a speech last week that "The current tension and the buildup of military forces in Kashmir could all too easily spiral out of control into a conventional and then a nuclear conflict of a kind we have never seen before." Straw described the result of such a conflict as "death,

destruction, disease and economic collapse..." that would affect the entire globe.

The long-standing dispute over Kashmir escalated after attacks inside India by Islamic militants based in Pakistan, including one against the Indian Parliament. India claims that Pakistan supports the violence against innocent civilians, or at least isn't doing enough to stop them. And it claims that, like the U.S., it has the right to protect itself militarily against terrorism.

But India and Pakistan are not the only countries practicing nuclear denial. The Bush administration's new Nuclear Posture Review contemplates the first use of nuclear weapons, integrates them into conventional military strategies, and reduces restraints against their use in its "war against terrorism." And in the president's graduation speech at West Point Military Academy this week, he spoke of possible "preemptive action." Why are we surprised if other countries make the same claims?

What these national leaders fail to comprehend is that nuclear weapons are themselves the ultimate terrorism. So indiscriminate in their destruction, they are in a completely different military and moral category from other weapons. The devastation nuclear bombs would cause erases all distinctions between military and civilian casualties.

News sources have reported on a U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency assessment of India and Pakistan's nuclear capabilities. That study concluded that a full-scale nuclear exchange between the two could kill as many as 12 million people and injure 7 million more. It's a nightmare scenario with completely unforeseeable consequences for that region and the rest of the world. What are they thinking?

And what in the world are U.S. leaders thinking when they contemplate the use - even the initiation - of nuclear weapons in the "war on terrorism"? It's past time to wake up from our nuclear denial. It's time for sanity to counter such irresponsible nuclear posturing and brinkmanship, in South Asia or in Washington, D.C. It's especially time to hear from the religious community about the moral and practical necessity of disavowing and dismantling nuclear weapons. If we don't speak and act boldly, we may not have Jack

Ryan around to save us.

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13902.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.28])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17fK374Pq3Nl3p20
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 5 Jun 2002 19:18:41 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020605231841.24673.qmail@web13902.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [166.90.26.38] by web13902.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 05 Jun 2002 16:18:41 PDT

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2002 16:18:41 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Home page

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <000d01c20c8f\$7334ec20\$1b68f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-71235857-1023319121=:23357"

--0-71235857-1023319121=:23357

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi,

The revised homepage is now online. Please let me know if you have other changes or want to link the Interfaith Committee paragraph to another page.

mk

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

The revised Home Page is almost perfect. However, you misunderstood me. I want the quote from General Horner to go first, the quote from General Powell to go second and for his name to show on the initial screen. It looks to me that there is enough space for that. If not, you can (a) put the dates on the same line with the generals and the Cathedral (although I like it the way it is) or (b) reduce the masthead enough to compensate.

The URL for the Mennonite Central Committee is <http://www.mcc.org/us/washington/index.html>.

I've decided that we should identify the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament on the home page. Therefore, I have written the following

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament is a coalition of denominational offices and religious associations that advocate the elimination of nuclear weapons. This project is sponsored primarily by denominational offices.

It might go across the page with an orange background just above the sponsors. Or it might go in a box below "How to submit your ideas". You might have another idea.

The "How to submit your ideas" can be linked to a new section I have written for the How to Get to Zero page.

Thanks for your good work.

Howard

----- Original Message ----- From: Marie Kayser To: Howard W. Hallman Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 8:22 PM
Subject: Re: Sponsors

Hi Howard,

I finished the homepage and added links to the sponsor section. Let me know if you have additional changes.

Regards,
Marie

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13906.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.69])

by farley.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17fLJ34XR3Nl3pa0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 5 Jun 2002 21:06:05 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020606010604.18130.qmail@web13906.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [166.90.26.38] by web13906.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 05 Jun 2002 18:06:04 PDT

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2002 18:06:04 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: How to Get to Zero

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <003201c20c0d\$157b3760\$4b56f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-168606522-1023325564=:17198"

--0-168606522-1023325564=:17198

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi Howard,

I think I captured most of the changes for "How to Get to Zero." I added the maps for Australia and Japan. I haven't found a good illustration for "Mercator projection of Earth." Let me know if you think it's okay to add this page as a link from the main homepage.

As far as payment, is \$250 a fair amount? Feel free to subtract if you think it's too much for.

Thanks,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie,

I have gone over the draft How to Get to Zero page in detail and have some refinements to propose. They are offered in the attachment. When they are made, this page could go on line, linked to the home page.

Is it time for us to make another payment to you? If so, let me know, and I'll instruct our treasurer.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=get to zero editing.01.doc

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Phillip H. Miller" <millerph@att.net>
Subject: Check request
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 08:41:26 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Phil,

Please make out a check for \$250 payable to Marie Kayser. This is a progress payment for her work on our new web page. Assign it to the Rockefeller contribution.

You can see the last configuration at www.zero-nukes.org.

Thanks,
Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: BWC-Communications-return-25-56166369@lb.bcentral.com

Received: from lbrou03.listbuilder.com ([204.71.191.177])

by walker.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 7krRJrvS3NI3s70 for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 18 Jun 2002 18:54:23 -0400 (EDT)

Received: (qmail 52376 invoked by uid 0); 18 Jun 2002 22:52:53 -0000

Date: 18 Jun 2002 22:52:53 -0000

Message-ID: 1024440773.47997.qmail@ech

To: List Member mupj@igc.org

Reply-To: BWC-Communications-feedback-25@lb.bcentral.com

From: "Balt-Wash Conf UMC" communications@bwconf.org

Subject: e-connection June 18 (HTML version)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="_alistboundary123"

e-connection Tuesday, June 18 e-connection: an occasional electronic communication from the Baltimore-Washington Conference of the United Methodist Church by the Office of Communications, including late-breaking news, clergy and clergy spouse death notices, reminders, and tidbits. Churches are encouraged to reproduce relevant items in newsletters and bulletins.

Death notice

Minnie C. Smith, 96, the widow of the Rev. Charles V. Smith, died June 8 at her home in Hanover. A funeral was held at the Vaughn C. Greene Funeral Home in Baltimore June 17. Condolences may be sent to her daughter, Beverly Warren, 743 Hyde Park Drive, Glen Burnie, MD 21061.

Prayer concerns

The Rev. William Bill Heslop, who retired this year, had heart valve replacement surgery a few weeks ago. He is still in the coronary care unit at Washington Adventist Hospital, reported his pastor, the Rev. Dave Stum of Good Shepherd UMC in Silver Spring, who requests prayers for Bill, his wife Ann and family. The Heslops address is 10011 Branch View Court, Silver Spring, MD 20903. Stum can be reached at (301) 434-3331 or (301) 625-9314.

The Rev. Rick Jewell, pastor of New Covenant UMC, Cumberland, is recuperating at home after angioplasty and the placing of a stint in an artery. Contact him at kgcharge@juno.com.

The Rev. Fred Iser, pastor of Flintstone Charge, is recuperating at Sacred Heart Hospital in Cumberland from arthroscopic gall bladder surgery. Contact him at flintstone@pennswoods.net.

Connie Capacchione, wife of the Rev. Glenn Capacchione, pastor of Emmanuel-Bethel-Trinity Charge in Cumberland, is home recuperating from back surgery. The Capacchiones can be reached at thecaps1@juno.com.

Saving Stations training

A planning and training meeting for all volunteers for the Baltimore [Saving Stations](#) will be at Lovely Lane UMC, 2200 St. Paul St., Baltimore, Thursday, June 20, at 7:00 p.m.

Training for anyone interested in assisting with the Hesus Drug Ministry at the Saving Stations in Baltimore will be held at Lovely Lane UMC Thursday, June 20, at 6 p.m., just prior to the [Saving Stations](#) meeting.

Training on how to put up the tent at Graceland UMC, 6714 Youngstown Ave., Baltimore, is scheduled for Wednesday, June 26, at 6:30 p.m. All churches participating in [Saving Stations](#), please fax or e-mail your plan of events and schedules as soon as possible to Kimberly Hall or Shawna Deshazo at (410) 309-9430, or KimberlyH@bwcumc.org or sdeshazo@bwcumc.org.

Correction

E-connection misplaced Frostburg University in the June 14 edition. Frostburg is in Maryland, not West Virginia. We regret the error.

Help needed for La Plata clean up

People to help with stump removal are desperately needed, said Kathie Evans, conference disaster relief coordinator for the clean up from the La Plata tornado. There is an urgent need for teams of five - one to run the grinder, one to saw the stumps and three to clean up the debris to work during weekdays. Also needed are people to help with yard work and cleaning up outside the homes of 50 elderly people. Youth groups from several area churches are volunteering, but others are needed. Contact Evans at (301) 609-7492 or (301) 643-6027 (cell) or BKEvans@comcast.net or call the emergency operation center at (301) 645-0509.

In the interim in communications

The Rev. Dean Snyder is on vacation from June 17 through June 30. He will begin as senior pastor at Foundry UMC on July 1. The new co-directors of communications, the Rev. Erik Alsgaard and John Coleman Jr., will begin July 1. Until then, please contact Linda Worthington at lworthington@bwcumc.org concerning e-connection or Melissa Lauber@bwcumc.org concerning UMConnection. For other questions, contact Ann Smith at asmith@bwcumc.org.

Still time to register for Youth Fellowship Music and Arts Camp

Space is still available for the Youth Fellowship Music and Arts Camp June 30 to July 6. The camp is being held at Frostburg State University and is open to youth between grades 6 and 12. In addition to worship and a mass choir, campers participate in up to four electives, including arts and crafts, conducting, praise band, clowning, drama, instrumental ensembles, gospel choir and liturgical dance. The cost is \$375. First time campers can apply for the \$100 first time camper scholarship. For complete details, log onto the conference Web site at

www.bwconf.org/youth or contact Jo Chesson at (800) 492-2525, Ext.490, or jchesson@bwcumc.org .

Pray for peace in the Middle East

The regularly scheduled monthly ecumenical prayer for peace in the Middle East will be Saturday, June 22, at Maryland Presbyterian Church, 1105 Providence Road, Towson, at 7:30 p.m. Contact the Rev. Charles Cloughen Jr. at frcharles@comcast.net . Cooperative School of Christian Mission The Cooperative School of Christian Mission is scheduled for July 19-July 22 at the Clarion Hotel (the former Ramada Convention Center) in Hagerstown. The theme, "God's Mission: Faith in Action," will focus on three studies: James, Mexico and Restorative Justice. Sponsored by the Baltimore-Washington Conference United Methodist Women and the Board of Christian Presence in Gods World, the purpose of the cooperative school is to help local church leaders (lay and clergy) understand and interpret the global mission of the church and the responsibility of Christians within that mission. A special program for youth will be held concurrently. CEU credits are available and certified lay speakers may receive certificates of completion to be used towards recertification. To register, contact Willa Kynard, at (202) 575-4142 or wkynard@hqamc.army.mil

Disciple, Christian Believer training this summer

Training for Disciple Bible study leaders will be held in the Baltimore Washington Conference region July 18 20 and for Christian Believer leaders Aug. 8 10. The enrollment fee for either training course is \$750, which includes lodging for two nights, scheduled meals, leader's materials, training for one person, and resources for the first group of 12. For each additional person from same church, a fee is \$300 and includes lodging for two nights, scheduled meals, training and materials for one. Financial subsidies are available through the conference. Contact the Rev. Vivian McCarthy, (800) 492 2525, Ext. 434, or vmccarthy@bwcumc.org . For information call (800) 672 1789 or (800) 251 8591, fax (615) 749 6049 or visit the Web site at <http://www.umph.org/disciple> .

NEJ School of Evangelism

The Northeastern Jurisdictions annual School of Evangelism will meet July 18-21 at Lebanon Valley College in Annville, Pa. The theme will be "Open Hearts and Open Doors." Keynote speaker will be the Rev. George E. LaMore Jr., professor of religion and philosophy, at Iowa Wesleyan College. The program includes workshops, worship and praise, Bible study, and an afternoon at Hershey Park. The cost, which includes room and meals, is \$175 for adults; \$90 for youth (11 17); \$50 for children. Contact registrar Donna Lavender at (518) 783 8396 or donnamel@nycap.rr.com .

Ring your church bells for independence

The Rev. Don Stewart, council director, suggests that churches ring their bells on July 4, preferably at 2 p.m., when the Declaration of Independence was first publicly proclaimed in the city of Philadelphia. He says, Please ring or toll your bells 13 times, once for each of the original

13 colonies. This year, July 4 reminds us of our freedom as a nation and as a people in some very new and important ways. So let us proclaim liberty throughout to all its inhabitants. Leviticus 25:10. Contact him at dstewart@bwcumc.org

Youth need a dumpster

Members of the confirmation class of Olive Branch-Good Shepherd UMC in Baltimore are asking for the donation of a large dumpster for a public display they are constructing on Fort Avenue the weekend of July 26-28. The youth will put a large car in the dumpster and put up a sign saying, Dont trash a life drinking and driving, said the Rev. Terry Modesto. The youth are excited about this project, but they cant afford the exorbitant prices being asked by rental companies, Modesto said. Contact her at (410) 259-4962 or deathcare@friendly.net .

More on 809 scam

The Rev. Jackson Day wrote that the 809 phone scam is a semi-true urban legend. More information about it is available on the Web at www.snopes2.com/. Enter 809 phone scam in the search box. Day says, There is a true danger of such charges from the 809 and any other off shore area codes which are not covered by the protections of U.S. Law. However, the charges are more likely to be \$25 or \$100 per minute, not \$2,400. The scam is not widely used at this point. And by now the area code 809 belongs to the Dominican Republic, only.

Concert

Parkwood UMC, 35 Mayo Road, Edgewater, will host the Soul Searchers of Eastport UMC in a concert, "Where Jesus Is," Sunday, June 23, at 10 a.m. The group is made up of youth, 6th grade through 22 years old, who come from different denominations and counties in Maryland. Contact the director, Cindy Miller, at (410) 263-5490.

District secretaries luncheon

The Washington East District secretaries will have a fellowship luncheon, Saturday, July 13, 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. at Oxon Hill UMC, 6400 Livingston Road, Oxon Hill. Topics will include Working with the needy and walk-ins, How to deal with visitors who need help, and Communications between the district secretaries. RSVP by Monday, July 8, to Susan or Ann at (301) 839-4748.

Godspell to be presented

The Good Shepherd Players of Good Shepherd UMC, 305 E. Smallwood Drive in Waldorf will present the musical "Godspell, based on the book of Matthew, June 28 and 29 at 7 p.m. and June 30 at 4 p.m. The Good Shepherd Players is an intergenerational drama and music group. Adult tickets are \$5; students and senior citizens are \$4; children 6 to 12 are \$2.50; children under 6 are free. Contact Sue Carns at (301) 843-6797 or music@gsumc.com .

JOB OPENING

Director of Youth Ministries Full time position. Seeking detail-oriented individual to coordinate, train and develop lay leadership in youth ministry within the congregation and surrounding community. Requirements include ability to relate to youth of all ages, theological training, understanding of United Methodist traditions and beliefs, good communication skills and ability to work with large staff. Available immediately. Salary plus benefits. Send application to: Search Committee, Towson UMC, 501 Hampton Lane, Baltimore, MD 21286; or fax (410) 823-8916.

Find a listing of positions available and other classified ads at <http://www.bwconf.org/conferencenews/classifieds.html> . Find a national listing of job openings within the United Methodist Church at <http://umc.org/interchange/jobs/>

***e-connection** appreciates your feedback and news. If you do not wish to be on this list, reply and request removal. Clergy are especially encouraged to remain on the list to facilitate critical communication when needed. Inclusion of a report or announcement in e-connection does not imply endorsement by the Baltimore-Washington Conference. Thank you.

Linda Worthington
Office of Communications
Baltimore-Washington Conference
The United Methodist Church
Toll-Free (800) 492-2525, Ext. 423
Local (410) 309-3423 Fax (410) 309-9794
E-mail lworthington@bwcumc.org
Website www.bwconf.org
Mailing Address:
9720 Patuxent Woods Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, Md. 21046-2525.

Powered by List Builder

[Click here to change or remove your subscription](#)

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: "Marie Kayser" marie_kayser@yahoo.com
References: 20020605231841.24673.qmail@web13902.mail.yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Home page
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 13:17:28 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0009_01C20D5C.820652C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

The Home Page looks great as revised. I'm satisfied the way you handled the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament. I think that I'll add a section to the Religious Statements page for the Interfaith Committee. When that is done, you can add a linkage on the home page.

"How to submit your ideas" can be linked to a section on the How to Get to Zero page as we put it on line. (See separate memo.)

The only slight adjustment I would suggest in the home page is to lower "The Story of our Masthead" box so that there is the same space above and below.

I've asked our treasurer to write a check for \$250.

I'm communicating separately on other pages.

Shalom,

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: Marie Kayser
To: Howard W. Hallman
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2002 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: Home page

Hi,

The revised homepage is now online. Please let me know if you have other changes or want to link the Interfaith Committee paragraph to another page.

mk

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

The revised Home Page is almost perfect. However, you misunderstood me. I want the quote from General Horner to go first, the quote from General Powell to go second and for his name to show on the initial screen. It looks to me that there is enough space for that. If not, you can (a) put the dates on the same line with the generals and the Cathedral (although I like it the way it is) or (b) reduce the masthead enough to compensate.

The URL for the Mennonite Central Committee is
<http://www.mcc.org/us/washington/index.html>.

I've decided that we should identify the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament on the home page. Therefore, I have written the following

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament is a coalition of denominational offices and religious associations that advocate the elimination of nuclear weapons. This project is sponsored primarily by denominational offices.

It might go across the page with an orange background just above the sponsors. Or it might go in a box below "How to submit your ideas". You might have another idea.

The "How to submit your ideas" can be linked to a new section I have written for the How to Get to Zero page.

Thanks for your good work.

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: [Marie Kayser](#)

To: [Howard W. Hallman](#)

Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 8:22 PM

Subject: Re: Sponsors

Hi Howard,

I finished the homepage and added links to the sponsor section. Let me know if you have additional changes.

Regards,

Marie

Do You Yahoo!?

[Sign-up for Video Highlights](#) of 2002 FIFA World Cup

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: "Marie Kayser" marie_kayser@yahoo.com
References: 20020606010604.18130.qmail@web13906.mail.yahoo.com
Subject: Re: How to Get to Zero
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 13:18:39 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0013_01C20D5C.ABFF9000"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

How to Get to Zero is looking good. It helps to raise the two quotes. The members' names in a box works well. Later I'm going to add list of members of other commissions in the same manner.

On the Religious Statements page you indicated "top of page" after each entry. Do you want to do this on this page?

I have two further refinements to suggest. After these are made you may put the page on line.

1. The introductory paragraph I wrote is too wordy. Cut out the first sentence. Amend the second sentence to read (in italic):

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:

The first, second, and fourth bullets are okay. Amend the third bullet to read:

- *Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and ordinary citizens for getting to zero.*

2. Add a section on How to Submit Your Ideas. I suggested this in my previous attachment. Here I'm changing the wording.

a. In the contents listing at the top of the page, after INTERIM MEASURES add:

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS

b. At the end of the page after the Interim Measures section, add a new section, as follows:

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament invites you who have an interest in achieving the elimination of nuclear weapons to offer your ideas for achieving this goal. You can present a scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim measures.

Send your ideas to proposals@zero-nukes.org. To facilitate the process, please send them as Word attachments or similar format. We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through [Your Feedback](#).

[linkage to that page]

c. You can link this section to the entry on the home page.

d. As this goes on line, we will need to activate proposals@zero-nukes.org (as well as moderator@zero-nukes.org). You can help me on this.

Shalom,

Howard

Status: U
Return-Path: marie_kayser@yahoo.com
Received: from web13905.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.68])
by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id
7g1RH7PL3N13rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 6 Jun 2002 14:20:05 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: 20020606182004.25798.qmail@web13905.mail.yahoo.com
Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13905.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Thu, 06 Jun 2002
11:20:04 PDT
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 11:20:04 -0700 (PDT)
From: Marie Kayser marie_kayser@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Home page
To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
In-Reply-To: <001801c20d7e\$414e8320\$7c53f7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-150181437-1023387604=:21391"

I made the one change to the homepage and finished the changes for How to Get to Zero page. Please review the changes and let me know when to add the link from the homepage to How to Get to Zero.

Thanks for requesting payment 😊

mk

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

The Home Page looks great as revised. I'm satisfied the way you handled the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament. I think that I'll add a section to the Religious Statements page for the Interfaith Committee. When that is done, you can add a linkage on the home page.

"How to submit your ideas" can be linked to a section on the How to Get to Zero page as we put it on line. (See separate memo.)

The only slight adjustment I would suggest in the home page is to lower "The Story of our Masthead" box so that there is the same space above and below.

I've asked our treasurer to write a check for \$250.

I'm communicating separately on other pages.

Shalom,

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: [Marie Kayser](#)

To: [Howard W. Hallman](#)

Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2002 7:18 PM

Subject: Re: Home page

Hi,

The revised homepage is now online. Please let me know if you have other changes or want to link the Interfaith Committee paragraph to another page.

mk

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

The revised Home Page is almost perfect. However, you misunderstood me. I want the quote from General Horner to go first, the quote from General Powell to go second and for his name to show on the initial screen. It looks to me that there is enough space for that. If not, you can (a) put the dates on the same line with the generals and the Cathedral (although I like it the way it is) or (b) reduce the masthead enough to compensate.

The URL for the Mennonite Central Committee is
<http://www.mcc.org/us/washington/index.html>.

I've decided that we should identify the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament on the home page. Therefore, I have written the following

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament is a coalition of denominational offices and religious associations that advocate the elimination of nuclear weapons. This project is sponsored primarily by denominational offices.

It might go across the page with an orange background just above the sponsors. Or it might go in a box below "How to submit your ideas". You might have another idea.

The "How to submit your ideas" can be linked to a new section I have written for the How to Get to Zero page.

Thanks for your good work.

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: [Marie Kayser](#)

To: [Howard W. Hallman](#)

Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 8:22 PM

Subject: Re: Sponsors

Hi Howard,

I finished the homepage and added links to the sponsor section. Let me know if you have additional changes.

Regards,

Marie

Do You Yahoo!?

[Sign-up for Video Highlights](#) of 2002 FIFA World Cup

Do You Yahoo!?

