

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "pwj list serve" <gbcs-pwj@umcgroupemail.org>
Subject: Resources on Iraq
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 09:24:39 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear P/J Coordinators:

There are two web sites with linkages to religious statements and other resources on Iraq: www.nccusa.org, the web site of the National Council of Churches, and www.zero-nukes.org, a new web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair. The latter also has information on other aspects of nuclear disarmament.

Also, you may be interested in the statement by UM Bishop Timothy W. Whitaker of Florida, who speaks from a just war perspective. The U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops also has a statement opposing war against Iraq from just war principles: go to <http://www.usccb.org/sdwp/international/bush902.htm>. Although personally as a pacifist I don't subscribe to the just war approach, many Christians do. So it's important to understand how that line of reasoning leads to opposition to unilateral U.S. pre-emptive action against Iraq.

Shalom,
Howard

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

> Sept. 16, 2002

>

> STATEMENT FROM FLORIDA CONFERENCE BISHOP TIMOTHY W. WHITAKER ON WAR WITH
> IRAQ

>

> Dear Friends in Christ:

>

> Included below is a statement from the Florida Council of Churches* urging
> President George W. Bush not to commit the armed forces of the United
> States to war with Iraq for the purpose of deposing the regime of Saddam
> Hussein. I have joined officials of other Christian communities in signing
> the statement.

>

> I do not wish to offend President Bush personally or politically. I
> respect him as both a person and as our president. Because he is a United
> Methodist Christian, I feel an affection for him and pray for him as he
> seeks to fulfill the burdens of his office.

>

> Nor am I a pacifist. I believe the Spirit of God has called certain
> individuals and communities to make the witness of pacifism against the
> violence that is the result of human sinfulness. Most of us have not
> received that call. We understand that in a world where there are acts of
> lawlessness sometimes nations must use force in order to restrain evil and
> protect innocent people.

>

> Neither do I criticize the administration of President Bush in its attempt
> to destroy the international network of terrorists who attacked the United
> States on Sept. 11, 2001.

>

> Yet, I am opposed to the proposition that the United States should make
> war against Iraq. The reasons for my opposition are stated simply in the
> statement of the Florida Council of Churches. Even war must be waged in
> the context of a moral perspective that provides direction and defines
> boundaries. That moral perspective is usually called the "just war
> theory." As someone who believes the "just war theory" is the best moral
> perspective we possess for deciding whether to wage war and how to wage
> war, I do not believe that a virtually unilateral decision by the United
> States to wage war has sufficient moral justification. Iraq is under the
> censure of the United Nations. Its ability to harm the Kurdish people in
> its northern region or to invade its neighbors is being contained by the
> United States military. It may be necessary to conduct air strikes on
> sites where weapons of mass destruction are manufactured or stored. If
> there is a need to conduct an invasion it should be under the authority of
> the community of nations known as the United Nations since a war on Iraq
> will affect the whole world. The approval of the United Nations is
> especially important if there is a threat posed by Iraq's "nuclear
> capability" since Iraq does not have the ability to attack the United
> States with an intercontinental ballistic missile, but it would have the
> ability to attack other nations in its region.

>

> I do not know what the consequences of a war would be. The reason that war
> should be waged only as a last resort when there are no other plausible
> solutions is because war always has long-term unforeseen consequences. One
> could imagine the deaths of many young Americans and Iraqi civilians in
> the residential areas of Baghdad, the severe disruption of the global
> economy, heightened tensions or new violence in the Middle East, and the
> eventual collapse of governments friendly to our country in the region. A
> virtually unilateral war by the United States would likely diminish the
> moral authority of our country in its leadership in the world for the
> future. A lengthy war would divide the American people. Even a brief
> "successful" war would still have significant consequences that cannot be
> anticipated.

>

> I wanted you to know directly my position. When the Spirit of God called
> me into the episcopal office I made a commitment that I would not speak in
> code. When officials speak in ambiguous and vague language that hides
> their true position they raise the level of anxiety and frustration in the
> communities of which they are a part. I believe the United Methodist
> people in Florida have a right to know my position on controversial and
> emotionally charged subjects. I try to speak clearly to you because I
> trust you. I trust that you are quite capable of assessing the strengths
> and weaknesses of my position and of making up your own mind. I trust that

> you will respect my right to express my conscience even if you think I am
> wrong.
>
> I continue to pray for President Bush, the members of his administration
> and the members of Congress. I pray for the young men and women and their
> leaders in the armed forces. I pray for the people of Iraq. Most of all I
> pray that God's kingdom will come and God's will be done on earth as it is
> in heaven.
>
> Bishop Timothy W. Whitaker
>

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: gpowers@usccb.org
Subject: Lee Resolution
Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 14:33:05 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0031_01C2630E.21D081C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Jerry,

Attached is the resolution on Iraq introduced by Rep. Lee with 26 cosponsors. I'm trying to find out if there is any effort to get other cosponsors, especially through grassroots efforts. If there is, I'll let you know so that you can pass the word to your dioceses.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

107th CONGRESS
2d Session
H. CON. RES. 473

Expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEPTEMBER 19, 2002

Ms. LEE (for herself, Mrs. CLAYTON, Ms. RIVERS, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. KUCINICH, Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. OWENS, Ms. KILPATRICK, Ms. WATSON of California, Mr. RUSH, Mrs. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. CLAY, Mr. STARK, Mr. FARR of California, Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. FILNER, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. SOLIS, and Mr. CONYERS) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on International Relations

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region.

Whereas on April 6, 1991, during the Persian Gulf War, Iraq accepted the provisions of United Nations Security Council Resolution 687 (April 3, 1991) bringing a formal cease-fire into effect;

Whereas, in accordance with Security Council Resolution 687, Iraq unconditionally accepted the destruction, removal, or rendering harmless of 'all chemical and biological weapons and all stocks of agents and all related subsystems and components and all research, development, support and manufacturing facilities related thereto', and 'all ballistic missiles with a range greater than one hundred and fifty kilometers, and related major parts and repair and production facilities';

Whereas, in accordance with Security Council Resolution 687, Iraq unconditionally agreed not to acquire or develop any nuclear weapons, nuclear-weapons-usable material, nuclear-related subsystems or components, or nuclear-related research, development, support, or manufacturing facilities;

Whereas Security Council Resolution 687 calls for the creation of a United Nations special commission to 'carry out immediate on-site inspection of Iraq's biological, chemical, and missile capabilities' and to assist and cooperate with the International Atomic Energy Agency in carrying out the 'destruction, removal or rendering harmless' of all nuclear-related items and in developing a plan for the ongoing monitoring and verification of Iraq's compliance;

Whereas United Nations weapons inspectors (UNSCOM) between 1991 and 1998 successfully uncovered and destroyed large stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons and production facilities, nuclear weapons research and development facilities, and Scud missiles, despite the fact that the Government of Iraq sought to obstruct their work in numerous ways;

Whereas in 1998, UNSCOM weapons inspectors were withdrawn from Iraq and have not returned since;

Whereas Iraq is not in compliance with United Nations Security Council Resolution 687, United Nations Security Council Resolution 1154, and additional United Nations resolutions on inspections, and this noncompliance violates international law and Iraq's ceasefire obligations and potentially endangers United States and regional security interests;

Whereas the true extent of Iraq's continued development of weapons of mass destruction and the threat posed by such development to the United States and allies in the region are unknown and cannot be known without inspections;

Whereas the United Nations was established for the purpose of preventing war and resolving disputes between nations through peaceful means, including 'by negotiation, enquiry, mediation, conciliation, arbitration, judicial settlement, resort to regional arrangements, or other peaceful means';

Whereas the United Nations remains seized of this matter;

Whereas the President has called upon the United Nations to take responsibility to assure that Iraq fulfills its obligations to the United Nations under existing United Nations Security Council resolutions;

Whereas war with Iraq would place the lives of tens of thousands of people at risk, including members of the United States armed forces, Iraqi civilian non-combatants, and civilian populations in neighboring countries;

Whereas unilateral United States military action against Iraq may undermine cooperative international efforts to reduce international terrorism and to bring to justice those responsible for the attacks of September 11, 2001;

Whereas unilateral United States military action against Iraq may also undermine United States diplomatic relations with countries throughout the Arab and Muslim world and with many other allies;

Whereas a preemptive unilateral United States first strike could both set a dangerous international precedent and significantly weaken the United Nations as an institution; and

Whereas the short-term and long-term costs of unilateral United States military action against Iraq and subsequent occupation may be significant in terms of United States casualties, the cost to the United States treasury, and harm to United States diplomatic relations with other countries: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Kayser, Marie" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: "new"
Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 14:45:11 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

Since Iraq is such an important issue now, could we put something on our home page to link with the section on Disarming Iraq on the How to Get to Zero page? Otherwise, it may be hard to find for people who enter the site on the home page.

Such as, on the home page above the box on The Story behind our Masthead, or someplace else you might suggest, could you put:

New! Disarming Iraq without War!

and link it to the proper place?

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>
Subject: A visit with Sen. Lugar's staff
Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 16:25:11 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

On Monday afternoon, September 23 I had a telephone conversation about Iraq with Ken Myers, Senator Lugar's foreign policy aide.

Ken is sometimes more hawkish than Senator Lugar. In this mode he argued that the only way to get Saddam Hussein to allow full inspection is to have a military force ready to attack him. He insisted that use of preemptive force has always been part of U.S. foreign policy. He cited the 1998 air attacks on Iraq after the inspectors were refused access to certain locations, and also Somalia, Haiti, Kosovo. But he admitted that these weren't all great successes. Yet he feels that only if the U.S. is ready to act on its own will the UN take a strong position and then have a chance of gaining full access, something that has happened for ten years.

On the practical side Ken pointed out that Senate leadership asked Bush for a proposed resolution. Every president wants as much unfettered power as possible, so naturally Bush asked for as much as he could get. On the other hand, senators don't like to give presidents blank checks. Therefore, Senator Lugar understands that the resolution language isn't a fait accompli and will work to moderate it.

Thus, we need to pay attention to the process of writing the Senate version of the resolution and its content to the extent that we can gain access.

Howard Hallman

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U
Return-Path: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>
Received: from maynard.mail.mindspring.net ([207.69.200.243])
by hazard.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17SSbC2k13Nl3qG1
for <mupj@igc.org>; Sat, 21 Sep 2002 17:53:12 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from user-119b562.biz.mindspring.com ([66.149.148.194] helo=BrendaGirtonM)
by maynard.mail.mindspring.net with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
id 17ssBb-0004GN-00; Sat, 21 Sep 2002 17:53:11 -0400
Reply-To: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>
From: "Brenda Girton-Mitchell,JD" <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>,
<redgar@nccusa.org>
Subject: RE: Other linkages
Date: Sat, 21 Sep 2002 17:53:55 -0400
Message-ID: <001e01c261b9\$6282ab90\$8b02a8c0@nccusa.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2911.0)
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Importance: Normal
In-Reply-To: <001e01c26172\$1a4cc500\$ec5af7a5@default>

Fantastic! Thanks Howard,
Hope to see you next week.
blessins, Brenda

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2002 9:17 AM
To: redgar@nccusa.org; bgirtonm@nccusa.org
Subject: Other linkages

Bob and Brenda,

Here are some suggested additions to the NCC web site on Iraq. Would you like to give me the name of the person who handles this process for you so that I can add her/his name to my communications for suggested additions?

Links to Related Sites. I suggest adding FCNL because they have a flow of good, original material.

Friends Committee on National Legislation: <http://fcnl.org>

Add to Index to Ecumenical and Denominational Statements

September 13: U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops Letter to President Bush on Iraq [<http://www.usccb.org/sdwp/international/bush902.htm>]

September 13: Statement of United Church of Christ leaders opposing U.S. war against Iraq [<http://www.ucc.org/justice/iraq1.htm>]

September 6: Episcopal Presiding Bishop Frank T. Griswold's Statement on Military Action Against Iraq
[<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/presiding-bishop/>]

September 4. United Methodist Women's Division Statement on Iraq
[http://gbgm-umc.org/umw/statement_iraq.html]

August 30: United Methodist General Board of Church and Society: Bush Urged to Turn Back from War [<http://www.umc-gbcs.org/gbpr170.htm>]

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>

Received: from maynard.mail.mindspring.net ([207.69.200.243])

by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17ST65Vw3Nl3sj0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Sat, 21 Sep 2002 18:51:33 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from user-119b562.biz.mindspring.com ([66.149.148.194] helo=BrendaGirtonM)

by maynard.mail.mindspring.net with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
id 17st64-0001sN-00

for mupj@igc.org; Sat, 21 Sep 2002 18:51:32 -0400

Reply-To: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>

From: "Brenda Girton-Mitchell,JD" <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: RE: Catholic statement on Iraq

Date: Sat, 21 Sep 2002 18:52:16 -0400

Message-ID: <002e01c261c1\$892f5c40\$8b02a8c0@nccusa.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2911.0)

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200

Importance: Normal

In-Reply-To: <004c01c260d0\$7f7f2be0\$de59f7a5@default>

Thanks, I have it.

Brenda

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]

Sent: Friday, September 20, 2002 2:07 PM

To: bgirtonm@nccusa.org

Subject: Catholic statement on Iraq

Brenda,

If you haven't seen it, please look at the statement by Bishop Gregory,
president of the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, opposing military
action against Iraq. It is found at
<http://www.usccb.org/sdwp/international/bush902.htm>. It would be a good
addition to the NCC web site.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of

Methodists United for Peace with Justice

1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13008.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.174.18])

by osgood.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TuA61Lz3Nl3pt0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 10:53:02 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020923145301.5333.qmail@web13008.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [66.149.148.194] by web13008.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 07:53:01 PDT

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 07:53:01 -0700 (PDT)

From: Christel Poelman <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>

Subject: Web site material

To: Ron Stief <stiefr@ucc.org>, Jean Stokan <jeanstokan@hotmail.com>,

Joe Volk <joe@fcn.org>, Corinne Whitlatch <cmep@cmep.org>,

Jim Winkler <jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org>, Bp Vicken Aykozion <avicken@aol.com>,

Mark Brown <marsusab@aol.com>, Daryl Byler <jdb@mcc.org>,

Rob Cavanaugh <rcavanaugh@uaa.org>,

Clayton Childers <cchilders@umc-gbcs.org>,

Mary Elizabeth Clark <meclark@networklobby.org>,

Robert Edgar <redgar@nccusa.org>,

Brenda Girton-Mitchell <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>,

Catherine Gordon <cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org>, Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>,

Bryan Hissony <washofc@aol.com>, Chris Hobgood <chobccca@aol.com>,

Robin Hoecker <rhoecker@uaa.org>, Janet Horman <jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>,

Ken Brooker Langstor <auathome@aol.com>, James Matlack <jmatlack@erols.com>,

"J.E. Mc Neil" <jmcnrick@erols.com>,

Doug Nurell <dnorell@catholicrelief.org>, Meg Riley <MRiley@uaa.org>,

David Sapertein <dsapertein@rac.org>, Duane Shank <dshank@sojo.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1536947486-1032792781=:5099"

--0-1536947486-1032792781=:5099

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Dear Colleagues:

As a service to the faith community and others, I have created a section on "Disarming Iraq without War" on www.zero-nukes.org, the web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament . It contains a compilation of

(1) statements by religious organizations opposing war against Iraq and

(2) articles and reports on alternatives to war. . It is found at

<http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq>

You may want to provide linkage on your web site. One possible entree could be:

Disarming Iraq without War

[<http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq>]

Statements by religious organizations and proposals for alternative approaches.

Or whatever you choose.

As you look at the site, please let me know of any errors and additional statements and articles that should be posted.

Shalom
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13904.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.67])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TuOk4oo3Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 11:07:44 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020923150743.65727.qmail@web13904.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13904.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 08:07:43 PDT

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 08:07:43 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Correction to Iraq additions

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <000e01c26172\$f9b43ca0\$ec5af7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1654983224-1032793663=:64637"

--0-1654983224-1032793663=:64637

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,

I made the corrections.

Thanks,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote:Marie,

After I sent you Iraq additions a few minutes ago, I realized that I hadn't saved my latest changes. Here is the correct version.

Howard

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/msword name=Iraq additions.01.doc

Status: U

Return-Path: <cmep@cmep.org>

Received: from mail07a.vwh1.net ([209.238.9.57])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TvNF11u3N13p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 12:11:06 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from www.cmep.org (209.238.154.39)

by mail07a.vwh1.net (RS ver 1.0.63s) with SMTP id 136939;
Mon, 23 Sep 2002 12:09:24 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <001601c2631b\$936c72a0\$9864a8c0@yourk71u5e0gcl>

From: "Corinne Whitlatch" <cmep@cmep.org>

To: "Christel Poelman" <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>

Cc: <mupj@igc.org>

References: <20020923145301.5333.qmail@web13008.mail.yahoo.com>

Subject: trouble with Iraq Web site material

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 12:09:20 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0013_01C262FA.0C134250"

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000

X-Loop-Detect: 1

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0013_01C262FA.0C134250

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Christel and Howard, I can get the website, but don't seem to be able =
to find the Iraq statements. Corinne=20

----- Original Message -----=20

From: Christel Poelman=20

To: Ron Stief ; Jean Stokan ; Joe Volk ; Corinne Whitlatch ; Jim =
Winkler ; Bp Vicken Aykozion ; Mark Brown ; Daryl Byler ; Rob Cavanaugh =
; Clayton Childers ; Mary Elizabeth Clark ; Robert Edgar ; Brenda =
Girton-Mitchell ; Catherine Gordon ; Howard Hallman ; Bryan Hissony ; =
Chris Hobgood ; Robin Hoecker ; Janet Horman ; Ken Brooker Langstor ; =
James Matlack ; J.E. Mc Neil ; Doug Nurell ; Meg Riley ; David Sapertein =
; Duane Shank=20

Sent: Monday, September 23, 2002 10:53 AM

Subject: Web site material

Dear Colleagues:

As a service to the faith community and others, I have created a=20
section on "Disarming Iraq without War" on www.zero-nukes.org, the web =
site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament . It contains a =
compilation of

(1) statements by religious organizations opposing war against Iraq =
and=20

(2) articles and reports on alternatives to war. . It is found at
<http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq>

You may want to provide linkage on your web site. One possible entree =
could be:

Disarming Iraq without War

[<http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq>]

Statements by religious organizations and proposals for alternative
approaches.

Or whatever you choose.

As you look at the site, please let me know of any errors and=20
additional statements and articles that should be posted.

Shalom

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of

Methodists United for Peace with Justice

1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association =
of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist=20
denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13907.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.70])

by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TypW5C43Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 14:58:46 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020923185846.99244.qmail@web13907.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13907.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 11:58:46 PDT

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 11:58:46 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Help ! Missing sections

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <001e01c2632b\$eecab200\$105bf7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-560650542-1032807526=:99098"

--0-560650542-1032807526=:99098

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

I'm not sure what happened. Please try it now.

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote: Marie, On How to Get to Zero everything is missing after the National Academy of Sciences section, which itself is incomplete. What happened? Howard tr

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13903.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.29])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TyO85TN3NI3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 15:23:48 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020923192347.13516.qmail@web13903.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13903.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 12:23:47 PDT

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 12:23:47 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: "new"

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <003e01c26331\$697de1c0\$105bf7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-877693882-1032809027=:12943"

--0-877693882-1032809027=:12943

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,

It's been added - right above the masthead link.

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote:Marie,

Since Iraq is such an important issue now, could we put something on our home page to link with the section on Disarming Iraq on the How to Get to Zero page? Otherwise, it may be hard to find for people who enter the site on the home page.

Such as, on the home page above the box on The Story behind our Masthead, or someplace else you might suggest, could you put:

New! Disarming Iraq without War!

and link it to the proper place?

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Marie Kayser" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
References: <20020923150743.65727.qmail@web13904.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Correction to Iraq additions
Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 16:41:59 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0079_01C26320.22C16C40"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0079_01C26320.22C16C40
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

There is an error to remove and correction to make.

Under Church of the Brethren you have "United Church Christ =
leaders....", which should be taken out. It already appears below in =
its proper place.

NETWORK, A Catholic Social Justice Lobby should be in bold face.

Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: <carolf@nccusa.org>

Received: from swan.mail.pas.earthlink.net ([207.217.120.123])

by wanamaker.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17Tzm27tM3N13oJ0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 15:58:50 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from sdn-ap-013dcwashp0287.dialsprint.net ([63.188.129.33] helo=CarolFouke)

by swan.mail.pas.earthlink.net with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1) id 17tZLw-0003d5-00; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 12:58:45 -0700

Reply-To: <carolf@nccusa.org>

From: "Carol Fouke" <carolf@nccusa.org>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Cc: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>, <wpattillo@nccusa.org>

Subject: RE: Other linkages

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 05:12:25 -0400

Message-ID: <008c01c2633b\$75772cc0\$2365f4d1@nccusa.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_008D_01C26319.EE658CC0"

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2911.0)

Importance: Normal

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

In-Reply-To: <e.257491d9.2abdefff@aol.com>

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_008D_01C26319.EE658CC0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks so much -- I'm getting these links up now! Carol (web)

-----Original Message-----

From: Stcbob2@aol.com [mailto:Stcbob2@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2002 11:54 AM

To: mupj@igc.org

Cc: bgirtonm@nccusa.org; wpattillo@nccusa.org; cfouke@nccusa.org

Subject: Re: Other linkages

Dear Howard:

We forward good email messages like yours to two people: Pat Pattillo -- wpattillo@nccusa.org, our Communications Director and Carol Fouke -- cfouke@nccusa.org, who works in our Communications Department. Carol is the person updating our website this week. Thanks.

Peace,

Bob

Bob and Brenda,

Here are some suggested additions to the NCC web site on Iraq. Would you like to give me the name of the person who handles this process for you so that I can add her/his name to my communications for suggested additions?

Links to Related Sites. I suggest adding FCNL because they have a flow of good, original material.
Friends Committee on National Legislation: <http://fcnl.org>

Add to Index to Ecumenical and Denominational Statements
September 13: U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops Letter to President Bush on Iraq [<http://www.usccb.org/sdwp/international/bush902.htm>]

September 13: Statement of United Church of Christ leaders opposing U.S. war against Iraq [<http://www.ucc.org/justice/iraq1.htm>]

September 6: Episcopal Presiding Bishop Frank T. Griswold's Statement on Military Action Against Iraq [<http://www.episcopalchurch.org/presiding-bishop/>]

September 4. United Methodist Women's Division Statement on Iraq [http://gbgm-umc.org/umw/statement_iraq.html]

August 30: United Methodist General Board of Church and Society: Bush Urged to Turn Back from War [<http://www.umc-gbcs.org/gbpr170.htm>]

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Status: U

Return-Path: <johnburroughs@lcn.org>

Received: from mx.nas-smoothtransition.com ([66.95.157.157])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17TBeG7Js3Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 17:59:21 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from [66.200.156.83] ([66.200.156.83])

by mx.nas-smoothtransition.com (8.11.4/8.9.3) with SMTP id g8NLUqf01381
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 17:56:52 -0400

Message-Id: <200209232156.g8NLUqf01381@mx.nas-smoothtransition.com>

X-Authentication-Warning: nas-c37-07.nas-inter.net: [66.200.156.83] didn't use HELO protocol

X-Sender: johnburroughs@mail.lcn.org@pop3.norton.antivirus

X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.0.1

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 17:53:09 -0400

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

From: John Burroughs <johnburroughs@lcn.org>

Subject: Re: Our new web site

In-Reply-To: <006201c25f56\$b39e08e0\$f857f7a5@default>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Dear Howard - congratulations on the new website. I looked at it - it's very attractive.

It is impossible for me now to write an article. However, I did recently prepare a "briefing paper" for the Middle Powers Initiative which might be excerpted for your purposes. It is under recent additions on the LCNP homepage, www.lcn.org Take a look and let me know if you're interested. Permission would have to be obtained from MPI. - John

At 04:59 PM 09/18/2002 -0400, you wrote:

>Dear John,

>

>Even as Iraq is receiving the world's attention for its possible possession
>of weapons of mass destruction, the huge nuclear arsenals of the United
>States and Russia and the lesser arsenals of other known possessors remain a
>major concern for the peace and security of Earth. In spite of being a step
>in the right direction, the Moscow Treaty of 2002 retains so many U.S. and
>Russian strategic warheads that in practice the Cold War doctrine of mutual
>assured destruction (MAD) remains in place.

>

>The Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, is
>convinced that the world can do better. Accordingly we have established a
>new web site called www.zero-nukes.org. It has two purposes: (1) provide a
>source for statements on nuclear disarmament by religious organizations,
>military leaders, civil sector organizations, commissions and international
>bodies; and (2) offer opportunity for presentation of proposals and dialogue
>on how to get to zero nuclear weapons.

>

>If you visit the site, you will find that we have provide linkage to the
>Model Nuclear Weapons Convention (and I'm thinking about extracting the
>schedule for phased elimination). When I finish the Civil Statements page,
>I will have more on the Lawyers' Committee on Nuclear Policy.

>

>We invite you to contribute to the dialogue on nuclear disarmament by

>writing a short article on your current thinking about concrete steps
>required to eliminate nuclear weapons. Your scenario might go to zero or
>only approach zero if you don't see how to go all the way. You might deal
>with stages of reduction, categories of weapons, priorities for action,
>methods of verification, or however you want to address the issue.
>
>We are suggesting an article around 2,000 words, but we will accept less and
>more. We will post your article on the site at
><http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#scenarios>.
>We are unable to provide compensation, but we hope that you will be willing
>to contribute your ideas to this forum for public discussion.
>
>You can send your submission to me at proposal@zero-nukes.org. It would be
>helpful to receive the article as a Word attachment or equivalent.
>
>If you want to comment on the views of others, you can do so at
><http://www.zero-nukes.org/yourfeedback.html#comments>.
>
>Thank you for your cooperation,
>
>Howard
>
>P.S. I'm working on an idea for a model "START IV" and will write you
>separately about this.
>
>Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org
>
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.
>

John Burroughs, Executive Director
Lawyers' Committee on Nuclear Policy
211 E. 43d St., Suite 1204
New York, New York 10017 USA
tel: +1 212 818 1861 fax: 818 1857
e-mail: johnburroughs@lcnp.org
website: www.lcnp.org

Status: U

Return-Path: <johnburroughs@lcnp.org>

Received: from mx.nas-smoothtransition.com ([66.95.157.147])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17TBkuwE3NI3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:05:22 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from [66.200.156.83] ([66.200.156.83])

by mx.nas-smoothtransition.com (8.11.4/8.9.3) with SMTP id g8NM67J25419
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:06:07 -0400

Message-Id: <200209232206.g8NM67J25419@mx.nas-smoothtransition.com>

X-Authentication-Warning: nas-c38-07.nas-inter.net: [66.200.156.83] didn't use HELO protocol

X-Sender: johnburroughs@mail.lcnp.org@pop3.norton.antivirus

X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.0.1

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 17:59:09 -0400

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

From: John Burroughs <johnburroughs@lcnp.org>

Subject: Re: A model deep cuts treaty

In-Reply-To: <006701c26026\$91ef5480\$a95cf7a5@default>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Dear Howard -

I am interested in this proposal, and perhaps LCNP could be involved.