[Sign-up for Video Highlights](#) of 2002 FIFA World Cup

Status: U

Return-Path: <J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org>

Received: from mail.mcc.org ([24.229.80.113])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17g21WPZ3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 6 Jun 2002 14:30:40 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by mail.mcc.org(Lotus SMTP MTA v4.6.5 (863.2 5-20-1999)) id 85256BD0.0065B9E6 ; Thu, 6 Jun 2002
14:31:07 -0400

X-Lotus-FromDomain: MCC

From: J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Message-ID: <85256BD0.0065B8C8.00@mail.mcc.org>

Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 13:54:02 -0400

Subject: Re: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj @ igc.org>

From: J. Daryl Byler

Date: 6/6/2002 1:52:03 PM

Subj: Re: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms

Hi Howard:

I think using the logo should be alright, but it would be best to check with Ron
Byler (we're trying to figure out if we're related!). His email address is:
rbyler@juno.com

Your edits and intro look fine.

Warm regards,
Daryl

110 Maryland Ave. NE #502
Washington, DC 20002
(202) 544-6564

Status: U

Return-Path: <J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org>

Received: from mail.mcc.org ([24.229.80.113])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17g21XPZ3NI3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 6 Jun 2002 14:30:41 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by mail.mcc.org(Lotus SMTP MTA v4.6.5 (863.2 5-20-1999)) id 85256BD0.0065B9C1 ; Thu, 6 Jun 2002
14:31:06 -0400

X-Lotus-FromDomain: MCC

From: J._Daryl_Byler@mail.mcc.org

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Message-ID: <85256BD0.0065B8C6.00@mail.mcc.org>

Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 13:53:08 -0400

Subject: Re: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj @ igc.org>

From: J. Daryl Byler

Date: 6/6/2002 1:52:03 PM

Subj: Re: Mennonite statement on nuclear arms

Hi Howard:

I think this should be alright, but it would be best to check with Ron Byler
(we're trying to figure out if we're related!). His email address is:
rbyler@juno.com

Warm regards,
Daryl

110 Maryland Ave. NE #502
Washington, DC 20002
(202) 544-6564

Status: U

Return-Path: <sentto-4736742-68-1023392252-mupj=igc.org@returns.groups.yahoo.com>

Received: from n8.grp.scd.yahoo.com ([66.218.66.92])

by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17g34G7Zo3Nl3sj0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 6 Jun 2002 15:37:34 -0400 (EDT)

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-4736742-68-1023392252-mupj=igc.org@returns.groups.yahoo.com

Received: from [66.218.66.97] by n8.grp.scd.yahoo.com with NNFMP; 06 Jun 2002 19:37:32 -0000

X-Sender: david@fcnl.org

X-Apparently-To: interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com

Received: (EGP: mail-8_0_3_2); 6 Jun 2002 19:37:28 -0000

Received: (qmail 898 invoked from network); 6 Jun 2002 19:37:28 -0000

Received: from unknown (66.218.66.218)

by m14.grp.scd.yahoo.com with QMQP; 6 Jun 2002 19:37:28 -0000

Received: from unknown (HELO local.fcnl.org) (65.207.12.2)

by mta3.grp.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; 6 Jun 2002 19:37:27 -0000

Received: by local.fcnl.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <K6MNS9HV>; Thu, 6 Jun 2002 15:29:46 -0400

Message-ID: <E9BA445D76C0D21182F30090273DFAF6A8598D@local.fcnl.org>

To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

<interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com>

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

From: David Culp <david@fcnl.org>

X-Yahoo-Profile: davidculp

MIME-Version: 1.0

Mailing-List: list interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com; contact interfaithnd-owner@yahoogroups.com

Delivered-To: mailing list interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com

Precedence: bulk

List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:interfaithnd-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>

Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 15:29:45 -0400

Subject: [interfaithnd] FCNL Legislative Action Message on Funding for Nuclear Weapons and
Missile Defense

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

FCNL LEGISLATIVE ACTION MESSAGE - 6/6/02

The following action items from the Friends Committee on National
Legislation (FCNL) focus on federal policy issues currently before Congress
or the Administration.

TOPIC: FUNDING FOR NEW NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND MISSILE DEFENSE

CONTINUE TO OPPOSE FUNDING FOR NEW NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND MISSILE DEFENSE: The
Senate Armed Services Committee completed its FY2003 Defense Authorization
Bill on May 10. Timely intervention by constituents encouraged the
committee to alter its nuclear policy. This bill strips all funding for the
development of a new "useable" nuclear weapon, the Robust Nuclear Earth
Penetrator (RNEP), and authorizes \$812 million less than the Bush
administration requested for a missile shield.

The Senate floor debate on this issue has been delayed, but the Senate may
finally bring the bill to the floor the week of June 10 or the week of June
17. It is still vitally important that Senators hear a clear message from

their constituents: OPPOSE ANY AMENDMENT THAT SEEKS TO RESTORE FUNDING FOR A NEW NUCLEAR WEAPON OR FOR A MISSILE SHIELD.

ACTION: Contact your senators. Urge them to vote against any amendments that seek to restore funding for the RNEP or for so- called missile defense.

USE FCNL'S WEB SITE TO MAKE LETTER-WRITING EASIER: Start with the sample letter posted in our Legislative Action Center, personalize the language, then send your message as an email or fax directly from our site. You can also print it out and mail it. To view a sample letter to your senators, click on the link below, then enter your zip code and click <Go> in the <Take Action Now> box. Here is the link:
<<http://capwiz.com/fconl/issues/alert/?alertid=205656&type=CO>>

BACKGROUND: The President's budget requested \$7.6 billion for missile defense. This includes money for space-based missiles and lasers as well as more localized ground and sea-based missiles. The Senate Armed Services Committee found that some of these programs were inadequately justified and reduced the total amount to \$6.8 billion.

The Bush administration also requested \$15.5 million to begin designing the RNEP, the so-called "bunker buster". The proposed RNEP is a nuclear bomb that, according to theory, would destroy hard and deeply buried targets such as underground bunkers. Some members of the Administration see military uses for such a weapon. Were this weapon available, it might be used in a nuclear first strike by the U.S., possibly against non-nuclear states. Work on the RNEP would also end the long-standing U.S. policy against the development of new nuclear weapons. The committee removed all funding for the RNEP and reallocated the money to the development of better radiation detectors, which would help prevent a terrorist group from smuggling a nuclear weapon into the country.

The committee's work offers the best opportunity to block funding for these weapons. The House has already passed a military authorization bill that preserves full funding for both missile defense and the RNEP. Therefore, if the Senate bill is amended on the floor to restore funding, it is highly unlikely that the funding will be removed in the ensuing Senate-House conference. On the other hand, if the Senate bill passes unamended, there is a reasonable possibility that the final bill will arrive on the President's desk without funding for these programs.

These issues are even more important in the light of recent arms control developments. The recent treaty with Russia, though disappointingly weak, could be a positive step, but both the treaty and the recent Nuclear Posture Review send disturbing signals about the administration's lack of commitment to real reductions in nuclear arms. It is important to send a message to the Bush administration, as well as to other nuclear powers, that there is no place for nuclear weapons in today's world. The United States must take the lead in eliminating all weapons of mass destruction.

CONTACTING LEGISLATORS

Capitol Switchboard: 202-224-3121

Sen. _____
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Rep. _____
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Information on your members is available on FCNL's web site:
<http://capwiz.com/fcnl/dbq/officials/directory/directory.dbq?command=congr>

CONTACTING THE ADMINISTRATION

White House Comment Desk: 202-456-1111
FAX: 202-456-2461
E-MAIL: president@whitehouse.gov
WEB PAGE: <http://www.whitehouse.gov>

President George W. Bush
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

This message supplements other FCNL materials and does not reflect FCNL's complete policy position on any issue. For further information, please contact FCNL.

Mail: 245 Second Street, NE, Washington, DC 20002-5795
Email: fcnl@fcnl.org
Phone: (202) 547-6000
Toll Free: (800) 630-1330
Fax: (202) 547-6019
Web: <http://www.fcnl.org>

Your contributions sustain our Quaker witness in Washington. We welcome your gifts to FCNL, or, if you need a tax deduction, to the FCNL Education Fund. You can use your credit card to donate money securely to FCNL through a special page on FCNL's web site <http://www.fcnl.org/suprt/indx.htm> FCNL also accepts credit card donations over the phone. For more information about donating, please contact the Development Team directly at development@fcnl.org. Thank you.

This message may be found regularly on FCNL's web site <http://www.fcnl.org> where a printer-friendly version is available and on PeaceNet in the fcnl.updates conference.

This message is distributed regularly via the fcnl-news mailing list. To subscribe to this list, please visit FCNL's web site at http://www.fcnl.org/listserv/quaker_issues.php.

Alternatively, you can send an e-mail message to majordomo@his.com. Leave the subject line blank. The message should read "subscribe fcnl-news." Please Note: Make sure that you are sending this message from the e-mail address to which you would like fcnl-news materials to be sent.

If you currently receive this message via the fcnl-news mailing list and are no longer interested in receiving messages from this list, send an e-mail message to majordomo@his.com. The message should read "unsubscribe fcnl-news."

We seek a world free of war and the threat of war
We seek a society with equity and justice for all
We seek a community where every person's potential may be fulfilled
We seek an earth restored...

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Kwick Pick opens locked car doors,
front doors, drawers, briefcases,
padlocks, and more. On sale now!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ehaLqB/Fg5DAA/Ey.GAA/nJ9qlB/TM>
----->

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
interfaithnd-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

Status: U
Return-Path: marie_kayser@yahoo.com
Received: from web13908.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.71])
by hazard.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17g3tG6CU3NkYBY0for
<mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 6 Jun 2002 16:03:24 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: 20020606200324.48668.qmail@web13908.mail.yahoo.com
Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13908.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Thu, 06 Jun 2002
13:03:24 PDT
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 13:03:24 -0700 (PDT)
From: Marie Kayser marie_kayser@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: How to Get to Zero
To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
In-Reply-To: <001901c20d7e\$42f9c220\$7c53f7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-418864395-1023393804=:48035"

Howard,

Lighthost will set-up your email boxes. Send them an email and let them know that you need the following email boxes:

- moderator@zero-nukes.org
- proposals@zero-nukes.org
- other email boxes you wish to set-up. I think you have 5 and have the option of increasing it to 10.

In addition, ask them to send you instructions for retrieving your zero-nukes.org email and how to send messages.

mk

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

How to Get to Zero is looking good. It helps to raise the two quotes. The members' names in a box works well. Later I'm going to add list of members of other commissions in the same manner.

On the Religious Statements page you indicated "top of page" after each entry. Do you want to do this on this page?

I have two further refinements to suggest. After these are made you may put the page on line.

1. The introductory paragraph I wrote is too wordy. Cut out the first sentence. Amend the second sentence to read (in italic):

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:

The first, second, and fourth bullets are okay. Amend the third bullet to read:

- *Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and ordinary citizens for getting to zero.*

2. Add a section on How to Submit Your Ideas. I suggested this in my previous attachment. Here I'm changing the wording.

a. In the contents listing at the top of the page, after INTERIM MEASURES add:

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS

b. At the end of the page after the Interim Measures section, add a new section, as follows:

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament invites you who have an interest in achieving the elimination of nuclear weapons to offer your ideas for achieving this goal. You can present a scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim measures.

Send your ideas to proposals@zero-nukes.org. To facilitate the process, please send them as Word attachments or similar format. We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through [Your Feedback](#).

[linkage to that page]

c. You can link this section to the entry on the home page.

d. As this goes on line, we will need to activate proposals@zero-nukes.org (as well as moderator@zero-nukes.org). You can help me on this.

Shalom,

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: More for Religious Statements
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2002 17:20:50 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-----=_NextPart_000_000D_01C20D7E.8204FAC0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

Here are some further refinements for Religious Statements. They add to editing for this page that I sent you earlier in the week.

1. I'm getting a better feel for our web site. It works well to have a symbol or short quote at the top left of a new page and a brief explanation on the right. These should be visible on the initial screen (or above the fold in newspaper terms). Therefore, on the Religious Statements page I suggest:

a. Move "Religious Statements" to the right side so that "Nuclear Weapons A Moral Issue" and the dove can move up and be fully visible on the left on the initial screen.

b. Under the words "Religious Statements" on the right, put the introductory paragraph, which is now lower on the page. Previously I sent you some revised language, which I now amend as follows:
On this web page we present a collection of statements on nuclear weapons by a variety of religious bodies and religious leaders. Most were issued since the end of the Cold War.
? Without exception they oppose the use of nuclear weapons.
? Most advocate abolition or total elimination.
? A few offer interim acceptance of nuclear deterrence as a step on the way to progressive disarmament.
? But most oppose nuclear deterrence here and now.

2. At the next level put INTERFAITH AND ECUMENICAL on the left and DENOMINATIONS on the right.

a. Under INTERFAITH add Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament. In a separate attachment I'll supply text for insertion below.

b. I am preparing some material for additional denominations. When I send them to you, you can add the denominational names to the contents list under DENOMINATIONS.

3. World Council of Churches. At the beginning of this entry, follow the pattern you set up with the 1998 NPT Preparatory Committee by adding titles of statements that are presented below. That is:

World Council of Churches [on right margin]

? Report of the Sixth Assembly (1983)

? Statement to 1998 NPT Preparatory Committee

? Nuclear Disarmament, NATO Policy and the Churches (2001)

? Evolution of WCC Policy On Nuclear Arms And Disarmament, 1948-2000

[to be supplied in separate attachment]

These entries would use the same type face you used under 1998 NPT and would be linked to the text of the documents.

4. After section on Conference of European Churches, add a section on Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament. It will have the banner, "Interfaith and Ecumenical". To be supplied in separate attachment.

Thanks,
Howard

[for insertion in Religious Statements page as an addition to World Council of Churches]

**THE EVOLUTION OF WORLD COUNCIL OF CHURCHES POLICY
ON NUCLEAR ARMS AND DISARMAMENT, 1948-2000***

Prepared by:

Dwain C. Epps, Coordinator, International Relations

World Council of Churches, Geneva

The question of atomic, hydrogen and nuclear weapons has been at the heart of concerns of the World Council of Churches since its first Assembly in 1948. It was a logical focus of an ecumenical movement whose roots were in Christian peace movements going back to the late 19th century. The Amsterdam statement laid the foundations for ecumenical concern in the second half of the 20th century:

War as a method of settling disputes is incompatible with the teaching and example of our Lord Jesus Christ. The part which war plays in our present international life is a sin against God and a degradation of man. We recognise that the problem of war raises especially acute issues for Christians today. Warfare has greatly changed. War is now total and every man and woman is called for mobilisation in war service. Moreover, the immense use of air forces and the discovery of atomic and other new weapons render widespread and indiscriminate destruction inherent in the whole conduct of modern war in a sense never experienced in past conflicts...

The churches must also attack the causes of war by promoting peaceful change and the pursuit of justice. They must stand for the maintenance of good faith and the honouring of the pledged word, resist the pretensions of imperialist power, promote the multilateral reduction of armaments, and combat indifference and despair in the face of the futility of war...

Report of Section IV, "The Church and the International Disorder," *Official Report of the First Assembly*, Amsterdam, 1948, WCC, Geneva. p 89.

The II. Assembly responded to developments beyond the atomic bomb:

The development of nuclear weapons makes this an age of fear. True peace cannot rest on fear. It is vain to think that the hydrogen bomb or its development has guaranteed peace because men will be afraid to go to war, nor can fear provide an effective restraint against the temptation to use a decisive weapon either in hope of total victory or in the desperation of total defeat.

* Excerpts from selected statements or actions that added to the policy development of the WCC.

The thought of all-out nuclear warfare is indeed horrifying. Such warfare introduces a new moral challenge. It has served to quicken public concern, and has intensified awareness of the urgency of finding means of prevention....

An international order of truth and peace would require:

- a) under effective international inspection and control and in such a way that no state would have cause to fear that its security was endangered, the elimination and prohibition of atomic, hydrogen and all other weapons of mass destruction, as well as the reduction of all armaments to a minimum...

We must also see that experimental tests of hydrogen bombs have raised issues of human rights, caused suffering and imposed an additional strain on human relations between nations. Among safeguards against the aggravation of these international tensions is the insistence that nations carry on tests only within their respective territories, or if elsewhere, only be international clearance and agreement.

Report of Section IV, "International Affairs: Christians in the Struggle for World Community," *Official Report of the Second Assembly*, Evanston, 1954, WCC, Geneva, pp 131-134. The resolutions on International Affairs adopted by the Assembly did not include specific reference to nuclear weapons or disarmament.

Between 1954 and 1961, the WCC's Commission of the Churches on International Affairs (CCIA) spoke and worked intensively on the need for an international instrument to control nuclear testing. The III. Assembly further underscored the dangers of nuclear weapons developments, and for the first time officially expressed concerns about the use of outer space.

The most serious problem facing the world today is that of disarmament. General and complete disarmament is widely recognized to be the desired goal...

The recent violations of the moratorium on nuclear bomb testing have shocked the nations into a new realization of the acute danger and horror of modern warfare. Churches must protest against the accelerating arms race and the mounting terror which it portends. The First Assembly...clearly recognized that war is contrary to the will of God. War in its newer forms is understood not only by Christians but the general conscience of the nations as an offense against both the world of nature and the race of man,

threatening annihilation and laying on mankind an unbearable burden of cost and terror. The use of indiscriminate weapons must now be condemned by the churches as an affront to the Creator and a denial of the very purposes of the Creation. Christians must refuse to place their ultimate trust in war and nuclear weapons. In this situation the churches must never cease warning governments of the dangers, and they must repudiate absolutely the growing conviction in some quarters that the use of mass destruction weapons has become inevitable. Christians must press most urgently upon their governments, as a first step towards the elimination of nuclear weapons, never to get themselves into a position in which they contemplate the first use of nuclear weapons. Christians must also maintain that the use of nuclear weapons, or other forms of major violence, against centers of population is in no circumstances reconcilable with the demands of the Christian Gospel.

Total disarmament is the goal, but it is a complex and long-term process in which the churches must not underestimate the importance of first steps. There may be possibilities of experimenting with limited geographical areas of controlled and inspected disarmament, of neutralizing certain zones, of devising security against surprise attack which would reduce tension, of controlling the use of outer space....

New Delhi Speaks, Third WCC Assembly, New Delhi, 1961, Association Press, New York, 1962, pp 79ff.

The landmark 1966 Church and Society Conference in Geneva is most often recalled as having brought Third World perspectives and theologies of liberation onto the stage of the global ecumenical movement. However it too devoted particular attention to nuclear war, based again on the Amsterdam affirmation.

...(The) First Assembly...declared, 'War is contrary to the will of God'... We now say to all governments and peoples that nuclear war is against God's will and the greatest of evils. Therefore we affirm that it is the first duty of governments and their officials to prevent nuclear war. ...

The real problem is how the supreme task, to avoid nuclear war can be carried out... (here there is) an increasing role for the smaller powers in depolarizing international affairs....

The churches should add that they have (a) common...duty to preserve the life of the peoples of this world, and to work for a world order which will transcend the present uneasy peace of the equilibrium of power. It is intolerable for the peace of the world to depend on a precarious nuclear balance...

Official Report, World Conference on Church and Society, WCC, Geneva 1966, pp 123ff.

That Conference deeply influenced the agenda of the IV. Assembly held two years later. That agenda was heavily devoted to the timely issues of racism and economic development and others stimulated by the global revolutionary fervor of the year 1968. But it too spoke out on the question of nuclear weapons, beginning once more with the Amsterdam declaration.

The WCC reaffirms its declaration at the (First Assembly): "War as a method of settling disputes is incompatible with the teachings and example of our Lord Jesus Christ." Of all forms of war, nuclear war presents the gravest affront to the conscience of man. The avoidance of atomic, biological or chemical war has become a conditions of human survival...The churches must insist that it is the first duty of governments to prevent such a war: to halt the present arms race, agree never to initiate the use of nuclear weapons, stop experiments concerned with and the production of weapons of mass human destruction by chemical and biological means a move away from the balance of terror towards disarmament. ...

The concentration of nuclear weapons in the hands of a few nations presents the world with serious problems: a) how to guarantee the security of the non-nuclear nations; b) how to enable these nations to play their part in preventing war, and; c) how to prevent the nuclear powers from freezing the exiting order at the expense of changes needed for social and political justice....

Uppsala Speaks, Fourth WCC Assembly, Uppsala, 1998, Geneva, 1968, pp 62 ff.

The V. Assembly in Nairobi was marked especially by the global concern for human rights and East-West tensions. In its Section on "Structures of Injustice and Struggles for Liberation," to survival, it shifted the nature of Christian responsibly very significantly based on ideas provided by the Federation of Churches in the German Democratic Republic:

Christians must resist the temptation to resign themselves to a false sense of impotence or security, The churches should emphasize their readiness to live without the protection of armaments, and take a significant initiative in pressing for effective disarmament. Churches, individual Christians, and members of the public in all countries should press their governments to ensure national security without resorting to the use of weapons of mass destruction...

We appeal to Christians to think, work and pray for a disarmed world.

Breaking Barriers, The Official Report of the Fifth Assembly of the WCC, Nairobi, 1995, WCC, Geneva, p 182.

The nuclear arms race accelerated rapidly in the late 1970s, and the CCIA was asked by the Central Committee to organize a consultation to consider it and the proliferation of conventional weapons of mass destruction. Its 1978 report noted:

We are living in the shadow of an arms race more intense, more costly, more widespread and more dangerous than the world has ever known. Never before has the arms race been as close as it is now to total self-destruction. Today's arms race is an unparalleled waste of human and material resources; it aids repression and violates human rights; it promotes violence and insecurity in place of the security in whose name it is undertaken; it frustrates humanity's aspirations for justice and peace; it has no part in God's design for His world; it is demonic.... To hope in Christ is neither to be complacent about survival nor powerless in the fear of annihilation by the forces of evil but to open our eyes to the transcendent reality of Christ in history.

"Report of the WCC Consultation on Disarmament," Glion, Switzerland, 1978, in *The Churches in International Affairs 1974-1978*, WCC, Geneva 1979, p 72

That same year, Dr. Philip Potter, WCC General Secretary brought the concerns highlighted in the consultation to the attention of the United Nations in a plenary address to the General Assembly in which he addressed several of the underlying causes of the global arms race:

We must challenge the idol of a distorted concept of national security which is direct to encouraging fear and mistrust resulting in greater insecurity. The only security worthy of its name lies in enabling people to participate fully in the life of their nations and to establish relations of trust between peoples of different nations. It is only when there is a real dialogue -- a sharing of life with life in mutual trust and respect -- that there can be true security.

Address of Dr. Philip Potter, WCC General Secretary, to the First Special Session of the UN General Assembly devoted to Disarmament, NY, 1978. *op. cit.* p 70f

This concern for national security arose not only as a causal factor in the super-power nuclear arms race, but as a justification for massive violations of human rights, especially by military dictatorships around the world. The Central Committee linked these concerns at its meeting in 1979:

...given the need not only to denounce militarism and the arms race, but to develop positive alternatives to the present destructive system...and as a matter of highest priority for the WCC...(the Central Committee establishes the) Program for Disarmament and against Militarism and the Arms Race.