However, a model treaty should not only be about reductions to 200-400 as you state. There's already a model for this in the START treaties (if you disagree, I'd be interested in your reasons). Instead it should also address dealerting under international monitoring of remaining warheads (as Jonathan Dean and others have proposed). I realize this would involve the other nuclear-armed states. Perhaps there could be a bilateral reduction treaty and a multilateral dealerting treaty.

Keep me posted - John

At 05:48 PM 09/19/2002 -0400, you wrote:

>Dear John,

>

>On the theory that you can't beat something with nothing, I have been
>thinking about the possibility of having the Interfaith Committee for
>Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair, ask some experts to develop a model
>treaty for very deep cuts in the U.S. and Russian strategic arsenals. It
>would put on paper the kind of reductions that a variety of reports have
>recommended in recent years. Reductions would be irreversible, leading to
>dismantlement of weapons taken out of service. My ideas are spelled out in
>the attachment.

>

>I admire the Model Nuclear Weapons Convention that you and others developed
>five years ago. The first step of your plan was to reduce U.S. and Russian
>warheads to a level approaching the other nuclear weapon states and then
>bringing them in. What I am suggesting is a push for a bilateral agreement
>for deep cuts before going into multilateral negotiations.

>

>A model treaty of this sort could be a useful rallying point for the faith
>community in the U.S. and counterparts in Canada and Europe. It could also

>be a rallying point for a broader coalition with civil sector organizations.
>The drafting process could involve contacts in Russia and maybe some citizen
>diplomacy through contacts with U.S. and Russian officials. If we do this
>right, we could fulfill President Eisenhower's warning that some day
>citizens will insist that their governments give them the peace they crave,
>in this case, nuclear disarmament.

>
>Would you and your colleagues be interested in exploring this possibility
>with the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament? I'm also initiating
>conversations with persons from the arms control community in Washington.

>
>At the moment the faith community is concentrating on opposition to war
>against Iraq. This won't go on forever. Perhaps by the first of next year
>or springtime we will be able give more attention to broader nuclear
>disarmament again. Drafting a model treaty could help prepare for that
>opportunity.

>
>I'll call you next week to discuss this.

>
>Shalom,
>Howard

>
>Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
>Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
>laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

>
>
>

John Burroughs, Executive Director
Lawyers' Committee on Nuclear Policy
211 E. 43d St., Suite 1204
New York, New York 10017 USA
tel: +1 212 818 1861 fax: 818 1857
e-mail: johnburroughs@lcn.org
website: www.lcn.org

Status: U

Return-Path: woa.lps@igc.org

Received: from granger.mail.mindspring.net ([207.69.200.148]) by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17TBD94q93NI3oW0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:24:39 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from pool-63.49.128.235.bltn.grid.net ([63.49.128.235] helo=igc.org) by granger.mail.mindspring.net with esmtp (Exim 3.33 #1) id 17tbd0-0005LI-00; Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:24:31 -0400

Message-ID: 3D8F948F.B267709A@igc.org

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:24:15 -0400

From: Leon Spencer woa.lps@igc.org

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: mupj@igc.org

CC: barbs@nccusa.org

Subject: Re: FW: web site materials,

References: [000501c26314\\$8539f920\\$8902a8c0@nccusa.org](mailto:000501c26314$8539f920$8902a8c0@nccusa.org)

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----8A0693CC3F86DE2399E78462"

Howard, my sermon yesterday drew quite a strong and positive response. I attach it. If you wish to post it, that's fine with me. Although I am exec dir of the ecumenical Washington Office on Africa, this is not an official WOA statement!

The fact that this came from Barbara may indicate that Lisa or someone at NCCC/CWS in Washington sent it to you, I don't know.

Anyway, all the best. Thanks for the initiative.

Yours,
Leon

Leon Spencer

Barbara Saunders wrote:

Dear Colleagues:

As a service to the faith community and others, I have created a section on "Disarming Iraq without War" on www.zero-nukes.org, the web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament. It contains a compilation of

(1) statements by religious organizations opposing war against Iraq and

(2) articles and reports on alternatives to war. . It is found at

<http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq>

You may want to provide linkage on your web site. One possible entree could be:

Disarming Iraq without War

[\[http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq\]](http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq)

Statements by religious organizations and proposals for alternative approaches.

Or whatever you choose.

As you look at the site, please let me know of any errors and additional statements and articles that should be posted.

Shalom

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Do you Yahoo!?

New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!

Sermon at St. Thomas' Parish, Dupont Circle, Washington, DC

By Leon Spencer

September 22, 2002

Based upon the readings Jonah 3:10-4:11 and Matthew 20:1-16

Episcopal lectionary, proper 20, year A

Getting out from under Jonah's withered tree

I've always wanted to preach a sermon on Jonah, and this time it's irresistible. And it's not just because of what is going on around us. After all, this passage does not say, "And President Bush preached in these words, 'Only 40 days more and Baghdad is going to be destroyed.'" It does not say, "The news reached Saddam Hussein, who promulgated by decree, 'I will permit the United Nations weapons inspectors to re-enter my country.'" It does not go on to say, "The United Nations saw this possibility for peace, and they relented, and they did not inflict on Iraq the disaster which they had threatened. And President Bush was very indignant at this; he fell into a rage, and said, 'I knew that you would show compassion. If I cannot destroy Baghdad, I might as well be dead.' And God said, 'Are you right to be angry?'"

The passage doesn't say that.

More's the pity, for these are dangerous times, and someone needs to.

Destroying cities, destroying people, is not a decision to be taken lightly, not an open-ended option for the most powerful nation on earth, not a choice to be put into play on a par with peaceful solutions. Yet that's what's happening. I do consider it to be a decision being taken lightly when our President keeps trying out different reasons for war until he finds one that resonates. His administration saw Iraq as part of the terrorist conspiracy of September 11th until they could present no evidence that that was so. They suggested the United States faced an imminent threat from Iraq until they could not indicate such an imminent threat. They argued that there was threat of nuclear attack until they acknowledged that Iraq did not have nuclear weapons and there was no credible evidence that they were on the threshold of acquiring them. They suggested we could be victims of biological or chemical weapons until they acknowledged that Iraq's ways of "delivering" those weapons to a target 7,000 miles away was with missiles whose range was less than 400. The current mantra is that this is a threat to the "integrity" of the United States. Is this Bush definition of integrity a cause to die for, a cause to make people suffer for? Is it sufficient for us to advance the frightening premise that *preemptive* action is a good foundation for the world to live by – a policy which the President enshrined in his national security report Friday [September 20], saying that given our power, we cannot permit others to gain competing power, we cannot restrict defense to the meaning of the word, we must instead claim the right to act "offensively" if we perceive someone to be threatening, we must define "balance of power" as "US dominance"? How joyfully Jonah must have gone about Ninevah, saying over and over, in a few days, you are going to be destroyed.

This is not to say that Saddam Hussein is not a dangerous man who has the potential to hurt us. It *is* to say that all that we have is the presidential repetition that we should seek to topple another

government militarily because its leader is bad, and when opportunities present themselves with the *potential* for a peaceful solution, our president joins Jonah under a withered tree and pouts.

These are matters for the Church. Yes, they are matters with which people of faith may disagree, but they are *not* matters to be left to a political leadership that lacks the will to engage in thoughtful and prayerful analysis and debate, and left to a dominant press that chooses not to report dissent and the analysis that justifies dissent. We are a nation marching to a war that offers all of the tragedy of every war, offers that tragedy without clarity of purpose, need, evidence or justification, and promises to create a more dangerous world that will play upon our insecurities and vulnerabilities for years. For the Church to remain silent at this time is a sin.



The Church's understanding of Christ as the Prince of Peace is a richly, sometimes disturbingly, varied one. You will know the variations as well as I, from Christian pacifism –an *active* not *passive* stance for people of faith, we perhaps should be reminded – from Christian pacifism to the just war tradition. Although we Anglicans and Episcopalians can boast a good minority peace tradition, we have tended toward the idea of a just war. Part of that no doubt comes out of our heritage as a state church, and out of a general predilection for access to power, and to influence power, over standing shouting (sometimes prophetically, sometimes not) from the sidelines. The just war tradition is the more *real politik* option in Christian ethics, and that's where our particular tradition has often been the most comfortable.

We can embrace the just war tradition as the standard by which to judge our current circumstance and *still*, I think, have something to say to our nation and our world that advances an alternative vision of human relationships to the war-mongering that dominates at this moment.

Throughout the ages, the question for Christians, followers of the Prince of Peace, has been whether war itself can be justified. Ambrose and Augustine, some 1500 years ago, began the exploration of what would be just cause for war. Thinking has evolved over time, and the core of the just war tradition now embraces these features:

- ∞ Right authority: War is to be initiated by those who possess legitimate authority to wage war. Governments, usually.
- ∞ Just cause: There is a reason that meets ethical standards, a cause that affirms universal human values.
- ∞ Right intention: The purpose of the war is to secure an end to the injustice, a solution to the cause.
- ∞ Last resort: Use of force should be resorted to only after all other efforts have failed.
- ∞ And proportionality: Force should be in proportion to the “evil” that is to be remedied, should be related to success, and should contribute to peace.

I'm not going to march through all of these and offer my analysis of them. I will say that we deserve a meaningful, substantive debate about ours being a just cause, when this administration cannot decide on what the cause we are fighting for is besides “he's bad.” We deserve a substantive debate over our turning to war as a last resort, when Jonah and our President are both sitting under the tree angry that there may be a peaceful solution. We certainly deserve a

substantive debate over whether war in Iraq, even successful war, will ultimately contribute to peace in the region and in the world, when that likelihood seems so remote. And we're not getting that debate, folks, and despite thoughtful statements from our own Bishop, from the present and future Archbishops of Canterbury. and from a few others in faith circles, we people of faith are not contributing much to that debate either.



The massive power possessed by the United States always carries with it the potential for good. We could be, in Jonah's words, tender and compassionate, slow to anger, rich in graciousness, relenting from evil. We aren't. This week I've been talking with friends who went down to Johannesburg for the World Summit on Sustainable Development. The hostility felt toward the United States from throughout the world, by delegates and NGO representatives alike, they said, was stunning... and deserved. We talk about our compassion for those who lack clean water, but then we promote water privatization, by which people living in poverty either pay a company intent on profit for clean water, or they don't get it. We fight to protect pharmaceutical companies at the expense of people living in poverty and who are crying out for affordable medicines. We refuse to restrict access to US capital markets of oil companies whose profits support the war machinery in Sudan on the grounds that we should not interfere with our economic system, then we help to destroy local economies by insisting on the application of trade rules rigged in our favor. We deny rights to workers on the grounds that labor rights threaten our security. We undermine, indeed often threaten, the rights of women to lives of dignity and health. We detain people without charge, without access to counsel *or* the courts, indefinitely. Throughout the world – in the Middle East and in the Global South especially – we delight in blaming victims. We withdraw from international agreements to protect the environment, weapons control, even, ironically, limits on biological and chemical weapons that have served as one of the grounds justifying war against Iraq. When we are accused of being unwilling to work with other nations to address global problems, we go to the UN and proclaim that we will respect their prerogatives... as long as they do what we want, when we want it, the way we want it. Otherwise, we tell them, we will do what we like, alone. That's our version of multilateralism, of playing well with others. And when others see things differently, we are enraged. "I have every right to be angry," Jonah said.

Our arrogance in international circles is stunning. And *people of faith, you and me, privileged to live in a country of such power, need to, must, proclaim an alternative vision grounded in our faith.* When Jonah accused God, *accused* God, of being a God of tenderness and compassion, slow to anger, rich in graciousness, relenting from evil, a God who dared to have compassion upon a people who had done wrong, God essentially said, "Right; that's me."

Now, you and I may look at one another and say to ourselves: We're pretty compassionate, sometimes gracious, rarely angry, trying to do the right thing most of the time. But when we permit our national institutions to abuse our place in the world, to ignore the needs of the world – for which we both bear responsibility and possess the capacity to address – and when we undermine the abiding hope, held by people from around the world, that somehow the common good will be served, we may not actually be Jonah sitting under the tree, furious at God's call to compassion... but I am convinced that you and I are somewhere nearby.

Perhaps we need to move away from today's story of Jonah's outrageousness, and reflect prayerfully upon the warning in the last line of the Gospel reading: "And the first shall be last, and the last shall be first."

These are dangerous times, my friends. As aggressively as I may raise questions that I believe demand answers from our leaders, I don't presume to argue that my analyses are somehow "it." Rather I am saying that this is the very moment for people of faith to seek to discern the signs of the times, together; to witness to an alternative vision to which God has drawn us, together, through Jonah and all of the prophets, and through the life and teachings, death and resurrection of our Lord Jesus Christ. We need to proclaim that ours is a God

- ☞ Of tenderness and compassion,
- ☞ Slow to anger,
- ☞ Rich in graciousness,

and we shouldn't be angry at God for God's qualities, and God's call to us to embrace those qualities.

We need to get out from under Jonah's withered tree.

Amen.

Status: U

Return-Path: <nonukes@foesyd.org.au>

Received: from smtp3.ihug.com.au ([203.109.250.76])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17TGnk5cZ3Nl3pm0

Mon, 23 Sep 2002 23:55:55 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from p79-apx1.syd.ihug.com.au ([203.173.150.87]) [203.173.140.79]

by smtp3.ihug.com.au with esmtp (Exim 3.22 #1 (Debian))

id 17tgn4-0000gU-00; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 13:55:14 +1000

X-Sender: foesyd4@pop.ihug.com.au

Message-Id: <10313030db9b58b6c7341@[203.173.150.87]>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

X-Priority: 2 (High)

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 13:25:53 +1000

To: Recipient List Suppressed;

From: FoE Sydney - Nuclear Campaign <nonukes@foesyd.org.au>

Subject: SAY NO TO WAR ON IRAQ: PLS SIGN LETTER TO BUSH, BLAIR, CONGRESS

APOLOGIES FOR DOUBLE POSTING.

ORGANISATIONS, PARLIAMENTARIANS, AND PROMINENT INDIVIDUALS SIGNATURES ARE URGED TO SIGN THIS LETTER AND TO PASS IT ON TO OTHERS.

The letter below will be sent to President Bush, members of the Bush administration, Prime Minister Blair, key US allies and copied to the governments of Germany, France, and Russia.

Do please sign it if you/your organisation believes that war with Iraq is a terrible idea.

The undersigned organizations, representing people from around the entire world appeal to the US administration:

a) To seek diplomatic means to solve the present crisis over alleged Iraqi weapons of mass destruction, using the United Nations, rather than invading Iraq, and avoiding taking unilateral military action with no basis in international law.

We welcome the recent decision by the government of Iraq to allow weapons inspectors back in to Iraq, and urge that the UN organize an inspection team immediately and put it to work without delay.

b) Neither to undertake pre-emptive military action against Iraq nor to coerce other countries into sanctioning or supporting pre-emptive military action; rather to enable the inspectors to do their work freely and effectively, accompanied by whatever UN peacekeeping forces may be necessary solely for their safe passage and protection.

c) To understand that the correct approach to the problem of the

elimination of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, and the establishment of a global norm where possession of WMD is unacceptable, begins with:

(1) the elimination by ALL countries including the nuclear weapons states, of weapons of mass destruction according to binding international obligations - to which the USA is a signatory.

(2) acceptance by the United States and other nuclear powers, that full implementation of the Non-Proliferation Treaty is essential to the process.

Strict implementation and verification of the Chemical and Biological Weapons Conventions will also be vital to the pursuit of the global elimination of these terrible weapons.

The Bush administration threatens to make war on Iraq.

It argues that Iraq's purported development of weapons of mass destruction necessitates this.

All weapons of mass destruction, especially nuclear weapons, pose a clear and present danger to the whole world.

We affirm our strong belief that no country should possess, use or threaten to use such weapons and that they must be eliminated from global arsenals under strict international control of the UN Security Council.

Allegations that Iraq has developed, or intends to develop, weapons of mass destruction must be objectively tested and verified, and Iraq must comply in full with disarmament resolutions of the United Nations Security Council.

We emphasise that the overwhelming preponderance of such weapons are in the hands of the US and Russia, and then the UK, France, China, India, Israel, and Pakistan. We further recognize that the NPT States Parties amongst this group have made no serious effort to comply with their treaty obligations under Article VI.

It was with great alarm that we saw that India and Pakistan were close to a nuclear exchange last May and June, and Israel has recently threatened to use of nuclear weapons in response to a conventional, chemical, or biological attack from Iraq.

Iraq may or may not have made significant progress toward acquiring weapons of mass destruction. The return of weapons inspectors to that country will hopefully help to clear up exactly how much progress Iraq has or has not made to that goal.

The United States however, is seeking both to maintain its current arsenals and to build and test new nuclear weapons for use in war against WMD targets. This and other new and aggressive nuclear policies set out in the recent Nuclear Posture Review, contradict the United States international legal obligations under the NPT. To demand that action be taken against Iraq for seeking to possess WMD requires absolutely that the US itself and other nuclear weapon states

fulfil their treaty obligations to eliminate their own WMD arsenals.

We affirm our belief that the use of nuclear weapons, against WMD or conventional targets, can never be justified because of the uniquely horrible human and environmental effects of these weapons.

The possession of nuclear weapons whether by Iraq or else by the US, Russia, China, the UK, France, India, Pakistan, and Israel, is equally unacceptable. As long as these countries continue to possess nuclear weapons, others will seek to do likewise.

Unless it is prepared to act to disarm its own nuclear arsenal, the US has no moral authority to act as the guardian of global non-proliferation efforts. Rather, US insistence that nuclear weapons are essential to its own security undermines non-proliferation efforts and justifies their continued possession by others on national security grounds.

It is noteworthy that although the Bush Administration says that it aims to protect the USA, its friends and Iraq's neighbours, these countries near to Iraq have yet to ask for protection and unanimously oppose invasion fearing regional destabilization.

A unilateral military invasion of Iraq, even if successful in the short term, will unleash powerful resentment from Iraq's neighbours, and strengthen the hand of the USA's enemies. It will negate whatever gains have been achieved in so-called 'war on terror', and make more likely a repeat of the events of September 11.

Unilateral military action would erode the rule of international law and weaken the United Nations framework. So also would the use of pressure to obtain a 'favourable' resolution in the security council.

We call on the USA to work with, and to respect, the decisions of the world body rather than act outside the rule of law, or to twist the law to its own purposes.

The Administration's case for war against Iraq has not been based on that country's support of terrorist groups, and has presented no evidence to support such a claim. More to the point, Iraq would be most unlikely to relinquish control of WMD to groups outside its control unless it were faced with a possible collapse of the state from invasion. If the international community is concerned that the Iraqi government might pass WMD to terrorist groups, then a military attack for the purpose of overthrowing that government is irrational, because it would provoke precisely the action it was intended to prevent.

To call an invasion of Iraq 'pre-emptive' has no legal basis, unless there is evidence of an imminent attack by Iraq on the US, its allies or regional neighbours of Iraq. No such evidence is forthcoming. Iraq has not in recent years provoked any other country and the CIA has itself stated that there is no evidence that Iraq was involved in the events of September 11, 2001.

Accordingly the undersigned organizations and people urge that the differences between the US and Iraq be solved by the use of the United

Nations framework, and that those who are genuinely and rightly concerned over the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction take the measures that are truly needed to solve that problem, starting with the elimination of their own massive and deadly arsenals.

Finally we urge the United States to act as part of an international community of nations under international law., and not as if it can do no wrong and is entitled to use any means to achieve its goals.

We hope for your early and detailed reply,

(Signed)

INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS

John Loretz, International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW) Boston, Mass,

Yumi Kikuchi, Founder Global Peace Campaign, Japan,

George Farebrother, Secretary, World Court Project

US ORGANISATIONS

Martin Butcher, Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR), Washington DC,

Alfred L. Marder, President, US Peace Council

Alfred L. Marder, President, City of New Haven, Connecticut Peace Commission

UK ORGANISATIONS

Lindis Percy and Anni Rainbow, Co-ordinators CAMPAIGN FOR THE ACCOUNTABILITY OF AMERICAN BASES (CAAB)

AUSTRALIAN ORGANISATIONS

John Hallam, Friends of the Earth Australia

Irene Gale AM, Australian Peace Committee, Adelaide,

Giji Gya/Sue Wareham, Medical Association for the Prevention of War (MAPW) Melb, Aust,

Claude Mostowik, Missionaries of the Sacred Heart Justice and Peace Centre, Erskineville, NSW.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Kayser, Marie" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Site failure
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 07:54:57 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

This morning the How to Get to Zero page ends not long after the beginning of the De-alerting section. Disarming Iraq is completely missing. What's the matter?

Howard

Reply-To: "Carlee Hallman" mupj@igc.org

From: "Carlee Hallman" mupj@igc.org

To: <interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com>, <redgar@nccusa.org>, <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>, <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>, <cmep@cmep.org>, <jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org>, <RMiley@uua.org>, <chobccca@aol.com>, <dsaperstein@rac.org>, <joe @fcnl.org>, <ned@fcnl.org>, meclark@networklobby.org

Subject: Lee Resolution on Iraq

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 15:17:28 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_003C_01C263DD.7F3E3260"

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

Dear Colleagues:

Many of you are involved in the work of the Washington Interreligious Staff Council (WISC) to oppose going to war against Iraq. For those of you who are not, it is described on the web site of the National Council of Churches at <http://www.nccusa.org>. In particular, this effort is concentrated on U.S. senators because the best opportunity to halt the rush to war is in the Senate. If you are not focusing on senators, especially Foreign Relations Committee members, I urge you to do so.

For those of you that want also to offer your grassroots networks an opportunity to deal with U.S. representatives, you should look at the resolution introduced by Rep. Barbara Lee of California and 26 cosponsors. I am attaching a copy, or you can find it at <http://thomas.loc.gov/> and type in H.Con.Res.473. The operative language is:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

As a concurrent resolution, it is not binding as will be the case with the joint resolution that Congress probably will enact ultimately. However, it is a good way for constituents to register their support for an alternative to the resolution authorizing use of force that President Bush has proposed.

As a point of departure for you, I have drafted a possible action alert on the Lee Resolution.

Shalom,
Howard

###

OPPOSE WAR AGAINST IRAQ, SUPPORT THE LEE RESOLUTION

President George W. Bush has asked Congress to adopt a resolution to authorize "The President . . . to use all means that he determines is appropriate, including force . . . against Iraq." Numerous religious organizations are opposing war against Iraq and instead are supporting international inspections through the United Nations to deal with the problem of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. [Insert the position of your denomination.]

Representative Barbara Lee of California and 26 cosponsors have introduced an alternative in the form of House Concurrent Resolution 473. Its operative language is as follows:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

We urge you to call or fax your representative in Washington or at a district field office and ask him or her to support the Lee Resolution and become a cosponsor. You can point out that it is preferable to resolve the dispute with Iraq through peaceful means with the support of the international community. This is a wiser course than preemptive, unilateral military action by the United States with inevitable loss of the lives of American service men and women and the lives of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

###

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

107th CONGRESS
2d Session
H. CON. RES. 473

Expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEPTEMBER 19, 2002

Ms. LEE (for herself, Mrs. CLAYTON, Ms. RIVERS, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. KUCINICH, Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. OWENS, Ms. KILPATRICK, Ms. WATSON of California, Mr. RUSH, Mrs. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. CLAY, Mr. STARK, Mr. FARR of California, Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. FILNER, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. SOLIS, and Mr. CONYERS) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on International Relations

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region.

Whereas on April 6, 1991, during the Persian Gulf War, Iraq accepted the provisions of United Nations Security Council Resolution 687 (April 3, 1991) bringing a formal cease-fire into effect;

Whereas, in accordance with Security Council Resolution 687, Iraq unconditionally accepted the destruction, removal, or rendering harmless of 'all chemical and biological weapons and all stocks of agents and all related subsystems and components and all research, development, support and manufacturing facilities related thereto', and 'all ballistic missiles with a range greater than one hundred and fifty kilometers, and related major parts and repair and production facilities';

Whereas, in accordance with Security Council Resolution 687, Iraq unconditionally agreed not to acquire or develop any nuclear weapons, nuclear-weapons-usable material, nuclear-related subsystems or components, or nuclear-related research, development, support, or manufacturing facilities;

Whereas Security Council Resolution 687 calls for the creation of a United Nations special commission to 'carry out immediate on-site inspection of Iraq's biological, chemical, and missile capabilities' and to assist and cooperate with the International Atomic Energy Agency in carrying

out the 'destruction, removal or rendering harmless' of all nuclear-related items and in developing a plan for the ongoing monitoring and verification of Iraq's compliance;

Whereas United Nations weapons inspectors (UNSCOM) between 1991 and 1998 successfully uncovered and destroyed large stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons and production facilities, nuclear weapons research and development facilities, and Scud missiles, despite the fact that the Government of Iraq sought to obstruct their work in numerous ways;

Whereas in 1998, UNSCOM weapons inspectors were withdrawn from Iraq and have not returned since;

Whereas Iraq is not in compliance with United Nations Security Council Resolution 687, United Nations Security Council Resolution 1154, and additional United Nations resolutions on inspections, and this noncompliance violates international law and Iraq's ceasefire obligations and potentially endangers United States and regional security interests;

Whereas the true extent of Iraq's continued development of weapons of mass destruction and the threat posed by such development to the United States and allies in the region are unknown and cannot be known without inspections;

Whereas the United Nations was established for the purpose of preventing war and resolving disputes between nations through peaceful means, including 'by negotiation, enquiry, mediation, conciliation, arbitration, judicial settlement, resort to regional arrangements, or other peaceful means';

Whereas the United Nations remains seized of this matter;

Whereas the President has called upon the United Nations to take responsibility to assure that Iraq fulfills its obligations to the United Nations under existing United Nations Security Council resolutions;

Whereas war with Iraq would place the lives of tens of thousands of people at risk, including members of the United States armed forces, Iraqi civilian non-combatants, and civilian populations in neighboring countries;

Whereas unilateral United States military action against Iraq may undermine cooperative international efforts to reduce international terrorism and to bring to justice those responsible for the attacks of September 11, 2001;

Whereas unilateral United States military action against Iraq may also undermine United States diplomatic relations with countries throughout the Arab and Muslim world and with many other allies;

Whereas a preemptive unilateral United States first strike could both set a dangerous international precedent and significantly weaken the United Nations as an institution; and

Whereas the short-term and long-term costs of unilateral United States military action against Iraq and subsequent occupation may be significant in terms of United States casualties, the cost to the United States treasury, and harm to United States diplomatic relations with other countries: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

Reply-To: "Carlee Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Carlee Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>,
<cjpoelman@yahoo.com>
Subject: Senator Chafee's aide
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 15:31:48 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Brenda, Christel,

Late Tuesday afternoon I had a phone conversation with John Seggerman, foreign policy aide of Senator Chafee. He said that Senator Chafee is opposed to unilateral action at this time and will work to change the language of the resolution in the Foreign Relations Committee.

He said that he hadn't seen the letters from faith groups but would like to. However, when Christel and I were in Senator Chafee's office, the receptionist would not accept written material.

Christel, would you please fax some of the letters, such as CMEP, Catholic bishops, and some others to Seggerman with a note that these are what Howard Hallman promised to send.

And while you're at it, Ken Meyers in Senator Lugar's office would like to see a collection of letters. They can be delivered with a similar note.

Thanks,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Miller, Phillip H." <millerph@att.net>
Subject: Check requests
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 15:54:46 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Phil,

Please provide a check payable to Verizon for \$25.48 for MUPJ phone service.

I thought that I had sent you a request for payment to me, but I can't find it in my e-files. I never received a check, and since you are such a faithful respondent, I must have done something wrong with the e-mail.

I request payment of \$500 for my work on the web site in September 2002. This should come from the UMC GBCS grant.

I also request reimbursement for the following communication expenses:

LightHost (for our web site) \$20.00
EarthLink (Internet service) 38.00
Share of phone line for Internet 14.00

Total \$72.00

EarthLink was higher this time because in July into August I used 15 hours beyond the 20 hours in our reduced-rate service. I have now switched to unlimited service @ \$21.95/month.

Thanks,
Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: "Chris Van Hollen" <campaign@vanhollen2002.com>
Subject: Iraq
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 17:35:47 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----
=_NextPart_000_007F_01C263F0.D24DA540"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Chris,

Congratulations on your primary victory. We're expecting you to shine in the general campaign against Connie Morella.

On the hot issue of the day -- Iraq -- I would be interested in a clarification of your position. The article in Sunday's Washington Post suggested that you see a regime change as an objective as well as disarming Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. Personally I question that regime change should be an overt objective of U.S. foreign policy. What are your views?

If you haven't seen it, you may be interested in a resolution on Iraq introduced by Rep. Barbara Lee of California with 26 cosponsors. It is attached. I urge you to indicate that you would be a cosponsor if a member of Congress.

Numerous church groups oppose war against Iraq: Catholic, Baptist, Lutheran, United Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopal, United Church of Christ, Unitarian, the historic peace churches, and others. If you are interested, you will find linkage to their policy statements on the web site of the National Council of Churches: <http://www.nccusa.org/iraq/iraqlinks.html>. The web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I serve as chair, also has information at <http://www.zero-nukes.org/howtogettozero.html#disarmingiraq>.

We need someone with your foreign policy experience in Congress. I hope that you will make your positions clear during the campaign.

With best regards,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

107th CONGRESS
2d Session
H. CON. RES. 473

Expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEPTEMBER 19, 2002

Ms. LEE (for herself, Mrs. CLAYTON, Ms. RIVERS, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. KUCINICH, Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. OWENS, Ms. KILPATRICK, Ms. WATSON of California, Mr. RUSH, Mrs. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. CLAY, Mr. STARK, Mr. FARR of California, Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. FILNER, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. SOLIS, and Mr. CONYERS) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on International Relations

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region.

Whereas on April 6, 1991, during the Persian Gulf War, Iraq accepted the provisions of United Nations Security Council Resolution 687 (April 3, 1991) bringing a formal cease-fire into effect;

Whereas, in accordance with Security Council Resolution 687, Iraq unconditionally accepted the destruction, removal, or rendering harmless of `all chemical and biological weapons and all stocks of agents and all related subsystems and components and all research, development, support and manufacturing facilities related thereto', and `all ballistic missiles with a range greater than one hundred and fifty kilometers, and related major parts and repair and production facilities';

Whereas, in accordance with Security Council Resolution 687, Iraq unconditionally agreed not to acquire or develop any nuclear weapons, nuclear-weapons-usable material, nuclear-related subsystems or components, or nuclear-related research, development, support, or manufacturing facilities;

Whereas Security Council Resolution 687 calls for the creation of a United Nations special commission to `carry out immediate on-site inspection of Iraq's biological, chemical, and missile capabilities' and to assist and cooperate with the International Atomic Energy Agency in carrying

out the 'destruction, removal or rendering harmless' of all nuclear-related items and in developing a plan for the ongoing monitoring and verification of Iraq's compliance;

Whereas United Nations weapons inspectors (UNSCOM) between 1991 and 1998 successfully uncovered and destroyed large stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons and production facilities, nuclear weapons research and development facilities, and Scud missiles, despite the fact that the Government of Iraq sought to obstruct their work in numerous ways;

Whereas in 1998, UNSCOM weapons inspectors were withdrawn from Iraq and have not returned since;

Whereas Iraq is not in compliance with United Nations Security Council Resolution 687, United Nations Security Council Resolution 1154, and additional United Nations resolutions on inspections, and this noncompliance violates international law and Iraq's ceasefire obligations and potentially endangers United States and regional security interests;

Whereas the true extent of Iraq's continued development of weapons of mass destruction and the threat posed by such development to the United States and allies in the region are unknown and cannot be known without inspections;

Whereas the United Nations was established for the purpose of preventing war and resolving disputes between nations through peaceful means, including 'by negotiation, enquiry, mediation, conciliation, arbitration, judicial settlement, resort to regional arrangements, or other peaceful means';

Whereas the United Nations remains seized of this matter;

Whereas the President has called upon the United Nations to take responsibility to assure that Iraq fulfills its obligations to the United Nations under existing United Nations Security Council resolutions;

Whereas war with Iraq would place the lives of tens of thousands of people at risk, including members of the United States armed forces, Iraqi civilian non-combatants, and civilian populations in neighboring countries;

Whereas unilateral United States military action against Iraq may undermine cooperative international efforts to reduce international terrorism and to bring to justice those responsible for the attacks of September 11, 2001;

Whereas unilateral United States military action against Iraq may also undermine United States diplomatic relations with countries throughout the Arab and Muslim world and with many other allies;

Whereas a preemptive unilateral United States first strike could both set a dangerous international precedent and significantly weaken the United Nations as an institution; and

Whereas the short-term and long-term costs of unilateral United States military action against Iraq and subsequent occupation may be significant in terms of United States casualties, the cost to the United States treasury, and harm to United States diplomatic relations with other countries: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>
Subject: Thursday appointment
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 17:40:36 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Brenda,

I have an appointment at 2:00 p.m., Thursday, September 26 with Andrew Johnston in Senator Mikulski's office. I would like at least one other person to join me if somebody is available. We would probably meet outside her office, Hart 709, a little before 2:00.

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

This was prepared in 2001 as we were about to bomb Afghanistan - from GBCS



Militarism

What the United Methodist Church says about [Military Service](#) and [Conscientious Objection](#):

We reject the national policies of enforced military service as incompatible with the gospel. We acknowledge the agonizing tension created by the demand for military service by national governments. We urge all young adults to seek the counsel of the Church as they reach a conscientious decision concerning the nature of their responsibility as citizens. Pastors are called upon to be available for counseling with all young adults who face conscription, including those who conscientiously refuse to cooperate with a system of conscription.

We support and extend the ministry of the Church to those persons who conscientiously oppose all war, or any particular war, and who therefore refuse to serve in the armed forces or to cooperate with systems of military conscription. We also support and extend the Church's ministry to those persons who conscientiously choose to serve in the armed forces or to accept alternative service (Social Principles, Paragraph 164 (G), [Book of Discipline](#), 2000, p. 119-120).

Conscientious objection. Each person must face conscientiously the dilemmas of conscription, military training, and service and decide his or her own responsible course of action. We affirm the historic statement: "What the Christian citizen may not do is to obey persons rather than God, or overlook the degree of compromise in even our best acts, or gloss over the sinfulness of war. The church must hold within its fellowship persons who sincerely differ at this point of critical decision, call all to repentance, mediate to all God's mercy, minister to all in Christ's name" ("The United Methodist Church and Peace," 1968 General Conference).

Christian teaching supports conscientious objection to all war as an ethically valid position. It also asserts that ethical decisions on political matters must be made in the context of the competing claims of biblical revelation, church doctrine, civil law, and one's own understanding of what God calls him or her to do.

We therefore support all those who conscientiously object to preparation for or participation in any specific war or all wars, to cooperation with military conscription, or to the payment of taxes for military purposes, and we ask that they be granted legal recognition.

Since 1936, The United Methodist Church or one of its predecessors has provided to those of its members who claim to be conscientious objectors the opportunity to register. Certified copies of such registration

ness and Advocacy

Janet Horman
Program Director
(202) 488-5647
Jhorman@umc-gbcs.org

are supplied for use with the draft authorities. It is the responsibility of the church at all levels to inform its members of the fact that conscientious objection, as well as conscientious participation, is a valid option for Christians and is recognized in many countries as a legal alternative for persons liable to military conscription.

The local church's support of an individual participating in this process does not express agreement or disagreement with the convictions of the applicant member. Rather, the church's task is to record which of its members are opposed to participation in military service on grounds of conscience and to assist them in securing proper counsel. When a member has registered as a conscientious objector and his or her registration has been certified by the proper authorities, that action should be recorded with the conference and the General Board of Church and Society.

The United Methodist Church also supports those persons who refuse to register for the draft and deplore discrimination against persons by any institution (Book of Resolutions, 2000, p. 793-4).

The General Board of Church and Society, in accordance with the Book of Resolutions, has developed resources and registration cards for individuals interested in conscientious objection.

We are not aware of current plans in the United States to re-instate the draft. However, if the draft were to be re-instated, time may be short for Conscientious Objectors to prepare documents needed to defend their position. For information about pursuing Conscientious Objector status, visit the website of The Center on Conscience & War (CCW), formerly the National Interreligious Service Board for Conscientious Objection (NISBCO), of which the General Board of Church and Society is a member: www.nisbco.org

United Methodists interested in more information and/or registering with the United Methodist Church as Conscientious Objectors should contact the General Board of Church and Society. *Teddy Crum* (202) 488-2890, Tcrum@umc-gbcs.org or *Janet Horman* (202) 488-5647, Jhorman@umc-gbcs.org

Registering your decision to become a conscientious objector with the church documents your decision and can be used as evidence of your conscientious objection should a draft be re-instated.

ARE YOU A CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTOR?

The United Methodist Church supports and extends the ministry of the Church to persons who conscientiously oppose all war, or any particular war, and who therefore refuse to serve in the armed forces or to cooperate with systems of military conscription. The United Methodist Church also supports and extends the Church's ministry to those persons who conscientiously choose to serve in the armed forces or to accept alternative service.

Understanding what it means to be a conscientious objector is not easy.

It can mean different things to different people. You may not know right away whether or not you are a conscientious objector. That's all right! Take some time to figure out what you believe, and how you feel about the issue. It can be a long process to work through these issues, but the United Methodist Church wants to support you no matter what you decide.

Here are some simple questions to help you determine whether or not you are a Conscientious Objector, and if so, what type:

- Are you conscientiously opposed to participation in war in any form?
- Are you opposed to killing in war in any form, but do not object to performing noncombatant duties in the armed forces?
- Are you opposed to registering for the draft for any reason?
- Are you opposed to paying for war in any form?
- Are you opposed to serving in what some people would refer to as an "unjust" war?

If you answered yes to any of these questions, you could be a conscientious objector. However, only if you answered yes to the first or second question you could be considered a Conscientious Objector under US law.

United Methodists interested in more information and/or registering as conscientious objectors should contact the General Board of Church and Society, registration cards are available. Contact *Teddy Crum* (202) 488-2890, Tcrum@umc-gbcs.org or *Janet Horman* (202) 488-5647, Jhorman@umc-gbcs.org

A helpful resource in determining whether or not you are a conscientious objector is the website of The Center on Conscience & War (CCW), formerly the National Interreligious Service Board for Conscientious Objectors (NISBCO): www.nisbco.org

[About General Board](#) | [Social Principles](#) | [United Nations Ministry](#) | [Resources](#)
[Annual Conferences](#) | [Issue Advocacy](#) | [Press Statements](#) | [Christian Social Action](#)
[Join A Network](#) | [Other UM Sites](#) | [What's New](#) | [Contact Us](#)
[UM Seminars](#) | [Ministry of God's Creation](#) | [Ministry of God's Human Community](#) | [Advocacy](#)
[Action](#) | [Action Alerts](#) | [FAQ](#) | [E-Mail](#) | [Register Your Opinion](#)

This site is coordinated and maintained by GBCS Communications

Status: U

Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13908.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.71])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TPr66BF3NI3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 09:09:07 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020924130907.77309.qmail@web13908.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13908.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 06:09:07 PDT

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 06:09:07 -0700 (PDT)

From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Site failure

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <001501c263c1\$38e0f4e0\$b068f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1882850362-1032872947=:76557"

--0-1882850362-1032872947=:76557

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,

I am having a problem with my ftp program. I will hopefully fix it today.

Thanks for your patience,

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote:Marie,

This morning the How to Get to Zero page ends not long after the beginning of the De-alerting section. Disarming Iraq is completely missing. What's the matter?

Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: cjpoelman@yahoo.com

Received: from web13003.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.174.13]) by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TQSV8b33NI3sj0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 10:41:31 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: 20020924144129.14041.qmail@web13003.mail.yahoo.com

Received: from [66.149.148.194] by web13003.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 07:41:29 PDT

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 07:41:29 -0700 (PDT)

From: Christel Poelman cjpoelman@yahoo.com

Subject: Tuesday Morning Prayer,

To: Ron Stief <stiefr@ucc.org>, Jean Stokan <jeanstokan@hotmail.com>, Joe Volk <joe@fcnl.org>, Corinne Whitlatch <cmep@cmep.org>, Jim Winkler <jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org>, Bp Vicken Aykozion <avicken@aol.com>,

John Briscoe <jbriscoe@nccusa.org>, Mark Brown <marsusab@aol.com>, Daryl Byler <jdb@mcc.org>, Rob Cavanaugh <rcavanaugh@uua.org>, Clayton Childers <cchilders@umc-gbcs.org>, Mary Elizabeth Clark <meclark@networklobby.org>, Robert Edgar <redgar@nccusa.org>, Brenda Girton-Mitchell

<bgirtonm@nccusa.org>, Catherine Gordon <cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org>, Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>, Bryan Hissony <washofc@aol.com>, Chris Hobgood <chobccca@aol.com>, Robin Hoecker <rhoecker@uua.org>, Janet Horman <jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>, Ken Brooker Langstor <auathome@aol.com>, James Matlack <jmatlack@erols.com>, "J.E. Mc Neil" <jmcnrick@erols.com>, Doug Nurell <dnorell@catholicrelief.org>, Meg Riley <MRiley@uua.org>, Duane Shank

dshank@sojo.net

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="0-956449936-1032878489=:13499"

Good Morning,

This was the prayer eloquently offered by Brenda Girton-Mitchell on Tuesday morning.

Sincerely,

Christel Poelman

(intern for NCC)

www.nccusa.org

Morning Prayer, Tuesday September 24

The concern which I lay bare before God today is:
My concern for the life of the world in these troubled times.
I confess my own inner confusion as I look out upon the world.
 There is food for all – many are hungry.
 There are clothes enough for all – many are in rags.
 There is room enough for all – many are crowded.
 There are none who want war – preparations for conflict abound.
I confess my own share in the ills of the times.
 I have shirked my own responsibilities as a citizen.
 I have not been wise in casting my ballot.
 I have left to others a real interest in making
 a public opinion
 worthy of democracy.
 I have been concerned about my own little job, my
 own little security,
 my own shelter, my own bread.
 I have not really cared about jobs for others,
 security for others,
 shelter for others, bread for others.
 I have not worked for peace; I want peace,
 but I have voted and worked for war.
 I have silenced my own voice that it may not be heard
 on the side of any cause, however right,
 if it meant running
 risks or damaging my own little reputation.

Let Thy light burn in me that I may, from this moment on, take effective steps within my own powers, to live up to the light and courageously to pay for the kind of world I so deeply desire.

Howard Thurman, 1900-1981

Leshner, Jean A. Ed., *Prayers for the Common Good*. (Cleveland, Ohio: The Pilgrim Press, 1998) 45,46.

Status: U

Return-Path: <anna@fcnl.org>

Received: from local.fcnl.org ([65.207.12.2])

by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17TR5t5Fj3NI3oW0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 10:54:54 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by local.fcnl.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <TF0WCAG6>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 10:52:07 -0400

Message-ID: <E9BA445D76C0D21182F30090273DFAF6F10D90@local.fcnl.org>

From: Anna Staab <anna@fcnl.org>

To: Anna Staab <anna@fcnl.org>

Subject: Announcement Iraq and Non-Military Alternatives Tomorrow

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 10:52:06 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Please distribute this message widely.

War Should Always Be a Last Resort:

Come Learn About Viable Strategies to Disarm Iraq While Increasing Regional Cooperation and Stability

What: Briefing on Iraq and Disarmament

Where: 441 CANNON

When: September 25, 2002, 12:00-1:00

Who: Dr. David Cortright, President of the Fourth Freedom Forum

Brown bag lunches welcome.

Open to the public.

For more information: Contact Shannon Smith (202-225-2661) in the office of Congresswoman Barbara Lee; or Alistair Millar (202-393-5201) at the Fourth Freedom Forum

Please Join David Cortright Tomorrow Wednesday 25 September 2002 from 12 noon to 1pm in Cannon House Office Building 441 for a presentation and discussion on nonmilitary alternatives for dealing with Iraq. This event is sponsored by Representative Barbara Lee from California's 9th Congressional District.

In light of the dangers and uncertainties associated with what could be a large-scale and destructive war in the region, the nonmilitary options deserve immediate and thorough consideration. Dr. Cortright will discuss viable strategies for the continued denial of Iraq's weapons ambitions, while offering the prospect of greater regional cooperation and stability. Cortright will challenge current assumptions and give a presentation on a proposal to use nonmilitary means of increasing international pressure on

the Iraqi government. He will detail elements of a coercive policy for continuing revenue controls, intensive diplomatic efforts to resume weapons inspections, and the creation of an enhanced containment system using strengthened border monitoring.

David Cortright is a research fellow at the Joan B. Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies at the University of Notre Dame and president of the Fourth Freedom Forum in Goshen, Indiana. He also teaches in the Peace, Justice, and Conflict Studies Department at Goshen College. He has served as consultant or adviser to various agencies of the United Nations, the Carnegie Commission on Preventing Deadly Conflict, the International Peace Academy, and the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation. Along with George A. Lopez he has provided research and consulting services to the Foreign Ministry of Sweden, the Norwegian Institute of International Affairs, and the Foreign Ministry of Germany. He has written widely on nuclear disarmament, nonviolent social change, and the use of incentives and sanctions as tools of international peacemaking. He is the author or editor of eleven books, including two volumes released in 2002: *Smart Sanctions: Targeting Economic Statecraft*, and *Sanctions and the Search for Security: Challenges to UN Action*, both with George A. Lopez. His other books include: *The Sanctions Decade: Assessing UN Strategies in the 1990s* (2000), with George A. Lopez; *The Price of Peace: Incentives and International Conflict Prevention* (1997); and *Peace Works: The Citizen's Role in Ending the Cold War* (1993). He has authored various policy reports, including *Smart Sanctions: Restructuring UN Policy in Iraq* (April 2001), and numerous articles in scholarly and popular journals.

Anna Staab, legislative intern
Friends Committee on National Legislation
252 Second St., NE Washington DC 20002-5795
202.547.6000 ext. 114
1.800.630.1330 ext. 114
fax 202.547.6019
anna@fcnl.org

Status: U

Return-Path: <wadlingj@ucc.org>

Received: from webshield ([67.105.176.67])

by kendall.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TTc022v3Nl3pM0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 13:09:47 -0400 (EDT)

Received: FROM UCCLN2.ucc.org BY webshield ; Tue Sep 24 13:09:27 2002 -0400

Sensitivity:

Subject: UCC Justice & Peace Action Network Weekly Message #33: STOP THE U.S. FROM
STARTING A WAR ON IRAQ

To: wadlingj@ucc.org

From: wadlingj@ucc.org

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 13:01:41 -0400

Message-ID: <OF60FA2D91.687D840A-ON85256C3E.005D88A3@ucc.org>

X-MIMETrack: Serialize by Router on UCCLN2/UCC(Release 5.0.10 [March 22, 2002) at 09/24/2002
01:02:19 PM

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

UCC Justice & Peace Action Network Weekly Message #33: STOP THE U.S.
FROM STARTING A WAR ON IRAQ

President Bush has sent a resolution to Congress asking support for unlimited unilateral military action by the U.S. anywhere in the Middle East. On September 13, leaders of the United Church of Christ issued a statement (www.ucc.org/justice/iraq1.htm), which said, in part, "As United Church of Christ leaders committed to God's reign of justice and peace in the world and to the just conduct of our nation, we firmly oppose the advance to war." Even as the public, and some State Department and Pentagon officials, remain divided on the wisdom of a quick and unilateral advance to war in the Middle East, the President is pushing for rapid action. A Congressional debate is likely to begin on Friday, September 27.

AN URGENT RESPONSE BY THE UCC AND MANY FAITH TRADITIONS IS NOW UNDERWAY. The critical message for advocates to use is to:

- =B7 Oppose a U.S. war on Iraq
- =B7 Support a thorough and lengthy consideration of the issues
- =B7 Call for negotiated solutions to the crisis in Iraq and in Palestine/Israel.

Congregations are urged to join with each other in the following activities:

- 1) Join the rapid congregational response by getting in touch with your member of Congress by phone, fax or email between September 24-27 in a national effort to sway the upcoming vote on war resolution in Congress.
- 2) Take a photograph of church members holding a sign in front of your church which reads "Don't Go to War Against Iraq" and send the photo to your local newspaper and to your member of Congress. Or take your sign to the home office of your member of Congress and invite the media.

3) Join the UCC, the National Council of Churches, the United Methodist Church, and dozens of others in the faith community in visiting home offices of members of Congress; joining local and national marches and peaceful demonstrations; and hosting forums, candlelight vigils and prayer services. Attend the "March Against War" in Washington DC on September 29 and the "Congressional Education Day" for peace in Iraq in Washington, DC, on September 30.

Information on these activities and daily updates are available at www.ucctakeaction.org or by calling the UCC Justice and Peace Action Network (Vaughn or Mari) at (202) 543-1517. Please report local activities to us so that we can help spread the word.

Contact information:

- Congressional switchboard #: 202-224-3121
- E-mail by visiting www.house.gov and www.senate.gov
- White House comment line at 202-456-111

*****=

This weekly message is sent by e-mail for use in church newsletters and bulletins the following Sunday. To add names to this weekly advocacy service, for more information, or to remove your name from the list, reply to JPAnet@ucc.org. For additional information about the UCC Justice and Peace Action Network, log on to www.ucc.org.

=

Status: U

Return-Path: <agreenblat@nccusa.org>

Received: from bruno.nccusa.org ([205.187.116.2])

by hazard.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17TVIY6b33N13qG0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 15:28:14 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from ANDREWGREENBLA ([64.212.94.176])

by bruno.nccusa.org (Pro-8.9.2/Pro-8.9.2) with SMTP id OAA26231
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 14:18:58 -0400 (EDT)

Reply-To: <agreenblat@nccusa.org>

From: "Andrew Greenblatt" <agreenblat@nccusa.org>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Send free faxes to Congress about Iraq

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 13:15:21 -0400

Message-ID: <01c101c26400\$58da3bf0\$b05ed440@nccusa.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2911.0)

Importance: Normal

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

Dear Peace Activist,

I got your email address from the Peace Movement Directory and thought you might be interested in this. It's a web site set up by Ben Cohen, the Ben & Jerry's guy, to send free faxes to Members of Congress. This action is against the war in Iraq. Please feel free to forward it to any lists you think might be interested.

=====

WHY WON'T PRESIDENT BUSH TAKE 'YES' FOR AN ANSWER?

Iraq said Yes to the United Nation Inspectors. Tell Congress
Yes is at least a start: Give the U.N. Inspectors a Chance!

1. President Bush goes to the United Nations and demands unconditional weapons inspections in Iraq or the United States will invade.
2. Iraq responds with an agreement of complete and unfettered access.
3. Bush says No, doesn't matter, I'm going to send in our troops anyway and I'll make sure Congress goes along.

This is a perfect 3-step plan to A) make the U.N. irrelevant, and B) make our country look like close-minded warmongers. Tell your representatives in Congress that U.N. inspectors must be given time to do their jobs and America shouldn't embark on a unilateral war. Click here:

<http://www.truemajority.com/index.asp?action=2174&ms=irqpeace>

Status: U

Return-Path: <blythe-goodman@erols.com>

Received: from smtp-hub2.mrf.mail.rcn.net ([207.172.4.76])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17TWnr3Yu3Nl3p21 for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 16:33:49 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from smtp01.mrf.mail.rcn.net ([207.172.4.60])

by smtp-hub2.mrf.mail.rcn.net with esmtp (Exim 3.35 #6) id 17twNR-0007L5-00

for mupj@igc.org; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 16:33:49 -0400

Received: from 66-44-103-164.s418.apx2.lnhdc.md.dialup.rcn.com ([66.44.103.164] helo=default)

by smtp01.mrf.mail.rcn.net with smtp (Exim 3.35 #7) id 17twNQ-0000y8-00

for mupj@igc.org; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 16:33:48 -0400

Message-ID: <3D90CB1E.1C1A@erols.com>

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 16:29:18 -0400

From: Carol Blythe and Rick Goodman <blythe-goodman@erols.com>

Reply-To: blythe-goodman@erols.com

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-DH397 (Win95; I)

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: Carlee Hallman <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Re: [interfaithnd] Lee Resolution on Iraq

References: <004301c263ff\$29ba9820\$f355f7a5@default>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Howard -- thanks for this. I will forward it on to Baptist Peace Fellowship and Alliance of Baptists.

They may just post your message on their list serves. I assume that is okay with you?

Let me know!