Minutes of the WCC Central Committee, Kingston, Jamaica, 1979; also contained in *The Churches in International Affairs, 1970-82*, WCC, Geneva, 1983, p 35.

The WCC Sub-Unit on Church and Society organized in 1979 a major world Conference on Faith, Science and the Future in Boston, Massachusetts. It adopted the following declaration which was subsequently endorsed by the Executive Committee and commended to the churches:

We, scientists, engineers, theologians and members of Christian churches from all parts of the world, participants in the WCC Conference on Faith, Science and the Future, now meeting at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (USA), acknowledge with penitence the part played by science in the development of weapons of mass destruction and the failure of the churches to oppose it, and now plead with the nations of the world for the reduction and eventual abolition of such weapons.

WHEREAS:

- the arsenals of tens of thousands of nuclear weapons already constitute a grave peril to humankind;
- sharp changes by the super-powers towards a counterforce strategy are so destabilizing that sober scientists estimate a nuclear holocaust is probable before the end of the century;
- there is widespread ignorance of the horrible experience of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, and the even greater implications of limited or global nuclear war with current and projected nuclear weapons;
- we are profoundly disturbed by the willingness of some scientists, engineers and corporations, with the backing of governments, to pursue profit and prestige in weapons development at the risk of an unparalleled destruction of human life;
- the waste of the increasingly scarce materials and energy resources of the world on the instruments of war means further deprivation of the poor whom we are commanded to serve;
- we grieve that so many of the most able scientists, especially the young ones, are seduced away from the nobler aspirations of science into the unwitting service of mutual destruction;
- in a time of radical readjustment of the world economy the intolerable burden of the nuclear arms race creates worldwide economic problems;

AND BECAUSE WE BELIEVE:

- that God made us and all creation;
- that He requires us to seek peace, justice and freedom, creating a world where none need fear and every life is sacred;
- that with His grace no work of faith, hope and love need seem too hard for those who trust him;

WE NOW CALL UPON:

- all member communions of the WCC and all sister churches sending official observers, and through them each individual church and congregation;
- our fellow religionists and believers in other cultures, whether Hindu, Jewish, Buddhist or Muslim, and our Marxist colleagues;
- the science and engineering community, especially those engaged in research and development, together with professional scientific associations and trade unions;
- the governments of all nations and especially the nuclear powers;
- all concerned citizens of the world;

TO EMBARK IMMEDIATELY ON THE FOLLOWING TASKS:

- to support and implement the WCC Program on Disarmament and against Militarism and the Arms Race, and give special emphasis to issues related to military technology and its conversion to peaceful uses;

...

- to stop the development and production of new forms and systems of nuclear weapons...
- to educate and raise the consciousness of every constituency to the realities of nuclear war in such a way that people cease to avoid it as an issue too big to handle;

...

- to prepare local and national programs for the conversion to civilian use of laboratories and factories related to military research and production, and to provide for the retraining and re-employment of those who work on them;
- to resolve never again to allow science and technology to threaten the destruction of human life, and to accept the God-given task of using SCIENCE FOR PEACE.

Minutes of the WCC Executive Committee, Bossey, Switzerland, 1979, op. cit. p 40ff.

That year, 1979, marked a major turning point in the mobilization of world public opinion about the nuclear arms race. The announcement by the USA of its intention to produce a neutron bomb and radically to escalate the number and quality of its nuclear arms based in Europe created a massive public outcry. The Central Committee echoed the demands of the anti-nuclear movement the following year:

The Central Committee urges all nuclear powers to:

- a) freeze immediately all further testing, production and deployment of nuclear weapons and of missiles and new aircraft designed primarily to deliver nuclear weapons;
- b) start immediately discussions with a view to making agreements not to enhance the existing nuclear potentials and progressively reducing the overall number of nuclear weapons and a speedy conclusion of a comprehensive test ban treaty.

Minutes of the WCC Central Committee, Geneva, 1980, in op. cit. pp 43f

The following year, in Dresden (GDR), it received a report from the Program for Disarmament and against Militarism and the Arms Race, and said:

The Central Committee...calls upon the churches now to:

- 1) challenge the military and militaristic policies that lead to disastrous distortions of foreign policy sapping the capacity of the nations of the world to deal with pressing economic and social priorities which have become a paramount political issue of our times;
- 2) counter the trend to characterize those of other nations and ideologies as the "enemy" through the promotion of hatred and prejudice;
- 3) assist in de-mythologizing current doctrines of national security and elaborate new concepts of security based on justice and the rights of peoples;...

Commends the work of a large number of peace and disarmament groups and movements, old and new, around the world, in several of which large numbers of Christians actively participate in obedience to the demands of the Gospel...

Urges the churches, in the context of the preparations for the

Sixth Assembly, whose theme is "Jesus Christ, the Life of the World," to make commitment to peace-making a special concern and to give emphasis to studies on issues related to peace, paying special attention to the underlying theological issues.

Minutes of the WCC Central Committee, Dresden, 1981, in op. cit. pp 45ff.

In November 1981, the WCC convened an International Public Hearing on Nuclear Weapons and Disarmament at the Free University in Amsterdam. A hearing panel of 17 church leaders, theologians and ethicists from all the world's region heard testimony from 38 expert witnesses, including former US national security advisors, USSR foreign policy experts, senior diplomats in the field of disarmament, political leaders including Swedish Prime Minister Olof Palme, leading nuclear scientists and leaders of anti-nuclear peace movements in several parts of the world. Its extensive report was submitted to the WCC Central Committee and widely distributed. It contained, *inter alia*, the following affirmations:

We believe the time has come when the churches must unequivocally declare that the production and deployment as well as the use of nuclear weapons are a crime against humanity and that such activities must be condemned on ethical and theological grounds. ... We recognize that nuclear weapons will not disappear because of such an affirmation by the churches. But it will involve the churches and their members in a fundamental examination of their own implicit or explicit support of policies which, implicitly or explicitly, are based on the possession and use of those weapons.

Before It's Too Late: The Challenge of Nuclear Disarmament, WCC, Geneva, 1983, pp 3ff.

Dr. Philip Potter took these affirmations and the rising concern of the ecumenical movement back to the United Nations the following year when he addressed the plenary session of the Second Special Session of the General Assembly devoted to Disarmament.

...Compared with the public mood in 1978 when you last met, the growing massive strength of movements of people of every walk of life and ideological position gives us hope that the political will to take concrete steps to disarmament will emerge, and that governments will respect and act on this will.

...

During the last four years after the First Special Session on Disarmament the economic crisis has worsened throughout the world with grave consequences for the poor nations resulting in tensions within and among nations. The continuing stalemate in the North-South discussions on global issues has been accompanied by policies of confrontation and an attempt to divide the South. The present global military order is inextricably tied up with the economic and social system and therefore the quest for disarmament can in no way be isolated from the struggle for justice and human dignity. Consequently, there is deep distrust among the peoples of the Third World about the postures of the nuclear weapon states on deterrence and non-proliferation. Their struggles for social and political change are often distorted by the security considerations and economic interests of the major powers. ...

“Choose Life!” (Deut.30:15,19) Choose what is good, that is, what expresses our inner being as made in God’s image to be shared with others. Choose the blessing, that is, what communicates our vitality to others, what enables us to put what we are and have at the disposal of others that they might become their true selves and share their lives also with others. That is God’s purpose revealed in creation and in men and women made in his image to participate in his life and communicate that life to one another according to his commandments and promises of good. That is life. That is true security and peace.

Statement by WCC General Secretary Philip Potter to the Second Special Session of the UN General Assembly devoted to Disarmament, NY, June 1982, in *The Churches in International Affairs 1979-82*, pp 49ff.

At this same meeting of the UN General Assembly, Patriarch Pimen of the Russian Orthodox Church presented the report of the World Conference of Religious Workers for Saving the Sacred Gift of Life from Nuclear Catastrophe he convened in Moscow in May 1982.

The Central Committee in July 1982 commended the report of the International Public Hearings, highlighting its recommendations and calling upon the churches to take clear positions on them. It also issued a statement lamenting the lack of progress at the UN Special Session and renewed its call to the churches and governments to promote peace and disarmament.

In this same period, two volumes were published by the CCIA in the context of the Program for Disarmament and against Militarism and the Arms Race, entitled *The Security Trap I and II* (WCC, Geneva, and IDOC, Rome, 1979 and 1982), that provided in-depth analysis and theological perspectives on militarism and the nuclear arms race. *Peace and Disarmament*, A compendium of major documents of the WCC and the Roman Catholic Church, was also published jointly by the CCIA and the Pontifical Commission “Justitia et Pax” (Rome and Geneva, 1982).

The Sixth WCC Assembly in Vancouver, 1983, was held at a time when massive public protests were being held around the world against the nuclear arms race, many of them inspired or led by the churches. This Assembly was particularly marked by this concern. It said:

Humanity is now living in the dark shadow of an arms race more intense, and of systems of injustice more widespread, more dangerous and more costly than the world has ever known. Never before has the human race been as close as it is now to total self-destruction. Never before have so many lived in the grip of deprivation and oppression.

Under that shadow we have gathered here...to proclaim our common faith in Jesus Christ, the Life of the Word, and to say to the world:

- fear not, for Christ has overcome the forces of evil; in him are all things made new;
- fear not; for the love of God, rise up for justice and for peace;
- trust in the power of Christ who reigns over all; give witness to him in word and in deed, regardless of the cost...

The churches today are called to confess anew their faith, and to repent for the times when Christians have remained silent in the face of injustice or threats to peace. The biblical vision of peace with justice for all, of wholeness, of unity for all God's people is not one of several options for the followers of Christ. It is an imperative in our time...

We call upon the churches, especially those in Europe, both East and West, and in North America, to redouble their efforts to convince their governments to reach a negotiated settlement and to turn away now, before it is too late, from plans to deploy additional or new nuclear weapons in Europe, and to begin immediately to reduce and then eliminate altogether present nuclear forces.

We urge the churches as well to intensify their efforts to stop the rapidly growing deployment of nuclear weapons and support systems in the Indian and Pacific Oceans, and to press their governments to withdraw from or refuse to base or service ships or airplanes bearing nuclear weapons in their regions...

...(I)n the spirit of the Fifth Assembly's appeal to the churches "to emphasize their readiness to live without the protection of armaments," we believe that Christians should give witness to their unwillingness to participate in any conflict involving weapons of mass destruction or indiscriminate effect.

Gathered for Life, Official Report of the VI. Assembly of the WCC, Vancouver, 1983, WCC, Geneva, pp 131ff.

The Vancouver Assembly also called on the churches to engage in a “conciliar process of mutual commitment (covenant) to justice, peace and the integrity of all creation” and to make this a priority for all WCC programs.

The period following the Vancouver Assembly provided no new policy statements on nuclear weapons, but was one in which the WCC encouraged a number of international disarmament initiatives and pressed on the major nuclear powers their responsibilities to disarm. WCC General Secretaries encouraged the initiatives of the “Middle Power Coalition,” the signatories of the Delhi Declaration, the Groupe Bellerive and others. Letters were written to President Reagan and General Secretary Gorbachev on the occasions of their summit meetings in Geneva and Iceland, encouraging them to take more rapid steps toward nuclear disarmament. On the eve of the meeting of the same leaders in Geneva in January 1987, the Central Committee welcomed the resumption of the earlier talks and appealed to the two nations:

- to declare a moratorium on nuclear tests as a provisional measure that would enable negotiations towards a comprehensive test ban treaty;
- to negotiate agreements on substantial reduction of strategic weapons and elimination of medium range missiles, with a definite time-table;
- to take all necessary steps to prevent the development of space weapons and to strengthen the terms of the Anti-Ballistic Missiles Treaty.

The WCC specially appeals to the US government to respond positively to the initiatives of the USSR on moratorium on nuclear testing, to review its decision to exceed the SALT II ceilings and to reconsider its Strategic Defense Initiative. The WCC also appeals to the USSR government to reinstate and continue the moratorium on nuclear testing.

The Central Committee renews its appeal to the French government to stop forthwith nuclear weapon testing in Polynesia...We urge the churches in the context of the call to strengthen their commitment to justice, peace and the integrity of creation:

- to intensify their engagement in efforts for peace by specifically working for an end to nuclear testing as an immediate priority;
- to engage in bilateral and multilateral discussions among churches with a view to promoting common understandings and developing common strategies;
- to join other forces of peace for public education and efforts to influence policies of governments and inter-governmental bodies;
- to support the Six Nations Initiative and that of the South Pacific Forum.

Minutes of the Central Committee, Geneva, January 1987, in *The Churches in International Affairs, 1987-1990*, WCC, Geneva, 1990, pp 44ff.

Later that year, the WCC Officers welcomed the conclusion of the agreements at the USA-USSR Summit in Washington DC, saying that

The agreement to eliminate intermediate nuclear forces and thus an entire class of nuclear weapons is a significant achievement especially with the elaborate system of verification which augurs well for further steps in nuclear disarmament. The initiative already taken for making proposals for reducing strategic nuclear weapons is reassuring.

WCC Officers' Statement on the Washington Summit, 14 December 1987, *op. cit.*, p 47.

In a statement presented by Dr. Lamar Gible, a CCIA Commissioner, the WCC told the Third Session of the UN General Assembly devoted to Disarmament (1988):

In the limited time given for this testimony, among many concerns, we choose the following for emphasis. Firstly, even in the aura of a historic agreement to reduce intermediate range nuclear weapons the awful risk of nuclear war remains. We are painfully aware that this agreement can only reduce the nuclear arsenal by 3%. We would, therefore, urge the pursuit of every possible effort to further reduce and ultimately eliminate these weapons of mass destruction. We reiterate the declaration of our most recent Assembly that "the production and deployment of nuclear weapons as well as their use constitute a crime against humanity, and therefore there³ should be a complete halt in the production of nuclear weapons and in weapons research and development in all nations, to be expeditiously enforced through a treaty..." Only if such a comprehensive approach is taken to nuclear disarmament and complemented and reinforced by mutually accepted verification procedures and by the new technology available for verification can the possibility of nuclear holocaust be significantly reduced. We would encourage this session to establish a multilateral mechanism under the auspices of the United Nations to perform such verification functions for our global community.

Secondly, while we recognize the possibility of significant steps in the reduction of nuclear weapons, we cannot overlook the significant new dynamics in the arms race. We view with alarm the development of "star wars" technology, chemical weapons, and the ever more deadly capacity of conventional weapons which blur the distinction between conventional and nuclear, and defensive and offensive weapons. Only through multilateral agreements banning the research,

development and testing of these new weapons can we effectively end this process....

op. cit. pp 48ff

The WCC addressed a letter in 1987 to President Bush and General Secretary Gorbachev on the occasion of their summit meeting in Malta, reiterating appeals addressed earlier. But this was the last initiative on nuclear weapons before the VII. Assembly in Canberra (1991).

In Canberra the agenda was radically shifted in the direction of post Cold War armed interventions and internal conflicts. That assembly, meeting as the Gulf War was raging, gave strong clues that this would be a period of divided views and sometimes contentious relationships among the churches as they wrestled with new challenges. The VII. Assembly adopted a major policy statement on the implications of the use of armed force by the Gulf Coalition led by the USA, and another on internal conflicts. The attention of the Central Committee was fixed for most of the ensuing decade on the implications of such challenges and by renewed debates and efforts to address the churches' positions on violence.

The war in Bosnia/Herzegovina again led to contentious debates in the Central Committee on the old tension between the Christian traditions of pacifism and the just war. In 1994, on the basis of a background document, "Overcoming the Spirit, Logic and Practice of War," the Central Committee created the Program to Overcome Violence. In the course of the international campaign, "Peace to the City," carried out in the context of the POV, the focus turned especially to the issue of small arms and light weapons, and this has continued as a part of the new ecumenical Decade to Overcome Violence established by the VIII. Assembly in Harare (1998).

The disarmament agenda shifted more to the area of conventional arms, following the line traced earlier in consultations on militarism and disarmament. The CCIA Commission held a consultation in 1993 on the conventional arms trade (cf. *The Arms Trade Today*, CCIA Background Information, 1993/1, WCC, Geneva, 1993) and adopted a statement on the subject.

Soon after the Harare Assembly, the following document was issued, and it was the last major policy statement devoted particularly to nuclear weapons to date.

Nuclear weapons, whether used or threatened, are grossly evil and therefore morally wrong. As an instrument of mass destruction, nuclear weapons slaughter the innocent and ravage the environment...

(Therefore) we ask the delegates to call resolutely upon the nuclear weapons states to embark upon a series of steps along the road leading to nuclear

abolition. There is broad consensus...on what these steps should be. They include:

- declare a policy of no first use among themselves and non-use in relation to non-nuclear weapons states;
- cease all research, development, production, and deployment of new nuclear weapons;
- refrain from modernizing the existing nuclear arsenal and increasing the number of deployed nuclear weapons;
- take all nuclear forces off alert and remove warheads from delivery vehicles;
- achieve faster and deeper bilateral reduction of nuclear weapons by the United States and Russia.

...We ask the delegates to take the lead in commencing the process of developing a nuclear weapons convention to outlaw and abolish all nuclear weapons...We appeal to the delegates...to consider what is best for the whole Earth and its inhabitants when they vote on issues of nuclear non-proliferation and disarmament. Loyalty to all humankind exceeds that of loyalty within political blocs of nations. We urge delegates to act now, decisively and courageously for the benefit of all the peoples of the earth.

Joint statement of WCC General Secretary Konrad Raiser and Cardinal Daneels, President of Pax Christi International to the NPT Review Conference Preparatory Committee, Geneva, April 1998.

At its first meeting (Morges, Switzerland, January 2000), the newly elected Commission of the Churches on International Affairs adopted guidelines for programmatic work in the field of disarmament which stressed the need for the WCC and its member churches to turn their attention back to the continuing threat of nuclear weapons. So, concern about nuclear weapons has not disappeared from the WCC agenda. However, it has been dropped to the lowest levels of priority of many churches, including those in nuclear weapons states. There is an urgent need for the ecumenical movement to remember its history and to reassert leadership at what is in fact a very critical moment of new challenges to the international disarmament regime and the ever more dangerous legacy of the decaying products of the decades-long US-USSR nuclear arms race. Statements alone will not be enough. The statements reviewed here were often backed by movements in the churches working to bring official church assemblies with them in action and conviction. If we are to be effective again, attention will have to be paid during the forthcoming ecumenical Decade to Overcome Violence to the strengthening, regeneration re-connection of such movements.

Geneva, 4 October 2000

Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament is a U.S. coalition of denominational offices and religious organizations that advocate the global elimination of nuclear weapons. It has evolved from an informal group that in 1997 supported ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention by the United States Senate. Others joined to form the Interfaith Group for the CTBT, which worked from 1997 to 1999 in support of Senate ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty. After the Senate defeated CTBT ratification in October 1999, representatives of denominational offices and religious re-grouped to form the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament with a broader agenda.

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament is an unincorporated body with no formal membership. Rather, participants indicate their support of actions on particular issues by signing statements and letters to public officials. Its only officer is its chair, Howard W. Hallman, who is chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice. He also serves as moderator of this web site.

Policy concerns of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament are shown by the following letters to public officials:

Letter to President George W. Bush on National Missile Defense, March 2001

Letter to U.S. Senators and Representatives on National Missile Defense, July 2001

Letter to President George W. Bush on Nuclear Weapons and Terrorism , October 2001

Letter to President George W. Bush on Nuclear Posture Review, March 2002

Also see **Religious Leaders' Appeal to President Bush to De-alert Nuclear Weapons** [http://www.fcnl.org/issues/arm/sup/nuclear_weapons_religldrs.htm], developed by the Friends Committee on National Legislation.

PDF file

Letter to President George W. Bush on National Missile Defense

March 5, 2001

The Honorable George W. Bush
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

We the undersigned representatives of faith-based organizations share with you the desire to keep God's people, including those in the U.S. homeland, safe from nuclear attack. However, we are deeply concerned about the haste to make a commitment to deploy unproven technology for national missile defense.

First, the real and present danger for nuclear attack on the United States comes from the several thousand Russian missiles now on hair trigger alert and thousands of Russian nuclear weapons in reserve with inadequate security. The best remedies are mutual de-alerting, strategic arms reduction, and stable control of fissile material. These opportunities could be jeopardized if the United States withdraws from the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty to erect a national missile defense. Russia might then withdraw from other arms control treaties and retain multi-warhead missiles now scheduled for elimination under START II. Also, China might increase its nuclear arsenal. This would pose far greater danger to U.S. homeland security than the remote threat of a few missiles a small nation might develop years from now.

Second, heavy emphasis on unproven anti-missile technology to counter a speculative future threat from a few small nations neglects other elements of a comprehensive non-proliferation strategy. More promising methods include international monitoring of nuclear test explosions, rigorous fissile material control, stringent missile technology control, diplomacy, financial assistance to nations cooperating in nuclear non-proliferation, and countering social, economic, and political instability that provides the breeding ground for terrorist groups

Third, we are seriously concerned about budgetary implications. Since 1983 the United States has spent \$69 billion on national missile defense, enriching major defense contractors but producing no effective system. President Clinton's plan, which you have criticized as inadequate, would cost \$60 billion. Indications are that the layered approach you favor could cost more than \$100 billion. A budgetary commitment of this magnitude along with the tax cut you are promoting would preclude achieving the goal of "Leave No Child Behind" and dealing with other urgent domestic needs.

For these reasons we urge you to pull back from the dangerous rush to a premature decision on national missile defense and withdrawal from the ABM Treaty.

Respectfully yours,

Signers:

[Marie: put signers in a box in columns with smaller type as you did the Canberra Commission members on the How to Get to Zero page]

Rev. Dr. Stan Hasteley, Executive
Director
Alliance of Baptists
(organization listed for identification
only)

Curtis Ramsey-Lucas
Director of Legislative Advocacy
National Ministries
American Baptist Churches

James Matlack
Director, Washington Office
American Friends Service Committee

Ken Sehested, Executive Director
Baptist Peace Fellowship of North
America

David Radcliff
Director of Brethren Witness

Greg Laszakovits
Director, Washington Office
Church of the Brethren General Board

Tiffany Heath
Interim Legislative Director
Church Women United

Gary Baldrige
Global Missions Coordinator

Rev. Lonnie Turner
Washington Office
Cooperative Baptist Fellowship

Joel Heim, Moderator
Disciples Peace Fellowship

Thomas H. Hart
Director of Government Relations
Episcopal Church, USA

Rev. Mark Brown, Assistant Director
International Affairs and Human Rights
Lutheran Office for Governmental Affairs
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America

Ronald J. Sider, President
Evangelicals for Social Action

Mary H. Miller, Executive Director
Episcopal Peace Fellowship

Murray Polner, President
Jewish Peace Fellowship

Rev. Carroll Houle
Maryknoll Office for Global Concerns

J. Daryl Byler, Director
Washington Office
Mennonite Central Committee, U.S.

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with
Justice

Rabia Terri Harris, Coordinator
Muslim Peace Fellowship

Brenda Girton-Mitchell, JD
Assoc. General Secretary for Public
Policy
and Director of the Washington Office
National Council of Churches

Nancy Small, National Coordinator
Pax Christi, USA

Rev. Elenora Giddings Ivory
Director, Washington Office
Presbyterian Church, U.S.A.