Thanks -- Carol Blythe

Status: U

Return-Path: cjpoelman@yahoo.com

Received: from web13001.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.174.11]) by osgood.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17TWX47PS3NI3pt0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 17:10:37 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: 20020924211035.41142.qmail@web13001.mail.yahoo.com

Received: from [66.149.148.194] by web13001.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 14:10:35 PDT

Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 14:10:35 -0700 (PDT)

From: Christel Poelman cjpoelman@yahoo.com

Subject: Wednesday to Friday,

To: redgar@nccusa.org, Duane Shank <dshank@sojo.net>, Ron Stief <stiefr@ucc.org>, Jean Stokan <jeanstokan@hotmail.com>, Joe Volk <joe@fcnl.org>, Corinne Whitlatch <cmep@cmep.org>, Jim Winkler <jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org>, Bp Vicken Aykozion <avicken@aol.com>, John Brisco <jbriscoe@nccusa.org>, Mark Brown <marsusab@aol.com>, Daryl Byler <jdb@mcc.org>, Rob Cavanaugh <rcavanaugh@uua.org>, Clayton Childers <cchilders@umc-gbcs.org>, Mary Elizabeth Clark <meclark@networklobby.org>, "Bp. Christopher Epting" <cepting@episcopalchurch.org>, Brenda Girton-Mitchell <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>, Catherine Gordon <cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org>, Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>, Bryan Hissony <washofc@aol.com>, Chris Hobgood <chobccca@aol.com>, Robin Hoecker <rhoecker@uua.org>, Janet Horman <jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>, Ken Brooker Langstor <auathome@aol.com>, James Matlack <jmatlack@erols.com>, "J.E. Mc Neil" <jmcnrick@erols.com>, Doug Nurell <dnorell@catholicrelief.org>, Meg Riley <MRiley@uua.org>, David Sapertein dsapertein@rac.org

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="0-1958272462-1032901835=:40497"

Greetings,

The attached file lists planned events for the RELIGIOUS LEADERS ACTION DAYS from tomorrow (Wednesday) to Friday.

Sincerely,

Christel Poelman
Intern for National Council of Churches
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, Suite 108

Washington, D.C. 20002
www.ncccusa.org

Do you Yahoo!?

[Yahoo! News](#) - Today's headlines

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 25

Giving leadership in Washington, D.C., today will be: Bishop C. Christopher Epting, Ecumenical Officer, the Episcopal Church, Jim Winkler, General Secretary, United Methodist Church, Board of Church. Society Network: A National Catholic Social Justice Lobby Action and Pax Christi will also participate along with Bob Edgar, General Secretary, National Council of Churches.

8:30 a.m.: Meet for prayer at the National Council of Churches Offices, Suite 108, 110 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C., across from the U.S. Supreme Court. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org. Prayer led by Jim Winkler.

9:15 a.m.: Meeting with Senator Trent Lott (R-MS) 487 SR

10:00 a.m.: Meeting with Senator Ted Stevens (R-AK) 522 SH

10 a.m. - 5 p.m.: Church World Service Middle East Forum, Conference Room Three, 100 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C. A wide spectrum of ecumenical leaders assert that Israeli-Palestinian peacemaking should be the highest Middle East priority for the U.S. government, and that a war against Iraq would set back, if not destroy, Israeli-Palestinian peacemaking. The purpose of the meeting is to develop and launch an ecumenical initiative to end the occupation of Palestine and support a just peace in the Middle East. For more information, contact Mark Brown at marsusab@aol.com; 202-626-7932.

2 p.m.: Touch base at the National Council of Churches Offices, Suite 108, 110 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org

6 p.m.: Bob Edgar, General Secretary for National Council of Churches will speak at a press conference at Freedom Plaza

5:30 - 6:30 p.m.: A "Gathering for Peace" will be held at the Rhode Island State House in Providence, R.I. Organized by The Rhode Island State Council of Churches and the Rhode Island Peace Mission, this assembly has been called to urge this nation's leaders to pursue peaceful, multilateral means in addressing any dangers posed by the present regime in Iraq. The gathering will include speakers from different faith traditions, music, prayers, and action suggestions. Families are welcome. For more information, contact: The Rhode Island Council of Churches, 401-861-1700, or the Rhode Island Peace Mission, 401-724-7700.

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 26

Giving leadership in Washington, D.C., today will be: Bob Edgar, General Secretary, National Council of Churches. Rabbi David Saperstein will accompany Bob Edgar along with the American Baptist delegation led by Rev. Dr. Aidsand F. Wright-Riggins, Executive Director of the Board of National Ministries and Peg Chamberlin, Moravian Pastor from Minnesota.

7:00 a.m.: Bill Moyer's crew at Rev. Edgar's son's house

8:30 a.m.: Meet for prayer led by Peg Chamberlin at the National Council of Churches Offices, Suite 108, 110 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C., across from the U.S. Supreme Court. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org.

9:00 a.m.: Meeting at Senator Levin's office (D-MI) 269 SR

10:00 a.m.: Meeting with Congressmen Dennis J. Kucinich and other peace and religious groups.

1:30 p.m.: Meeting with Senator Dassel's Foreign Policy aid, Denis Mc Donough

2 p.m.: Phyllis Hubbell (Minister, First Unitarian Church of Baltimore): Expects a full van will arrive at union station at 2:00.

2 p.m.: Touch base at the National Council of Churches Offices, Suite 108, 110 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 27

Giving leadership in Washington, D.C., today will be: Bob Edgar, General Secretary, National Council of Churches. Richard Hamm, General Minister and President of Disciples of Christ. John H. Rice, Presbyterian clergyperson, Peg Chamberlin, Moravian Pastor from Minnesota.

8:30 a.m.: Meet for prayer led by Bob Edgar at the National Council of Churches Offices, Suite 108, 110 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C., across from the U.S. Supreme Court. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org

9 a.m. - 5 p.m.: Individual and group meetings with U.S. Senators and Representatives, Washington, D.C. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org

12:30 p.m.: NCC General Secretary Bob Edgar will address the National Press Club Luncheon, on the topic "**Declaring War Against the Axis of Evil.**" Tickets are \$35 (\$16 for students) and available from Pat Nelson, 202-662-7539. Reservation deadline: Sept. 26. The club is located at 14th and F streets, next to the J.W. Marriott Hotel. John H. Rice (Presbyterian clergyperson) has a place reserved for lunch at the National Press Club.

2 p.m.: Touch base at the National Council of Churches Offices, Suite 108, 110 Maryland Ave. N.E., Washington, D.C. Contact: bgirtonm@nccusa.org

7 to 9 p.m.: Virginia Theological Seminary, Alexandria, Va. Conference "The Role of Faith in the Middle East Crisis: Is Non-Violence a Way to Peace?" Conference continues Saturday, Sept. 28. For full schedule, see the Web sites of

the sponsoring churches: www.edow.org/peace, www.metrodcelca.org and www.thediocese.net. For further information, contact Harry Yeide, 202-994-3967; Canon John Frizzel, 703-671-7610, or Phil Anderson, 202-548-0434. Registrations may be sent to Commission on Peace, Episcopal Diocese of Washington, Mount St. Alban, Washington, D.C., or by e-mail to philwdc@aol.com or fax to 202-548-0435.

Status: U
Return-Path: <redgar@nccusa.org>
Received: from smtp6.mindspring.com ([207.69.200.110])
by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17TX8r2fj3Nl3sj0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 17:22:22 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from pool0144.cv32-bradley.dialup.earthlink.net ([209.179.157.144] helo=oemcomputer)
by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
id 17tx8O-0003ec-00
for mupj@igc.org; Tue, 24 Sep 2002 17:22:20 -0400
Reply-To: <redgar@nccusa.org>
From: "Robert Edgar" <redgar@nccusa.org>
To: "Carlee Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: RE: Lee Resolution on Iraq
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 17:12:38 -0400
Message-ID: <005101c26410\$f9a9bbe0\$2d9eb3d1@oemcomputer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
Importance: Normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
In-Reply-To: <004301c263ff\$29ba9820\$f355f7a5@default>

Thanks, Howard. This was very helpful. Peace, Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlee Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2002 3:17 PM
To: interfaithnd@yahoogroups.com; redgar@nccusa.org;
bgirtonm@nccusa.org; cjpoelman@yahoo.com; cmep@cmep.org;
jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org; RMiley@uua.org; chobccca@aol.com;
dsaperstein@rac.org; joe@fcnl.org; ned@fcnl.org;
meclark@networklobby.org
Subject: Lee Resolution on Iraq

To: Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

Dear Colleagues:

Many of you are involved in the work of the Washington Interreligious Staff Council (WISC) to oppose going to war against Iraq. For those of you who are not, it is described on the web site of the National Council of Churches at <http://www.nccusa.org>. In particular, this effort is concentrated on U.S. senators because the best opportunity to halt the rush to war is in the Senate. If you are not focusing on senators, especially Foreign Relations Committee members, I urge you to do so.

For those of you that want also to offer your grassroots networks an opportunity to deal with U.S. representatives, you should look at the

resolution introduced by Rep. Barbara Lee of California and 26 cosponsors. I am attaching a copy, or you can find it at <http://thomas.loc.gov/> and type in H.Con.Res.473. The operative language is:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

As a concurrent resolution, it is not binding as will be the case with the joint resolution that Congress probably will enact ultimately . However, it is a good way for constituents to register their support for an alternative to the resolution authorizing use of force that President Bush has proposed.

As a point of departure for you, I have drafted a possible action alert on the Lee Resolution.

Shalom,
Howard

###

OPPOSE WAR AGAINST IRAQ, SUPPORT THE LEE RESOLUTION

President George W. Bush has asked Congress to adopt a resolution to authorize "The President . . . to use all means that he determines is appropriate, including force . . . against Iraq." Numerous religious organizations are opposing war against Iraq and instead are supporting international inspections through the United Nations to deal with the problem of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. [Insert the position of your denomination.]

Representative Barbara Lee of California and 26 cosponsors have introduced an alternative in the form of House Concurrent Resolution 473. Its operative language is as follows:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the United States should work through the United Nations to seek to resolve the matter of ensuring that Iraq is not developing weapons of mass destruction, through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means.

We urge you to call or fax your representative in Washington or at a district field office and ask him or her to support the Lee Resolution and become a cosponsor. You can point out that it is preferable to resolve the dispute with Iraq through peaceful means with the support of the international community. This is a wiser course than preemptive, unilateral military action by the United States with inevitable loss of the lives of American service men and women and the lives of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

###

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <redgar@nccusa.org>,
<bgirtonm@nccusa.org>,
<wpattillo@nccusa.org>,
<cfouke@nccusa.org>
Subject: Web site additions
Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 10:24:27 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Friends:

You may want to consider adding the following to your linkages on Iraq.

September 12, 2002 Letter to Congress from Friends Committee on National
Legislation [http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_volk_ltr912-02.htm]

August 27, 2002 Letter from Mennonite Church USA to President Bush
[<http://peace.mennolink.org/resources/iraq5000/schragletter.pdf>]

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <carolf@nccusa.org>

Received: from bruno.nccusa.org ([205.187.116.2])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17UdTU57p3Nl3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 11:16:30 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from CarolFouke ([64.212.94.160])

by bruno.nccusa.org (Pro-8.9.2/Pro-8.9.2) with SMTP id KAA04730;
Wed, 25 Sep 2002 10:07:21 -0400 (EDT)

Reply-To: <carolf@nccusa.org>

From: "Carol Fouke" <carolf@nccusa.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>, <redgar@nccusa.org>,
<bgirtonm@nccusa.org>, <wpattillo@nccusa.org>

Subject: RE: Web site additions

Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 11:15:11 -0400

Message-ID: <003401c264a6\$5868b100\$a05ed440@nccusa.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2911.0)

Importance: Normal

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

In-Reply-To: <001901c2649f\$52c958a0\$f75bf7a5@default>

Will do, Howard. Thanks! Carol

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2002 10:24 AM

To: redgar@nccusa.org; bgirtonm@nccusa.org; wpattillo@nccusa.org;
cfouke@nccusa.org

Subject: Web site additions

Dear Friends:

You may want to consider adding the following to your linkages on Iraq.

September 12, 2002 Letter to Congress from Friends Committee on National
Legislation [http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_volk_ltr912-02.htm]

August 27, 2002 Letter from Mennonite Church USA to President Bush
[<http://peace.mennolink.org/resources/iraq5000/schragletter.pdf>]

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Received: from igcb.igc.apc.org ([192.82.108.46])

by osgood.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17UgBO6ay3Nl3pt0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 14:10:00 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from web10705.mail.yahoo.com (web10705.mail.yahoo.com [216.136.130.213])

by igcb.igc.apc.org (8.9.3/8.9.3) with SMTP id LAA18497
for <mupj@igc.apc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 11:09:49 -0700 (PDT)

Message-ID: <20020925180949.47694.qmail@web10705.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [24.140.23.16] by web10705.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 11:09:49 PDT

Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 11:09:49 -0700 (PDT)

From: hipkins james <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Subject: Board Meeting

To: Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.apc.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Want to double check. We had two dates for Board Meeting, one Oct. 18 other Oct. 25. On which are we set? Let us know.

Jim and Char

Do you Yahoo!?

New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!

<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
References: <20020925180949.47694.qmail@web10705.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Board Meeting
Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 17:03:13 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

It is on October 18.

Do you want to stay with us? You will be welcome. If so, when will you arrive and depart? What is your travel schedule?

We have a new board member, Phil Wilson, from the Pittsburgh area. He plans to drive here on Thursday and return home Friday evening. If you happened to be coming on Thursday and returning home on Saturday morning, I might suggest a car pool if Phil would stay another night. However, I realize that you usually stay longer or do other things around here when you come. It's just a thought.

If you haven't seen our web site on Iraq, you should take a look at www.zero-nukes.org.

Shalom,
Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
To: "Howard Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2002 2:09 PM
Subject: Board Meeting

> Want to double check. We had two dates for Board
> Meeting, one Oct. 18 other Oct. 25. On which are we
> set? Let us know.

>
> Jim and Char

>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!
> <http://sbc.yahoo.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <mupj@igc.org>
Bcc: "Bishop Sprague" <jsprague@umcnic.com>,
"Bishop Coyner" <BISHOPCOYNER@juno.com>,
"Bishop Christopher" <ILAreaumc@aol.com>,
"Bishop White" <ecoleman@inareaumc.org>,
"Bishop Palmer" <bishop.palmer@iaumc.org>,
"Bishop Lee" <MAREAUMC@tir.com>,
"Bishop Hopkins" <jhopkins@msn.com>,
"Bishop Keaton" <jonathan@eocumc.com>,
"Bishop Ough" <Bishop@wocumc.org>,
"Bishop Rader" <EpiscopalOffice@WisconsinUMC.org>,
"Bishop Morrison" <AlbEpisArea@Worldnet.att.net>,
"Bishop Hassinger" <bishopsoffice@neumc.org>,
"Bishop Irons" <bishop@cpcumc.org>,
"Bishop Johnson" <Bishop@gnjumc.org>,
"Bishop Lyght" <Bishop@nyac.com>,
"Bishop Fisher" <nywaumc@frontiernet.net>,
"Bishop Weaver" <bishop@epaumc.org>,
"Bishop Kim" <HAEJONGKIM@aol.com>,
"Bishop May" <bishopmay@bwcumc.org>,
"Bishop Ives" <wvareaumc@aol.com>,
"Bishop Huie" <bishophuie@arumc.org>,
"Bishop Oden" <DallasBishop@hpumc.org >,
"Bishop Chamness" <bishopsoffice@prodigy.net>,
"Bishop Norris" <ijarratt@methodists.net>,
"Bishop Mutti" <ksbishumc@mindspring.com>,
"Bishop Hutchinson" <lcumc@bellsouth.net>,
"Bishop Sherer" <sherer@ecunet.org>,
"Bishop Moncure" <bishop@umcneb.org>,
"Bishop Whitfield" <mbelu@nmconfum.com>,
"Bishop Blake" <BUptegraft@okumc.org>,
"Bishop Martinez" <bishop@umcswtx.org>,
"Bishop Goodpaster" <bishop.awf@knology.net>,
"Bishop Davis" <bishop@ngumc.org>,
"Bishop Fannin" <Rfannin@umcna.bsc.edu>,
"Bishop McCleskey" <bishop@umcsc.org>,
"Bishop Whitaker" <bishop@flumc.org>,
"Bishop Chamberlain" <bishop@holston.org>,
"Bishop King" <JKing@kyumc.org>,
"Bishop Carder" <bishop@mississippi-umc.org>,
"Bishop Morris" <umcoffice@aol.com>,
"Bishop Edwards" <bishopmme@nccumc.org>,
"Bishop Pannel" <EstellePruden@vaumc.org>,
"Bishop Watson" <bishop@sgaumc.com>,
"Bishop Brown" <bishop@bishopbrown.com>,
"Bishop Swenson" <calpacbishop@earthlink.net>,
"Bishop Dew" <Bishop@desertsw.org>,
"Bishop Shamana" <bishop@calnevumc.org>,
"Bishop Paup" <bishop@umoi.org>,
"Bishop Galvan" <pnwbishop@pnwumc.org>,
"Bishop Kammerer" <jclark@wnccumc.org>,

<jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>

Subject: Action Alert on Iraq

Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 21:18:30 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

ACTION ALERT ON IRAQ

From Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Dear United Methodist Bishop:

President Bush has asked Congress to adopt a resolution that states: "The President is authorized to use all means that he determines is appropriate, including force" to enforce United Nations Security Council resolutions dealing with Iraq. President Bush has indicated that he is willing to launch a pre-emptive attack against Iraq regardless of whether the UN Security Council takes further action.

As Jim Winkler, general secretary of the UM General Board of Church and Society, has stated, "A pre-emptive war represents a major and dangerous change in US foreign policy. It also sets a terrible precedent for other nations." (See <http://www.umc-gbcs.org/gbpr170.htm>.)

Members of Congress are now deciding whether to adopt the language proposed by President Bush or to modify it in some manner. The greatest opportunity to halt the rush to unilateral U.S. action is in the U.S. Senate. In particular, members of the Armed Services and Foreign Relations Committees will play an important role in modifying the draft resolution.

Therefore, we encourage you to get in touch with your U.S. senators on this issue. This can be accomplished by phone or fax, or by letters to the senators' offices within their home state. Mail to Senate offices in Washington still experiences long delays.

You might stress the importance of multilateral action through the United Nations and the need to give international inspections and diplomacy a full opportunity to deal with Iraq before considering military action. While we recognize that Saddam Hussein's ambition to acquire weapons of mass destruction is a grave concern, we also realize that going to war against Iraq would have a heavy toll in the deaths of American service men and women and thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

Jim Winkler's statement ties this issue to positions of the United Methodist Church. Other sources of information are found at www.nccusa.org, the web site of the National Council of Churches, and at www.zero-nukes.org, a new web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair.

You may also want to encourage United Methodists in your area to get in

touch with their members of Congress. They can do so by phone or fax, or by letters to district offices. They can also use the on-line e-mail system of the General Board of Church Society by going to www.umc-gbcs.org and clicking on UMPower.

With best regards,
Howard W. Hallman

This communication is sent to you because of your leadership role in the United Methodist Church. If you do not wish to receive our occasional action alerts, please reply and put "remove" in the subject line.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U
Return-Path: <mail.relay@capwiz.com>
Received: from outbound.capwiz.com ([64.14.114.205])
by runyon.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17UmVx6r73Nl3sj0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:47 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from gambit.capwiz.com (gambit.capwiz.com [192.168.1.37])
by outbound.capwiz.com (Postfix) with SMTP id 13D6F59A6D
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:08 -0400 (EDT)
X-Pedite: 1
X-Originating-IP: [165.247.83.41]
Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:53:57 -0400
From: "The General Board of Church and Society" <mupj@igc.org>
X-Mailer: SMTP-Mailer
Content-type: text/plain
To: "The General Board of Church and Society" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Bush Urged to Turn Back from War
Message-Id: <20020926005408.13D6F59A6D@outbound.capwiz.com>

Thank you for using The General Board of Church and Society Mail System

Message sent to the following recipients:

Sen. Sarbanes

Sen. Mikulski

Rep. Morella

Message text follows:

Howard Hallman
6508 Wilmett Road
Bethesda, MD 20817

September 25, 2002

[recipient address was inserted here]

Dear [recipient name was inserted here],

As a resident of Bethesda, Maryland, I am deeply disturbed by the rush to war against Iraq that President Bush is pursuing. I am especially bothered by the intention to pursue unilateral preemptive action before the United Nations has had an opportunity to renew inspections and use that process to disarm Iraq.

I urge you to vote against the proposed resolution submitted by President Bush and to work for a resolution that emphasizes international cooperation, UN inspections, and diplomacy.

Sincerely,

Howard W. Hallman

Status: U

Return-Path: <Rep.Morella@mail.house.gov>

Received: from ap02.house.gov ([143.231.86.10])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17UmVB4H53N13p20
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:51 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from libra.house.gov by ap02.house.gov

via smtpd (for pickering.mail.mindspring.net [207.69.200.36]) with ESMTP; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:51 -0400

Received: from ims06.House.gov (ims06.house.gov [143.231.32.158])

by libra.house.gov (Switch-2.1.4/Switch-2.1.0) with ESMTP id g8Q0so517014
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:50 -0400

Received: by ims06.house.gov with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <TCMKB310>; Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:50 -0400

Message-ID: <D9407929EA99D211B4760008C7A4A78810B80DD4@hrm01.house.gov>

From: "Public, Morella" <Rep.Morella@mail.house.gov>

To: Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: From Rep. Morella

Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 20:54:49 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="----_=_NextPart_001_01C264F7.50DAEE20"

This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not understand this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C264F7.50DAEE20

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Thanks you for your recent email message to my office.

I appreciate hearing from you about issues before the U.S. House of Representatives.

If you live in the 8th Congressional district of Maryland, would like a written response from my office, please include your postal address and phone along with your message.

If you visit my Internet homepage www.house.gov/morella <<http://www.house.gov/morella>> and email me through the Write Your Representative format, please complete the form and include your comments in the appropriate box. Maryland constituents who have included your postal address in their message will receive a reply through the United States Postal Service as soon as possible.

Status: U

Return-Path: <Bishop@flumc.org>

Received: from server1.flumc.org ([4.34.178.18])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17Uyzz6Sq3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 09:20:51 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by server1.flumc.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <RZ5NRVTB>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 09:14:05 -0400

Message-ID: <12C014485C7CD3119FC600A0C9D3AD62013A507C@server1.flumc.org>

From: Bishop <Bishop@flumc.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: RE: Action Alert on Iraq

Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 09:14:04 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

I have recommended in a letter to the Teaching Committee of the Council of Bishops that the COB prepare a theological critique of the new "National Security System."

Bishop Tim Whitaker, Florida

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2002 9:19 PM

To: mupj@igc.org

Subject: Action Alert on Iraq

ACTION ALERT ON IRAQ

From Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Dear United Methodist Bishop:

President Bush has asked Congress to adopt a resolution that states: "The President is authorized to use all means that he determines is appropriate, including force" to enforce United Nations Security Council resolutions dealing with Iraq. President Bush has indicated that he is willing to launch a pre-emptive attack against Iraq regardless of whether the UN Security Council takes further action.

As Jim Winkler, general secretary of the UM General Board of Church and Society, has stated, "A pre-emptive war represents a major and dangerous change in US foreign policy. It also sets a terrible precedent for other nations." (See <http://www.umc-gbcs.org/gbpr170.htm>.)

Members of Congress are now deciding whether to adopt the language proposed by President Bush or to modify it in some manner. The greatest opportunity to halt the rush to unilateral U.S. action is in the U.S. Senate. In particular, members of the Armed Services and Foreign Relations Committees will play an important role in modifying the draft resolution.

Therefore, we encourage you to get in touch with your U.S. senators on this issue. This can be accomplished by phone or fax, or by letters to the senators' offices within their home state. Mail to Senate offices in Washington still experiences long delays.

You might stress the importance of multilateral action through the United Nations and the need to give international inspections and diplomacy a full opportunity to deal with Iraq before considering military action. While we recognize that Saddam Hussein's ambition to acquire weapons of mass destruction is a grave concern, we also realize that going to war against Iraq would have a heavy toll in the deaths of American service men and women and thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

Jim Winkler's statement ties this issue to positions of the United Methodist Church. Other sources of information are found at www.nccusa.org, the web site of the National Council of Churches, and at www.zero-nukes.org, a new web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair.

You may also want to encourage United Methodists in your area to get in touch with their members of Congress. They can do so by phone or fax, or by letters to district offices. They can also use the on-line e-mail system of the General Board of Church Society by going to www.umc-gbcs.org and clicking on UMPower.

With best regards,
Howard W. Hallman

This communication is sent to you because of your leadership role in the United Methodist Church. If you do not wish to receive our occasional action alerts, please reply and put "remove" in the subject line.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: Bishop@flumc.org

Received: from server1.flumc.org ([4.34.178.18]) by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17UyAo8uB3NI3rE0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 09:21:44 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by server1.flumc.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19) id <RZ5NRVTD>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 09:14:58 -0400

Message-ID: 12C014485C7CD3119FC600A0C9D3AD62013A507D@server1.flumc.org

From: Bishop Bishop@flumc.org

To: "mupj@igc.org" mupj@igc.org

Subject: FW: Statement From Bishop Timothy Whitaker on War With Iraq

Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 09:14:58 -0400 MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----_=_NextPart_000_01C2655E.B69B56C0"

-----Original Message-----

From: Tita Parham

Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2002 11:44 AM

To: Tita Parham

Subject: Statement From Bishop Timothy Whitaker on War With Iraq

Good morning!

Below is a statement from Bishop Timothy Whitaker. Please share it with members of your congregation. This statement is also being released to media across the state.

Peace!

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Sept. 16, 2002

STATEMENT FROM FLORIDA CONFERENCE BISHOP TIMOTHY W. WHITAKER ON WAR WITH IRAQ

Dear Friends in Christ:

Included below is a statement from the Florida Council of Churches* urging President George W. Bush not to commit the armed forces of the United States to war with Iraq for the purpose of deposing the regime of Saddam

Hussein. I have joined officials of other Christian communities in signing the statement.

I do not wish to offend President Bush personally or politically. I respect him as both a person and as our president. Because he is a United Methodist Christian, I feel an affection for him and pray for him as he seeks to fulfill the burdens of his office.

Nor am I a pacifist. I believe the Spirit of God has called certain individuals and communities to make the witness of pacifism against the violence that is the result of human sinfulness. Most of us have not received that call. We understand that in a world where there are acts of lawlessness sometimes nations must use force in order to restrain evil and protect innocent people.

Neither do I criticize the administration of President Bush in its attempt to destroy the international network of terrorists who attacked the United States on Sept. 11, 2001.

Yet, I am opposed to the proposition that the United States should make war against Iraq. The reasons for my opposition are stated simply in the statement of the Florida Council of Churches. Even war must be waged in the context of a moral perspective that provides direction and defines boundaries. That moral perspective is usually called the "just war theory." As someone who believes the "just war theory" is the best moral perspective we possess for deciding whether to wage war and how to wage war, I do not believe that a virtually unilateral decision by the United States to wage war has sufficient moral justification. Iraq is under the censure of the United Nations. Its ability to harm the Kurdish people in its northern region or to invade its neighbors is being contained by the United States military. It may be necessary to conduct air strikes on sites where weapons of mass destruction are manufactured or stored. If there is a need to conduct an invasion it should be under the authority of the community of nations known as the United Nations since a war on Iraq will affect the whole world. The approval of the United Nations is especially important if there is a threat posed by Iraq's "nuclear capability" since Iraq does not have the ability to attack the United States with an intercontinental ballistic missile, but it would have the ability to attack other nations in its region.