L. William Yolton
 Presbyterian Peace Fellowship

 Rabbi David Saperstein, Director
 Religious Action Center of Reform
 Judaism

Ann Rutan, csjp , President
 Sisters of St. Joseph of Peace

Rev. Meg A. Riley
 Washington Office for Faith in Action
 Unitarian Universalist Association
 PD file

Ron Stief
 Justice and Witness Ministries
 United Church of Christ

Jim Winkler, General Secretary
 General Board of Church and Society
 United Methodist Church

William J. Price
 World Peacemakers

Letter to U.S. Senators and Representatives on National Missile Defense

July 23, 2001

Dear Senator/Representative:

In the defense authorization bill for the 2002 fiscal year, President Bush is asking for \$8.3 billion for national missile defense, a 57 percent increase over the current fiscal year. We the undersigned representatives of faith-based organizations ask you to consider this request not only as a budgetary issue but also as a matter of justice and peace.

Over the centuries prophets of religion have posed the question: what does justice require? In this instance, one of the clearest answers comes from a five-star general who rose to the highest civilian office of the land, President Dwight D. Eisenhower. In an address before the American Society of Newspaper Editors on April 16, 1953 he stated: "Every gun that is made, every warship launched, every rocket fired signifies, in the final sense, a theft from those who hunger and are not fed, those who are cold and are not clothed." This statement is so important to the Eisenhower legacy that these words are engraved on the walls by his tomb in Abilene, Kansas.

The vast spending increase now proposed for national missile constitutes a theft of this nature. Since 1983 the United States has spent \$63 billion on this endeavor without technological success. Pouring more funds into this venture would in effect steal money from efforts to "Leave No Child Behind", to provide adequate health care for millions of America, to deal with the global HIV/AIDS crisis, and to meet other urgent social needs. This is clearly wrong and immoral.

It is doubly wrong because there is no credible threat to the American homeland from long-range missiles. Only Russia, China, the United Kingdom, and France have missiles of that range, but they are not on the danger list offered by missile defense proponents. Of the so-called "rogue" states that are said to be a threat, only North Korea has tried to develop a long-range missile. Flight testing is now suspended, and the program could be permanently terminated through diplomacy and selective financial assistance. Moreover, it is not credible that North Korea would attack the United States because of the assurance of massive retaliation. No other potentially hostile state has an effective missile program that would endanger the United States. There are numerous nonproliferation measures that can prevent them from developing one.

The beneficiaries of the national missile defense program are not the American people but rather large defense contractors. They are spending millions every year in political campaign contributions and lobbying operations to promote missile defense. This calls to mind President Eisenhower's warning in his farewell address to the American people: "In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex." You who serve on the House Armed Services Committee should be our guardians.

For these reasons, we ask you to cut back on authorization for national missile defense and to redirect these resources to programs that meet important human and community needs.

Sincerely yours,

Signers:

Curtis Ramsey-Lucas
 Director of Legislative Advocacy
 National Ministries
 American Baptist Churches USA

James Matlack
 Director, Washington Office
 American Friends Service Committee

Rev. Ken Sehested, Executive Director,
 Baptist Peace Fellowship of North
 America

Greg Laszakovits, Coordinator
 Church of the Brethren Washington

Office

Stan De Boe, OSST, Director
 Office of Justice and Peace
 Conference of Major Superiors of Men

Rev. Lonnie Turner
 Washington Office
 Cooperative Baptist Fellowship

Joel J. Heim, Moderator
 Disciples Peace Fellowship.

Thomas H. Hart
 Director of Government Relations
 Episcopal Church, USA

David Culp
Legislative Representative
Friends Committee on National
Legislation

Ken Giles, D.C. Representative
Jewish Peace Fellowship

J. Daryl Byler, Director
Washington Office
Mennonite Central Committee, U.S.

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with
Justice

Brenda Girton-Mitchell
Director, Washington Office
National Council of Churches/Church
World Service

Bishop Walter Sullivan, President
Pax Christi USA

Rev. Elenora Giddings Ivory, Director
Washington Office
Presbyterian Church (USA)

Karen M. Donahue, RSM
Issues Coordinator
Institute Justice Team
Sisters of Mercy of the Americas

Ann Rutan, CSJP, President,
Congregation of the Sisters of St.
Joseph of Peace

The Rev. Meg A. Riley, Director
Washington Office for Faith in Action
Unitarian Universalist Association of
Congregations

Ron Stief
Justice and Witness Ministries
United Church of Christ

Bishop Melvin G. Talbert
Ecumenical Officer, Council of Bishops
United Methodist Church

James Winkler, General Secretary
General Board of Church and Society
United Methodist Church

Bill Price
World Peacemakers

PDF file

Letter to President George W. Bush on Nuclear Weapons and Terrorism

October 23, 2001

The Honorable George W. Bush
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

The campaign against terrorism is raising new and important questions about the role and future of nuclear weapons in the global security framework of the 21st century. We would like to share with you our thinking on this matter.

First, we note that some of your advisors inside and outside of government favor using nuclear weapons against terrorist enclaves and against states that possess no nuclear weapons. Some advocate use of nuclear weapons in response to attacks by chemical and biological weapons. This would reverse the long-standing U.S. policy of using nuclear weapons primarily as a tool to deter other nuclear-weapon states. We believe that the policy of the United States should be no first use of nuclear weapons against any state, nuclear or non-nuclear, or against any other adversary at any time under any circumstance. We believe that such first use would be immoral and would constitute a crime against humanity. We also believe that nuclear weapons should never be used in response to an attack by biological and chemical weapons.

Second, we note that in January 2001 the Russia Task Force chaired by Howard Baker and Lloyd Cutler stated: "The most urgent unmet national security threat to the United States today is the danger that weapons of mass destruction or weapons-usable material in Russia could be stolen and sold to terrorists or hostile nation states and used against American troops or citizens at home." The September 11 terrorist attacks on the United States emphasize the importance of this finding. Therefore, we believe that a substantial portion of anti-terrorist funds should go for full implementation of the Baker-Cutler report. In terms of relative priority, we suggest that funds be transferred from the missile defense budget to this and other urgent non-proliferation initiatives.

Third, we believe that the improved relationship between the United States and Russia because of mutual concern over terrorism should be treated as an opportunity to make substantial progress in improving the security of deployed nuclear weapons and dismantling the nuclear arsenals still in place more than a decade after the Cold War ended. Specifically we ask you to implement your campaign promise to work with

Russia to de-alert and stand down the respective nuclear arsenals and to achieve deep cuts in strategic nuclear weapons. Not only will this enhance the security of the United States and Russia by lowering the possibility of accidental launch, it will also reduce the danger that a renegade group could gain control of nuclear weapon delivery vehicles and use them for terrorist attack on the United States.

We urge you to carry out these recommendations as a means of achieving a safer and more peaceful world in the 21st century.

Sincerely yours,

Signers:

[in box, columns, smaller type]

James Matlack, Director
Washington Office
American Friends Service Committee

Ken Sehested, Executive Director
Baptist Peace Fellowship of North
America

Greg Davidson Laszakovits, Coordinator
Church of the Brethren Washington
Office

Tiffany Heath
Washington, D.C. Legislative Office
Church Women United

Rev. Joel J. Heim, Ph.D., Moderator
Disciples Peace Fellowship

David Culp, Legislative Representative
Friends Committee on National
Legislation

The Rev. Mark B. Brown
Lutheran Office for Governmental Affairs
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America

Stan De Boe, OSST, Director
Office of Justice and Peace
Conference of Major Superiors of Men

Brenda Girton-Mitchell,
Associate General Secretary for Public
Witness
National Council of the Churches of
Christ in the USA

Marie Dennis
Maryknoll Office for Global Concerns

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with
Justice

(Ms.) Rabia Terri Harris, Coordinator
Muslim Peace Fellowship

Kathy Thorton, RSM, National
Coordinator
NETWORK: A National Catholic Social
Justice Lobby

Bishop Walter Sullivan, President
Bishop Tom Gumbleton, Former
President
Dave Robinson, National Coordinator
Pax Christi USA

Rev. Elenora Giddings Ivory, Director
Washington Office
Presbyterian Church (USA)

Mark J. Pelavin, Associate Director
Religious Action Center of Reform
Judaism

Andrew Greenblatt, Coordinator
Religious Leaders for Sensible Priorities

Sr. Ann Rutan, President
Sisters of St. Joseph of Peace

Rev. Meg A. Riley, Director
Washington Office for Faith in Action
Unitarian Universalist Association of
Congregations

James Winkler, General Secretary
General Board of Church and Society
United Methodist Church

PDF file

Letter to President George W. Bush on Nuclear Posture Review

March 15, 2002

The Honorable George W. Bush
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

We the undersigned representatives of religious organizations were encouraged by the meetings you and Russian President Vladimir Putin held last November in Washington and Texas. Together you told the world that the United States and Russia are now friends rather than military rivals. You each promised to make substantial reductions in strategic nuclear weapons. This follows through on your desire to move beyond the Cold War and its doctrine of mutual assured destruction (MAD). We look forward to your signing a specific agreement on strategic arms reductions when you meet in Moscow in May.

This gives us hope that substantial progress can be made toward the global elimination of nuclear weapons. This is the desire of numerous religious leaders and religious organizations in the United States and elsewhere. For example, 21 top religious leaders in the United States, joined by 18 military professionals, in a statement issued at the Washington National Cathedral in June 2000, proclaimed: **"We deeply believe that the long-term reliance on nuclear weapons in the arsenals of the nuclear powers, and the ever-present danger of their acquisition by others, is morally untenable and militarily unjustifiable....National security imperatives and ethical demands have converged to bring us to the necessity of outlawing and prohibiting nuclear weapons worldwide."**

From this perspective we are discouraged by what Pentagon planners have produced in the Nuclear Posture Review (NPR). We have several concerns we would like to share with you.

(1) Reductions. We commend the NPR commitment to reduce strategic nuclear weapons to 1,700 to 2,200 warheads along with the Russia commitment to reduce theirs to 1,500. This is a positive step in the right direction. Yet, we wonder why it should take ten years to accomplish. We ask that standing down of these warheads and their delivery vehicles be completed by 2004.

(2) Warhead reserve and the terrorist threat. The reduction in strategic weapons is compromised by the NPR plan to keep an estimated 1,500 warheads in an active reserve with their delivery systems intact for uploading. If the United States keeps so many warheads in reserve, Russia is likely to do the same. The more warheads that Russia has in reserve the greater the risk of some of them falling into the hands of terrorist organizations. The United States would be much better off to forgo a large warhead reserve and instead enter into a binding, verifiable agreement with Russia that requires elimination of both delivery vehicles and nuclear warheads taken out of service. This would follow the example of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed by President Ronald Reagan, and START I, signed by your father, President George H.W. Bush, both of which provided for the destruction of the delivery vehicles taken out of service.

(3) Mutual assured destruction. We are especially disappointed that the doctrine of mutual assured destruction remains intact in the Pentagon's Nuclear Posture Review. The NPR specifies that "preplanning is essential for immediate and potential contingencies". It indicates that "a contingency involving Russia, while plausible, is not expected." Nevertheless, the approximately 3,500 strategic warheads in active deployment and reserve are of sufficient magnitude to cover hundreds of targets in Russia, as they now do under the single integrated operational plan (SIOP). Thus, in actuality the MAD doctrine prevails.

(4) De-alerting. Not only is MAD continuing but also the practice of keeping large numbers of missiles on hair-trigger alert. During the presidential campaign you rightly told the American people that "for two nations at peace, keeping so many weapons on high alert may create unacceptable risks of accidental or unauthorized launch." You stated, "the United States should remove as many weapons as possible from high-alert, hair-trigger status -- another unnecessary vestige of Cold War confrontation." Yet, the Pentagon planners have made no provision for de-alerting in the Nuclear Posture Review. True friends do not keep nuclear weapons on hair-trigger alert targeted at each other. Therefore, we call for zero alert.

(5) Expanded role. The Pentagon plan expands the role of nuclear weapons beyond the primary role of deterring nuclear-weapon states from attacking the United States and its allies.

The Nuclear Posture Review speaks of flexibility for a range of contingencies. This includes immediate, potential, or unexpected contingencies involving North Korea, Iraq, Iran, Syria, and Libya. The NPR indicates that nuclear weapons could be employed against targets able to withstand non-nuclear attack or in retaliation for use of biological or chemical weapons. In contrast, previous U.S. policy specified no first use of nuclear weapons against any non-nuclear-weapon state not allied with a nuclear-weapon state. We are greatly disturbed that your administration wants to expand rather than contract the role of nuclear weapons in the 21st century.

(6) Testing. Our concern is reinforced by the approach to nuclear testing revealed in the Nuclear Posture Review. While we welcome reaffirmation of your commitment to a moratorium on nuclear weapons testing, we are bothered by the NPR's call for the Department of Energy to reduce the time it would take to resume testing. This goes with your opposition to ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT), a treaty we support. This is compounded by the NPR's indication that the current nuclear force is projected to remain until 2020 and that in the meantime the Department of Defense will "study alternatives for follow-ons" for nuclear delivery systems. Preparation to resume testing appears to be part of this scheme. This sounds like a commitment to nuclear weapons forever. We find this objectionable.

Therefore, Mr. President, we ask you to send the Nuclear Posture Review back to the drawing boards and have the Pentagon planners come up with a plan that will truly end the MAD doctrine and will steadily reduce the role of nuclear weapons in U.S. military and foreign policy. We propose that nuclear disarmament objectives be incorporated into the Nuclear Posture Review in accordance to the U.S. obligation under Article VI of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), signed originally by President Richard Nixon. As a point of departure, we call your attention to the practical steps contained in the Final Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference. Among

other things these practical steps set forth the principle of irreversibility and call for "an unequivocal undertaking by the nuclear-weapon States to accomplish the total elimination of their nuclear arsenals".

A revised Nuclear Posture Review along these lines would more nearly fulfill your goal of ending Cold War confrontation and achieving true friendship between the United States and Russia. **We urge you to exercise your presidential leadership in the direction of diminishing the role of nuclear weapons and eventually eliminating them from Earth.** As you do, we will do what we can to help build support with the American people.

With best regards, **Signers:**

[in box, columns, smaller type]

Jeanette Holt, Associate Director
Alliance of Baptists

James Matlack, Director
Washington Office
American Friends Service Committee

Rev. Ken Sehested, Executive Director
Baptist Peace Fellowship of North
America

Greg Davidson Laszakovits
Church of the Brethren Washington
Office

Tiffany Heath, Legislative Officer
Washington Office, Church Women
United

Lonnie Turner, Representative to the
Diplomatic/Business Community
Cooperative Baptist Fellowship

Rev. Mark B. Brown
Lutheran Office for Governmental Affairs
Division for Church in Society
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America

Rev. Joel J. Heim, Ph.D., Moderator
Disciples Peace Fellowship

Ronald J. Sider, President
Evangelicals for Social Action.

Joe Volk, Executive Secretary
Friends Committee on National
Legislation

Murray Polner, Chair
Jewish Peace Fellowship

Bro. Steven P. O'Neil, SM
Office of Justice & Peace
Marianists, New York Province

Rev. J. Daryl Byler, Director
Washington Office
Mennonite Central Committee, U.S.

Rev. Kathryn J. Johnson, Executive
Director
Methodist Federation for Social Action

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with
Justice

Brenda Girton-Mitchell
Director, Washington Office
National Council of the Churches of
Christ in the USA

Kathy Thornton, RSM
National Coordinator, NETWORK:
A National Catholic Social Justice Lobby

Bishop Walter Sullivan, President
Dave Robinson, National Coordinator
Pax Christi USA

Rev. Elenora Giddings Ivory
Director, Washington Office
Presbyterian Church (USA)

Andrew Greenblatt, Coordinator
Religious Leaders for Sensible Priorities

Duane Shank, Issues and Policy
Adviser
Sojourners

Ann Rutan, csjp, President
Sisters of St. Joseph of Peace

Pat Conover, Legislative Director
United Church of Christ
Justice and Witness Ministries

Meg Riley, Director
Washington Office for Faith in Action
Unitarian Universalist Association

Rev. James Winkler, General Secretary
General Board of Church and Society
United Methodist

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: rbyler@juno.com
Subject: A new web site
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 08:16:13 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====
_NextPart_000_0011_01C20DFB.977E89C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Ron Byler,

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, is creating a web site called www.zero-nukes.org. Its purposes are (a) to gather together statements by religious organizations, military leaders, and civil-sector organizations on nuclear disarmament and (b) to provide for dialogue on how to get to zero. Through Daryl Byler the Washington Office of the Mennonite Central Committee is one of the sponsors of the web site.

For the Religious Statements page I have draft the attached page about the Mennonite policy position. As part of the visual layout on the page, we would like to use the logo of the Mennonite Church USA, taken from your web site. Daryl suggests that I should ask you if this acceptable.

You can see our home page at www.zero-nukes.org. Within a few days we will have Religious Statements linked to this page. In the meantime you can see an initial draft at www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html.

This shows our use of logos.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Mennonite Church USA

[logo from www.mennonitechurchusa.org if permission granted]

Mennonite Church USA [www.mennonitechurchusa.org] with 116,000 members is known for its peace stand, taken because of the belief that Jesus Christ taught the way of peace. Many Mennonites choose not to participate in military service.

Mennonite Church USA is one of 15 sponsors of the **Mennonite Central Committee** [www.mcc.org], a relief, service, community development and peace agency of the North American Mennonite and Brethren in Christ churches.

In 1981 the biennial General Assembly of the Mennonite Church (one of two predecessor bodies of Mennonite Church USA) adopted a **Resolution on Security and the Current World Arms Race**, which noted:

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

PDF document

[indented, in box like Holy See model]

Resolution on Security and the Current World Arms Race

As members of the Mennonite Church in the United States and Canada, we have gathered in biennial General Assembly in Bowling Green, Ohio, August 11-16, 1981, to affirm and celebrate our hope in Jesus Christ. In worship, prayer, and mutual deliberations we have sought the mind of Christ.

While celebrating our hope we are also aware of a growing despair in the world due to the escalation of the arms race. The development of new nuclear weapons proceeds amidst a political mood which is apparently ready to use them. Many are now saying that the question is no longer if nuclear weapons will be used, but when. The probable resulting devastation to human life is beyond comprehension.

Two years ago this Assembly adopted a statement on militarism and conscription. In deploring the arms race observed then, the 1979 Assembly called our members and all people to trust in God rather than military technology, urging the church to “plead and pray for reversal of the world’s collision course in manufacturing and deploying the most destructive weapons in all of history.” The need for prayer is even more urgent today.

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We also feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

God's commandment that we love our neighbors motivates us to oppose those things that would destroy them. The false god of military security must be challenged by our witness to the sole source of salvation in Jesus Christ. To express our nonresistant faith in the context of the current arms race, we encourage our congregations and members to practice the following forms of faithfulness:

1. To pray regularly for national leaders who have in their hands the fateful power of nuclear weapons.
2. To become informed about the potential of nuclear war and the policies that move in that direction. We encourage congregations to find ways to study the issue and clarify their witness. Conference and church wide peace committees can suggest helpful resources.
3. To declare our readiness, in the light of our faith in Christ, to live without nuclear weapons in our countries. The World Peace Pledge is one way to record and share this testimony.
4. To enter into discussion and study with other Christians in our communities. Many Christians who have not fully considered the way of love are asking questions about the meaning of the biblical message for issues of war and peace. As an aid for such discussions, we commend the New Abolitionist Covenant, which raises the faith question in regard to nuclear weapons in a manner similar to the way Christians two centuries ago began to question slavery in the light of the Word of God.
5. To invite men and women, including government leaders to that saving faith in Jesus Christ which provides ultimate security and frees from fear.
6. To consider ways to witness to the decision making process in our governments, urging alternatives to military confrontation and supporting the use of scarce resources for human need rather than armaments.

We confess that we have sometimes compromised our loyalty to the Prince of Peace by our silence in the face of preparations for war. We commit ourselves anew to Jesus Christ and the gospel of peace, sharing that gospel by our words and our consistent living as God's people. We resolve in all our relationships to witness to Christ's

reconciling love through proclamation of His good news, through ministries of service, and through sacrificial sharing of our resources.

Our hope is in the gospel; our strength is in God. We rely upon the promise found in Zechariah 4:6: Not by might, nor by power, but by my Spirit, says the Lord of Hosts.

Status: U

Return-Path: <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org ([208.161.156.2])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17gkIf4i63Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 7 Jun 2002 10:27:35 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org by tweety.umpublishing.org

via smtpd (for nils.mail.mindspring.net [207.69.200.56]) with SMTP; 7 Jun 2002 14:19:13 UT

Received: by tweety.umpublishing.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <MGTCYVN1>; Fri, 7 Jun 2002 09:24:32 -0500

Message-ID: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372748@tweety.umpublishing.org>

From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

To: "Carlee L. Hallman (E-mail)" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Howard Photos

Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 09:24:32 -0500

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain

Howard, who took the photos that you sent to me? I need to be able to give proper credit on the article. We are also considering using one of them for the cover. Thanks

Marvin Cropsey

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
References: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372748@tweety.umpublishing.org>
Subject: Re: Howard Photos
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 10:39:10 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marvin,

I am the photographer.

I never sent you a one sentence bio for the article. You can say:
"Howard W. Hallman is a peace activist who likes team sports."

Shalom,
Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
To: "Carlee L. Hallman (E-mail)" <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Friday, June 07, 2002 10:24 AM
Subject: Howard Photos

> Howard, who took the photos that you sent to me? I need to be able to give
> proper credit on the article. We are also considering using one of them
for
> the cover. Thanks
>
> Marvin Cropsey

Status: U

Return-Path: <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org ([208.161.156.2])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17glYI42y3Nl3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 7 Jun 2002 11:48:39 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org by tweety.umpublishing.org

via smtpd (for bissell.mail.mindspring.net [207.69.200.198]) with SMTP; 7 Jun 2002 15:40:17 UT

Received: by tweety.umpublishing.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <MGTCYW CJ>; Fri, 7 Jun 2002 10:45:36 -0500

Message-ID: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372749@tweety.umpublishing.org>

From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: RE: Howard Photos

Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 10:45:36 -0500

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain

Howard, there are two photos of yourself, one batting and the other standing to the camera. Who took those photos?

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Howard W. Hallman [SMTP:mupj@igc.org]

> Sent: Friday, June 07, 2002 9:39 AM

> To: Cropsey, Marvin

> Subject: Re: Howard Photos

>

> Marvin,

>

> I am the photographer.

>

> I never sent you a one sentence bio for the article. You can say:

> "Howard W. Hallman is a peace activist who likes team sports."

>

> Shalom,

> Howard

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

> To: "Carlee L. Hallman (E-mail)" <mupj@igc.org>

> Sent: Friday, June 07, 2002 10:24 AM

> Subject: Howard Photos

>

>

>> Howard, who took the photos that you sent to me? I need to be able to

>> give

>> proper credit on the article. We are also considering using one of them

>> for

>> the cover. Thanks

>>

>> Marvin Cropsey

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: <bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org>, thart@episcopalchurch.org
Subject: Episcopal statement for web site
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 11:53:44 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0011_01C20E19.FA9A9080"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Brian and Tom,

To help achieve full coverage of religious statements on nuclear disarmament for our new web page, www.zero-nukes.org, I have drafted the attachment statement related to the Episcopal Church. It refers in particular to the resolution on total nuclear disarmament, adopted by your General Convention in 1997.

Is my introductory paragraph okay? Would it be all right to use the logo of the Episcopal Church as an identifying symbol? (We are doing this with other churches.) Is there any other statement we should refer to, especially if it is on your web site?

To see what we have prepared so far, you can go our home page at www.zero-nukes.org. Religious statements will be linked to the home page within a few days. In the interim you can view the ones we have so far at [www:zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html).

I'm still hoping that the Episcopal Church or one of your offices will become a sponsor of the web page.

Shalom,

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Episcopal Church

[logo]

The Episcopal Church has 2.3 million members and 7,359 local congregations in the United States. It is governed by a bicameral General Convention consisting of a House of Bishops and a House of Delegates. It meets every three years. A 38-member Executive Council is the interim governing body. An elected Presiding Bishop serves as primate.

In 1997 the 72nd General Convention adopted a resolution on

Status: U

Return-Path: <egbert14pj@yahoo.com>

Received: from web11104.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.131.151])

by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17grdN1bH3NI3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 7 Jun 2002 17:24:35 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020607212430.4439.qmail@web11104.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [199.245.22.83] by web11104.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Fri, 07 Jun 2002 14:24:30 PDT

Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 14:24:30 -0700 (PDT)

From: Egbert Lawrence <egbert14pj@yahoo.com>

Subject: invitation from Larry Egbert

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,

The Unitarian Universalist Washington Office recently moved. We are having a house warming next Monday at 5 PM and would love to have you attend and shake hands with other religious types who know the UUs.

We are at 1320 - 18th street, suite 300B. I hope you can attend.

PEACE! Larry

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! - Official partner of 2002 FIFA World Cup

<http://fifaworldcup.yahoo.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Egbert Lawrence" <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
References: <20020607212430.4439.qmail@web11104.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: invitation from Larry Egbert
Date: Sun, 9 Jun 2002 14:41:58 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Larry,

Do you mean Monday, June 10 or June 17. I'd like to attend.

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Egbert Lawrence" <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Friday, June 07, 2002 5:24 PM
Subject: invitation from Larry Egbert

> Howard,
> The Unitarian Universalist Washington Office
> recently moved. We are having a house warming next
> Monday at 5 PM and would love to have you attend and
> shake hands with other religious types who know the
> UUs.
> We are at 1320 - 18th street, suite 300B. I hope
> you can attend.
> PEACE! Larry
>
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! - Official partner of 2002 FIFA World Cup
> <http://fifaworldcup.yahoo.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <hseeunny@superlink.net>
Subject: A new web page on nuclear disarmament
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:17:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

To: The Most Reverend Archbishop Martino

Your Excellency:

We are grateful to you for your consistent voice speaking for the elimination of nuclear weapons.

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, has created a new web site, www.zero-nukes.org. Its purposes are to (1) serve as a depository for statements related to nuclear disarmament by religious organizations, civil-sector organizations, and military leaders and (2) provide a means for dialogue on practical steps for achieving zero nuclear weapons.

In the Religious Statements page in a section on the Holy See we want to include the statement "There Has Been No Regression" by Monsignor Chullikat to the 2002 NPT PrepCom and your statement "Nuclear Weapons Cannot Be Justified and Deserve Condemnation" to the United Nations' First Committee in 1997. Although these statements seem to be in the public domain, we want to touch base with you as we go on line with them.

At the moment these statements are on a draft web page:
<http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html>. Within a few days they should be accessible through our home page: www.zero-nukes.org.

We would appreciate having your office review this site and telling us if have presented the views of the Holy See fairly. We took the quotation of Pope John Paul II from Monsignor Chullikat's statement. Are there other statements from the Holy Father on nuclear disarmament that we should draw upon? Are there any other major policy statements from the Holy See that we should include?

You will note that we found a photograph of you speaking at the United Nations. Would we be able to obtain a photograph of Monsignor Chullikat, either in digital form or as a photo print? If the latter, it can be sent to me at Methodists United for Peace with Justice, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

With best regards,

Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <hseeunny@superlink.net>
Subject: A new web page on nuclear disarmament
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:17:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

To: The Most Reverend Archbishop Martino

Your Excellency:

We are grateful to you for your consistent voice speaking for the elimination of nuclear weapons.

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, has created a new web site, www.zero-nukes.org. Its purposes are to (1) serve as a depository for statements related to nuclear disarmament by religious organizations, civil-sector organizations, and military leaders and (2) provide a means for dialogue on practical steps for achieving zero nuclear weapons.

In the Religious Statements page in a section on the Holy See we want to include the statement "There Has Been No Regression" by Monsignor Chullikat to the 2002 NPT PrepCom and your statement "Nuclear Weapons Cannot Be Justified and Deserve Condemnation" to the United Nations' First Committee in 1997. Although these statements seem to be in the public domain, we want to touch base with you as we go on line with them.

At the moment these statements are on a draft web page:
<http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html>. Within a few days they should be accessible through our home page: www.zero-nukes.org.

We would appreciate having your office review this site and telling us if have presented the views of the Holy See fairly. We took the quotation of Pope John Paul II from Monsignor Chullikat's statement. Are there other statements from the Holy Father on nuclear disarmament that we should draw upon? Are there any other major policy statements from the Holy See that we should include?

You will note that we found a photograph of you speaking at the United Nations. Would we be able to obtain a photograph of Monsignor Chullikat, either in digital form or as a photo print? If the latter, it can be sent to me at Methodists United for Peace with Justice, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

With best regards,

Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <support@lighthost.com>
Subject: Opening mail boxes
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:18:35 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Support:

For our web site, www.zero-nukes.org, we want to open two e-mail boxes:
? moderator@zero-nukes.org
? proposals@zero-nukes.org

Please provide instructions for retrieving and sending messages for these addresses.

Thanks,
Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: rbyler@juno.com

Received: from m4.jersey.juno.com ([64.136.22.67]) by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17hp0D3qy3NI3p40 for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 09:14:59 -0400 (EDT)Received: from cookie.juno.com by cookie.juno.com for <"NaQv0SBDqletjdAVRI85wVKA0NG6jm2DxJNF30eCNEEnQa+bGT0kA8g==">

Received: (from rbyler@juno.com)

by m4.jersey.juno.com (jqueuemail) id G4XRLXGJ; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 09:13:58 EDT

To: mupj@igc.org

Cc: SusanML@mennoniteusa.org

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 08:04:56 -0500

Subject: Fw: A new web site

Message-ID: 20020610.081342.-399781.3.rbyler@juno.com

X-Mailer: Juno 5.0.27

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=--__JNP_000_325e.5cfe.18d2

X-Juno-Line-Breaks: 9-6,7-8,14-31,33-37,39-41,43-44,46-48,50,52-66,67-32767

From: "J.Ron Byler" rbyler@juno.com

Howard,

(copy to Susan Mark Landis)

I am asking Susan Mark Landis, Peace Advocate for the Mennonite Church USA Executive Board, to review these materials and respond to you more fully. I welcome your use of the Mennonite Church USA logo with our statements, but I think there may be more recent information to include as well. Please feel free to talk directly to Susan.

SusanML@MennoniteUSA.org).

Ron

J.Ron Byler

Associate Executive Director

Mennonite Church USA Executive Board

(574) 294-7523 (fax: 293-1892)

rbyler@juno.com (www.MennoniteChurchUSA.org)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <rbyler@juno.com>
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 08:16:13 -0400
Subject: A new web site
Message-ID: <001801c20e1d\$4cf41420\$096ef7a5@default>

Dear Ron Byler,

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, is creating a web site called www.zero-nukes.org. Its purposes are (a) to gather together statements by religious organizations, military leaders, and civil-sector organizations on nuclear disarmament and (b) to provide for dialogue on how to get to zero. Through Daryl Byler the Washington Office of the Mennonite Central Committee is one of the sponsors of the web site.

For the Religious Statements page I have draft the attached page about the Mennonite policy position. As part of the visual layout on the page, we would like to use the logo of the Mennonite Church USA, taken from your web site. Daryl suggests that I should ask you if this acceptable.

You can see our home page at www.zero-nukes.org. Within a few days we will have Religious Statements linked to this page. In the meantime you can see an initial draft at www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html.

This shows our use of logos.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Mennonite Church USA

[logo from www.mennonitechurchusa.org if permission granted]

Mennonite Church USA [www.mennonitechurchusa.org] with 116,000 members is known for its peace stand, taken because of the belief that Jesus Christ taught the way of peace. Many Mennonites choose not to participate in military service.

Mennonite Church USA is one of 15 sponsors of the **Mennonite Central Committee** [www.mcc.org], a relief, service, community development and peace agency of the North American Mennonite and Brethren in Christ churches.

In 1981 the biennial General Assembly of the Mennonite Church (one of two predecessor bodies of Mennonite Church USA) adopted a **Resolution on Security and the Current World Arms Race**, which noted:

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

PDF document

[indented, in box like Holy See model]

Resolution on Security and the Current World Arms Race

As members of the Mennonite Church in the United States and Canada, we have gathered in biennial General Assembly in Bowling Green, Ohio, August 11-16, 1981, to affirm and celebrate our hope in Jesus Christ. In worship, prayer, and mutual deliberations we have sought the mind of Christ.

While celebrating our hope we are also aware of a growing despair in the world due to the escalation of the arms race. The development of new nuclear weapons proceeds amidst a political mood which is apparently ready to use them. Many are now saying that the question is no longer if nuclear weapons will be used, but when. The probable resulting devastation to human life is beyond comprehension.

Two years ago this Assembly adopted a statement on militarism and conscription. In deploring the arms race observed then, the 1979 Assembly called our members and all people to trust in God rather than military technology, urging the church to “plead and pray for reversal of the world’s collision course in manufacturing and deploying the most destructive weapons in all of history.” The need for prayer is even more urgent today.

We seek to follow Christ in refusal to prepare for war or take life for any reason and do not seek security in weapons. We also feel called at this time to a particular witness against nuclear weapons because of the enormous consequences of decisions confronting world leaders regarding the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons.

God's commandment that we love our neighbors motivates us to oppose those things that would destroy them. The false god of military security must be challenged by our witness to the sole source of salvation in Jesus Christ. To express our nonresistant faith in the context of the current arms race, we encourage our congregations and members to practice the following forms of faithfulness:

1. To pray regularly for national leaders who have in their hands the fateful power of nuclear weapons.
2. To become informed about the potential of nuclear war and the policies that move in that direction. We encourage congregations to find ways to study the issue and clarify their witness. Conference and church wide peace committees can suggest helpful resources.
3. To declare our readiness, in the light of our faith in Christ, to live without nuclear weapons in our countries. The World Peace Pledge is one way to record and share this testimony.
4. To enter into discussion and study with other Christians in our communities. Many Christians who have not fully considered the way of love are asking questions about the meaning of the biblical message for issues of war and peace. As an aid for such discussions, we commend the New Abolitionist Covenant, which raises the faith question in regard to nuclear weapons in a manner similar to the way Christians two centuries ago began to question slavery in the light of the Word of God.
5. To invite men and women, including government leaders to that saving faith in Jesus Christ which provides ultimate security and frees from fear.
6. To consider ways to witness to the decision making process in our governments, urging alternatives to military confrontation and supporting the use of scarce resources for human need rather than armaments.

We confess that we have sometimes compromised our loyalty to the Prince of Peace by our silence in the face of preparations for war. We commit ourselves anew to Jesus Christ and the gospel of peace, sharing that gospel by our words and our consistent living as God's people. We resolve in all our relationships to witness to Christ's

reconciling love through proclamation of His good news, through ministries of service, and through sacrificial sharing of our resources.

Our hope is in the gospel; our strength is in God. We rely upon the promise found in Zechariah 4:6: Not by might, nor by power, but by my Spirit, says the Lord of Hosts.

Status: U

Return-Path: <sentto-4736742-69-1023723915-mupj=igc.org@returns.groups.yahoo.com>

Received: from n39.grp.scd.yahoo.com ([66.218.66.107])

by walker.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17hrm41Whx3NI3s70
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:45:16 -0400 (EDT)

X-eGroups-Return: sentto-4736742-69-1023723915-mupj=igc.org@returns.groups.yahoo.com

Received: from [66.218.66.94] by n39.grp.scd.yahoo.com with NNFMP; 10 Jun 2002 15:45:15 -0000

X-Sender: Janice.Vera@cliffordchance.com

X-Apparently-To: interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com

Received: (EGP: mail-8_0_3_2); 10 Jun 2002 15:45:10 -0000

Received: (qmail 33805 invoked from network); 10 Jun 2002 15:45:10 -0000

Received: from unknown (66.218.66.218)

by m1.grp.scd.yahoo.com with QMQP; 10 Jun 2002 15:45:10 -0000

Received: from unknown (HELO nyc-msg-300.cliffordchance.com) (206.67.130.66)

by mta3.grp.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; 10 Jun 2002 15:45:10 -0000

Received: from nyc-msg-200.ny.us.cliffordchance.com (nyc-msg-200 [172.16.8.225]) by nyc-msg-300.cliffordchance.com with SMTP (Microsoft Exchange Internet Mail Service Version 5.5.2653.13)
id L7BKAVGZ; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:45:51 -0400

Received: from nyc-msg-200.ny.us.cliffordchance.com (unverified) by nyc-msg-200.ny.us.cliffordchance.com
(Content Technologies SMTPRS 4.2.1) with ESMTP id <T5b663b8f60ac1008e10de@nyc-msg-200.ny.us.cliffordchance.com>;

Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:40:03 -0400

Received: by NYC-MSG-200.NY.US.CliffordChance.com with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <LC5V8GFA>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:40:03 -0400

Message-ID: <BFF9C681D030D411968500508BCFC72E0ADDF0A3@nyc-msg-11.NY.RW.COM>

To: dancryer@aol.com, douglas.cotts@att.net, darcole@hotmail.com,
jelsunny@aol.com, pla8341@hotmail.com, mlpcc@cunyum.cuny.edu

Cc: dweiner@uaa.org, interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

X-eGroups-From: Janice.Vera@CliffordChance.com

From: guy.quinlan@cliffordchance.com

MIME-Version: 1.0

Mailing-List: list interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com; contact interfaithnd-owner@yahoogroups.com

Delivered-To: mailing list interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com

Precedence: bulk

List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:interfaithnd-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:39:48 -0400

Subject: [interfaithnd] Nuclear Posture Review/Action of Immediate Witness

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

On behalf of Guy C. Quinlan:

Greetings all,

Attached for everyone's comments is a first draft

Also, the Jonathan Schell/Nation Institute event is this Wednesday,
June 12, 7:00P.M. at the Ethical Culture Society, Central Park West at 64th
St.

<<NYA_540645_1.DOC>>

Status: U

Return-Path: <nationpublicity@yahoo.com>

Received: from igcb.igc.apc.org ([192.82.108.46])

by hazard.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17htMd7hL3NkYBY0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 14:20:25 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from web21508.mail.yahoo.com (web21508.mail.yahoo.com [66.163.169.19])

by igcb.igc.apc.org (8.9.3/8.9.3) with SMTP id LAA06867
for <mupj@igc.apc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:20:24 -0700 (PDT)

Message-ID: <20020610182024.51114.qmail@web21508.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [209.212.72.11] by web21508.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:20:24 PDT

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 11:20:24 -0700 (PDT)

From: Nation Publicity <nationpublicity@yahoo.com>

Subject: An Urgent Call for Ending Threats of Mass Destruction

To: nationpublicity@yahoo.com

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Dear Friends and Anti-nuclear activists,

Nearly twenty years after one million people gathered in Central Park in New York City on June 12 to demand a freeze of the nuclear arms race, many believe that the time has come again for the public to make its voice heard in protest against the direction of nuclear policies, and are therefore issuing an Urgent Call for Ending Threats of Mass Destruction.

The Nation is proud to feature the full text of this Urgent Call in the most recent issue of the magazine. You can also read the Call in its entirety at:

<http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20020624&s=schell2>

There are numerous ways you can help pull the world back from the brink of nuclear insanity: Sign the Call, make a tax-deductible donation to help disseminate the Call, present the Call in your local community, email this note to everyone you know, and join up with one of the many terrific groups working toward the goal of complete nuclear abolition.

To sign the Call, and for much more info, go to:

<http://urgentcall.org>

And check out The Nation's compilation of some of the major and not-so-major groups working in the field, including who they are, what they're doing, and how you can help. All available at:

<http://www.thenation.com/special/20020606call.mhtml>

As one of its chief signatories and author of the seminal antinuclear text *The Fate of the Earth*, Nation Institute Fellow Jonathan Schell explains the Call's necessity in an accompanying Nation essay detailing the growing nuclear perils of our age. Read this essay now at:

<http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20020624&s=schell2>

As Schell explains, the cloud of nuclear danger is blacker at this moment than at any time since the Cuban missile crisis. Along the Line of Control in Kashmir, one million soldiers confront one another across the Indian/Pakistan border in the world's greatest military mobilization since World War II.

Writing from New Delhi, author Praful Bidwai offers possible ways out of this potential confrontation in a new Nation editorial, available now at:

<http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20020624&s=pbidwai>

And in a special web report, famed Indian writer Arundhati Roy issues a rare statement of the most basic sanity and humanity in a world in which mad has come to mean not individual anger or raziness but mutually assured destruction.

Read this powerful essay now at:

<http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=special&s=roy20020604>

And after reading that, get involved in one of the upcoming nationwide antinuclear marches, protests and events.

One of the largest is expected in NYC next Wednesday. On the occasion of the twentieth anniversary of the historic June 12, 1982 demonstration in Central Park, long-time disarmament activists Randall Forsberg, David Cortright and Cora Weiss join other former SANE/Freeze organizers to spark a new movement to abolish nuclear weapons. Speakers include Rep. Dennis Kucinich, Grace Paley, Ossie Davis, Ruby Dee and Jonathan Schell, among many others.

Society for Ethical Culture
64th Street and Central Park West
June 12, 7:00pm

FREE

For info, call 212-870-2304, or go to:

http://www.urgentcall.org/php/new_june12pr.php

And for info on other related events, check out The Nation's special antinuclear events calendar at:

<http://www.thenation.com/special/2002june12.mhtml>

Finally, please check out The Nation's special antinuclear archive of articles, essays, editorials and columns featuring material all originally published in the pages of The Nation.

Featured pieces include Albert Einstein's 1931 plea for disarmament at a time when the destructive power of the atom had yet to be unleashed, then-Nation editor Freda Kirchwey's 1945 meditation on the bomb and the Cold War, The Nation editors' comments on the 1982 peace march, E.L.Doctorow's 1995 essay on mythologizing the bomb and Schell's classic 1998 call The Gift of Time, among many other selections.

All this and much more currently available at:

<http://www.thenation.com/special/nukearchive.mhtml>

The goal of nuclear abolition is ambitious. Many say that it's unrealistic. But a nuclear revival is clearly under way. And without a revival of nuclear protest in turn, all of our days may literally be numbered. So, please try to get involved today.

Best Regards,
Peter Rothberg, Associate Publisher
Mica Rosenberg, Publicity

If you need and further information or have any questions feel free to contact Mica Rosenberg at (212)209-5426 or via email at nationpublicity@yahoo.com.

P.S. If you like what you read on The Nation website and you're not currently a subscriber to the magazine, please consider taking advantage of our special offer -- only \$35.97 for 47 weekly issues in print. It's the only way to be able to read ALL of what appears in The Nation week after week.

This special offer exclusively available at:

<https://ssl.thenation.com/usa.mhtml>

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! - Official partner of 2002 FIFA World Cup

<http://fifaworldcup.yahoo.com>

Status: U

Return-Path: <JPAnet@ucc.org>

Received: from webshield ([67.105.176.67])

by walker.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17hwrz24kv3Nl3s70
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 17:11:15 -0400 (EDT)

Received: FROM UCCLN2.ucc.org BY webshield ; Mon Jun 10 17:11:10 2002 -0400

Received: by UCCLN2.ucc.org(Lotus SMTP MTA Internal build v4.6.2 (651.2 6-10-1998)) id 85256BD4.00729BD8
; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:51:50 -0400

X-Lotus-FromDomain: UCC

From: JPAnet@ucc.org

Message-ID: <85256BD4.0070EE24.1C4@UCCLN2.ucc.org>

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:33:25 -0400

Subject: Weekly Message #22, UCC Justice & Peace Action Network:

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

Weekly Message #22, UCC Justice & Peace Action Network:
OPPOSE ADVANCEMENT IN U.S. NUCLEAR WEAPONS

The House of Representatives recently passed a Department of Energy appropriations bill that includes an appropriation to begin research on a new Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator nuclear weapon commonly called the "bunker buster." This includes authorization to ready the Nevada testing grounds for a new round of nuclear weapons testing. The Senate Armed Services Committee recently voted to cut all funds (about \$15 million) for the development of a "bunker buster" bomb. The issue will soon be before the full Senate where additional action on this issue is likely.