I do not know what the consequences of a war would be. The reason that war should be waged only as a last resort when there are no other plausible solutions is because war always has long-term unforeseen consequences. One could imagine the deaths of many young Americans and Iraqi civilians in the residential areas of Baghdad, the severe disruption of the global economy, heightened tensions or new violence in the Middle East, and the

eventual collapse of governments friendly to our country in the region. A virtually unilateral war by the United States would likely diminish the moral authority of our country in its leadership in the world for the future. A lengthy war would divide the American people. Even a brief "successful" war would still have significant consequences that cannot be anticipated.

I wanted you to know directly my position. When the Spirit of God called me into the episcopal office I made a commitment that I would not speak in code. When officials speak in ambiguous and vague language that hides their true position they raise the level of anxiety and frustration in the communities of which they are a part. I believe the United Methodist people in Florida have a right to know my position on controversial and emotionally charged subjects. I try to speak clearly to you because I trust you. I trust that you are quite capable of assessing the strengths and weaknesses of my position and of making up your own mind. I trust that you will respect my right to express my conscience even if you think I am wrong.

I continue to pray for President Bush, the members of his administration and the members of Congress. I pray for the young men and women and their leaders in the armed forces. I pray for the people of Iraq. Most of all I pray that God's kingdom will come and God's will be done on earth as it is in heaven.

Bishop Timothy W. Whitaker

<<Fla CoC Statement.pdf>>

* The Florida Council of Churches statement is saved as a PDF file. To open and read the file you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader. A free copy of the reader is available through Adobe's Web site at <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

Open Hearts. Open Minds. Open Doors.
The People of the United Methodist Church.

Tita Parham
Communications Coordinator
Florida United Methodist Communications
Conference Council on Ministries
E-mail: TParham@flumc.org

<http://www.flumc.org>

CCOM Orlando Satellite Office

407-897-1134

FAX: 407-896-7779

Florida Conference Center, Lakeland

1-800-282-8011 or 863-688-5563

FAX: 863-680-1912



FLORIDA COUNCIL OF CHURCHES

214 Morton Lane, Winter Springs, Florida 32808-3612

FAX: 630-839-0114

PHONE: 407/839-3454

888/839-3454

e-mail fcad@aol.com

Web Site: www.floridachurches.org

The Rev. Clarke Campbell-Evans, President
Bishop Timothy Whitaker, Dean of the College of Jurisdictional Leaders
Rev. Fred Morris, Executive Director

Declaration of the Florida Council of Churches Regarding Iraq

The Florida Council of Churches, a community of Churches that seeks to follow Jesus' way of love, including love of enemies, deplors the violence of terrorism and grieves over the suffering this violence is inflicting on people all over the world and especially in the Middle East. We also deplore the violence of military action that accepts the deaths of innocent people as a price worth paying in fighting terrorists. We agree with Martin Luther King, Jr., who said, "Returning violence for violence multiplies violence, adding darkness to a night already devoid of stars. Darkness cannot drive out darkness; only light can do that. Hate cannot drive out hate: Only love can do that."

We are realistic enough to know that this world cannot be governed without the use of force and that the forces of hatred must often be forcefully restrained. Indeed, there are many among us who believe violence itself, though never desirable, is justified under the circumstances set out in the Just War Theory. But for both ethical and spiritual reasons our community of faith always prefers nonviolent solutions to social and political problems. Moreover the nonviolent revolutions of the past century in India, the Philippines, South Africa, the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, the United States (the civil rights movement, women's rights) and elsewhere have convinced many of us that principled nonviolence exercised strategically and on a mass scale can be a force more powerful than violence in restraining evil.

Informed by our faith in Jesus and his way of love and nonviolent struggle, we must express grave concern about a U.S. military attack on Iraq at this time. Such an attack has not yet been shown to be necessary. We have not so far exhausted all the alternatives to war. We are not convinced that the present circumstances are causing more suffering than war would. We do not see how a preemptive war undertaken to prevent actions that may never take place can justify placing at risk thousands of military and civilian lives. It is simply not yet clear that the United States has just cause to initiate a war with Iraq.

In addition, we must express equally grave concern over the unilateral nature of the military action currently being contemplated by our government. If Iraq poses a threat to security, it is not to the security of the United States alone but to all nations. The appropriate body to initiate military action against such a threat is the United Nations. We therefore urge our government to continue working with and through the United Nations to address the threat that Iraq poses to the community of nations, to persuade Iraq to cooperate with United Nations' weapons inspectors, and to pressure Iraq to eliminate all weapons of mass destruction. Should military action be necessary as a last resort, we urge that this action be taken under the auspices of the United Nations and with the concurrence and participation of the greater community of nations.

We urge all the judicatory members of the Florida Council of Churches to distribute this statement to their congregations and encourage congregations to discuss it and make their positions, whatever they are, known to the political leaders of their region and of our nation. We also urge the congregations of all our member churches to pray for a just and nonviolent resolution of the problem of terrorism and for peace with justice in the Middle East and throughout the world.

Member Churches: African Methodist Episcopal • African Methodist Episcopal Zion • Apostolic Catholic Church • Catholic Church of the Antiochian Rite • Central Florida Presbytery • Christian Church (Disciples of Christ) • Christian Methodist Episcopal Church • Church of the Brethren • Community of Christ Church • Episcopal Diocese of Central Florida • Episcopal Diocese of Florida • Episcopal Diocese of Southeast Florida • Episcopal Diocese of Southwest Florida • Evangelical Lutheran Church in America • Florida Fellowship of Community Churches • Florida General Baptist Convention • Florida Presbytery • Moravian Church • Philippine Independent Catholic Church • Presbyterian Church, U.S.A. • Presbytery of St. Augustine • Presbytery of Tropical Florida • Presbytery of Tampa Bay • Peace River Presbytery • Southeastern Yearly Meeting, Religious Society of Friends • United Church of Christ • United Methodist Church •

Affiliated Agencies: Church World Service • Church Women United • Farmworkers Ministry • Florida Coalition Against the Death Penalty • Florida Impact • Lutheran Ministries • FND (Florida Interfaith Networking in Disaster)

Status: U

Return-Path: <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Received: from web10701.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.130.209])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17Uzt47xh3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 10:18:14 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020926141809.19320.qmail@web10701.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [24.140.23.16] by web10701.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 07:18:09 PDT

Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 07:18:09 -0700 (PDT)

From: hipkins james <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Board Meeting

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <001401c264d7\$1830e900\$6e6bf7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Charlotte's sister is having surgery on Oct. 17. We will be unable to attend this time. Sorry, but things always do not occur on convenient schedule. Keep us informed. If you need any input please let us know.

Jim H.

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> It is on October 18.

>

> Do you want to stay with us? You will be welcome.

> If so, when you will

> arrive and depart? What is your travel schedule?

>

> We have a new board member, Phil Wilson, from the

> Pittsburgh area. He plans

> to drive here on Thursday and return home Friday

> evening. If you happened

> to be coming on Thursday and returning home on

> Saturday morning, I might

> suggest a car pool if Phil would stay another night.

> However, I realize

> that you usually stay longer or do other things

> around here when you come.

> It's just a thought.

>

> If you haven't seen our web site on Iraq, you should

> take a look at

> www.zero-nukes.org.

>

> Shalom,

> Howard

>

>

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
> To: "Howard Hallman" <mupj@igc.apc.org>
> Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2002 2:09 PM
> Subject: Board Meeting
>
>
>> Want to double check. We had two dates for Board
>> Meeting, one Oct. 18 other Oct. 25. On which are
> we
>> set? Let us know.
>>
>> Jim and Char
>>
>> _____
>> Do you Yahoo!?
>> New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!
>> <http://sbc.yahoo.com>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!
<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

Status: U

Return-Path: <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Received: from web10701.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.130.209])

by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17UzFx4RR3N13p20
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 10:31:06 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20020926143057.21369.qmail@web10701.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [24.140.23.16] by web10701.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 07:30:57 PDT

Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 07:30:57 -0700 (PDT)

From: hipkins james <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Board Meeting

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <001401c264d7\$1830e900\$6e6bf7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard:

This is second reply. We will come. We welcome your invitation and will stay with you. Could we stay Fri. and Sat. night? Sat. we would like to go to Baltimore and to the Library and get some genealogy info cleared up.

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

wrote:

> It is on October 18.

>

> Do you want to stay with us? You will be welcome.

> If so, when you will

> arrive and depart? What is your travel schedule?

>

> We have a new board member, Phil Wilson, from the

> Pittsburgh area. He plans

> to drive here on Thursday and return home Friday

> evening. If you happened

> to be coming on Thursday and returning home on

> Saturday morning, I might

> suggest a car pool if Phil would stay another night.

> However, I realize

> that you usually stay longer or do other things

> around here when you come.

> It's just a thought.

>

> If you haven't seen our web site on Iraq, you should

> take a look at

> www.zero-nukes.org.

>

> Shalom,

> Howard

>

>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "hipkins james"
<debate44646@yahoo.com>
> To: "Howard Hallman"
<mupj@igc.apc.org>
> Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2002 2:09 PM
> Subject: Board Meeting
>
>
> > Want to double check. We had two dates for
Board
> > Meeting, one Oct. 18 other Oct. 25. On
which are
> we
> > set? Let us know.
> >
> > Jim and Char
> >
> >

> > Do you Yahoo!?
> > New DSL Internet Access from SBC & amp;
Yahoo!
> > <http://sbc.yahoo.com>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!
<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
References: <20020926143057.21369.qmail@web10701.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Board Meeting
Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 16:52:31 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

You will be welcome Friday and Saturday night. What about Thursday, the night before the board meeting?

Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2002 10:30 AM
Subject: Re: Board Meeting

> Howard:
> This is second reply. We will come. We welcome your
> invitation and will stay with you. Could we stay Fri.
> and Sat. night? Sat. we would like to go to Baltimore
> and to the Library and get some genealogy info cleared
> up.
>

Status: U

Return-Path: <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org ([208.161.156.2])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17UAHwDk3Nl3p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 11:37:14 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org by tweety.umpublishing.org

via smtpd (for payne.mail.mindspring.net [207.69.200.38]) with SMTP; 26 Sep 2002 15:26:13 UT

Received: by tweety.umpublishing.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <TJCG5D00>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 10:34:49 -0500

Message-ID: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372AB1@tweety.umpublishing.org>

From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>

To: "'mupj@igc.org'" <mupj@igc.org>

Subject: Photo Payment

Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 10:34:49 -0500

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Howard, we are using Joy's photo of you on the cover of Mature Years. We would like her to receive the pay that normally comes with publication of a cover photo. In order to pay her, I need to have a complete name, address, and SS#. Can you help with this?

Sincerely,

Marvin W. Cropsey

Marvin W. Cropsey

Editor of Quadrennial Resources

Mature Years Editor

Adult Studies Resources Editor

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
References: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372AB1@tweety.umpublishing.org>
Subject: Re: Photo Payment
Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 16:58:28 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marvin,

I've never been a coverboy before! It will be a new experience.

The photographer is Joy Hallman, 9911 Marquette Drive, Bethesda, MD 20817.
Her SS# is 577-66-9309.

Sincerely,
Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
To: <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2002 11:34 AM
Subject: Photo Payment

> Howard, we are using Joy's photo of you on the cover of Mature Years. We
> would like her to receive the pay that normally comes with publication of
> a
> cover photo. In order to pay her, I need to have a complete name, address,
> and SS#. Can you help with this?

>
> Sincerely,
> Marvin W. Cropsey
> Marvin W. Cropsey
> Editor of Quadrennial Resources
> Mature Years Editor
> Adult Studies Resources Editor
>

Status: U
Return-Path: <ned@fcnl.org>
Received: from local.fcnl.org ([65.207.12.2])
by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17UEEn26H3N13rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 15:50:15 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by local.fcnl.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <T4LLFQ8H>; Thu, 26 Sep 2002 15:47:24 -0400
Message-ID: <E9BA445D76C0D21182F30090273DFAF67235E3@local.fcnl.org>
From: Ned Stowe <ned@fcnl.org>
To: "'ishore@psr.org'" <ishore@psr.org>, 'Don Kraus' <dkraus@cunr.org>,
'Carlee Hallman' <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: FW: FCNL: Legislative Action Message (09/26/02)
Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 15:47:24 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain

Ira, Don and Howard,

I thought you would be interested in our Legislative Action Message for this week. Please feel free to pass on.

Thanks

Ned

Edward (Ned) W. Stowe
Legislative Secretary
Friends Committee on National Legislation
(A Quaker lobby in the public interest)
245 Second Street, NE
Washington, DC 20002 - 5795
Phone: 202-547-6000 ext. 117
Toll Free: 1-800-630-1330
Fax: 202-547-6019
E-mail: ned@fcnl.org
website: <http://www.fcnl.org>

-----Original Message-----

From: fcnl-news@fcnl.org [mailto:fcnl-news@fcnl.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2002 3:35 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients
Subject: FCNL: Legislative Action Message (09/26/02)

FCNL LEGISLATIVE ACTION MESSAGE - September 26, 2002

The following action items from the Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL) focus on federal policy issues currently before Congress or the Administration.

TOPIC: SUPPORT ALTERNATIVES TO WAR WITH IRAQ and A GROWING CHORUS FOR PEACE

SUPPORT ALTERNATIVES TO WAR WITH IRAQ: On Sept. 19, the White House sent to Congress a "discussion draft" for a congressional joint resolution to authorize the use of U.S. armed forces against Iraq. If enacted as drafted by the White House, the resolution would grant the President a virtual blank check to wage a limitless war throughout the region using any means that he determines to be appropriate. Members of the House and Senate leadership (including Reps. Hastert (IL), Hyde (IL) and Gephardt (MO) and Sens. Daschle (SD) and Lott (MS)) are now drafting an alternative joint resolution, which may come to the floor for debate as early as the week of September 30. FCNL's analysis of the White House "discussion draft," is posted on our web site at <http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_joint-resolution.htm>.

Also on Sept. 19, Rep. Barbara Lee (CA) introduced House Concurrent Resolution 473 (H. Con. Res. 473), a non-binding resolution "expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the Persian Gulf region. . . through mechanisms such as the resumption of weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional arrangements, and other peaceful means." The resolution currently has 26 cosponsors.

ACTION: Please contact your representative. Let her or him know that you oppose going to war against Iraq. Iraq does not pose an imminent threat to the U.S. War is no way to advance peace and security in the region or for the U.S. War will only provoke more violence and hatred and bring greater suffering to the peoples of Iraq and the region. Urge your representative to cosponsor H. Con. Res. 473, which supports pursuing cooperative, multilateral approaches to dealing with Saddam Hussein's regime.

MAKE LETTER-WRITING EASIER: Start with the sample letter posted in our Legislative Action Center, personalize the language, then email or fax your message directly from our site. You can also print it out and mail it. To view the sample letter, click on the link below, then enter your zip code and click <Go> in the <Take Action Now> box. Here is the link: <<http://capwiz.com/fconl/issues/alert/?alertid=576611&type=CO>>.

A GROWING CHORUS FOR PEACE: Congressional offices are receiving tens of thousands of calls, emails, and faxes from citizens concerned about war with Iraq. Thousands of emails and faxes have been sent from FCNL's legislative action center alone in recent weeks. Some congressional staff are reporting that constituent messages are running five to one, eight to one, ten to one, or much more against war with Iraq. The reports are coming from congressional offices representing districts as diverse as rural South Carolina and Iowa and urban New York, Pittsburgh, Cleveland, and Berkeley. You have helped make this the top issue in congressional offices across the country.

Please keep up the good work. But there is much more to do. Most members are still either sitting on the fence or leaning toward authorizing war. Few

are saying no, but we can change that. Please take the steps outlined below. PLEASE FORWARD THIS EMAIL TO FIVE FRIENDS. Encourage them to take action and to forward the message to their friends. Use the resources on FCNL's web site. New materials are added almost every day. Here is the link to the Iraq index page: <<http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/iraindx.htm>>.

By taking these steps, you will help to grow our campaign for peace and help members of Congress hear the voice of the people of the U.S.

- * Write a letter to the editor opposing war and urging the U.S. to work through the United Nations. Critique the positions of your legislators and their campaign opponents.
- * Call a radio talk show and voice your opposition to the war.
- * Organize a diverse delegation of community leaders to lobby your legislators in their local offices. Try to arrange a meeting with your legislator. Invite the press to come along.
- * Organize a press conference to present diverse community voices opposed to war and report on the positions of members of Congress and candidates.
- * Attend local campaign events. Be the first to ask questions. Ask about Iraq. Give interviews to the press following the event.
- * Organize a peace rally or teach-in on Iraq. Present diverse community voices opposed to war. Invite candidates for Congress to come and participate. Invite the press.
- * Clip and send press coverage to your members of Congress. They need to know that there are lots of folks back home who will support them if they say no to the Bush Administration and no to war.

CONTACTING LEGISLATORS

Capitol Switchboard: 202-224-3121

Sen. _____
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Rep. _____
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Information on your members is available on FCNL's web site:
<http://capwiz.com/fconl/dbq/officials/directory/directory.dbq?command=congr>

CONTACTING THE ADMINISTRATION

White House Comment Desk: 202-456-1111

FAX: 202-456-2461
E-MAIL: president@whitehouse.gov
WEB PAGE: <http://www.whitehouse.gov>

President George W. Bush
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

This message supplements other FCNL materials and does not reflect FCNL's complete policy position on any issue. For further information, please contact FCNL.

Mail: 245 Second Street, NE, Washington, DC 20002-5795
Email: fcnl@fcnl.org
Phone: (202) 547-6000
Toll Free: (800) 630-1330
Fax: (202) 547-6019
Web: <http://www.fcnl.org>

Your contributions sustain our Quaker witness in Washington. We welcome your gifts to FCNL, or, if you need a tax deduction, to the FCNL Education Fund. You can use your credit card to donate money securely to FCNL through a special page on FCNL's web site <http://www.fcnl.org/suprt/indx.htm> FCNL also accepts credit card donations over the phone. For more information about donating, please contact the Development Team directly at development@fcnl.org. Thank you.

This message may be found regularly on FCNL's web site <http://www.fcnl.org> where a printer-friendly version is available and on PeaceNet in the fcnl.updates conference.

This message is distributed regularly via the fcnl-news mailing list. To subscribe to this list, please visit FCNL's web site at http://www.fcnl.org/listserv/quaker_issues.php.

Alternatively, you can send an e-mail message to majordomo@his.com. Leave the subject line blank. The message should read "subscribe fcnl-news." Please Note: Make sure that you are sending this message from the e-mail address to which you would like fcnl-news materials to be sent.

If you currently receive this message via the fcnl-news mailing list and are no longer interested in receiving messages from this list, send an e-mail message to majordomo@his.com. The message should read "unsubscribe fcnl-news."

We seek a world free of war and the threat of war
We seek a society with equity and justice for all
We seek a community where every person's potential may be fulfilled We seek an earth restored...

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Kent/Kathy Barton" <kentkathyb@earthlink.net>
References: <B9A52079.36D4%kentkathyb@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Web site
Date: Thu, 26 Sep 2002 21:32:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Kathy,

I've been busy on the Iraq issue. I'm whether I replied to this. Yes, our web site is active: www.zero-nukes.org. We have a special section on Disarming Iraq without War, which is accessible through the home page.

Please publicize it.

Thanks,
Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Kent/Kathy Barton" <kentkathyb@earthlink.net>
To: "Carlee L. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2002 7:31 PM
Subject: Re: Web site

> IS your website up and running yet? Let me know. Thanks. Kathy
> Campbell-Barton
>
> on 8/17/02 11:16 AM, Carlee L. Hallman at mupj@igc.org wrote:
>
>> Kathy,
>>
>> We have in development a new web site called www.zero-nukes.org. It is
a
>> project of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I
chair.
>> Take a look.
>>
>> It is not quite ready to publicize but should be after Labor Day. I'll
let
>> you know when it is ready to tell more people about.
>>
>> Howard
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----

> > From: "Kent/Kathy Barton" <kentkathyb@earthlink.net>
> > To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
> > Sent: Saturday, August 03, 2002 10:27 AM
> > Subject: Peace Action
> >
> >
> >> Howard: Just a note to connect. I just attended the Peace Action
> > Congress
> >> in Chicago as a new board member. There were many attending who were
> > faith
> >> based...quite a few clergy. A lot of focus about the NPR. Does
> > Methodists
> >> United for Peace with Justice have a website...or the Interfaith
Committee
> >> that you chair? I noticed that there were numbers of laity
representing
> >> the Lutherans, Presbyterians, Roman Catholic, Unitarians, Friends, as
well
> >> as United Methodists. If you do have websites I would like to pass the
> > info
> >> on. Peace, Kathy Campbell-Barton
> >
>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "pwj list serve" <gbcs-pwj@umcgroupemail.org>
Bcc: <ned@fcln.org>
Subject: Fw: FCNL: Legislative Action Message (09/26/02)
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 09:06:59 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear P/J Coordinators:

You may be interested in making use of the following Legislative Action Message from the Friends Committee on National Legislation in support of the Lee Resolution dealing with Iraq. FCNL has given permission for further use. In addition to the contact method suggested by FCNL, you can refer United Methodists to www.umc-gbcs.org, then click UMPower.

If you want to read the Lee Resolution, go to <http://thomas.loc.gov> and enter H.Con.Res.473.

Shalom,
Howard

>
> FCNL LEGISLATIVE ACTION MESSAGE - September 26, 2002
>
> The following action items from the Friends Committee on National
> Legislation (FCNL) focus on federal policy issues currently before
> Congress
> or the Administration.
>
> TOPIC: SUPPORT ALTERNATIVES TO WAR WITH IRAQ and A GROWING CHORUS FOR
> PEACE
>
>
> SUPPORT ALTERNATIVES TO WAR WITH IRAQ: On Sept. 19, the White House sent
> to
> Congress a "discussion draft" for a congressional joint resolution to
> authorize the use of U.S. armed forces against Iraq. If enacted as
> drafted
> by the White House, the resolution would grant the President a virtual
> blank
> check to wage a limitless war throughout the region using any means that
> he
> determines to be appropriate. Members of the House and Senate leadership
> (including Reps. Hastert (IL), Hyde (IL) and Gephardt (MO) and Sens.
> Daschle

- > (SD) and Lott (MS)) are now drafting an alternative joint resolution, which
- > may come to the floor for debate as early as the week of September 30.
- > FCNL's analysis of the White House "discussion draft," is posted on our web
- > site at <http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_joint-resolution.htm>.
- >
- > Also on Sept. 19, Rep. Barbara Lee (CA) introduced House Concurrent
- > Resolution 473 (H. Con. Res. 473), a non-binding resolution "expressing the
- > sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the United States
- > working through the United Nations to assure Iraq's compliance with United
- > Nations Security Council resolutions and advance peace and security in the
- > Persian Gulf region. . . through mechanisms such as the resumption of
- > weapons inspections, negotiation, enquiry, mediation, regional
- > arrangements,
- > and other peaceful means." The resolution currently has 26 cosponsors.
- >
- > ACTION: Please contact your representative. Let her or him know that you
- > oppose going to war against Iraq. Iraq does not pose an imminent threat
- > to
- > the U.S. War is no way to advance peace and security in the region or for
- > the U.S. War will only provoke more violence and hatred and bring greater
- > suffering to the peoples of Iraq and the region. Urge your representative
- > to cosponsor H. Con. Res. 473, which supports pursuing cooperative,
- > multilateral approaches to dealing with Saddam Hussein's regime.
- >
- > MAKE LETTER-WRITING EASIER: Start with the sample letter posted in our
- > Legislative Action Center, personalize the language, then email or fax
- > your
- > message directly from our site. You can also print it out and mail it.
- To
- > view the sample letter, click on the link below, then enter your zip code
- > and click <Go> in the <Take Action Now> box. Here is the link:
- > <<http://capwiz.com/fcnl/issues/alert/?alertid=576611&type=CO>>.
- >
- >
- > This message supplements other FCNL materials and does not reflect FCNL's
- > complete policy position on any issue. For further information, please
- > contact FCNL.
- >
- > Mail: 245 Second Street, NE, Washington, DC 20002-5795
- > Email: fcnl@fcnl.org
- > Phone: (202) 547-6000
- > Toll Free: (800) 630-1330
- > Fax: (202) 547-6019
- > Web: <http://www.fcnl.org>
- >
- > This message may be found regularly on FCNL's web site <http://www.fcnl.org>
- > where a printer-friendly version is available and on PeaceNet in the
- > fcnl.updates conference.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Kayser, Marie" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Correction needed
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 09:30:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

In the Disarming Iraq section, the URL for the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops has acquired an extraneous "%20". That produces a "not available" message. Please remove.

Thanks,
Howard

P.S. One of these days I probably should learn how to make these kind of changes.

Also, do you want to bill us again for your time?

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Bishop" <Bishop@flumc.org>
References: <12C014485C7CD3119FC600A0C9D3AD62013A507C@server1.flumc.org>
Subject: Re: Action Alert on Iraq
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 09:38:48 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Bishop Whitaker,

Thanks for your two replies to our action alert on Iraq. I have forward your statement to UM conference peace with justice coordinators. I hope that the Council of Bishops take up your suggestion to prepare a theological critique of Bush's National Security Strategy.

I've been thinking about talking with Bob Edgar of the National Council of Churches and Jerry Powers of the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops about the possibility of convening a forum for church leaders and theologians to discuss this new strategy paper along with last year's National Defense Posture and Nuclear Strategy Review, which advocate U.S. military dominance on Earth and in space. We need to speak truth to power. United Methodist bishops have a lot to contribute.

Shalom,
Howard

----- Original Message -----

From: "Bishop" <Bishop@flumc.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2002 9:14 AM
Subject: RE: Action Alert on Iraq

> I have recommended in a letter to the Teaching Committee of the Council of
> Bishops that the COB prepare a theological critique of the new "National
> Security System."
>
> Bishop Tim Whitaker, Florida

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <mupj@igc.org>
Bcc: "Bishop Sprague" <jsprague@umcnic.org>,
"Bishop Galvan" <bishop@pnwumc.org>,
"Bishop Brown" <bishop@bishopbrown.org>
Subject: Action Alert on Iraq
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 10:27:42 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

ACTION ALERT ON IRAQ
From Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Dear United Methodist Bishop:

President Bush has asked Congress to adopt a resolution that states: "The President is authorized to use all means that he determines is appropriate, including force" to enforce United Nations Security Council resolutions dealing with Iraq. President Bush has indicated that he is willing to launch a pre-emptive attack against Iraq regardless of whether the UN Security Council takes further action.

As Jim Winkler, general secretary of the UM General Board of Church and Society, has stated, "A pre-emptive war represents a major and dangerous change in US foreign policy. It also sets a terrible precedent for other nations." (See <http://www.umc-gbcs.org/gbpr170.htm>.)

Members of Congress are now deciding whether to adopt the language proposed by President Bush or to modify it in some manner. The greatest opportunity to halt the rush to unilateral U.S. action is in the U.S. Senate. In particular, members of the Armed Services and Foreign Relations Committees will play an important role in modifying the draft resolution.

Therefore, we encourage you to get in touch with your U.S. senators on this issue. This can be accomplished by phone or fax, or by letters to the senators' offices within their home state. Mail to Senate offices in Washington still experiences long delays.

You might stress the importance of multilateral action through the United Nations and the need to give international inspections and diplomacy a full opportunity to deal with Iraq before considering military action. While we recognize that Saddam Hussein's ambition to acquire weapons of mass destruction is a grave concern, we also realize that going to war against Iraq would have a heavy toll in the deaths of American service men and women and thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

Jim Winkler's statement ties this issue to positions of the United Methodist Church. Other sources of information are found at www.nccusa.org, the web site of the National Council of Churches, and at www.zero-nukes.org, a new web site of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, which I chair.

You may also want to encourage United Methodists in your area to get in touch with their members of Congress. They can do so by phone or fax, or by letters to district offices. They can also use the on-line e-mail system of the General Board of Church Society by going to www.umc-gbcs.org and clicking on UMPower.

With best regards,
Howard W. Hallman

This communication is sent to you because of your leadership role in the United Methodist Church. If you do not wish to receive our occasional action alerts, please reply and put "remove" in the subject line.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U
Return-Path: <debate44646@yahoo.com>
Received: from web10704.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.130.212])
by kendall.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17UWx11JS3N13pM0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 10:55:51 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <20020927145551.1472.qmail@web10704.mail.yahoo.com>
Received: from [24.140.23.16] by web10704.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 07:55:51 PDT
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 07:55:51 -0700 (PDT)
From: hipkins james <debate44646@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Board Meeting
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
In-Reply-To: <004201c2659f\$314e0f80\$036bf7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Yes, will be there Thursday in late evening. We will leave after the surgery in Cleveland which is at about 8 a.m. So we should be on our way by noon or 2 p.m.

Thanks for question. We are thinking clearly these days. Char is having an angioplasty on Monday, probably a stint. I hope they don't try the ballon things. They so rarely work. See for in several weeks.

Jim

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:
> You will be welcome Friday and Saturday night. What
> about Thursday, the
> night before the board meeting?
>
> Howard
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
> To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
> Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2002 10:30 AM
> Subject: Re: Board Meeting
>
>
>> Howard:
>> This is second reply. We will come. We welcome
> your
>> invitation and will stay with you. Could we stay
> Fri.
>> and Sat. night? Sat. we would like to go to
> Baltimore
>> and to the Library and get some genealogy info
> cleared
>> up.
>>
>

Do you Yahoo!?

New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!

<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

Status: U
Return-Path: <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Received: from web13907.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.175.70])
by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17UWWjVb3Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 11:21:59 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <20020927152158.58910.qmail@web13907.mail.yahoo.com>
Received: from [64.178.14.226] by web13907.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 08:21:58 PDT
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 08:21:58 -0700 (PDT)
From: Marie Kayser <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Correction needed
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
In-Reply-To: <003d01c2662b\$4b277860\$726df7a5@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-1273451609-1033140118=:58867"

--0-1273451609-1033140118=:58867
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Howard,
I corrected the URL. Are you working on the Civil Sector section? I think that's the only big section missing from the site.

Here's the invoice:

08/28/02 - .5 hour – religious
08/28/02 - .25 hour - religious
08/24/02 – 2 hours – how to get to zero
08/28/02 – .5 hours – how to get to zero
09/05/02 – 1 hour – how to get to zero
09/13/02 – 1.5 hours – religious statements NPR
09/16/02 – 1.5 hours – how to get to zero
09/17/02 – 0.5 hours – religious statements
09/23/02 - .5 hours - zero

Total: 8.25 hours x \$25.00 per hour = \$206.25

Thanks!

Marie

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote:Marie,

In the Disarming Iraq section, the URL for the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops has acquired an extraneous "%20". That produces a "not available" message. Please remove.

Thanks,
Howard

P.S. One of these days I probably should learn how to make these kind of changes.

Also, do you want to bill us again for your time?

Status: U
Return-Path: <owner-gbcs-pwj@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Received: from umcgroupemail.org ([64.221.242.81])
by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17UXLNOY3Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 12:15:11 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from UMCom2C02 (10.1.2.12) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.000300B6@umcgroupemail.org>; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 11:15:11 -0500
Received: from UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG by UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release
1.8d) with spool id 5206 for GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG; Fri, 27 Sep
2002 11:13:10 -0500
Received: from albatross.prod.itd.earthlink.net (207.217.120.120) by
umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.000300B1@umcgroupemail.org>; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 11:12:54 -0500
Received: from sdn-ap-014watacop0246.dialsprint.net ([63.191.200.246]) by
albatross.prod.itd.earthlink.net with esmtp (Exim 3.33 #1) id
17uxjU-0004jK-00 for GBGS-PWJ@umcgroupemail.org; Fri, 27 Sep 2002
09:12:48 -0700
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Mime-version: 1.0
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="MS_Mac_OE_3115963008_7422229_MIME_Part"
Message-ID: <B9B9D280.3951%kentkathyb@earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 09:16:48 -0700
Reply-To: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Sender: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
From: Kent/Kathy Barton <kentkathyb@EARTHLINK.NET>
Subject: [GBGS-PWJ] Letter to Bush from The UCC office of Justice
To: GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--MS_Mac_OE_3115963008_7422229_MIME_Part
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

AN OPEN LETTER TO PRESIDENT BUSH

By Bernice Powell Jackson

Dear President Bush:

As I met with legislative aides in Washington this week, the word "inevitability" seemed to come up often. It appears that members of Congress, the only body authorized by the U.S. Constitution to declare war, believe that the war they say they are afraid of is inevitable. Afraid that they will be labeled as unpatriotic, they seem to fear raising the hard questions of you, Mr. President. I understand that's the undercurrent at the Pentagon as well. Even the generals don't want this war, but feel that you are determined to have it and that it is inevitable.

Webster's dictionary defines inevitability as something incapable of being avoided or evaded. Mr. President, if the military and the Congress feel that this war is incapable of being avoided or evaded, then something is terribly wrong. For war should only be a last resort, when every single other avenue has been tried. And not only should you and your advisors believe that it is the right thing, the only thing to do, but so, too, should the military, the Congress, and the American people.

If war is inevitable, then so is death. The deaths of those young men and women who serve our country. The deaths of the women and children in Iraq who will surely die from our bombs because we know that their leader is liable to place them in harm's way just to prove his point. Perhaps the deaths of civilians across the Middle East if Iraq then attacks Israel, which then will attack back, perhaps dragging in other countries as well.

If war is inevitable, then so is more terror. In the days after the terrorist attacks on September 11, you declared war on terrorism, but a war against Iraq may very well lead to more terrorists and therefore more terrorism. As young men across the Arab world see the news clips of death, some who might have instead become computer programmers or shop keepers will choose instead to become bearers of death. The only way to really defeat terrorism is for the world to find a way to share the wealth of God's creation and for people around the world to experience true democracy, not a government put in place through either our bombing or propped up by our financial support. If war is inevitable, then so will be a split around the world by religion. Many Muslim countries will find it impossible to stay neutral as those news clips show the death and destruction and the entry of Israel into it all will further harden their positions.

If war is inevitable, then so will be the end of any possibility of prescription drug plans for our seniors who desperately need it. If war is inevitable, then so will be the end of federal dollars available to help leave no child behind, because those dollars will go towards bombs and ammunition. And not only will American children be left behind, but so, too, will be children around the world as our financial commitments to ending the HIV/AIDS pandemic become difficult, if not impossible.

If war is inevitable, then so will be the end of this administration's attention on investigating and prosecuting those in corporations who stole billions of dollars from employees and pensioners and stock holders because every administration at war has had to focus all of its attention on war and its foreseen and unforeseen impact. Even now, consumed only by the talk of war, the administration and Congress have not yet got a budget agreement for the fiscal year ending September 30.

Mr. President, I remember Vietnam. I remember the three million people, including the 55,000 Americans, who died because of misinformation and lies given to the U.S. Congress. I remember my cousin Charles, who never was the same when he returned from Vietnam and died without ever reaching 30. I remember the families torn apart by the war. I remember the dollars this nation wasted on war instead of investing in peace. I remember them, Mr. President, and so should you.

War should never be inevitable. It should be a very last resort. There's still time, Mr. President. Still time for peace.

-

Status: U

Return-Path: <afong@jps.net>

Received: from atlantic.mail.pas.earthlink.net ([207.217.120.179])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17UYTk3XG3Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 13:27:02 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from pool0035.cvxx22-bradley.dialup.earthlink.net ([209.179.198.35] helo=D8P7LG11)

by atlantic.mail.pas.earthlink.net with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
id 17uytH-0002pu-00; Fri, 27 Sep 2002 10:27:00 -0700

Message-ID: <000b01c2664b\$15d053b0\$23c6b3d1@D8P7LG11>

Reply-To: "Adrienne Fong" <afong@jps.net>

From: "Adrienne Fong" <afong@jps.net>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Cc: "Janet Horman" <JHorman@UMC-GBCS.ORG>

Subject: Good Job!

Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 10:26:57 -0700

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0008_01C26610.6893C5E0"

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0008_01C26610.6893C5E0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Howard!

Good work in condensing a message and encouraging folks to use =
UMpower etc. Was trying to construct something that I wanted to put out =
on the PwJ -GBCS site - but you did it all in a digestable way!

Thank You!

Adrienne

Status: U
Return-Path: <owner-gbcs-pwj@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Received: from umcgroupemail.org ([64.221.242.81])
by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17VEGNMv3NI3p20
for <mupj@igc.org>; Sun, 29 Sep 2002 10:04:53 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from UMCom2C02 (10.1.2.12) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.00030941@umcgroupemail.org>; 29 Sep 2002 9:04:56 -0500
Received: from UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG by UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release
1.8d) with pool id 7387 for GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG; Sun, 29 Sep
2002 09:02:56 -0500
Received: from mail.academicplanet.com (209.245.216.197) by umcgroupemail.org
(LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.0003093F@umcgroupemail.org>; 29 Sep 2002 9:02:55 -0500
Received: from brenda (207-173-52-50.academicplanet.com [207.173.52.50]) by
mail.academicplanet.com (8.11.1/8.11.0) with SMTP id g8TDtL800614;
Sun, 29 Sep 2002 08:55:21 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0000_01C26796.EC55DA80"
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.1
X-Sender: wthomson@mercury.its.umd.umich.edu
X-Apparently-To: annbrag@prodigy.net via web80010.mail.yahoo.com; 28 Sep 2002
14:51:32 -0700 (PDT)
X-Originating-IP: [141.215.69.129]
X-Track: 1: 100
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
Message-ID: <CAEJIIEFGMEIGGLHDKPOAEFACPA.A.bbhardt@mail.esc4.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Sep 2002 09:02:22 -0500
Reply-To: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Sender: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
From: brenda hardt <bbhardt@MAIL.ESC4.COM>
Subject: [GBGS-PWJ] MIDEAST URGENT ACTION: Saturday,
9/28/02-2 (PM--USA:EST)
To: GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0000_01C26796.EC55DA80
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

"You must be the change you wish to see in the world."-Gandhi.
There seems to be growing, strong push in another direction. Keep calling.
Write a letter to the editor.
Be salt. Brenda

Middle East Urgent Action 9/28/02
PREEMPT THE PREEMPTIVE WAR!!!

WE HAVE TO TAKE DECISIVE ACTION IMMEDIATELY !!

"President Bush called President Jacques Chirac of France today to lobby for the American measure....But Mr. Chirac stuck to his position that the initial Security Council resolution should deal with inspections and that the issue of military action should be deferred. Indeed, the French appeared to be mounting a lobbying effort of their own to counter the Americans. Mr. Chirac met Thursday with the Chinese premier, Zhu Rongji, who is visiting Europe to speak with business leaders. Later Thursday, Mr. Chirac called President Vladimir V. Putin to lobby for Russian support. Mr. Zhu was shown on French television saying that "if the weapons inspections did not take place, if we do not have clear proof and if we do not have the authorization of the Security Council, we cannot launch a military attack on Iraq - otherwise, there would be incalculable consequences." -- Michael Gordon, NY Times

Our actions, plus some rational behavior (finally) by some prominent politicians, appear to have bought us some time. We must use it by aggressively confronting members of the House and Senate. If you are in DC, please use the resources in Item #3 to take action. Others please use Item #1 to increase pressure this week on your elected representatives, and continue calling your House and Senate members, as well as the permanent members of the UN Security Council (see last item). We must be unflagging in our efforts.

Addendum: Sen. Byrd of West Virginia is threatening a filibuster on the war resolution. Support him at 202-224-3954 <senator_byrd@byrd.senate.gov>.

Nonviolent occupations of representatives' and senator's offices have taken place in Minnesota, Washington (State), California, Illinois and Massachusetts. Other actions are occurring in Michigan, New Mexico, Texas, Colorado, Pennsylvania, Kentucky, Wisconsin and Arizona.

If you have actions to report, please email them to me with "ACTION REPORT" in the "Subject" line.

Some Democrats are beginning to make public the connection between the cost of the war and other critical priorities. It is estimated by both a Republican and an independent analysis that the war will cost at least \$60 billion, and reconstruction will bring that figure up to \$200 billion. This is over \$600 per capita!! In a culture where the bottom line is a significant marker, this is a persuasive argument. Use it. Keep in mind that \$100 billion is:

- 3 times what the federal government spends on K through 12 education
- enough to provide health care to all uninsured children in the US for the next 5 years
- more than 4 times the total international affairs budget

When you call members of the House and Senate, ask them how many calls they have been getting against and in favor of the war. (All the reports I have heard from congressional offices indicate overwhelming opposition to the

president's position.)

The President can be reached at <President@whitehouse.gov>, ph. 202-456-1111, and your members of Congress may be found in the "government" section of your local phone book. You can also call the Capital switchboard toll-free: 1-800-839-5276 (or toll 202-224-3121) and ask to be connected to your member of Congress.

Also, contact the congressional leadership: Sen. Tom Daschle <tom_daschle@daschle.senate.gov>, ph. 202-224-2321, Sen. Trent Lott <senatorlott@lott.senate.gov>, ph. 202-224-6253, Rep. Richard Gephardt <gephardt@mail.house.gov>, ph. 202-225-2671, Rep. Dennis Hastert <dhastert@mail.house.gov>, ph. 202-225-2976. Call several times each day if possible. It is time to put our beliefs into action.

The office of one of my senators reported that they have received ONE pro-war cal among the hundreds received. My House member, Lynn Rivers, has not received a single call in favor of the war. **KEEP UP THE LOCAL PRESSURE, AND NOTIFY THE LEADERSHIP OF THESE NUMBERS.** If you have already called congressional offices, call again. Send email messages with "NO WAR" in the "Subject" heading.

Also contact the media (see last item).

Peace,
Bill

- 1) National Call for Direct Action: Stop the War Resolution (ACTION REQUIRED)
- 2) Report updates and suggested actions (ACTION REQUESTED)
- 3) September 29-30 in DC: Non-violent March Against War and Emergency Congressional Action (ACTION REQUESTED)
- 4) Congress Overwhelmed With Anti-War Calls From "The Silenced Majority"
- 5) 350,000 March in London against attack on Iraq
- 6) U.S. Plan Requires Inspection Access to All Sites
- 7) Israel Says Target in Gaza Raid Was Wounded, but Escaped
- 8) Contact information for US, UN, European Union, Canada, Israel and Media (CONTACT EARLY AND OFTEN)

Excellent sources for current updates: <http://www.truthout.org/> and <http://www.palestinechronicle.com> and www.jerusalem.indymedia.org and <http://www.soundvision.com/info/jerusalem> and <http://www.jewsagainsttheoccupation.org/> and <http://www.iap.org/index2.html>. Previous copies of these updates will be available at <http://www.soundvision.com/info/jerusalem>

"Individuals have international duties which transcend the national obligations of obedience...Therefore [individual citizens] have the duty to violate domestic laws to prevent crimes against peace and humanity from occurring" -- Nuremberg War Crime Tribunal, 1950

“Recall the face of the poorest and most helpless person you have seen and ask yourself if the next step you contemplate is going to be of any use to that person.”--Mohandas Gandhi

"The hottest fires in hell are reserved for those who remain neutral in times of moral crisis" -Edmund Burke

1) NationalCall for Direct Action: Stop the War Resolution (ACTION REQUIRED)

[Please pull out this item and the last item and circulate widely. -- Bill]

From: "National Network" <nnewai@usa.com>

Date: Sun, 22 Sep 2002 01:19:17 -0500

Subject: NATIONAL CALL for DIRECT ACTION: STOP the WAR RESOLUTION

----- Original Message -----

From: "Anon Gulf War Veteran" <birminghamjail@hotmail.com>

Date: Sun, 22 Sep 2002 04:44:45 +0000

Subject: NATIONAL CALL for DIRECT ACTION: STOP the WAR RESOLUTION

*** please distribute as widely as possible ***

NATIONAL CALL for DIRECT ACTION: STOP the WAR RESOLUTION

“I am in Birmingham because injustice is here... Moreover, I am cognizant of the interrelatedness of all communities and states. I cannot sit idly by in Atlanta and not be concerned about what happens in Birmingham. Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere. We are caught in an inescapable network of mutuality, tied in a single garment of destiny. Whatever affects one directly, affects all indirectly...I wish you had commended the...sit-inners and demonstrators of Birmingham for their sublime courage, their willingness to suffer and their amazing discipline in the midst of great provocation.” - Letter from a Birmingham jail, Martin Luther King, Jr., 1963

Sisters and brothers, I implore you to act according to your conscience and recognize that we are facing a national emergency: an impending war vote in Congress. If you oppose a U.S. ‘pre-emptive’ war in Iraq, then you must prepare to act this week. Otherwise, acting on your behalf, your elected representatives in Washington will consent to an atrocity that will do irreparable harm to the people of Iraq, international security, and to us as a nation. There comes a time when events overtake us, events of colossal importance. Now is the time to act. Not when it is too late.

NATIONWIDE CALL TO ACTION

As you read this, a White House “discussion draft” of the war resolution is circulating in Congress. All this week, Democrats and Republicans will battle over the language of this resolution.

At present, most Members of Congress oppose the war resolution in its

current form.

However, unless you act now, that will soon change.

Already, Members of Congress are moving to compromise with or be accommodating to the Bush Administration and congressional leaders. If we do not check this immediately, then an amended version of the war resolution will gain the support of a majority in both houses of Congress and pass into public law, providing authorization for the President to launch a 'pre-emptive' war against Iraq.

Our only chance to defeat this war resolution is to demand that our elected officials accept no compromise and publicly commit themselves to voting against the war resolution no matter how it changes.

A RECIPE FOR DEFEATING BUSH'S WAR RESOLUTION

Contact concerned citizens in your community immediately and organize small delegations of two to five constituents to visit the district offices of your Senators and Representatives. Be sure to target Members of Congress who have either not taken a position on the war resolution or have already expressed their support. (So far, at least 17 Members of Congress have publicly announced their opposition to the war resolution in its current form.)

If no one in your group has had previous experience with civil disobedience, contact a local nonviolence trainer or peace organization (see below for links to [RESOURCES for NONVIOLENCE TRAINING](#)). It is essential to ensure that everyone planning to participate in this important action is properly trained and prepared to risk arrest.

It is not necessary to schedule a meeting. Each delegation should simply walk into the office and ask to speak to the staffer who handles foreign policy or defense. Whether or not they meet with you, tell someone in the office that, as constituents, you would like a public statement from the Member of Congress declaring that they will vote against the war resolution in any form. Keep the request short and simple. Let them know that you and your delegation will wait until a statement is made. If the office refuses to provide a statement or tells you that they plan to support the President, politely inform them that you will not leave until the office complies with your request.

Make sure that the media is aware of your action.

You are essentially asking the Member of Congress to represent their constituents who oppose a war in Iraq. Furthermore, to authorize the President to take U.S. military action against Iraq at this time would represent a repudiation of the U.S. Constitution and the United Nations Charter, abandoning legal restrictions that have guided America for two generations. You are carrying out your civic duties in holding your elected representatives accountable.

In a letter from a Birmingham Jail, Martin Luther King, Jr. instructed us: "In any nonviolent campaign there are four basic steps: collection of the

facts to determine whether injustices exist; negotiation; self-purification; and direct action.”

For over 12 years, concerned citizens and organizations in the United States as well as throughout the world have documented the tremendous hardship, suffering and death that the people of Iraq have had to endure. Despite this, the grave injustice and indignity of U.S.-led military actions and international sanctions continue.

When the Bush administration began to put our nation on the path to war in Iraq, these same concerned organizations and individuals petitioned Congress and the administration to reverse the course and allow the UN to settle the conflict through peaceful means. Our case has been made stronger by the collection of the facts. In short, the administration has been unable to provide any evidence that shows Iraq to be a clear and immediate threat to the United States. But despite all of this, our efforts to prevail upon our elected officials through negotiation have failed. Even Iraq’s surrender to President Bush’s demand to allow in UN weapons inspections “without conditions” seems to have had no effect in averting the collision course the Bush administration has set for us. And now Congress appears prepared to vote to authorize the President to use force against Iraq.

We are left with no choice. It is time for each of us to prepare to take nonviolent direct action. Otherwise, we could lose our last chance to prevent our nation from making a devastating mistake.

RESOURCES for Nonviolence Training

CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE INDEX:

(the following is an extensive list of support organizations)

<http://www.actupny.org/documents/CDdocuments/CDindex.html>

The following are additional links that can assist you in locating a nonviolence trainer and/or recruiting others to participate in your action.

DIRECTORIES of NONVIOLENCE TRAINER:

[Nationwide Directory] http://www.warresisters.org/wrl_locals.htm

[New England States] <http://www.donnelycolt.com/nonviolencetraining/>

[Arizona] <http://www.nvcaz.com/connect/trainers.htm>

LIST of CERTIFIED NONVIOLENCE TRAINERS in the U.S.:

<http://www.cnvc.org/trnrlist.htm#ust>

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS

<http://www.actupny.org/documents/demomanual/Demomanual.html>

For peace with justice,
An Anonymous Gulf War Veteran

2) Report updates and suggested actions (ACTION REQUESTED)

STATE OF TEXAS

From: "Ken Freeland" <kenfree@ev1.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 13:56:47 -0500
Subject: RE: protest in Houston

HI Julie et al.,

The Chronicle's larger figure was accurate: there were over 300 people by most estimates. It was a great turnout for Houston (thanks to KPFT's promotion of the event all week). The protest was completely nonviolent, with no incidents, and very spirited. When we learned that the Bush motorcade had arrived at the hotel, everyone massed in the direction of the hotel, and chanted the "No blood for oil" mantra for over twenty minutes, non-stop!

In the attached photo, the Hyatt Regency entrance is visible in the center right. See y'all next week when we do it again in Austin! [People from Houston area interested in helping to charter a bus for Saturday Oct. 5 (leaving early morning, returning early evening) should contact Robert Graham, grahamr@EV1.net, (713) 528-0677. We are estimating travel costs at \$20 per person if we can get a full bus. Money is due by Wednesday Oct. 2.]

Peace,
Ken

STATE OF WISCONSIN

From: madpeace-mapc-admin@madpeace.org
[mailto:madpeace-mapc-admin@madpeace.org]On Behalf Of Ted M
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2002 10:00 AM
Subject: Sat Sept 28: Madison Demo against War on Iraq!

Madison Area Peace Coalition
<http://www.madpeace.org>

Please contact:
Rae Vogeler, (608) 835-7501
Barbara Smith, (608) 242-9232

For Immediate Release

**CITIZENS DEMONSTRATE AGAINST WAR IN IRAQ
SATURDAY SEPTEMBER 28
DOWNTOWN MADISON**

Do U.S. citizens truly support a war in Iraq?

Wisconsin does not!

On Saturday, September 28, 10am, Wisconsin residents will assemble in front of Senator Herbert Kohl's downtown Madison office to protest U.S. military intervention in Iraq. Senator Kohl is the focus of this event so that citizens can encourage him to vote against the war. U.S. Representative Tammy Baldwin has already stated her opposition, and Senator Russ Feingold

has urged caution.

The demonstration will begin at 10:00 at 14 West Mifflin Street. Picketers will proceed to the Farmer's Market around 11:00 to distribute literature and hold a silent vigil. The public will be encouraged to call, fax and write their legislators this week.

EVERYONE OPPOSED TO MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAQ is welcome to attend.

Rae Vogeler of the Madison Area Peace Coalition explained, "We are organizing this picket at Senator Kohl's office to give a voice to the people who have been ignored by the polls, the press and many politicians. The Iraqi people are not our enemy; we do not want to go to war against them."

Informational materials will be available and the public will be encouraged to call, fax and write their legislators this week.

Confirmed speakers at the event include:

- Will Williams, a two-term Vietnam War veteran and member of Wisconsin Vietnam Veterans, Chapter 5. Mr. Williams, born in Mississippi, is a Madison resident and great-grandfather of four.

- Matthew Rothschild, editor of The Progressive magazine.

The demonstration has been planned by the Madison Area Peace Coalition and is supported by other area peace organizations.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

From: "Buff Bradley" <buph@igc.org>
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 21:12:55 -0700
Subject: Protest in Oakland

September 27, Oakland, California

Approximately 150 peace activists gathered outside the Federal Building in downtown Oakland today to protest the Bush administration's plans for a war against Iraq. After plenty of chanting and singing and some fine oratory, fifteen of the protestors lay down in a "die-in" in front of the Federal Building's doors and were arrested by federal officers.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

From: TOOLGT@aol.com
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 22:51:18 EDT
Subject: protesters arrested an Lantos' office

Iraq War Protesters Arrested at Warmonger Lantos' Office in California
http://www.bayinsider.com/partners/ktvu/news/2002/09/27_protesters.html

Iraq War Protesters Arrested at Tom Lantos' Office

9/27/02

SAN MATEO -- Nine activists protesting war on Iraq were arrested Thursday during a planned protest at U.S. Rep. Tom Lantos' district office in San Mateo, police say.

The protest, organized by a coalition of Bay Area peace groups including the San Francisco-based human rights group Global Exchange, attempted to reverse Lantos' firm support of the Bush Administration war initiative.