The bunker buster would be used as a first strike nuclear weapon against deeply dug-in command posts, such as might be found in Iraq. While such a weapon might be of some marginal military advantage, there are other ways to attack command posts and this is a weapon that promises to restart the nuclear arms race, a prospect likely to worsen the security of the United States and of other nations. Like all nuclear weapons this would be a dirty weapon. If it was used against Iraq it might not have devastating radiation effects on the U.S. homeland but it could do great damage to many nations in the Middle East, leaving a lasting legacy of radiation pollution to poison our already difficult relations in that area. For decades the nuclear arms race has been significantly restrained by the promises that no nation with nuclear weapons would use nuclear weapons against a nation that had no nuclear weapons. Such a policy was part of the logic of building a threat of retaliation but not using advantage to threaten others.

The last decades have also seen the severe reduction of nuclear weapons testing of any kind. If the United States unilaterally

begins new nuclear testing it will have little moral ground for complaining about such testing by other nations. Though the value of a "bunker buster" is trivial for military purposes, it would revive new rounds of initiatives from the nuclear weapons sector of the military-industrial complex, destabilize hard-won nuclear non-proliferation treaties and understandings, and generally direct the United States toward further focus on military solutions and the encouragement of military adventurism instead of directing attention to building up the structures of peace and the reduction of threats around the world.

In theological terms, the bunker buster is an expression of military arrogance rather than the humility required for respecting opponents and emphasizing peace-building initiatives. The United Church of Christ has named itself a Just Peace Church, declaring in General Synod 15 that "A Just Peace is grounded in the community of reconciliation: the Just Peace Church. Jesus, who is our peace (Ephesians 2:14), performed signs of forgiveness and healing and made manifest that God's reign is for those who are in need. The church is a continuation of that servant manifestation. As a Just Peace Church, we embody a Christ fully engaged in human events. The church is thus a real countervailing power to those forces that divide, that perpetuate human enmity and injustice, and that destroy."

Please contact your senators and urge them to oppose all resumption of nuclear weapons testing, to oppose any first strike use of nuclear weapons, and to oppose any work toward building a "bunker buster" nuclear weapon.

The Capitol Switchboard number is 224-3121 and you can find your senators email address at www.senate.gov.

This weekly message is sent by email each week for use in church newsletters and bulletins the following Sunday when Congress is in session. To add names to this weekly advocacy service, for more information, or to remove you name from the list, reply to JPAnet@ucc.org. For additional information about the UCC Justice and Peace Action Network, log on to the UCC website at www.ucc.org/justice.

Status: U
Return-Path: <CAtkins@UMCOM.ORG>
Received: from umcom_exchange.UMCOM.WEB ([64.221.242.66])
by kendall.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17hwHj7JO3Nl3pM0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 17:27:33 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by umcom_exchange.UMCOM.WEB with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <MJNRNLQW>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:24:35 -0500
Message-ID: <32A7795D3CD2CB41A60A95961B288062CB256D@umcom_exchange.UMCOM.WEB>
From: "Atkins, Carol" <CAtkins@UMCOM.ORG>
To: "'mupj@igc.org'" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: UMC STATS - INFOSERV RESPONSE
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 16:24:35 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

I hope that this is helpful.

5-6-02

CENTRAL CONFERENCES

In Central Conferences: (These figures are from the 2000 United Methodists
Are... insert.)

Total full members.....1,315,882

Total lay members.....1,312,707

Total ordained members.. .3,175

5-6-02

MEMBERSHIP BY COUNTRY [Source: 2000 General Minutes, page 26. Each figure
below is a sum of the total Full Lay Members plus Clergy, both ordained and
Local Pastors. These figures were reported at the end of 1999 unless
otherwise noted.]:

AFRICA

Angola 82,801

Burundi (no data available)

Kenya (no data available)

Rwanda (no data available)

Sudan (no data available)

Uganda (no data available)

[The East Africa conference in which Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Sudan, Uganda
exist did not report.]

Mozambique 46,192

Democratic Republic of Congo 634,259

Zimbabwe 37,951

Liberia 139,865

Nigeria 155,086

Sierra Leone 85,251

EUROPE

Austria 788

Bulgaria 1,617

Czech & Slovak Republics 2,532

[Czech Republic 1,850 and Slovak Republic 765. SEE note * below.]

Hungary 2,017

Poland 3,231

Switzerland-France 9,303

[Switzerland 7,994 and France 916. SEE *NOTE below.]

Yugoslavia-Macedonia 2,518

[Yugoslavia 1,500 and Macedonia 1,300. See *NOTE below.]

Germany 39,545

Denmark 1,470

Estonia 2,517

Finland 1,281

Norway 5,356

Sweden 3,794

Russia 4,990

[*NOTE: These figures noted with an asterisk come from the office of the Bishop of the Central and Southern Europe Episcopal Area. They are 1999 figures, but are different from GCFA's figures. Hence, when they are added, they will not equal the GCFA figures.]

ASIA

Philippines 57,342

(Of the 18 conferences in the Philippines, only 6 reported.)

LATIN AMERICA

(No UM churches in Latin America and Central America. The Methodist denominations in those areas are autonomous from The United Methodist Church although they have a historical and ecumenical relationship with The UMC.)

NORTH AMERICA (Mostly the USA, a few churches in Guam, a US territory)

USA 8,377,888

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13901.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.27])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17hA8H3z13Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 21:08:03 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020611010804.72101.qmail@web13901.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [209.244.81.57] by web13901.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 10 Jun 2002 18:08:04 PDT

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 18:08:04 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: How to Get to Zero

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <001901c20d7e\$42f9c220\$7c53f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1052632127-1023757684=:71061"

--0-1052632127-1023757684=:71061

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi,

I did not get your message until late this evening. I made the changes to the Religious Statement page but I did not know where to add the ICND section.

Regards,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

How to Get to Zero is looking good. It helps to raise the two quotes. The members' names in a box works well. Later I'm going to add list of members of other commissions in the same manner.

On the Religious Statements page you indicated "top of page" after each entry. Do you want to do this on this page?

I have two further refinements to suggest. After these are made you may put the page on line.

1. The introductory paragraph I wrote is too wordy. Cut out the first sentence. Amend the second sentence to read (in italic):

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:

The first, second, and fourth bullets are okay. Amend the third bullet to read:

Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and ordinary citizens for getting to zero.

2. Add a section on How to Submit Your Ideas. I suggested this in my previous attachment. Here I'm changing the wording.

a. In the contents listing at the top of the page, after INTERIM MEASURES add:

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS

b. At the end of the page after the Interim Measures section, add a new section, as follows:

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament invites you who have an interest in achieving the elimination of nuclear weapons to offer your ideas for achieving this goal. You can present a scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim measures.

Send your ideas to proposals@zero-nukes.org. To facilitate the process, please send them as Word attachments or similar format. We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through [Your Feedback](#).

[linkage to that page]

c. You can link this section to the entry on the home page.

d. As this goes on line, we will need to activate proposals@zero-nukes.org (as well as moderator@zero-nukes.org). You can help me on this.

Shalom,

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020611010804.72101.qmail@web13901.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: ICND
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2002 21:48:31 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_003B_01C210C8.90139020"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_003B_01C210C8.90139020
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,=20

On the contents page "Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament" =
goes after Conference of European Churches instead of "Interfaith and =
Ecumenical". The actual the entree for ICND goes after the 1998 NPT =
PrepCom section, as now set up.

Howard

Original Message -----=20
From: Marie Kayser=20
To: Howard W. Hallman=20
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2002 9:08 PM
Subject: Re: How to Get to Zero

Hi,=20

I did not get your message until late this evening. I made the =
changes to the Religious Statement page but I did not know where to add =
the ICND section.=20

Regards,
Marie=20

Status: U

Return-Path: <enewport@wesleysem.edu>

Received: from wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu ([63.124.223.7])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17hMDm46X3Nl3p20
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 10:28:31 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <1J87WWV2>; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 10:27:18 -0400

Message-ID: <DC1977460103D311B0DE0060943F439FC51B36@wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu>

From: "NEWPORT, ERICA" <enewport@wesleysem.edu>

To: "mupj@igc.org" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: the Nuclear Reduction/Disarmament Initiative

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 10:27:16 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain

This is just a reminder that this Friday is Wendy's event here at Wesley
Theological Seminary. Please give me a call if you are able to attend:
Erica Newport (202) 885-8648

<<...OLE_Obj...>>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <hallman@zero-nukes.org>
Subject: Test 5
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2002 16:42:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

The fifth test.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: "Marie Kayser" marie_kayser@yahoo.com
Subject: Religious editing
Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 12:02:54 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0009_01C2113F.EB9AD580"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

The attachment Religious editing.03 that I sent a few minutes ago wasn't my final version. The one attached here is the correct version.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

To: Marie

Re: Further Editing of Religious Statements

1. Under INTERFAITH AND ECUMENICAL, strike "Interfaith and Ecumenical" and substitute Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament.
2. World Council of Churches. The inset " that the production and deployment..." should be in non-italic type.
3. Religious Statement to 1998 NPT PrepCom. At the beginning, fix it so that a click on "Act Now....." and "A Spiritual...." moves to those statements.
4. 1999 Parliament of the World's Religions. (a) Put in italic the last words of the introductory paragraph: *Among other elements The Call states:*
(b) Divide the quote into two separate sentences, each preceded by a bullet (arrow). Strike the extra periods at the end of the first sentence. Such as:
 - The threat and use of nuclear weapons...
 - We say that a peace...
- 5.. Nuclear Reductions/Disarmament Initiative. Insert photo from news conference. Use smaller type for caption under photo.
6. Last entree under Interfaith and Ecumenical (in brown) isn't "Interfaith and Ecumenical". It is "Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament", which I sent you previously.
7. Holy See. Under "Holy See" put titles of two statements (as you do for World Council of Churches) with connections to statements, as follows:

There Has Been Regression

Nuclear Weapons Cannot Be Justified

8. U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops.
 - a. At top of box under U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, put titles of two statements with connections, as follows (WCC model):

The Challenge of Peace

The Harvest of Justice Is Sown in Peace

b. Remove paragraphs from Pax Christi International that are erroneously in this section, as follows:

*In 2000 Pax Christi International published **New Challenges on Nuclear Disarmament Agenda: A Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament**. [URL to be supplied]
Signed by bishops from around the world, the statement indicated:*

The need for abolition [of nuclear weapons] exists because of the real possibility that nuclear weapons could be used in anger for the first time since Hiroshima and Nagasaki, with disastrous results for the whole of humanity. In recent years, we have witnessed policies by several states to extend the role of nuclear weapons in their security policies. India and Pakistan are the most prominent examples. The USA and the Russian Federation too are modernizing and extending the role of nuclear weapons.

We call upon all states to put urgent negotiation of a nuclear disarmament convention at the top of the international agenda.

The Pax Christi International statement then presented a set of immediate steps to move us closer to abolition.

9. Pax Christi International. This entree has some missing elements. The correct entree is sent as a separate attachment and should be substituted in its entirety.

10. Church of the Brethren. Use belows before the four points after introduction:

- Under the banner of national security,... [etc.]

11. Dr. Siddiqi. (a) Amend last part of introductory paragraph as follows: "...made a statement that included the following remarks:" (b) Reformat as follows:

- We in the United States must take lead to stop the nuclear arms race....We must say to ourselves first and then to the world that we want a total and universal ban on the possession and production of nuclear weapons.
- All countries, starting with those that have the largest amount of nuclear weapons, should destroy these weapons. There should be a total ban on their production and testing. Nuclear technology should be used only for humanitarian and peaceful purposes.
- Islam stands for peace and protection of all human beings and their environment. Islam is against any war in which the innocent and the non-combatants are made to suffer.

12.. Union of American Hebrew Congregations. I have enlarged this section. A replacement is attached.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020611010804.72101.qmail@web13901.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Further on How to Get to Zero
Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 20:32:44 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0014_01C21187.245C96A0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0014_01C21187.245C96A0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

We're getting close with How to Get to Zero. Here are a few further =
revisions.

1. The introductory paragraph has two almost duplicate bullet items, and =
one was omitted in the last draft. It should be as follows:

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global =
elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:=20

- a.. Recommendations from major reports of the past ten years.=20
- a.. Consideration of interim measures, such as de-alerting and deep =
cuts in nuclear arms. .=20
- a.. Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and =
ordinary citizens for getting to zero.=20
- a.. Opportunity for you to offer your ideas for eliminating nuclear =
weapons.

2. What would you think of some kind of graphic at this point? Such as =
small version of the no-nuke symbol, merged into the introductory =
paragraph.

3. In the contents list, HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS should be in CAPS, =
just like REPORTS..., SCENARIOS..., & INTERIM MEASURES.

4. At the very end of the page, the same applies: HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR =
IDEAS should have the same type size and CAPS like INTERIM MEASURES.

5. Under HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS, I don't like the look of the text =
(which is how I suggested it). It is too blah. It doesn't do enough to =
draw attention to this important part of our web site. Please you your =
design talents to aliven it.

Maybe it should be in box. Maybe bold face. Maybe in arial type as a = change of pace.

Maybe a graphic, such as one or more sunflowers.

Whatever, the text should be revised as follows: If you have ideas on how = to eliminate nuclear weapons, the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear = Disarmament invites you to send your proposal to us. You can present a = step-by-step scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim = measures.=20

Send your ideas to proposals@zero-nukes.org. To facilitate the process, = please send them as a Word attachment or similar format.=20

We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. = We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through = Your Feedback.=20

Shalom,

Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13903.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.29])

by charles.admin.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17hOHbbCL3N13rY0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 11:40:37 -0500 (EST)

Message-ID: <20020611164036.71352.qmail@web13903.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13903.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 09:40:36 PDT

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 09:40:36 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Religious Statements

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <000c01c21160\$8164e180\$bc6df7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1108962179-1023813636=:71321"

--0-1108962179-1023813636=:71321

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi Howard,

I think I finished "How to Get to Zero" page. Please take a quick look and let me know if I missed some of your changes. I am in the process of creating the image for the Military Leaders page.

Thanks....I will work on the religious statement page.

Regards,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie,

I'm ready for the final push to get the Religious Statements page on line as soon as possible. Attached are the following:

Further editing, including items from previous memos not yet done.

A replacement section for Pax Christi International.

A replacement section for the Union of American Hebrew Congregations.

I realize that this has been a tough page to construct and that you say it averages out with pages that aren't so demanding. However, in fairness to you, I suggest that for further additions to Religious Statements -- and there are several almost ready, and more will follow -- that we pay you at your hourly rate of \$25 for site maintenance on this page. You can keep track of the time and bill us.

I am also eager to finish the initial work on How to Get to Zero and also to get Military Leaders Speak Out on line. I sent you an initial draft of the latter and have one addition to make.

Can you give me an idea of your schedule for completing these tasks?

Shalom,

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=religious editing.03.doc > ATTACHMENT part 3 application/msword name=Pax Christi International.doc > ATTACHMENT part 4 application/msword name=UAHC.doc

Status: U

Return-Path: <glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org>

Received: from gwia.brethren.org ([216.145.233.26])

by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17hOPw2dp3Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 12:49:14 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from DOM_COB-MTA by gwia.brethren.org

with Novell_GroupWise; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 11:50:08 -0500

Message-Id: <sd05e3f0.038@gwia.brethren.org>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise Internet Agent 6.0.2

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 11:44:41 -0500

From: "Greg Laszakovits" <glaszakovits_gb@brethren.org>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Re: Web site URL

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Disposition: inline

Howard,

Sorry its taken so long to get back with you. Our website url is:

www.brethren.org/genbd/washofc

Thanks, Greg

Greg Davidson Laszakovits

Church of the Brethren Washington Office

337 North Carolina Avenue SE

Washington, DC 20003

202.546.3202

Status: U

Return-Path: <vze2vbyn@verizon.net>

Received: from out012.verizon.net ([206.46.170.137])

by charles.admin.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17hQz4f5I3Nl3rY0

Tue, 11 Jun 2002 13:40:22 -0500 (EST)

Received: from Laptop ([138.88.101.252]) by out012.verizon.net

(InterMail vM.5.01.04.05 201-253-122-122-105-20011231) with ESMTP

id <20020611184019.EFCF4569.out012.verizon.net@Laptop>;

Tue, 11 Jun 2002 13:40:19 -0500

Reply-To: <jcbridgman@earthlink.net>

From: "Jim Bridgman" <vze2vbyn@verizon.net>

To: "Tracy Moavero" <tmoavero@peace-action.org>,

"Allistair Millar" <amillar@fourthfreedom.org>,

"Ann Gallivan" <agallivan@psr.org>,

"Bob Sherman" <rsherman@fas.org>,

"Brian Alexander" <balexander@fourthfreedom.org>,

"Brian Costner" <brian@ecoculture.com>,

"Brian Finlay" <bfinlay@thejusticeproject.org>,

"Bruce Blair" <BBlair@cdi.org>,

"Carlean Ponder" <nuclear@wand.org>,

"Chris Demers" <chris@2020vision.org>,

"Chris Paine" <cpaine@nrdc.org>,

"Christopher Paine" <chrispaine@earthlink.net>,

"Dan Koslofsky" <dan@clw.org>,

"Daryl Kimball" <dkimball@armscontrol.org>,

"David Culp" <david@fcl.org>,

"Ed Scott" <SCOTTEJ@aol.com>,

"Ellen Barfield" <vfp@igc.org>,

"Erich Pica" <EPica@foe.org>,

"Esther Pank" <prgm@backfromthebrink.net>,

"Gillian Gilhool" <ggilhool@ix.netcom.com>,

"Gordon Clark" <gordonclark@earthlink.net>,

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>,

"Ira Shorr" <irashorr@hotmail.com>,

"Jason Forrester" <jasonwilliamforrester@hotmail.com>,

"Jason William Forrester" <jason@vi.org>,

"Jaya Tiwari" <jtiwari@psr.org>,

"John Isaacs" <jdi@clw.org>,

"Kathy Crandall" <kcrandall@disarmament.org>,

"Kevin Martin" <kmartin@fourthfreedom.org>,

"Kimberly Roberts" <kroberts@psr.org>,

"Kimberly Robson" <>wand@wand.org>,

"Laura Kriv" <laura@techrocks.org>,

"Lydia Milnes" <lydia@fcl.org>,

"Lynn Erskine" <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>,

"Martin Butcher" <m butcher@psr.org>,

"Matt Martin" <mmartin@armscontrolcenter.org>,

"Matt McKinzie" <mmckinzie@nrdc.org>,

"Michael Levi" <mlevi@fas.org>,

"Miriam Pemberton" <miriam@ips-dc.org>,

"Sam Garman" <sam@fcl.org>,

"Scott Lynch" <slynch@peace-action.org>,

"Stacie Robinson" <srobinson@clw.org>,

"Stephen Young" <syoun@ucsusa.org>,
"Tom Zamora Collina" <tcollina@ucsusa.org>

Subject: FW: Bush Develops First-Strike Nuclear Doctrine, Some politicians challenging taboo against nuclear arms in Japan

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 14:36:23 -0400

Message-ID: <001f01c21176\$e5902f00\$0301a8c0@Laptop>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook, Build 10.0.2627