Global Exchange Peace Campaign organizer Andrea Buffa, one of the nine arrested, says the protesters targeted Lantos, D-San Mateo, because of his influence on the House International Relations Committee.

In a statement published in the San Mateo County Times today, Lantos defended his position on Iraq, stating his belief that "Saddam's continued efforts to develop weapons of mass destruction pose a clear and present danger to the United States."

According to Global Exchange, an additional protest is planned at the same location on Oct. 7 from 4 - 6 p.m.

STATE OF ARIZONA

From: pjw8 <pjw8@dana.ucc.nau.edu>

Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 21:21:59 -0700

Approximately 700 people protested Bush's visit to Flagstaff today, shouting anti-war slogans, as Air Force One landed in local airport 5 minutes away from the demonstrators.

Philippa Winkler

AUSTRALIA

From: "mohasagar" <mohasagar@yahoo.co.uk>

Date: Sat, 28 Sep 2002 09:18:38 -0000

today 10,000 people protested and marched from Sydney (Australia) Town Hall but the nice people of the "corporate media" said a few thousands ...

3) September 29-30 in DC: Non-violent March Against War and Emergency Congressional Action (ACTION REQUESTED)

[It is my understanding that there has also been a national call for clergy to assemble in DC that same weekend to witness and lobby Congress.--Bill]

From: National Network <National.Network@verizon.net>

Date: Fri, 20 Sep 2002 15:14:54 -0400

Subject: SEPTEMBER 29-30 in DC: NON-VIOLENT MARCH AGAINST WAR and Emergency Congressional Action

On September 29th and 30th
Come to Washington, DC
Tell Congress and the President:

DON'T BOMB IRAQ.

Non-Violent March Against the War on Iraq
Sunday, September 29, 2002: Washington, DC

2:00 PM Gathering at Dupont Circle (Massachusetts & Connecticut Aves, NW).

The march will set off at 2:30 pm, stopping at key embassies (Britain, Japan, Turkey, Egypt, and possibly others) to deliver messages of peace. The march will end with a rally outside Vice President Dick Cheney's house at the Naval Observatory, 3400 Massachusetts Ave NW.

Contact: The National Network to End the War Against Iraq: 301-270-4858.
newai@usa.com, www.endthewar.org

Volunteers Needed! Go to: www.endthwar.org/frontps/volunteer.htm

Download the flyer on line at: www.peace-action.org/march.pdf

Emergency Congressional Education Day
Monday, September 30, 2002: Washington, DC

8 am - 11 am, Methodist Building, 100 Maryland Ave.

Press Congress to vote against war on Iraq.
Lobby preparation and training starting at 8 am,
lobbying from 11 am.

Contact the Education for Peace in Iraq Center (EPIC)
202-543-6176 epicenter@igc.org

Limited Attendance is Available, Register Early.
To Register, go to: <http://epic-usa.org/lobbydays/>
Additional Events (Sunday Morning)
Sunday, September 29, 2002: Washington, DC

9-11 am: Social Forum on Iraq
American University, Ward Circle Building
For More Information or to submit proposals go to: www.dcsocialforum.org

11 am-1pm: Panel and Training Workshops
American University, Ward Circle Building

4) Congress Overwhelmed With Anti-War Calls From "The Silenced Majority"

Congress Overwhelmed With Anti-War Calls From "The Silenced Majority"

Republican and Democratic Senate offices report "overwhelming" opposition from their constituents to war with Iraq. This comes as Congress prepares to pass a war resolution granting President Bush sweeping powers to invade Iraq.

The national news radio show Democracy Now! conducted an informal survey on Thursday of 70 Republican and Democratic Senate offices.

Of the 26 offices which responded to our inquires, 22 reported an overwhelming majority – in some cases up to 99 percent -- of constituents

opposed war in Iraq; three said the response was split and just one office reported a majority called backing the war. Among the findings:

Democrats

- * Wisconsin Sen. Herb Kohl: Aides say they are receiving 1,000-2,000 calls per week with the overwhelming number opposed to an attack on Iraq.
- * Washington Sen. Patty Murray: Over 5,000 letters and phone calls were received last week on Iraq, aides say. Only about 100 came from constituents who supported an attack.
- * California Sen. Dianne Feinstein: Staff in her San Francisco office reported about 200 calls a day with 99 percent of the callers opposing the war.
- * New Mexico Sen. Jeff Bingaman: The D.C. office has been receiving at least 1,300 calls a day with about 70 percent opposed to war.

Republicans

- * North Carolina Sen. Jesse Helms: Staff declined to give figures but said the “majority is against” when it comes to calls on Iraq.
 - * Nebraska Sen. Charles Hegal: According to aides, constituents favor diplomacy over war at a rate of 5 to 1.
 - * Virginia Sen. John Warner: About 150 constituents a day are calling into the D.C. offices. “A very small minority supported military action,” said one aide.
- "It's extraordinary that, as Senators work with the Bush Administration to draft a war resolution, their constituents are expressing overwhelming opposition an attack against Iraq," said Amy Goodman, the host of Democracy Now! “Unfortunately we are hearing very little about this in the media. These calls represent the silenced majority, not the silent majority.”

Democracy Now is a daily nationwide news show based in New York. It is broadcast on over 130 public radio and television stations around the country.

For more information email mail@democracynow.org

5) 350,000 March in London against attack on Iraq

From: Jan Adams <distribution@war-times.org>
Date: Fri, 27 Sep 2002 20:40:23 -0700
Subject: 350,000 March in London against attack on Iraq

Historic Anti-War March in London
On-the-spot report
by Bob Wing

*Bob Wing is the editor of War Times. He is currently in London in transit to Palestine.
London, Sept. 28

Tony Blair may be President Bush's only European ally in his drive for war on Iraq. But the people of the UK today forcibly demonstrated their opposition to forcible regime change.

This afternoon, at least 350,000 people from all over the United Kingdom descended upon the corridors of power for a massive and peaceful "Don't Attack Iraq/Freedom for Palestine" march and rally.

As I file this report at 4 p.m., less than half the march, which commenced at 12:30 p.m., has arrived at the Hyde Park Rally.

The action was the largest of its kind in the UK in 30 years. It was dramatic, and so large that it was truly impossible to gauge its size. Certainly it numbered in the hundreds of thousands of people of every ethnicity, age and class.

Recent polls show that 70 percent opposed Britain joining a U.S.-led military action. "There is not just opposition to the prospect of war--there is boiling anger," asserts Andrew Murray, chair of the Stop the War Coalition.

The turnout was a shot across the bow of Prime Minister Tony Blair and a preview of next weeks Labor Party Conference.

The demonstration was jointly sponsored by the Stop the War Coalition and the Muslim Association of Britain. It was endorsed by 12 national trade unions, numerous Muslim and anti-racist organizations, Members of Parliament and the Mayor of London.

Organizers have called for another massive "Don't Attack Iraq Day" for Oct. 31.

"Opposition to this war in this country is the most incredible coalition I have ever seen," says Jeremy Corbyn, a Labor MP.

"Since Sept. 11, Islamophobia has spread across the UK and activated the Muslim and South Asian populations," said Asad Rehman, national organizer for the Stop the War Coalition and chairman of the Newham Monitoring Project. South Asians are the largest group of color in the UK, numbering about 15 percent in London alone.

"I didn't go on earlier demonstrations but I am now because the countdown to war has started and I find it terrifying," explained march Jemma Redgrave.

Robert "3-D" Del Naja of the pop group Massive Attack says "I am marching because I feel very disheartened about our government and the way it reacts to America and American foreign policy."

Meanwhile, in Parliament, Labor Party members are staging a revolt against Blair's Iraq policy. They warn that the 56-strong rebellion of this week is just a warm up. Blair also faces powerful opposition at next week's national Labor Party conference.

Charles Kennedy, leader of the Liberal Democratic Party, the third largest in the UK, declared his opposition to what he called the U.S.'s "imperialist" policy.

6) U.S. Plan Requires Inspection Access to All Sites

U.S. Plan Requires Inspection Access to All Sites
September 28, 2002
By MICHAEL R. GORDON
NY Times

LONDON, Sept. 27 - The Bush administration has drafted a stringent plan for arms inspections that provides for unrestricted access to all sites in Iraq, including Saddam Hussein's presidential compounds and palaces, and authorizes the use of military force if Baghdad interferes, according to European and American officials.

The essence of the plan, which American and British officials are presenting to France, Russia and China, the other veto-bearing members of the United Nations Security Council, is to declare that Iraq is already violating its obligations to the United Nations and to put the onus on Saddam Hussein to comply.

Under the terms of the draft resolution, Iraq would be required to provide a full account of its programs to develop weapons of mass destruction before the inspectors returned.

Mr. Hussein has a seven-day deadline to accept the resolution and declare all of his programs of weapons of mass destruction, and a further 23 days to open up the sites concerned and provide all documents to support the declaration, an American official said.

Inspections would be intrusive, possibly with military guards, and could occur at any site in Iraq. Limitations that the United Nations secretary general, Kofi Annan, agreed to in 1998 on inspections of Iraq's eight presidential sites would be repealed.

If Baghdad failed to comply with the inspection demands - by failing to provide a full or accurate list, for example - the draft resolution calls for "all necessary means to restore international peace and security," a diplomatic euphemism for American and British military action to remove Mr. Hussein from power.

But the Bush administration's resolution ran into stiff resistance today from France, which has balked at Washington's insistence that the resolution pave the way for an American military campaign if Mr. Hussein refuses to cooperate. China and Russia also signaled strong objections.

President Bush called President Jacques Chirac of France today to lobby for the American measure. Marc Grossman, the under secretary of state for political affairs, and Britain's Foreign Office political director, Peter Ricketts, flew to Paris to seek French support.

But Mr. Chirac stuck to his position that the initial Security Council resolution should deal with inspections and that the issue of military action should be deferred.

Indeed, the French appeared to be mounting a lobbying effort of their own to counter the Americans. Mr. Chirac met Thursday with the Chinese premier, Zhu Rongji, who is visiting Europe to speak with business leaders. Later Thursday, Mr. Chirac called President Vladimir V. Putin to lobby for Russian support.

Mr. Zhu was shown on French television saying that "if the weapons inspections did not take place, if we do not have clear proof and if we do not have the authorization of the Security Council, we cannot launch a military attack on Iraq - otherwise, there would be incalculable consequences."

Mr. Grossman and Mr. Ricketts are due in Moscow on Saturday to try to secure Russia's backing. But Russia's foreign minister, Igor S. Ivanov, today sharply reiterated Russian opposition to the use of force in Iraq. His words echoed those of Mr. Putin, who on Thursday called for a diplomatic solution on the basis of existing Security Council resolutions.

The resolution drafted by the United States in conjunction with Britain has not been made public. But allied and American officials said the draft resolution outlined a detailed and stringent inspection plan in three and a half single-space typed pages.

According to the officials familiar with the document, the draft resolution asserts in its initial paragraph that Iraq is already guilty of a "material breach" of past United Nations resolutions because of its work on weapons of mass destruction and its effort to frustrate the work of inspectors, among other issues. Inspectors were last in Iraq in late 1998, when they were withdrawn prior to an American and British bombing campaign to punish Iraq for past violations.

Echoing President Bush's speech to the United Nations on Sept. 12, the draft resolution says that Iraq has been violating a range of United Nations resolutions for years.

The officials familiar with the draft document said that as a first step toward disarmament, Iraq would be obliged to present a full report on its programs to develop weapons of mass destruction before the inspectors returned. The report would have to include work on the L-29 drone aircraft. Iraq has refurbished the jet trainer, which it acquired from Eastern Europe, and American officials suspect it has been modified to deliver biological and chemical weapons.

"If we find anything in what they give us that is not true, that is the trigger," an American official said. "If they delay, obstruct or lie about anything they disclosed, then this will trigger action."

Assuming that Iraq met the timetable outlined in the draft, United Nations inspectors would begin their work with completely unrestricted access.

The draft resolution defines this as "free, unrestricted and immediate movement to and from inspection sites and the right to inspect any sites and buildings," according to an official familiar with the draft.

The draft also stipulates that the United States and the four other permanent members of the Security Council would have the right to send representatives along with the inspection teams. An allied official said that those five nations could also pick sites to be inspected and ask for reports on inspections of those sites.

Significantly, the resolution specifically overrides provisions of Resolution 1154, which Mr. Annan worked out in 1998 to resolve a dispute with Iraq over access to presidential sites. Resolution 1154 required the inspectors to notify Iraq before inspecting presidential sites and to conduct the inspections in the company of diplomats. There are eight such sites in Iraq, covering about 11.5 square miles of territory.

In contrast, the draft American resolution insists on "unrestricted access to presidential sites notwithstanding 1154," said an official who had read the draft.

Military guards provided by the United Nations or allied forces would be allowed to protect the inspectors' base camp in Iraq and transit routes. The draft resolution also outlines procedures for taking scientists and other witnesses out of Iraq so that they can provide information about Mr. Hussein's weapons programs.

Some proliferation experts welcomed the tough American resolution.

"The requirement for a full declaration is very good," said Gary Samore, a senior fellow at the London-based International Institute for Strategic Studies and a senior aide on President Bill Clinton's National Security Council. "It really puts the Iraqis on the spot. If Iraq denies having any weapons of mass destruction capability at all, it means that anything the inspectors find demonstrates that they are not complying. If they are forced to declare some part of their arsenal, it is an important step toward disarmament."

But the American and British resolution is only a draft and it remains to be seen what will emerge from the diplomatic wrangling with Paris, Moscow and Beijing. One American official estimated that it would be several more weeks before a Security Council resolution was finally worked out.

One European diplomat, who is critical of the American approach, asserted that it had been designed to fail. "The Americans are not really interested in having the inspectors go back in. This is not a resolution for inspections. It is a declaration of war."

But an American official insisted that an entirely new approach was needed. "We are asking him to come clean," the American official said of Mr. Hussein.

Iraq has said that it is willing to allow weapons inspectors to return without conditions. But it is far from clear that it is prepared to allow

the wide-ranging inspections envisioned by the draft American and British resolution. Iraq, for example, may be calculating that the resumption of inspections will be based on the 1998 understanding with Mr. Annan putting limits on inspections at presidential sites.

An American official said that Washington hoped that the new resolution would essentially replace all prior resolutions on Iraq since 1991.

"Don't think in terms of other resolutions," the official said. "This one will stand alone and have everything Iraq has to give us."

<http://www.nytimes.com/2002/09/28/international/middleeast/28IRAQ.html?ex=1034214417&ei=1&en=b000183873de9b30>

7) Israel Says Target in Gaza Raid Was Wounded, but Escaped

Israel Says Target in Gaza Raid Was Wounded, but Escaped

September 28, 2002

By JAMES BENNET

NY Times

JERUSALEM, Sept. 27 - Israeli officials acknowledged today that a helicopter strike in Gaza City on Thursday had failed to kill its target, a bombmaker for the militant group Hamas who has been on Israel's wanted list for a decade.

The escape of the bombmaker, Muhammad Deif, was a blow to Israel as the Israeli-Palestinian conflict approached its two-year anniversary this weekend, with Israeli cities under security alert, most of the West Bank under Israeli military control, and no halt to the violence in sight.

Two other members of Hamas were killed in the strike, and more than 30 people were injured, including 15 children. Mr. Deif was injured but not mortally, Palestinian and Israeli officials said. Mr. Deif, a shadowy figure who eluded a similar attack a year ago, vanished once again.

Chanting for vengeance, thousands of Palestinians rallied today in Gaza at Khan Yunis, the home of Mr. Deif and of the two men who were killed. Hamas leaders said the group would retaliate against Israelis for the missile strike.

Matan Vilnai, a member of Israel's security cabinet, said Mr. Deif had survived. "He was indeed wounded, not an injury that he won't recover from," he told Israel Army Radio.

Yasir Arafat, the Palestinian leader, remained under Israeli siege in Ramallah today. The two sides did not negotiate, but there were hints that the government of Prime Minister Ariel Sharon was seeking a way out of the stalemate.

The United Nations Security Council has demanded that Israel release its grip on Mr. Arafat, and President Bush has said the Israeli action is hindering democratic change for the Palestinians.

The Israeli government has demanded the handing over of 19 men it says are confined with Mr. Arafat. But Israeli news reports indicated today that the government might be satisfied with the transfer of the men to a Palestinian prison, an alternative Israeli officials had previously rejected.

Palestinian officials say they will agree to nothing less than an Israeli withdrawal. But Mr. Sharon, who has described the wanted men in the compound as "the biggest terrorists that exist," might find it politically difficult to back down. Israel has not supplied a list of the wanted men.

"It's a complete standoff," said a Western diplomat here. "Arafat refuses to speak to the Israelis. It's now pretty apparent that both sides want to get out, but Arafat has the upper hand. Arafat may be under military siege, but Israel is under political siege."

Israeli forces demolished most of what remained of the compound and confined Mr. Arafat to one building after a Hamas suicide bomber killed six people in Tel Aviv on Sept. 19.

Mr. Vilnai argued that Israel could have killed Mr. Deif had it used more powerful weapons, but he said it had not because of the risk to civilians. In the attack, on a busy Gaza City street, Israeli helicopters fired two missiles at a green Mercedes in which Mr. Deif was believed to be traveling.

Kofi Annan, the secretary general of the United Nations, condemned the attack and called on Israel to "conduct itself in a manner that is fully consistent with international humanitarian law, under which Israel has a clear responsibility to protect the lives of civilians."

Israel calls these killings an essential tool of self-defense to punish or pre-empt terrorist attacks. Palestinians describe them as assassinations. Tallying those killed in such Israeli attacks over the course of the conflict, The Associated Press found that at least 78 wanted Palestinians and 52 bystanders died.

Israeli forces kept up their pressure in the Gaza Strip overnight, arresting three men in a raid in the north, the army said. Palestinians reported two men wounded during the operation.

In the West Bank, in Hebron, another Hamas militant was killed today when he opened fire on Israeli forces who were trying to arrest him, the army said.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2002/09/28/international/middleeast/28MIDE.html?ex=1034214277&ei=1&en=2fb0d13acc29089a>

8) Contact information for US, UN, European Union, Canada, Israel and Media
(CONTACT EARLY AND OFTEN)

CALL and FAX and EMAIL TODAY!!!! CALL and FAX and EMAIL TODAY!!!! CALL and FAX and EMAIL TODAY!!!!

Please contact your political representatives immediately and ask them to

urge a cessation of hostilities on both sides. Also encourage them to urge the use of international observers, many of whom are currently in Ramallah and the surrounding area.

You can easily find how to contact for your own U.S. Senators and Congresspeople at <http://government.aol.com>.

To begin, here are four important people to address in the United States. Use phone AND fax AND email:

President George W. Bush
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500
Phone: (202) 456-1111 -- Fax: (202) 456-2461 -- E-mail:
president@whitehouse.gov

Vice President Dick Cheney
(The White House, as above)

Condoleezza Rice
National Security Advisor
(The White House, as above)

Secretary of State Colin Powell
U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20520
Phone: (202) 647-6575 -- Fax: (202) 261-8577 -- E-mail:
secretary@state.gov

You can call the Capital switchboard toll-free: 1-800-839-5276 and ask to be connected to your member of Congress.

UNITED NATIONS

Permanent Mission of the Russian Federation to the United Nations
Postal Address: 136 East 67 Street, New York, N.Y. 10021
Phone: (212) 861-4900; 861-4901; 861-4902
Fax: (212) 628-0252

Britain at the United Nations
One Dag Hammarskjold Plaza
28th Floor
885 Second Avenue
New York, NY 10017
E-mail uk@un.int
Tel: (212) 745 9250
Fax: (212) 745 9316

Chinese Foreign Minister Tang Jiaxuan
Address: 350 East 35th Street, New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 212-655-6100 Fax:
212-634-7626 E-mail: chinamission_un@fmprc.gov.cn

Permanent Representative
and Ambassador Wang Yingfan Tel: 212-655-6191
Fax: 212-481-2998

Deputy Permanent Representative
and Ambassador Zhang Yishan Tel: 212-655-6123
Fax: 212-481-2998

Political Affairs Section Tel: 212-655-6141
Fax: 212-634-7625

Delegation of France to the Security Council
Telephone : (212) 308-5700
Main Fax : (212) 421-6889
E-mail : france@un.int
Représentant Permanent
Permanent Representative
S.E M.Jean-David LEVITTE

To also contact non permanent members.
<http://www.un.org/Docs/scinfo.htm>

UNITED NATIONS ADMINISTRATION:
webmaster@unhcr.ch
areca@unhcr.ch
unsco@palnet.com
ecu@un.org
coi@un.org
webadmin.hchr@unog.ch

EUROPEAN UNION:
romano.prodi@cec.eu.int
civis@europarl.eu.int
epbrussels@europarl.eu.int
public.info@consilium.eu.int
belrep@belgoeurop.diplobel.fgov.be
karin.roxman@consilium.eu.int
christian.jouret@consilium.eu.int
Javier.Sancho-Velazquez@consilium.eu.int

CANADA:
Prime minister (Jean Chretien pm@pm.gc.ca)
Bill Graham (Bill Graham graham.b@parl.gc.ca).

ISRAEL:
Ariel Sharon, Israeli Prime Minister:
rohman@pmo.gov.il or webmaster@pmo.gov.il
Fax: +972 2 651 2631

Benjamin Ben Eliezer, Israeli Minister of Defense:
Email: sgansar@mod.gov.il

Shimon Peres, Israeli Minister of Foreign Affairs:

Fax: +972-2-5303367

Email: sar@mfa.gov.il

Here is the phone number of the IDF Commander for the Ramallah area (from the US):

011-972-2-9970461

Mobile: 011-972-54-240-303

Voice your protest to him personally.

MEDIA SOURCES:

ABC News - 212-456-4040

CBS News - 212-975-3691

NBC News - 212-664-4971

CNN - 404-827-1511

Fox News - 212-301-3300

MSNBC - 201-583-5222

PBS - 703-998-2150

NPR - 202-513 3232 / Morning Edition comment line - 202-842 5044

NY Times - 212-556-1234

USA Today - 703-276-3400

WS Journal - 212-416-2000

Wash. Post - 202-334-6000

Time - 212-522-1212

U.S. News - 202-955-2000

AP 212-621-1600

MSNBC - 201-583-5000

CNBC - 201-585-2622

"Dan Rather" <audsvcs@cbs.com>

"NBC Nightly News" <Nightly@nbc.com>

"MSNBC" <world@msnbc.com>

"KOMO-TV4" <Tips@KOMO4NEWS.com>

"KIRO-TV7" <newstips@kirotv.com>

"Peter Jennings" <PeterJennings@abcnews.com>

"CTV NATIONAL NEWS" <news@ctv.ca>

"CNN" <cnn.feedback@cnn.com>

"CBC-TV" <national@cbc.ca>

"CBCNEWS" <nwonline@toronto.cbc.ca>

"CBC VANCOUVER" <bc1@vancouver.cbc.ca>

"CBC THIS MORNING" <thismorning@cbc.ca>

"BCTV NEWS" <newstips@bctv.wic.ca>

"ABC World News Tonight" <netaudr@abc.com>

"THE VILLAGE VOICE" <editor@villagevoice.com>

"THE VANCOUVER SUN" <sunletters@pacpress.southam.ca>

"THE TORONTO STAR" <lettertoed@thestar.ca>

"THE PRAGUE POST" <news@praguepost.cz>

"THE NATION" <letters@thenation.com>

"THE INDEPENDENT" <newseditor@independent.co.uk>

"THE GUARDIAN" <reader@guardian.co.uk>

"THE DAILY STAR-BEIRUT" <editorial@dailystar.com.lb>

"SYDNEY MORNING HERALD" <emailnews@smh.fairfax.com.au>

"ST. PETERSBURG TIMES" <sspears@sptimes.com>
"NEW ZEALAND HERALD" <letters@herald.co.nz>
"NEW YORK TIMES" <letters@nytimes.com>
"NATIONAL POST" <letters@nationalpost.com>
"MINNEAPOLIS STAR-TRIBUNE" <buster@startribune.com>
"MILWAUKEE JOURNAL SENTINEL" <davis@jsonline.com>
"MIAMI HERALD" <HeraldEd@herald.com>
"LOS ANGELES TIMES" <feedback@latimes.com>
"JORDAN TIMES" <jotimes@jpf.com.jo>
"JERUSALEM POST" <editors@jpost.co.il>
"GLOBAL NATIONAL" <globalnational@canada.com>
"EVENING POST - NZ" <editor@evpost.co.nz>
"DENVER POST" <letters@denverpost.com>
"CKVU-TV" <tips.van@ckvu.ca>
"CHICAGO TRIBUNE" <DWycliff@tribune.com>
"CBS Evening News" <audsvcs@cbs.com>
"CBC COUNTERSPIN" <counterspin@toronto.cbc.ca>
"BUENOS AIRES HERALD" <info@buenosairesherald.com>
"BOSTON GLOBE" <letters@globe.com>
"BANGKOK POST" <editor@bangkokpost.net>
"2020" <2020@abc.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

To: <dosmith6@juno.com>,
<mcook221@mymailstation.com>,
<willnorth@aol.com>,
<gene.vincent@starpower.net>,
"Foster, Ron" <HolRonFost@aol.com>,
<kiki@wizard.net>,
<jfnorth@aol.com>,
<jcm@duncanallen.com>,
<mupj@igc.org>,
<beverley@erols.com>,
<andrewsa@saic.com>

Subject: List serve for advocacy

Date: Sun, 29 Sep 2002 14:43:15 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

To: Outreach and Advocacy Committee
Bethesda United Methodist Church

This morning at the class on mental illness and community response we mentioned the need to provide information to church members on issues before the County Council and the Maryland General Assembly related to our concerns. There are two ways we could handle this.

(1) We might use the existing BUMC list serve, bumc@yahoogroups.com. However, I'm uncertain about the ground rules for this list serve and whether it would be considered appropriate to use it in this manner. Ron, can you offer clarification? Or is there someone else I should talk to?

I have used this list serve to send out information of official United Methodist communications on current issues, such as the possibility of war against Iraq, have received "thank you's", and no objections. However, I haven't used it to mobilize support to lobby particular positions ("write your representative about...."). It's conceivable that some church members might be disturbed by using the church list serve directly for "lobbying".

(2) So as an alternative the Outreach Committee could create our own list serve and sign up only those persons who want to receive messages about legislative issues. If we do this, we would want to have our own ground rules, such as which issues to deal with, how to forward legislative information from advocacy organizations. On a list serve any member may post messages, so we would want to have some guidance.

If we choose the latter course, we can use the free service offered by Yahoo Groups, which the church now uses. Attached is some information. I am

moderator for a list serve on Yahoo Groups and wouldn't mind taking on this task for the Outreach Committee if requested. Although there is some advertising that makes it a free service, I haven't found it bothersome.