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

Importance: Normal

I bet all you DC folks have already seen the Post story. I was in Austin over the weekend and the Austin American-Statesman had an article Sunday on Japan possibly reconsidering their policy against developing nukes. I've pasted that article below the Post one.

~~~~~  
Jim Bridgman  
Program Director  
Alliance for Nuclear Accountability  
1801 18th Street, Suite 9-2  
Washington, DC 20009  
202-833-4668  
202-234-9536 (fax)  
jcbridgman@earthlink.net  
www.ananuclear.org

Human history becomes more and more a race between education and catastrophe.  
-- H.G. Wells

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-bananas@drizzle.com [mailto:owner-bananas@drizzle.com] On Behalf Of Bob Schaeffer  
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2002 9:20 AM  
To: ANA Membership  
Subject: Bush Develops First-Strike Nuclear Doctrine

Marylia called my attention to this frightening front-page story from Monday's Washington Post.

**BUSH DEVELOPING MILITARY POLICY OF STRIKING FIRST  
NEW DOCTRINE ADDRESSES TERRORISM**  
Washington Post -- Monday, June 10, 2002  
by Thomas E. Ricks and Vernon Loeb

The Bush administration is developing a new strategic doctrine that

moves away from the Cold War pillars of containment and deterrence toward a policy that supports preemptive attacks against terrorists and hostile states with chemical, biological or nuclear weapons.

The new doctrine will be laid out by President Bush's National Security Council as part of the administration's first "National Security Strategy" being drafted for release by early this fall, senior officials said.

One senior official said the document, without abandoning containment and deterrence, will for the first time add "preemption" and "defensive intervention" as formal options for striking at hostile nations or groups that appear determined to use weapons of mass destruction against the United States.

Bush hinted at the new doctrine in his State of the Union address in January, when he labeled Iraq, Iran and North Korea an "axis of evil" and warned that he would not allow them to threaten the United States with weapons of mass destruction. The president articulated the doctrine for the first time June 1 in a commencement address at West Point.

By adopting the doctrine as part of its formal national security strategy, the administration will compel the U.S. military and intelligence community to implement some of the biggest changes in their histories, officials said. That is already touching off heated debates within the administration and among defense commentators about what changes need to be made and whether a doctrine of preemption is realistic.

But there is general agreement that adopting a preemption doctrine would be a radical shift from the half-century-old policies of deterrence and containment that were built around the notion that an adversary would not attack the United States because it would provoke a certain, overwhelming retaliatory strike.

Administration officials formulating the new doctrine said the United States has been forced to move beyond deterrence since Sept. 11 because of the threat posed by terrorist groups and hostile states supporting them. "The nature of the enemy has changed, the nature of the threat has changed, and so the response has to change," said a senior official, noting that terrorists "have no territory to defend. . . . It's not clear how one would deter an attack like we experienced."

The administration's embrace of the new doctrine has triggered an intense debate inside the Pentagon and among military strategists about the feasibility and wisdom of preemptive strikes against shadowy terrorist networks or weapons storage facilities.

It has aroused concern within NATO as well. Defense Secretary Donald H. Rumsfeld told the United States' 18 NATO allies in Brussels last Thursday that the alliance could no longer wait for "absolute proof" before acting against terrorist groups or threatening countries with chemical, biological or nuclear weapons.

NATO Secretary General George Robertson, reacting to Rumsfeld's remarks, said NATO remained a defensive alliance. He added, "We do not go out looking for problems to solve."

Some defense analysts said preemption carries the risk of causing a crisis to escalate quickly by increasing pressure on both sides to act sooner rather than later -- forcing them, in the parlance of the nuclear chess game, to "use it or lose it."

"Preemption is attractive on the surface," said defense analyst

Harlan Ullman. But he added: "As one gets deeper, it gets more and more complicated and dangerous."

Critics also note that a botched attack that blew chemicals, biological spores or radioactive material into the atmosphere would risk killing thousands of people, not only in the target nation, but in neighboring countries.

Even proponents of preemption inside and outside the government concede that this more aggressive strategic doctrine requires far better and far different intelligence than the U.S. government gathers -- at a time when the abilities of the CIA and the FBI to fulfill their current duties are under scrutiny.

Michele Flournoy, a former Pentagon proliferation expert now at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, said that to be effective, the United States will need to strike preemptively before a crisis erupts to destroy an adversary's weapons stockpile. Otherwise, she said, the adversary could erect defenses to protect those weapons, or simply disperse them.

But Flournoy said she favors moving toward a doctrine of preemption given the proliferation of chemical, biological and nuclear weapons among states supporting terrorists. She said the policy may offer the best of a series of bad choices.

"In some cases, preemptive strikes against an adversary's [weapons of mass destruction] capabilities may be the best or only option we have to avert a catastrophic attack against the United States," she said.

Under the doctrine, nuclear first strikes would be considered weapons of last resort, especially against biological weapons that can be best destroyed by sustained exposure to the high heat of a nuclear blast, Pentagon officials said. But the focus of the effort is finding new ways of using conventional weapons to detect and destroy weapons arsenals, and especially the missiles used to deliver them.

To do that, the Pentagon is studying how to launch "no warning" raids that go far beyond quick airstrikes. The key tool to execute that mission is a new "Joint Stealth Task Force" that pulls in the least detectable elements of every part of the armed forces, including radar-evading aircraft, Special Operations troops and ballistic submarines being converted to carry those troops and to launch cruise missiles.

Beyond changes in weapons, doctrine and organization, Rumsfeld and his top aides are trying to alter the U.S. military mind-set.

"Preemption . . . runs completely against U.S. political and strategic culture," defense expert Frank Hoffman said in an essay published this year by the Center for Defense Information.

In the past, the United States has viewed surprise or "sneak" attacks as dishonorable, the kind of thing inflicted on the American people, not initiated by them, analysts have noted.

One senior defense official responded that 21st century security threats can no longer be assessed in terms of the past. "In the world in which we live, it's not enough to deter," the official said. "You need more capability, more flexibility, more nuanced options and choices."

Defense scientists and war planners are hard at work developing new weapons and capabilities to give Bush "options different than those he may have had in the past," the official said.

At the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, a \$1.1 billion defense agency created in 1998 to counter the threat of weapons of mass

destruction, scientists are studying how to attack and destroy hardened and deeply buried bunkers containing chemical, biological and radiological weapons with advanced conventional bombs, low-yield nuclear devices and even high-yield nuclear weapons.

"There was a time during which we really didn't know what phase we were in, so we called it the 'post-Cold War phase,' " said Stephen M. Younger, the agency's director. "And it wasn't clear what kind of weapons we were going to need for the conflicts of the future. September 11 clarified that. And we are getting a better understanding of the types [of threat] we may face in the future and the types of weaponry that will be required [to counter] them."

Younger said his agency is working on advanced conventional explosives with hardened warheads that could penetrate underground concrete bunkers and destroy biological agents with a sustained level of extremely high heat.

"We want to use the minimum force to achieve the military objective, if at all possible, with a conventional weapon," Younger said. "We do not want to cross the nuclear threshold unless it is an example of extreme national emergency."

But there are some bunkers that are "so incredibly hard," Younger said, "that they do require high-yield nuclear weapons." Low-yield nuclear warheads could be useful in certain scenarios, he said, but they run the risk of spreading biological agents across the countryside.

Rumsfeld's Nuclear Posture Review, completed at the end of last year, stated that "new capabilities must be developed to defeat emerging threats such as hard and deeply buried targets." It also said "several nuclear weapons options" that could be useful in attacking such facilities include "improved earth penetrating weapons."

But senior administration officials said the tactical use of nuclear weapons is being studied, not actively contemplated. "There is no one anxious to think about the employment of tactical nuclear weapons," a senior defense official said. "That's not what we are trying to do."

What the Pentagon is most focused on, the official said, is a method of "advanced conventional strike."

Inside the Pentagon, some officials suspect that the new doctrine may be acted upon sooner rather than later.

"I think the president is trying to get the American people ready for some kind of preemptive move" against Iraq, said a Pentagon consultant. He said it would not necessarily be against Iraqi weapons sites but might instead involve a seizure of Iraqi oil fields.

But a senior administration official dismissed the idea of a "bolt from the blue" attack on Iraq. "I want to caution that [the president] was not making an announcement about imminent action" in his West Point address, the official said. "Some people have quite frankly said, 'Oh, this must have been about Iraq.' He was not making an announcement about imminent action, but this was a doctrinal statement."

Rumsfeld may have captured this situation best when he declined to discuss preemption last week. Asked in an interview whether the U.S. government is contemplating preemptive moves against other nations' weapons of mass destruction, he replied: "Why would anyone answer that question if they were contemplating it?"

-----  
-----

Austin American Statesman

Some politicians challenging taboo against nuclear arms in Japan

By Howard W. French

THE NEW YORK TIMES

Sunday, June 9, 2002

TOKYO -- Alarmed by the rising power of China and anxious about the effectiveness of security guarantees from the United States, some of Japan's most powerful politicians have begun to consider breaking with a half-century-old policy of pacifism by acquiring nuclear weapons.

In comments that stunned many here, Prime Minister Junichiro Koizumi's top aide told reporters last week that what Japan calls its three non-nuclear principles could soon come under review.

"The principles are just like the Constitution," Yasuo Fukuda, the chief Cabinet secretary, was quoted as saying. "But in the face of calls to amend the Constitution, the amendment of the principles is also likely."

The Koizumi government was embarrassed by the timing of the controversy. The first news stories about Fukuda's comments came at the same time as Japan's foreign minister was calling upon India and Pakistan to pledge not to use nuclear weapons against each other.

Amid a wave of criticism, Koizumi's government initially denied that the remarks had been made. Then came a belated admission by Fukuda, who insisted, however, that his comments weren't intended to signal a policy shift.

Koizumi went even further, saying his government has no intention of obtaining nuclear weapons.

Fukuda's comments came barely a week after another senior official, the deputy chief Cabinet secretary, Shinzo Abe, said publicly that Japan could legally possess nuclear weapons, so long as they were small.

Despite the denials of an imminent change, remarks like these indicate that a major shift in Japanese security thinking is under way.

Japan's official pacifism is more than a simple policy. Since the country's defeat in World War II with the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki -- the only time atomic weapons have been used in a conflict -- it has been an integral element of the national identity. The three non-nuclear principles -- never to own, produce or allow nuclear weapons on Japanese territory -- were overwhelmingly ratified in a parliamentary vote in 1971, reflecting a strong national consensus on the issue. The principles supplement the explicitly pacifist Constitution, which doesn't refer directly to nuclear weapons.

The comments by officials in Koizumi's government, however, come against

a backdrop of other recent statements that show an erosion of support for pacifism, at least among the political class. Barely a month ago, an influential opposition leader, Ichiro Ozawa, all but predicted Japan's nuclear armament.

"If China gets too inflated, the Japanese people will become hysterical in response," he said. "We have plenty of plutonium in our nuclear power plants, so it's possible for us to produce 3,000 to 4,000 nuclear warheads."

Ozawa was pressured to retract his remarks. But then, according to the newspaper Tokyo Shimbun, Tokyo's mayor, Shintaro Ishihara, called Fukuda to congratulate him for his comments about nuclear weapons. Ishihara, a nationalist and one of Japan's most popular politicians, is widely seen as a possible successor to Koizumi.

-----  
-----

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13907.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.70])

by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17hSqpCJ3Nl3sj0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 16:39:33 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020611203926.91144.qmail@web13907.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13907.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 13:39:26 PDT

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 13:39:26 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: ICND

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <004001c210ea\$539d3ac0\$6159f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1194467400-1023827966=:90811"

--0-1194467400-1023827966=:90811

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,

Just an update of what I've done so far:

Re: Further Editing of Religious Statements

1. Under INTERFAITH AND ECUMENICAL, strike Interfaith and Ecumenical and substitute Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament.

2. Religious Statement to 1998 NPT PrepCom. At the beginning, fix it so that a click on "Act Now....." and "A Spiritual...." moves to those statements. Done

3. Nuclear Reductions/Disarmament Initiative. Insert photo from news conference. Use smaller type for caption under photo.

4. Last entree under Interfaith and Ecumenical isn't "Interfaith and Ecumenical". It is Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I sent you previously.

5. Holy See. Under "Holy See" put titles of two statements (as you do for World Council of Churches) with connections to statements, as follows: Done

There Has Been Regression

Nuclear Weapons Cannot Be Justified

6. U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops.

a. At top of box under U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, put titles of two statements with connections, as follows (WCC model): Done

The Challenge of Peace

The Harvest of Justice Is Sown in Peace

b. Remove paragraphs from Pax Christi International that are erroneously in this section, as follows: Done

In 2000 Pax Christi International published *New Challenges on Nuclear Disarmament Agenda: A Call and Statement on Nuclear Disarmament*. [URL to be supplied] Signed by bishops from around the world, the statement indicated:

The need for abolition [of nuclear weapons] exists because of the real possibility that nuclear weapons could be used in anger for the first time since Hiroshima and Nagasaki, with disastrous results for the whole of humanity. In recent years, we have witnessed policies by several states to extend the role of nuclear weapons in their security policies. India and Pakistan are the most prominent examples. The USA and the Russian Federation too are modernizing and extending the role of nuclear weapons.

We call upon all states to put urgent negotiation of a nuclear disarmament convention at the top of the international agenda.

The Pax Christi International statement then presented a set of immediate steps to move us closer to abolition.

7. Pax Christi International. This entree has some missing elements. The correct entree is sent as a separate attachment and should be substituted in its entirety. Done

8. Statement of Dr. Muzammil H. Siddiqi. Revise ending of introduction to read as follows:

"... made a statement which included these remarks: Done

9. Union of American Hebrew Congregations. I have enlarged this section. A replacement is attached. Done

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie, On the contents page "Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament" goes after Conference of European Churches instead of "Interfaith and Ecumenical". The actual the entree for ICND goes after the 1998 NPT PrepCom section, as now set up. Howard Original Message ----- From: Marie Kayser To: Howard W. Hallman Sent: Monday, June 10, 2002 9:08 PMSubject: Re: How to Get to Zero

Hi,

I did not get your message until late this evening. I made the changes to the Religious Statement page but I did not know where to add the ICND section.

Regards,

Marie

Status: U

Return-Path: <hwheat@usadatanet.net>

Received: from mail.usadatanet.net ([208.48.41.252])

by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17hToV5Ij3Nl3oW1  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 11 Jun 2002 17:42:05 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from ELNssalemi (unverified [66.218.8.137]) by mail.usadatanet.net

(Vircom SMTPRS 5.1.202) with SMTP id <B0043573215@mail.usadatanet.net> for <mupj@igc.org>;  
Tue, 11 Jun 2002 17:35:10 -0400

Message-ID: <000801c211a1\$f04ed420\$8908da42@ELNssalemi>

Reply-To: "Harold Wheat" <hwheat@usadatanet.net>

From: "Harold Wheat" <hwheat@usadatanet.net>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Methodists United

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2002 17:44:31 -0600

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----\_NextPart\_000\_0005\_01C2116F.A42AB6E0"

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----\_NextPart\_000\_0005\_01C2116F.A42AB6E0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Howard,

I'm assuming that the "H" was somehow dropped from your name in the =  
website for Methodists United for Peace with Justice.=20

I am interested in finding out more about this organization and the =  
projects you are currently working on. I participated in the 2001 class =  
of the Mission Intern Program, and want to be active in peace work in =  
the church.

Thanks for your time.

Sincerely,

Harold Wheat

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Fw: Web site URL  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 08:06:32 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

For our home page here is the URL for the Church of the Brethren Washington Office.

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Greg Laszakovits" <glaszakovits\_gb@brethren.org>  
To: <mupj@igc.org>  
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2002 12:44 PM  
Subject: Re: Web site URL

> Howard,  
> Sorry its taken so long to get back with you. Our website url is:  
> [www.brethren.org/genbd/washofc](http://www.brethren.org/genbd/washofc)  
>  
> Thanks, Greg  
>  
> Greg Davidson Laszakovits  
> Church of the Brethren Washington Office  
> 337 North Carolina Avenue SE  
> Washington, DC 20003  
> 202.546.3202

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
To: <[m Riley@uua.org](mailto:m Riley@uua.org)>, [egbertl4pj@yahoo.com](mailto:egbertl4pj@yahoo.com)  
Subject: UUA material for web site  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 09:22:06 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----  
=\_NextPart\_000\_0009\_01C211F2.9F8EF820"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Meg and Larry,

I enjoyed being at the open house for your new office. Thanks for inviting me.

I have now drafted an entree on the Unitarian Universalist Association for the Religious Statements page of our web site, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). It is attached. It draws upon material from the UUA web site.

Please let me know of any changes or additions you would suggest. Is it all right to use your logo as an identity marker?

We are about to post the Religious Statements page on line, reachable through our home page, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). Until then you can view it at [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html). This will give you an idea of how we are posting religious statements.

Thanks for your cooperation.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

[logo from [www.uua.org/uuawo](http://www.uua.org/uuawo)]

## **Unitarian Universalist Association**

### ***The Unitarian Universalist Association of Congregations in North America***

*[[www.uua.org](http://www.uua.org)]*

*represents the interests of more than 1,000 local congregations. Since merger of the Unitarians and the Universalists in 1961, UUA has supported peace and disarmament in over 84 resolutions [<http://www.uua.org/actions/#peace>] passed by its annual General Assembly and its Board of Trustees. One of the resolutions passed the first year affirmed "belief in total, universal disarmament under controls" because "weapons of mass destruction are inconsistent with our moral and religious principles."*

#### *A 1983 resolution on Halting the Arms Race*

*[<http://www.uua.org/actions/peace/83race.html>] urged the United States Congress to:*

1. Persuade the President to initiate serious disarmament discussions with the USSR; and
2. Defeat appropriation bills for the MX and Pershing II missiles; and
3. Pass a bilateral and verifiable Nuclear Weapons Freeze resolution; and
4. Take all other necessary action to end the arms race, including support for the United Nations World Disarmament Campaign; and
5. Continuing from the McCloy-Zhorin agreements of 1961, initiate talks aimed at replacing armed threats and confrontation with serious negotiation between the US and the USSR to attain peaceful coexistence without regard to ideological differences.

*In 1985 the General Assembly, in declaring UUA properties nuclear free zones, [<http://www.uua.org/actions/peace/85zone.html>], summarized its beliefs as follows:*

*Affirming our conviction that serving life demands the selfless promotion of peace, the GA of the UUA has resolved to seek an end to the nuclear arms race....Believing that humankind is free to choose life, we denounce the blasphemy against life which is represented by the development, production, deployment and threatened use of nuclear weapons. Pledging, in our religious principles, to protect the Earth and interdependence of its living system we choose to create hope and the vision of a better world. We fulfill this pledge through our efforts to abolish all nuclear weapons from the face of the earth.*

*In 1989 the UUA Board of Trustees adopted The Citizens Agenda for Common Security and Disarmament By the Year 2000*

*[<http://www.uua.org/actions/peace/89disarmament.html>]. This agenda include a call to the President and Congress to:*

1. Redirect national resources away from the military and toward meeting human needs. Fund jobs and housing.
2. Declare a halt to nuclear testing. Negotiate a Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty. Stop funding the Star Wars. Honor existing arms control treaties.
3. Negotiate a 50% reduction in strategic nuclear arms (START Treaty). Halt production and deployment of new nuclear weapons.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: <enewport@wesley.sem.edu>  
Subject: Islamic contacts  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 10:54:06 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Erica,

As I indicated on the phone, we would like to add material from Islamic organizations to the religious statements on our new web site, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). We are posting an excerpt from Dr. Siddiqi's statement at the Cathedral news conference and linking to your site for the entire statement. Do you know whether the Islamic Society of North America has any policy statements on nuclear disarmament that we could use? Do you know of any other Islamic organizations with such statements?

The statements we have so far are posted temporarily at [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html). Within a few days they should be on line through our home page at [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org).

Thanks for your assistance,  
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: <enewport@wesleysem.edu>  
Subject: Islamic statements  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:08:35 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Erica,

As I indicated on the phone, we would like to add material from Islamic organizations to the religious statements on our new web site, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). We are posting an excerpt from Dr. Siddiqi's statement at the Cathedral news conference and linking to your site for the entire statement. Do you know whether the Islamic Society of North America has any policy statements on nuclear disarmament that we could use? Do you know of any other Islamic organizations with such statements?

The statements we have so far are posted temporarily at [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html). Within a few days they should be on line through our home page at [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org).

Thanks for your assistance,  
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
To: <[bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org)>, [thart@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:thart@episcopalchurch.org)  
Subject: Statement for web site  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:03:38 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----  
=\_NextPart\_000\_0013\_01C21200.CE7B9220"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Brian and Tom,

I've had a mix up in my computer files, and I'm not sure I sent you the correct attachment of a draft of a proposed entree for the Episcopal Church on [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). The correct one is attached.

I'll appreciate your reviewing it and offering suggestions for improvement.

Thanks,

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

## Draft

### Episcopal Church

[logo]

***The Episcopal Church** [[www.episcopalchurch.org](http://www.episcopalchurch.org)] has 2.3 million members and 7,359 local congregations in the United States. It is governed by a bicameral General Convention consisting of a House of Bishops and a House of Delegates that meets every three years. A 38-member Executive Council is the interim governing body. An elected Presiding Bishop serves as primate.*

*In 1997 the 72<sup>nd</sup> General Convention adopted a resolution to **Support the Goal of Total Nuclear Disarmament** [[http://www.episcopalarchives.org/e-archives/acts\\_of\\_convention](http://www.episcopalarchives.org/e-archives/acts_of_convention)]. The resolution committed the General Convention to:*

- support the goal of total nuclear disarmament.
- urge the Government of the United States to exercise leadership among the nations, especially the nuclear weapons states, by immediately initiating negotiations for an International Treaty on Comprehensive Nuclear Disarmament in all its aspects to include a deadline for the completion of nuclear disarmament.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>  
References: <20020612141208.18641.qmail@web13905.mail.yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: Further on How to Get to Zero  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:17:39 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
    boundary="-----\_NextPart\_000\_0032\_01C21202.C3711D80"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----\_NextPart\_000\_0032\_01C21202.C3711D80  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
    charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

The two graphics help. Also the way you boxed How to Submit Your Ideas.

As far as I can determine, How to Get to Zero is ready for prime time!. =  
Go ahead with the link to our home page.

Howard

----- Original Message -----=20  
From: Marie Kayser=20  
To: Howard W. Hallman=20  
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 10:12 AM  
Subject: Re: Further on How to Get to Zero

Howard,=20

I just finished making the corrections for How to Get to Zero. =  
Please let me know if you have additional changes before I add it as an =  
official link from the homepage.=20

Thanks,=20

Marie=20

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:=20

Marie,

We're getting close with How to Get to Zero. Here are a few further =  
revisions.

1. The introductory paragraph has two almost duplicate bullet items, = and one was omitted in the last draft. It should be as follows:

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global = elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:=20

- a.. Recommendations from major reports of the past ten years.=20
- a.. Consideration of interim measures, such as de-alerting and = deep cuts in nuclear arms. .=20
- a.. Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and = ordinary citizens for getting to zero.=20
- a.. Opportunity for you to offer your ideas for eliminating = nuclear weapons.

2. What would you think of some kind of graphic at this point? Such = as small version of the no-nuke symbol, merged into the introductory = paragraph.

3. In the contents list, HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS should be in CAPS, = just like REPORTS...., SCENARIOS..., & INTERIM MEASURES.

4. At the very end of the page, the same applies: HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR = IDEAS should have the same type size and CAPS like INTERIM MEASURES.

5. Under HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS, I don't like the look of the text = (which is how I suggested it). It is too blah. It doesn't do enough to = draw attention to this important part of our web site. Please you your = design talents to aliven it.

Maybe it should be in box. Maybe bold face. Maybe in arial type as a = change of pace.

Maybe a graphic, such as one or more sunflowers.

Whatever, the text should be revised as follows:If you have ideas on = how to eliminate nuclear weapons, the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear = Disarmament invites you to send your proposal to us. You can present a = step-by-step scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim = measures.=20

Send your ideas to proposals@zero-nukes.org. To facilitate the = process, please send them as a Word attachment or similar format.=20

We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web = page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us = through Your Feedback.=20

Shalom,

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: <Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org>  
Subject: A new web site  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:20:40 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Rev. Lundgren:

I am writing you at the suggestion of Ken Sehested of the Baptist Peace Fellowship.

As chair of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, I am developing a new web site, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). Its purposes are (1) to bring together statements on nuclear disarmament by religious organizations, military leaders, and civil sector organizations and (2) to provide a base for discussion of ideas on how to achieve zero nuclear weapons.

There will be a page for Religious Statements in which we want to post policy positions on nuclear disarmament from interfaith and ecumenical organizations and various denominations. The initial posting of this page is about to go on line, reachable through our home page, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org), and until then as [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html).

We would like to post something from American Baptist Churches. As a point of departure, I have drafted the attachment statement, drawn from material on your web site. I would like for you to review it and let me know whether it actually reflects the position of American Baptist Churches, whether there should be any additions, deletions, or other changes. Also, would it be all right to use your logo as an identity marker?

This web page is sponsored by a number of denominational offices. Would American Baptist Churches or one of its units be willing to be a sponsor? Although there is no financial obligation, some denominational offices are contributing \$300 or so (plus a \$5,000 grant from the United Methodist General Board of Church and Society). If ABC may be listed as a sponsor, please provide me a URL for linkage and the name and e-mail address of the appropriate contact.

With best regards,  
Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13905.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.68])

by hazard.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17i8R2Oq3NkYBY0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 10:12:08 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020612141208.18641.qmail@web13905.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13905.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 07:12:08 PDT

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 07:12:08 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Further on How to Get to Zero

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <001701c211a8\$f5835cc0\$b46ff7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1996904865-1023891128=:16800"

--0-1996904865-1023891128=:16800

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,

I just finished making the corrections for How to Get to Zero. Please let me know if you have additional changes before I add it as an official link from the homepage.

Thanks,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

We're getting close with How to Get to Zero. Here are a few further revisions.

1. The introductory paragraph has two almost duplicate bullet items, and one was omitted in the last draft. It should be as follows:

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:

Recommendations from major reports of the past ten years.

Consideration of interim measures, such as de-alerting and deep cuts in nuclear arms. .

Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and ordinary citizens for getting to zero.

Opportunity for you to offer your ideas for eliminating nuclear weapons.

2. What would you think of some kind of graphic at this point? Such as small version of the no-nuke symbol, merged into the introductory paragraph.

3. In the contents list, HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS should be in CAPS, just like REPORTS...., SCENARIOS..., & INTERIM MEASURES.

4. At the very end of the page, the same applies: HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS should have the same type size and CAPS like INTERIM MEASURES.

5. Under HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS, I don't like the look of the text (which is how I suggested it). It is too blah. It doesn't do enough to draw attention to this important part of our web site. Please use your design talents to

aliven it.

Maybe it should be in box. Maybe bold face. Maybe in arial type as a change of pace.

Maybe a graphic, such as one or more sunflowers.

Whatever, the text should be revised as follows:If you have ideas on how to eliminate nuclear weapons, the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament invites you to send your proposal to us. You can present a step-by-step scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim measures.

Send your ideas to [proposals@zero-nukes.org](mailto:proposals@zero-nukes.org). To facilitate the process, please send them as a Word attachment or similar format.

We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through [Your Feedback](#).

Shalom,

Howard

Status: U  
Return-Path: <enewport@wesleysem.edu>  
Received: from wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu ([63.124.223.7])  
by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17ia1X1YD3Nl3rE0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:27:28 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: by wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)  
id <1J87WY4Y>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:26:25 -0400  
Message-ID: <DC1977460103D311B0DE0060943F439FC51B46@wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu>  
From: "NEWPORT, ERICA" <enewport@wesleysem.edu>  
To: "'Howard W. Hallman'" <mupj@igc.org>  
Subject: RE: Islamic statements  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:26:23 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)  
Content-Type: text/plain

Howard,  
This is very helpful! I will run this information by Wendy and will pass  
what we have right along to you. Look forward to seeing you on Friday!  
Sincerely,  
Erica Newport

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Howard W. Hallman [SMTP:mupj@igc.org]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 8:09 AM

> To: enewport@wesleysem.edu

> Subject: Islamic statements

>

> Dear Erica,

>

> As I indicated on the phone, we would like to add material from Islamic

> organizations to the religious statements on our new web site,

> www.zero-nukes.org. We are posting an excerpt from Dr. Siddiqi's

> statement

> at the Cathedral news conference and linking to your site for the entire

> statement. Do you know whether the Islamic Society of North America has

> any policy statements on nuclear disarmament that we could use? Do you

> know of any other Islamic organizations with such statements?

>

> The statements we have so far are posted temporarily at

> www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html. Within a few days they

> should be on line through our home page at www.zero-nukes.org.

>

> Thanks for your assistance,

> Howard

>

>

> Howard W. Hallman is Chair of

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice

> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of

> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

>

Status: U

Return-Path: <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>

Received: from web11105.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.131.152])

by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17iafg3ed3Nl3sj0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 11:41:14 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020612154113.96145.qmail@web11105.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [199.245.22.80] by web11105.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 08:41:13 PDT

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 08:41:13 -0700 (PDT)

From: Egbert Lawrence <egbertl4pj@yahoo.com>

Subject: Reply from Larry : UUA material for web site

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <000c01c21214\$2a3bc040\$9a55f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi, Howard,

Glad you came to the opening "ceremonies" and thank you for stimulating a speechette or two.

Meg and I have been over the page you wrote from UUA stuff. Great. As we get new ideas, I will try and send any update materials to you.

Yes, use of the logo is quite in order.

PEACE! Larry

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> Dear Meg and Larry,

>

> I enjoyed being at the open house for your new

> office. Thanks for inviting

> me.

>

> I have now drafted an entree on the Unitarian

> Universalist Association for

> the Religious Statements page of our web site,

> [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). It is

> attached. It draws upon material from the UUA web

> site.

>

> Please let me know of any changes or additions you

> would suggest. Is it

> all right to use your logo as an identity marker?

>

> We are about to post the Religious Statments page on

> line, reachable through

> our home page, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). Until then you

> can view it at

> [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html).

> This will give you an

> idea of how we are posting religious statements.

>

> Thanks for your cooperation.

>

> Howard

>

> Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org  
>  
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a  
> membership association of  
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any  
> Methodist denomination.  
>  
>  
  
> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=uua  
statement.doc

---

Do You Yahoo!?  
Yahoo! - Official partner of 2002 FIFA World Cup  
<http://fifaworldcup.yahoo.com>

Status: U

Return-Path: <wstarman@wesleysem.edu>

Received: from wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu ([63.124.223.7])

by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17ibYU5oA3Nl3rs0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 13:32:28 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <1J87WY6R>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 13:31:03 -0400

Message-ID: <DC1977460103D311B0DE0060943F439FADD1FF@wesley-exch1.wesleysem.edu>

From: STARMAN WENDY <wstarman@wesleysem.edu>

To: "mupj@igc.org" <mupj@igc.org>

Cc: "NEWPORT, ERICA" <enewport@wesleysem.edu>

Subject: Response to question about Islamic policy statements

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 13:31:02 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Howard,

Erica passed along your e-mail inquiring about Islamic policy statements. I recommend contacting ISNA directly to inquire about this. We do not have such a statement from them in-house and do not know if they have one.

The phone number for Dr. Sayyid M. Syeed, the Secretary General of ISNA, is 317/839-1812.

If you discover that they do have an official statement, please inform Erica. We would like to add that to our Web site as well. We have a section on our site, on the home page, that provides information about perspectives on nuclear arms from different faith groups.

Thanks,

Wendy Starman

Status: U

Return-Path: <Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org>

Received: from abcex1.ABC-USA.org ([12.3.37.82])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17icpb3so3Nl3p40  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 13:59:37 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by abcex1.abc-usa.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <MZLS88FB>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 13:59:07 -0400

Message-ID: <F894907F8FEDD411B81B0002A53486A311ACC2@abcex1.abc-usa.org>

From: "LUNDGREN, Dwight" <Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: RE: A new web site

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 13:59:06 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Howard, thanks for contacting me. It may be my ignorance but I can't identify any ABC material with your letter for me to review. I would be happy to do that. I need to check but I believe there would not be a problem using the ABC logo to "mark" any of our statements which you might quote. I need to check our funds to see if I can make a contribution.

But first things first; resend anything you wish me to review for your use.

Thanks.

Dwight M. Lundgren

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]

Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 11:21 AM

To: Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org

Subject: A new web site

Dear Rev. Lundgren:

I am writing you at the suggestion of Ken Sehested of the Baptist Peace Fellowship.

As chair of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, I am developing a new web site, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). Its purposes are (1) to bring together statements on nuclear disarmament by religious organizations, military leaders, and civil sector organizations and (2) to provide a base for discussion of ideas on how to achieve zero nuclear weapons.

There will be a page for Religious Statements in which we want to post policy positions on nuclear disarmament from interfaith and ecumenical organizations and various denominations. The initial posting of this page is about to go on line, reachable through our home page, [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org), and until then as [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html).

We would like to post something from American Baptist Churches. As a point of departure, I have drafted the attachment statement, drawn from material on your web site. I would like for you to review it and let me know whether

it actually reflects the position of American Baptist Churches, whether there should be any additions, deletions, or other changes. Also, would it be all right to use your logo as an identity marker?

This web page is sponsored by a number of denominational offices. Would American Baptist Churches or one of its units be willing to be a sponsor? Although there is no financial obligation, some denominational offices are contributing \$300 or so (plus a \$5,000 grant from the United Methodist General Board of Church and Society). If ABC may be listed as a sponsor, please provide me a URL for linkage and the name and e-mail address of the appropriate contact.

With best regards,  
Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
To: "Tom Hart" [thart@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:thart@episcopalchurch.org)  
Cc: [bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org)  
References: <3CD7239EC58ED41196730008C756D740186B84@MAILDFMS>  
Subject: Re: Statement for web site  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 17:24:56 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----  
\_NextPart\_000\_0009\_01C21236.13093660"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Tom,

Thanks for the additional information on Episcopal resolutions. I have incorporated them into a redraft of the Episcopal statement, which is attached.

May be use your logo as an identity marker? Some denominations allow it, some don't. The call is yours.

Any chance that the Episcopal Church or one of your units will become a sponsor and so listed on the home page of [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org)?

Shalom,

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tom Hart" [thart@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:thart@episcopalchurch.org)  
To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org); "Brian Grieves" [bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org)  
Cc: "Jere Skipper" [jskipper@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:jskipper@episcopalchurch.org)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 2:04 PM  
Subject: RE: Statement for web site

This is very good. Thanks for the initiative. Other resolutions can be found at [www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn](http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn) <http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn>, search for nuclear.

Tom

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
To: "LUNDGREN, Dwight" [Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org](mailto:Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org)  
References: [F894907F8FEDD411B81B0002A53486A311ACC2@abcex1.abc-usa.org](mailto:F894907F8FEDD411B81B0002A53486A311ACC2@abcex1.abc-usa.org)  
Subject: Re: A new web site  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 15:40:21 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----  
=\_NextPart\_000\_001F\_01C21227.76FE5880"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Either I forgot to insert the attachment or it didn't go through. It is a Word attachment. If you don't receive it, let me know, and I'll send as e-mail paste-on text.

Howard Hallman

----- Original Message -----

From: "LUNDGREN, Dwight" <[Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org](mailto:Dwight.Lundgren@abc-usa.org)>  
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <[mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)>  
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 1:59 PM  
Subject: RE: A new web site

Howard, thanks for contacting me. It may be my ignorance but I can't identify any ABC material with your letter for me to review. I would be happy to do that. I need to check but I believe there would not be a problem using the ABC logo to "mark" any of our statements which you might quote.

I need to check our funds to see if I can make a contribution.

But first things first; resend anything you wish me to review for your use.

Thanks.

Dwight M. Lundgren

laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Draft

## **American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A.**

[logo]

***American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A.*** [[www.abc-usa.org](http://www.abc-usa.org)] (at one time known as the Northern Baptist Convention) has 1.5 million members in 5,800 local congregations. It is governed by a General Board, consisting of delegates chosen by [to be completed] at biennial meetings.

Over the years the General Board has frequently expressed its concern about nuclear weapons. A 1971 **Resolution On Peace** indicated that the American Baptist Churches will press for:

- significant international arms reduction agreements of all forms of weaponry.

In a 1985 **Policy Statement on Peace** [<http://www.abc-usa.org/resources/resol/peace.htm>] the General Board stated:

- We call on all nations to abolish their nuclear weapons and to dispose of such weapons in a manner that is not harmful to either the physical or political environment.

In 1992 the General Board adopted a lengthy **Resolution on Arms Reduction**, [<http://www.abc-usa.org/resources/resol/armsred2.htm>] which its Executive Committee updated in 1996. Among other things the resolution indicated that the General Board of American Baptist Churches:

1. Supports the substantial nuclear arms reduction steps taken by the U.S. and Russian governments and calls for expeditious fulfillment of those agreements in partnership with other states from the former Soviet Union.
2. Calls on all nuclear powers to take all nuclear weapons off alert status.
3. Calls for all nation states to halt further production, transfer or sale of chemical, biological and nuclear weapons and nuclear weapons materials.
4. Calls upon nation states and corporations to make substantial reductions in conventional weapons transfers and sales, and for the U.N. to establish systems to monitor and control arms transfers and sales.
5. Calls for the United States and all nuclear nation states to support the expansion of

the Partial Nuclear Test Ban Treaty into a Comprehensive Test Ban, and for the universal adoption of such a treaty.

6. Calls for worldwide acceptance and strengthening of the NonProliferation Treaty, including the development of more vigorous verification procedures and a ban on the sale or transfer of nuclear weapons technology and materials.

7. Calls on Congress and the U. S. Administration to make cuts in military spending and deployment commensurate with the changed political and military context.

8. Calls for the U.S. government to halt development of the Strategic Defense Initiative and to abandon all plans for deploying its various components.

9. Calls on Congress to create adequately funded programs for economic conversion and job retraining for workers involved in arms production and for military personnel who are involuntarily discharged.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
To: "Tom Hart" [thart@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:thart@episcopalchurch.org)  
Cc: [bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org)  
References: <3CD7239EC58ED41196730008C756D740186B84@MAILDFMS>  
Subject: Re: Statement for web site  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 17:24:56 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----  
\_NextPart\_000\_0009\_01C21236.13093660"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Tom,

Thanks for the additional information on Episcopal resolutions. I have incorporated them into a redraft of the Episcopal statement, which is attached.

May be use your logo as an identity marker? Some denominations allow it, some don't. The call is yours.

Any chance that the Episcopal Church or one of your units will become a sponsor and so listed on the home page of [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org)?

Shalom,

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tom Hart" <[thart@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:thart@episcopalchurch.org)>  
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <[mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)>; "Brian Grieves" <[bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org)>  
Cc: "Jere Skipper" <[jskipper@episcopalchurch.org](mailto:jskipper@episcopalchurch.org)>  
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 2:04 PM  
Subject: RE: Statement for web site

This is very good. Thanks for the initiative. Other resolutions can be found at [www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn](http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn) <<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn>>, search for nuclear.

Tom

## Draft

[logo from <http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/>]

## Episcopal Church

**The Episcopal Church** [[www.episcopalchurch.org](http://www.episcopalchurch.org)] has 2.3 million members and 7,359 local congregations in the United States. It is governed by a bicameral General Convention consisting of a House of Bishops and a House of Delegates that meets every three years. A 38-member Executive Council is the interim governing body. An elected Presiding Bishop serves as primate.

The Episcopal Church has a long record of opposition to nuclear weapons. Resolutions of the past 20 years are on the web site of the **Episcopal Public Policy Network** [<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/results.asp>] (search for "nuclear"). They include:

- support for a policy of no first use of nuclear weapons (1982) [<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/display.asp?DocID=229>]
- support for a bilateral nuclear freeze and an immediate halt in the testing, production and further deployment of all nuclear weapons (1982)

[web site to go with "freeze" is

<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/display.asp?DocID=229>]

- opposition to President Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative known as "Star Wars" (1985) [<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/display.asp?DocID=192>]
- support for the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (1994) [<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/display.asp?DocID=416>]

In 1997 the 72<sup>nd</sup> General Convention adopted a resolution favoring the **Abolition of Nuclear Weapons** [<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn/display.asp?DocID=333>]. The resolution committed the General Convention to:

- support the goal of total nuclear disarmament.
- urge the Government of the United States to exercise leadership among the nations, especially the nuclear weapons states, by immediately initiating negotiations for an International Treaty on Comprehensive Nuclear Disarmament in all its aspects to include a deadline for the completion of nuclear disarmament

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>  
Subject: mail boxes  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 17:31:49 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

I have created three mail boxes with Lighthost. They are feedback, hallman, and proposal with the domain name: @zero-nukes.org.

I was limited to eight letters, so I dropped the final "s" for proposal. You will need to make this correction under How to Submit Your Ideas on the How to Get to Zero page.

"Moderator" was too long. I thought about changing it to "manager", but I believe that moderator is a better description of the identity I want on the web site. Therefore, I chose "hallman". You will need to make that change where the moderator is posted.

You can now provide these linkages.

Now I have to find out how to retrieve and send messages. I assume that Lighthost will tell me.

Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: <edbruegge@attbi.com>

Received: from rwcrmhc53.attbi.com ([204.127.198.39])

by farley.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17ifBs7K33Nl3pa0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 17:24:29 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from [24.147.232.227] by rwcrmhc53.attbi.com

(InterMail vM.4.01.03.27 201-229-121-127-20010626) with ESMTP  
id <20020612212126.EHIX11659.rwcrmhc53.attbi.com@[24.147.232.227]>  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 21:21:26 +0000

User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 17:23:01 -0400

Subject: Hallman2004

From: Edward Brueggemann <edbruegge@attbi.com>

To: Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>

Message-ID: <B92D33F4.181C%edbruegge@attbi.com>

Mime-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Please remind me of the exact dates. edb

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
To: "Edward Brueggemann" <edbruegge@attbi.com>  
References: <B92D33F4.181C%edbruegge@attbi.com>  
Subject: Re: Hallman2004  
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 17:35:01 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Ed,

The dates are Thursday, June 24 to Sunday, June 27, 2004. I hope that by then things are more relaxed for White House and Capitol tours.

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Edward Brueggemann" <edbruegge@attbi.com>  
To: "Howard Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>  
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 5:23 PM  
Subject: Hallman2004

> Please remind me of the exact dates. edb

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13905.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.68])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17ij1d8jF3Nl3rE0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 21:03:19 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020613010318.20356.qmail@web13905.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [166.90.29.159] by web13905.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 18:03:18 PDT

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 18:03:18 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie\_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Further on How to Get to Zero

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <003701c21224\$557133c0\$b66bf7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-795439949-1023930198=:19725"

--0-795439949-1023930198=:19725

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi Howard,

How to Get to Zero page is now live and accessible from the homepage. I also made the changes to the email links.

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote: Marie, The two graphics help. Also the way you boxed How to Submit Your Ideas. As far as I can determine, How to Get to Zero is ready for prime time!. Go ahead with the link to our home page. Howard----- Original Message ----- From: Marie Kayser To: Howard W. Hallman Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 10:12 AM Subject: Re: Further on How to Get to Zero

Howard,

I just finished making the corrections for How to Get to Zero. Please let me know if you have additional changes before I add it as an official link from the homepage.

Thanks,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

Marie,

We're getting close with How to Get to Zero. Here are a few further revisions.

1. The introductory paragraph has two almost duplicate bullet items, and one was omitted in the last draft. It should be as follows:

This web page explores practical steps for achieving the global elimination of nuclear weapons. It offers:

Recommendations from major reports of the past ten years.

Consideration of interim measures, such as de-alerting and deep cuts in nuclear arms. .

Proposals from military professionals, civilian experts, and ordinary citizens for getting to zero.

Opportunity for you to offer your ideas for eliminating nuclear weapons.

2. What would you think of some kind of graphic at this point? Such as small version of the no-nuke symbol, merged into the introductory paragraph.

3. In the contents list, HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS should be in CAPS, just like REPORTS..., SCENARIOS..., & INTERIM MEASURES.

4. At the very end of the page, the same applies: HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS should have the same type size and CAPS like INTERIM MEASURES.

5. Under HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR IDEAS, I don't like the look of the text (which is how I suggested it). It is too blah. It doesn't do enough to draw attention to this important part of our web site. Please use your design talents to aliven it.

Maybe it should be in box. Maybe bold face. Maybe in arial type as a change of pace.

Maybe a graphic, such as one or more sunflowers.

Whatever, the text should be revised as follows:If you have ideas on how to eliminate nuclear weapons, the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament invites you to send your proposal to us. You can present a step-by-step scenario for reaching zero or for carrying out interim measures.

Send your ideas to [proposals@zero-nukes.org](mailto:proposals@zero-nukes.org). To facilitate the process, please send them as a Word attachment or similar format.

We offer no compensation, but we will post your ideas on this web page. We reserve the right to edit submissions for length and format.

Persons who want to comment on proposals of others can reach us through Your Feedback.

Shalom,

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
From: "Howard W. Hallman" [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
To: [SusanML@MennoniteUSA.org](mailto:SusanML@MennoniteUSA.org)  
Subject: Fw: A new web site  
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2002 08:47:58 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----  
\_NextPart\_000\_0018\_01C212B7.04C61240"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Ms. Landis,

Have you had an opportunity to review the draft about the Mennonite Church USA that I prepared for our web site? Do you have any suggestions for changes or additions?

Shalom,

Howard Hallman

----- Original Message -----

From: "J.Ron Byler" [rbyler@juno.com](mailto:rbyler@juno.com)  
To: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)  
Cc: [SusanML@mennoniteusa.org](mailto:SusanML@mennoniteusa.org)  
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2002 9:04 AM  
Subject: Fw: A new web site

Howard,

(copy to Susan Mark Landis)

I am asking Susan Mark Landis, Peace Advocate for the Mennonite Church USA Executive Board, to review these materials and respond to you more fully. I welcome your use of the Mennonite Church USA logo with our statements, but I think there may be more recent information to include as well. Please feel free to talk directly to Susan ([SusanML@MennoniteUSA.org](mailto:SusanML@MennoniteUSA.org)).

Ron

J.Ron Byler  
Associate Executive Director

Mennonite Church USA Executive Board  
(574) 294-7523 (fax: 293-1892)  
[rbyler@juno.com](mailto:rbyler@juno.com) ([www.MennoniteChurchUSA.org](http://www.MennoniteChurchUSA.org))

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <[mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)>  
To: <[rbyler@juno.com](mailto:rbyler@juno.com)>  
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2002 08:16:13 -0400  
Subject: A new web site  
Message-ID: <001801c20e1d\$4cf41420\$096ef7a5@default>

Dear Ron Byler,

The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, is creating a web site called [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). Its purposes are (a) to gather together statements by religious organizations, military leaders, and civil-sector organizations on nuclear disarmament and (b) to provide for dialogue on how to get to zero. Through Daryl Byler the Washington Office of the Mennonite Central Committee is one of the sponsors of the web site.

For the Religious Statements page I have draft the attached page about the Mennonite policy position. As part of the visual layout on the page, we would like to use the logo of the Mennonite Church USA, taken from your web site. Daryl suggests that I should ask you if this acceptable.

You can see our home page at [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). Within a few days we will have Religious Statements linked to this page. In the meantime you can see an initial draft at [www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html](http://www.zero-nukes.org/draft/religiousstatements.html). This shows our use of logos.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: [mupj@igc.org](mailto:mupj@igc.org)

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <thart@episcopalchurch.org>

Received: from maildfms.dfms.org ([38.149.19.21])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17icv84YZ3Nl3pm0  
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 14:05:45 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by MAILDFMS with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2655.55)

id <L0RBNG3K>; Wed, 12 Jun 2002 14:04:36 -0400

Message-ID: <3CD7239EC58ED41196730008C756D740186B84@MAILDFMS>

From: Tom Hart <thart@episcopalchurch.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>, Brian Grieves  
<bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org>

Cc: Jere Skipper <jskipper@episcopalchurch.org>

Subject: RE: Statement for web site

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 14:04:35 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2655.55)

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

This is very good. Thanks for the initiative. Other resolutions can be  
found at [www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn](http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn) <<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/eppn>>  
, search for nuclear.

Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]

Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2002 11:04 AM

To: bgrieves@episcopalchurch.org;

thart@episcopalchurch.org

Subject: Statement for web site

<< File: Episcopal statement.01.doc >> Dear Brian and Tom,

I've had a mix up in my computer files, and I'm not sure I  
sent you the

correct attachment of a draft of a proposed entree for the  
Episcopal Church  
on [www.zero-nukes.org](http://www.zero-nukes.org). The correct one is attached.

I'll appreciate your reviewing it and offering suggestions  
for improvement.

Thanks,  
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of  
Methodists United for Peace with Justice  
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036  
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership  
association of

laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.