Please reply to all so that we can have some Internet dialogue on this matter.

Shalom,
Howard

###

Yahoo! Groups is a free service that allows you to bring together family, friends, and associates through a web site and email group. You don't need to know HTML to create your own site. Yahoo! Groups offer a convenient way to connect with others who share the same interests and ideas.

We have hundreds of thousands of email groups that you can join in an easy-to-use, privacy-protected, and spam-protected environment. You can use the Yahoo! Groups service at our web site or through any email program.

Yahoo! Groups is free to both members and moderators. Our service is advertiser-supported and is partially sponsored by advertisements inserted into the email messages you receive.

Status: U
Return-Path: <kiki@wizard.net>
Received: from qmail.fcc.net ([63.250.0.147])
by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17W0Qp5kA3Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 30 Sep 2002 09:44:16 -0400 (EDT)
Received: (qmail 29712 invoked from network); 30 Sep 2002 13:44:16 -0000
Received: from unknown (HELO wizard.net) (216.25.205.205)
by 0 with SMTP; 30 Sep 2002 13:44:16 -0000
Message-ID: <3D985416.9080900@wizard.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Sep 2002 09:39:34 -0400
From: Kerri Wright Platais <kiki@wizard.net>
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Win 9x 4.90; en-US; rv:1.0.1) Gecko/20020823 Netscape/7.0
X-Accept-Language: en-us, es, pt-br
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
CC: 'dosmith6@juno.com, mcook221@mymailstation.com, willnorth@aol.com,
gene.vincent@starpower.net, "Foster, Ron" <HolRonFost@aol.com>,
jfnorth@aol.com, jcm@duncanallen.com, beverley@erols.com,
andrewsa@saic.com
Subject: Re: List serve for advocacy
References: <002901c267e8\$1f6ce8e0\$2153f7a5@default>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Good morning all,

Howard, I think the second option sounds great, it would enable the group to stay current on the issues, and draw others in. My sense from the class yesterday, and in talking to participants afterwards, was that people want to help, but are not sure which avenue to take. I think small steps and involvement would help us define a role for ourselves as a church. I liked Sue's proposition for AIM involvement as well.

I spoke with Kelly and Donna afterwards and we discussed a few projects we could do with the youth to raise awareness of the hunger/homelessness issues for January and February. Donna suggested a sock hop, where everyone brings in tube socks for the homeless, and then dance to 50's and 60's music! Another idea was a fresh fruit drive in February, as most of the shelters have canned goods, but do not have enough fresh fruit. Any others?

Thanks again to Jeanne and Haven for the great displays yesterday, and for all the work and time that went into planning the four week session!

Warm regards,

Kerri

Howard W. Hallman wrote:

>To: Outreach and Advocacy Committee
> Bethesda United Methodist Church
>

>This morning at the class on mental illness and community response we
>mentioned the need to provide information to church members on issues before
>the County Council and the Maryland General Assembly related to our
>concerns. There are two ways we could handle this.

>
>(1) We might use the existing BUMC list serve, bumc@yahoogroups.com.
>However, I'm uncertain about the ground rules for this list serve and
>whether it would be considered appropriate to use it in this manner. Ron,
>can you offer clarification? Or is there someone else I should talk to?

>
>I have used this list serve to send out information of official United
>Methodist communications on current issues, such as the possibility of war
>against Iraq, have received "thank you's", and no objections. However, I
>haven't used it to mobilize support to lobby particular positions ("write
>your representative about...."). It's conceivable that some church members
>might be disturbed by using the church list serve directly for "lobbying".

>
>(2) So as an alternative the Outreach Committee could create our own list
>serve and sign up only those persons who want to receive messages about
>legislative issues. If we do this, we would want to have our own ground
>rules, such as which issues to deal with, how to forward legislative
>information from advocacy organizations. On a list serve any member may
>post messages, so we would want to have some guidance.

>
>If we choose the latter course, we can use the free service offered by Yahoo
>Groups, which the church now uses. Attached is some information. I am
>moderator for a list serve on Yahoo Groups and wouldn't mind taking on this
>task for the Outreach Committee if requested. Although there is some
>advertising that makes it a free service, I haven't found it bothersome.

>
>Please reply to all so that we can have some Internet dialogue on this
>matter.

>
>Shalom,
>Howard

>
>###
>
>Yahoo! Groups is a free service that allows you to bring together family,
>friends, and associates through a web site and email group. You don't need
>to know HTML to create your own site. Yahoo! Groups offer a convenient way
>to connect with others who share the same interests and ideas.

>
>We have hundreds of thousands of email groups that you can join in an
>easy-to-use, privacy-protected, and spam-protected environment. You can use
>the Yahoo! Groups service at our web site or through any email program.

>
>Yahoo! Groups is free to both members and moderators. Our service is
>advertiser-supported and is partially sponsored by advertisements inserted
>into the email messages you receive.

>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

--

Kerri Wright Platais
Executive Secretary, CDC
P.O.Box 372
Garrett Park, MD 20896 USA
K.Platais@cgiar.org

Status: U

Return-Path: <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>Received: from web13004.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.174.14]) by hazard.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17W2sC3CC3NI3qG0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 30 Sep 2002 11:27:50 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: 20020930152720.91441.qmail@web13004.mail.yahoo.com

Received: from [66.149.148.194] by web13004.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 30 Sep 2002 08:27:20 PDT

Date: Mon, 30 Sep 2002 08:27:20 -0700 (PDT)

From: Christel Poelman cjpoelman@yahoo.com

Subject: Completed Hill visits,

To: Bp Vicken Aykozion <avicken@aol.com>, John Brisco <jbriscoe@nccusa.org>, Mark Brown <marsusab@aol.com>, Daryl Byler <jdb@mcc.org>, Rob Cavanaugh <rcavanaugh@uua.org>, Clayton Childers <cchilders@umc-gbcs.org>, Mary Elizabeth Clark <meclark@networklobby.org>, Robert Edgar <redgar@nccusa.org>, "Bp. Christopher Epting" <cepting@episcopalchurch.org>, Brenda Girton-Mitchell <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>, Catherine Gordon <cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org>, Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>, Bryan Hissony <washofc@aol.com>, Chris Hobgood <chobccca@aol.com>, Robin Hoecker <rhoecker@uua.org>, Janet Horman <jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>, Ken Brooker Langstor <auathome@aol.com>, James Matlack <jmatlack@erols.com>, "J.E. Mc Neil" <jmcnrick@erols.com>, Doug Nurell <dnorell@catholicrelief.org>, Pat Pattillo <wpattillo@nccusa.org>, Meg Riley <MRiley@uua.org>, Rabbi David Saperstein <dsaperstein@rac.org>, Duane Shank <dshank@sojo.net>, Ron Stief <stiefr@ucc.org>, Jean Stokan <jeanstokan@hotmail.com>, Joe Volk <joe@fcnl.org>, Corinne Whitlatch <cmep@cmep.org>, Jim Winkler jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="0-372029412-1033399640=:91290"

An enormous thank you to all of you who came to Washington, D.C. and made hill visits to legislative offices in regards to the Religious Leaders Action Days on Iraq. The attached document is a compiled list of visits made last week.

If you have any additional information about the visits made, corrections, or additional visits to share please contact me at cjpoelman@yahoo.com and I will add them to the database.

Sincerely,

Christel Poelman
Intern for National Council of Churches

110 Maryland Avenue, NE, Suite 108
Washington, D.C. 20002
www.ncccusa.org

Do you Yahoo!?

New [DSL Internet Access](#) from SBC and Yahoo!

Congressional Visit Report Form

SENATORS

Sen. Boxer, Barbara

23 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) met with Sean Moore, LA

Comments: Found meeting useful for forming questions for citizens hearing to happen on October 11 at 10:30 a.m.

23 September 2002

Bruce Robbins (UMC) met with Sean Moore, LA

Comments: He was articulate, interested and shared concerns.

Sen. Byrd, Robert C.

23 September

Conn Grataplemis (Friends Committee on National Legislation) met with Erik Raven.

Comments: Continued opposition to invade Iraq. Calls to Sen. Office still oppose war.

Sen. Chafee, Lincoln D.

23 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodists United For Peace with Justice) and Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) talked with receptionist.

Comments: asked to see John Seggerman. Receptionist not allowed to take written materials. Howard will follow through. Later: Howard e-mailed report of a phone conversation with Seggerman.

24 September 2002

Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) faxed religious statements to Sen.'s office that personnel there requested.

Sen. Cleland, Max

23 September 2002

Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches), Bruce Robbins (UMC), and J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) met with Daniel Barton, Legislative Correspondent (His father is a UMC Pastor – Formerly Baptist)

Comments: Supportive of visit. Barton's phone number is 224-0074

26 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) and Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches) met with Daniel Barton.

Comments: Delegation asked for leadership from Sen. Cleland.

Sen. Clinton, Hillary Rodham

27 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with John H. Rice, Presbyterian clergyman, talked with the receptionist.

Comments: The delegation dropped off materials. The LA is Josh Albright.

Sen. Daschle, Thomas A.

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) visited with Thomas Ross, RA.

Comments: The delegation asked for Sen. Daschle to show leadership in this situation.

26 September 2002

Cathy Brechtelsbauer (CWS) along with Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches) and others visited Dennis McDonough.

Comments: The delegation asked to bring morality to the forefront, to maintain the role of congress, to slow things down until after the elections, and just cause. The delegation reported that Daschle really appreciates the prayers. He wants us all to be asking questions and raising issues. The delegation's spokesperson did not really think that they raised the big issues like they should have.

Sen. DeWine, Mike

27 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) with the Quakers visited Sarah Silvio.

Comment: The delegation dropped off materials.

Sen. Dodd, Christopher J.

24 September 2002

John Thomas (UCC) and J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience on War) met with Lauren Geetter.

Comments: Asked for leadership, meeting with Sen. Dodd. Democrat Senators are afraid to be on the forefront. *Need support. LA talked about inevitability.*

Sen. Durbin, Richard

23 September 2002

Bruce Robbins (UMC) asked to see Richard Perrell, an aide.

Comments: Left literature and warnings of a return visit.

Sen. Corzine, Jon

24 September, 2002

John Thomas (UCC) and J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) met with Enrique Roberts and Sen. Corzine (member of UCC).

Comments: Sen. expressed support for non-military solutions. Supports regime change but beginning to understand that issues are not clear-cut.

Sen. Frist, William H. (Bill)

27 September 2002

JE McNeil (Center or Conscience for War) and a delegation from the Presbyterian Church including John H. Rice (Presbyterian clergyperson) talked with the receptionist.

Comments: The delegation asked for an appointment with Valerie Vanosdale. "Believes polls that U.S. Citizens support war."

Sen. Jeffords, James M.

24 September 2002

John Thomas (UCC) and J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) met with Laurie Heim (who is a member of UCC).

Comments: Asked Sen. Jefford's to sponsor October 11 Citizen's hearing. The office was interested in sponsorship.

25 September 2002

Jane F. Morrissen (Sisters of St. Joseph), Network, Pax Christi met with Patrick _____, an aide.

Comment: The delegation asked for his stand against war in Iraq, unilateralism, a pre-emptive strike and any national policy based on that capacity. Believes that Sen. Jeffords' policy is aligned with ours, but that he is looking for alternatives to military action that protect our nation.

Sen. Kennedy, Edward M.

27 September 2002

JE McNeil (Center on Conscience and War) with a delegation from the Presbyterian Church including John H. Rice (Presbyterian clergyperson) visited with Lynn _____.

Comments: The delegation asked for leadership of the Senate on this issue.

Sen. Kerry, John F.

25 September

Catherine Pinkerton (Network: A National Catholic Social Justice Lobby) met with Kate Rhudy, Legislative Correspondent

Comments: Advocates asked the Senator to strong oppose the Presidents move toward a pre-emptive strike (a dangerous term of US foreign and military policy). They also asked that the Iraqi situation not be colored by political considerations. The aide assured that Kerry was deeply concerned about this dangerous shift in US policy, but couldn't go further then that.

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with a delegate from Network (A National Catholic Social Justice Lobby) visited Kate Rhudy.

Comments: The delegation asked for no war. The aide felt there had been movement in the Senate since Daschle's speech.

Sen. Levin, Carl

26 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War), Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches) and Rabbi David Saperstein (Religious Action Center) met with Carl Levin.

Comments: The delegation asked for leadership. The Senator holds unilateral action as last resort. He believes that Iraq is a threat.

Sen. Lott, Trent

25 September 2002

Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches), a delegate from Pax Christi, and a delegate from Network visited with Jim Hecker, US Air Force, Military Legislative Fellow.

Comments: The delegation asked for sanity. The group was effective but Sen. Lott is unmovable.

Sen. Lugar, Richard G.

23 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodists United For Peace with Justice) and Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) talked with the receptionist.

Comments: The delegation asked to see Ken Myers. Howard will follow through.

25 September 2002

Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) faxed to Sen. Lugar's office various religious statements requested by the office.

Sen. Mikulski, Barbara A.

23 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodists United For Peace with Justice) and Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) talked with the receptionist.

Comments: The delegation asked to see Frederic Baron, foreign policy aid. Howard will follow through. Left materials.

25 September 2002

Anne Marie Gardiner (SSND) met with Andrew Johnston, Foreign Policy Aide

Comments: A group of 20 asked Mikulski to say no to Bush's resolution, and no to violence as a means of conflict resolution. They asked the Senator to maintain a balance of power in the US government and to speak out and take leadership to counter all "pro war" in media. Aide informed that the Senator has many of same concerns.

27 September 2002

Phyllis Hubbell (First Unitarian Church of Baltimore) spoke with aides in Mikulski's office.
Comments: All favored going slow, working with the United Nations, building alliances, having concern for innocent civilians, theirs and ours.

27 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodist United For Peace with Justice) visited with Andrew Johnston, LA.
Comments: Sen. Mikulski is against US unilateral, pre-emptive action. She believes that the UN inspectors should be given a chance also that the US should work with and through the United Nations. Johnson reported that the calls are running 100-1 against war with Iraq.

Sen. Santorum, Rick

27 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with a delegation from the Presbyterians church including John H. Rice (Presbyterian clergyperson) visited George Bernier, LA.
Comments: The delegation asked for leadership. The Senator believes multilateral action is better but feels we are responsible to the attacks on the Americans in the no fly zone.

Sen. Sarbanes, Paul S.

23 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodists United For Peace with Justice) and Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) talked with the receptionist.
Comments: The delegation asked to see Joanne Berry, foreign policy aide. Howard will follow through. Left materials. Receptionist said that Sarbanes is opposed to going to war.

27 September 2002

Phyllis Hubbell (First Unitarian Church of Baltimore) spoke with aides in Sarbanes's office.
Comments: All favored going slow, working with the United Nations, building alliances, having concern for innocent civilians, theirs and ours.

Sen. Schumer, Charles

25 September 2002

Bishop Chris Epting (Episcopal Church) met with Carl E. Meacham, Foreign Affairs and Energy Advisor.
Comments: The advocate questioned war for regime change in Iraq, encouraged arms inspection first, congressional approval, UN involvement. Schumer more hard line than Rep. Nadler, but does not want unilateral action.

Sen. Smith, Gordon

23 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodists United For Peace with Justice) and Christel Poelman (National Council of Churches) talked with receptionist.

Comments: The delegation asked to see James Nelson, foreign policy aide. Howard will follow through. Left materials. Receptionist stated that Senator Smith opposes going to war.

25 September 2002

Howard Hallman (Methodists United For Peace with Justice) visited with James Nelson

Comments: Nelson said that Sen. Smith has been an advocate of renewed inspections and diplomacy, but is concerned that Saddam Hussein may not allow full inspections. He recognizes that the current threat of force has produced Hussein's response. Sen. Smith believes that the Bush resolution will not be modified, but the senator is still formulating his position.

Note: Howard was the second Methodist lobbyist in 2 days. Nelson thinks we should be better coordinated. Howard agrees.

Sen. Specter, Arlen

27 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with delegations from the Presbyterians and Quakers met with Aakash Bhatt.

Comments: The delegation asked for leadership. Spector supports multilateral and UN _____. However, he is ready to support a unilateral preemptive strike, *needs pressure*.

Sen. Stevens, Ted

25 September, 2002

Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches), and delegations from Pax Christi and Network visited with Karina M. Waller, LA.

Comments: The delegation asked for truth telling. The aide held a narrow view of the truth. "She seemed propagandized to share the horror stories."

Sen. Rockefeller IV, John D.

25 September 2002

Conn Gratuplems (Friends Committee on National Legislation) visited Brendan Devine.

Comments: The Senator opposes war on Iraq, especially as proposed by President Bush. The aide shared that the phone calls to the office are mostly opposing the war.

Sen. Torricelli, Robert G.

23 September 2002

Bob Edgar (National Council of Churches), Bruce Robbins (UMC), J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) met with the receptionist

Comments: The delegation left a hand written note for the key staff. Someone is to call to schedule a meeting later in the week.

Sen. Voinovich, George

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with a delegation from the Quakers visited with Aric Newhouse.

Comments: The delegation dropped off materials.

REPRESENTATIVES

Rep. Brady, Robert

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with a delegation from the American Baptists visited J. Mark Trumbore.

Comments: The delegation dropped of materials.

Rep. Cummings, Elijah

September 27 2002

Phyllis Hubbell (First Unitarian Church of Baltimore) and spoke with aides in the Senator's office.

Comments: All favored going slow, working with the United Nations, building alliances, having concern for innocent civilians, theirs and ours. Cumming's aide reported that virtually all the input they got from back home was against this resolution, at least as broadly drawn as it is.

Rep. Fattah, Chaka

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) and a delegation from the American Baptists visited with Michell Anderson-Lee and Rep. Chaka Fattah.

Comments: The delegation asked for leadership from the Representative. The office solidly opposes military action.

Rep. David Hobson

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) with a delegation from the American Baptists met with Kenny Kraft.

Comments: The delegation dropped off materials.

Rep. Nadler, Jerrold L.

25 September 2002

Bishop Chris Epting (Episcopal Church) met with Congressman Nadler and Brett Heimov, Administrative Assistant.

Comments: Advocates questioned war for regime change in Iraq, encouraged arms inspection first, congressional approval, UN involvement. The Representative will vote against any narrow resolution, believes unilateralism and war for regime change to be immoral. He may need to support “coercive inspections” for weapons.

Rep. Sherwood, Don

25 September 2002

J.E. Mc Neil (Center on Conscience and War) along with a delegation from the American Baptists visited Jake O’Donnell.

Comments: The delegation asked for no war. They reported that the aide doesn’t respect polls, calls or letters. It is unclear who he listens to besides himself.

9/30/2002 11:03 AM

Status: U
Return-Path: <VLenegan@NAPAWASH.ORG>
Received: from napa-nt1.napawash.org ([38.217.229.2])
by pickering.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17W5HMq73Nl3p20
for <mupj@igc.org>; Mon, 30 Sep 2002 14:55:42 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by NAPA-NT1 with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <SMG9KNNR>; Mon, 30 Sep 2002 14:55:04 -0400
Message-ID: <043191BD8403D411A2760090279CBFA9015CF60C@NAPA-NT1>
From: "Lenegan, Veronica L." <VLenegan@NAPAWASH.ORG>
To: "HALLMAN, HOWARD" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Social Equity Notice for October 11th Meeting
Date: Mon, 30 Sep 2002 14:55:04 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain

To: Social Equity Panel Colleagues

From: Phil Rutledge

Subject: October 11th Meeting

At the October 11 meeting, we will close out the Panel's 2002 Forum Series on social equity indicators, issues and impacts in major areas of Panel concerns (following the previous outstanding presentations on environmental justice, health, employment & income, criminal justice, and education) with a Roundtable session on:

"Affordable Housing--The Crisis, The Issues, Some Solutions".

As NAPA Fellow and Washington Post writer Neal Pierce warns (see his article and the N.Y. Times editorial, to be sent in an additional email following this announcement), housing has stepped up to bat as one of the hottest social justice and citizen well-being issues facing the nation. Says Neal, citing recent poll results, "housing now trumps every other issue for working class families in the country's larger metro areas", even compared to citizen concerns about health, crime and job security.

The Roundtable, to be moderated by Dan Skoler, includes an outstanding presenter group, featuring, as main speaker, Conrad Egan, former Executive Director of the Millennial Housing Commission and Policy Director of the National Housing Conference in Washington, D.C. Conrad will be supported, footnoted, and, perhaps even taken to task, by three discussants drawn from NAPA's rich ranks of Fellows and friends knowledgeable in this area. They include:

Feather O'Connor Houstoun, member of the Millennial Housing

Commission and Pennsylvania Secretary of Public Welfare;
Nicolas Retsinas, Director of Harvard's Joint Center for Housing
Studies and former Assistant Secretary for Housing at HUD;
Tom Stanton, former Associate General Counsel at Fannie Mae and
Chair of NAPA's Standing Panel on Executive Organization
and Management.

This is one not to miss and weigh in on, whether as a Roundtable or
teleconference participant.

As usual the meeting will get underway at 12:00 Noon, with an
informal exchange over lunch between Fellows and Associates present.
Announcements, check-ins, program updates, complaints, etc., will be in
order, with Panel Vice Chair Bill Hansell presiding. Teleconference
will not be available for this portion; you have to be present to weigh
in.

At 1:00 PM, Dan Skoler will convene the Roundtable, which will
run until approximately 2:30 PM. Please advise me at
<rutledge@indiana.edu> or Charlene Walsh at <awalsh@napawash.org> or
202-347-3190 ext. 3008, no later than October 8 whether you will attend in
person or via teleconference. The teleconference call-in number is:
1-800-311-9401, with the security code being 'social equity.'

Thank you for your time, and looking forward to seeing you on the 11th.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
References: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372ABC@tweety.umpublishing.org>
Subject: Additional information
Date: Mon, 30 Sep 2002 17:26:34 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marvin,

I will be 75 on February 17, 2003. Presumably this will be before publication the spring issue of *Mature Years* with my article. So if you want to identify me as age 75, it would be okay.

Howard

Status: U
Return-Path: <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org ([208.161.156.2])
by farley.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17Wnn47n03Nl3pa0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 09:47:30 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from tweety.umpublishing.org by tweety.umpublishing.org
via smtpd (for farley.mail.mindspring.net [207.69.200.44]) with SMTP; 1 Oct 2002 13:36:22 UT
Received: by tweety.umpublishing.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <TJCG6MJ5>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 08:44:57 -0500
Message-ID: <F304CCB28099FC4885E81A32064DB5A6372AD0@tweety.umpublishing.org>
From: "Cropsey, Marvin" <Mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: RE: Additional information
Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 08:44:49 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Thanks, Howard.

Sincerely,
Marvin W. Cropsey
Marvin W. Cropsey
Editor of Quadrennial Resources
Mature Years Editor
Adult Studies Resources Editor

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2002 4:27 PM
To: Cropsey, Marvin
Subject: Additional information

Marvin,

I will be 75 on February 17, 2003. Presumably this will be before publication the spring issue of Mature Years with my article. So if you want to identify me as age 75, it would be okay.

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "hipkins james" <debate44646@yahoo.com>
References: <20020927145551.1472.qmail@web10704.mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Your visit
Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 08:00:18 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Jim and Char,

In looking at my calendar I realize that we have tickets to a string quartet concert at the Smithsonian on Saturday evening, October 19. Would you like to go with us? If so, I'll get some tickets.

Char, I hope your angioplasty went well.

Shalom,
Howard

Status: U

Return-Path: <JFNORTH@aol.com>

Received: from imo-d04.mx.aol.com ([205.188.157.36])

by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17Wp3W4eD3Nl3oW0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 11:35:52 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from JFNORTH@aol.com

by imo-d04.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v34.13.) id a.3b.2d497955 (4380);
Tue, 1 Oct 2002 11:35:17 -0400 (EDT)

From: JFNORTH@aol.com

Message-ID: <3b.2d497955.2acb1ab4@aol.com>

Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 11:35:16 EDT

Subject: re: list serve

To: andrewsa@saic.com, beverly@erols.com, dosmith6@juno.com,
gene.vincent@starpower.net, HolRonFost@aol.com, jcm@duncanallen.com,
kiki@wizard.net, mupj@igc.org, WILLNORTH@aol.com

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: AOL 5.0 for Mac sub 46

I, too, like Howard's alternative #2, also, and appreciate his offer to set one up if there is agreement to it. I also like his suggestion that we would need to agree on some policies for its use. This would take some thought as to how to frame a serviceable and impartial plan, but still quite doable I would think. Someone might draft a suggested plan which we could ponder and respond to. Thanks for the proposal.

Jeanne

PS: Re Church School material for children/teens on homelessness: Rachel was sitting beside me when Kerri raised this question and said this would more likely be found on the website for the United Methodist C Board on and Decipleship. What is the first part of this name? JFN

Status: U

Return-Path: <owner-gbcs-pwj@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>

Received: from umcgroupemail.org ([64.221.242.81])

by nils.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17WpFoic3Nl3pm0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 12:14:34 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from UMCom2C02 (10.1.2.12) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.00031398@umcgroupemail.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 11:14:39 -0500

Received: from UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG by UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release
1.8d) with spool id 9875 for GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG; Tue, 1 Oct
2002 11:12:38 -0500

Received: from albatross.prod.itd.earthlink.net (207.217.120.120) by
umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.0003138F@umcgroupemail.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 11:12:37 -0500

Received: from sdn-ap-004watacop0153.dialsprint.net ([63.187.224.153]) by
albatross.prod.itd.earthlink.net with esmtp (Exim 3.33 #1) id
17wPdG-000574-00 for GBGS-PWJ@umcgroupemail.org; Tue, 01 Oct 2002
09:12:23 -0700

User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022

Mime-version: 1.0

Content-type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="MS_Mac_OE_3116308582_80380_MIME_Part"

Message-ID: <B9BF16B9.39DF%kentkathyb@earthlink.net>

Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 09:16:22 -0700

Reply-To: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>

Sender: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>

From: Kent/Kathy Barton <kentkathyb@EARTHLINK.NET>

Subject: [GBGS-PWJ] Powerful piece

To: GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--MS_Mac_OE_3116308582_80380_MIME_Part

Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

A truly powerful piece from the Atlanta Journal-Constitution, 9/29/02

The power of this piece rests not only in eloquently uncovering the
Administration's real reasons (and publishing them in a mainstream
paper) - but in linking numerous issues together - from nuclear armament
to military bases to wars.

The article also serves as great inspiration for letters to the editor.
Let's start by writing to the Atlanta Journal Constitution itself - fax
404-526-5610, letters@ajc.com

-Kathy Campbell-Barton

<http://www.accessatlanta.com/ajc/opinion/0902/29bookman.html>

The president's real goal in Iraq

Bookman is the deputy editorial page editor of The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

By JAY BOOKMAN

The official story on Iraq has never made sense. The connection that the Bush administration has tried to draw between Iraq and al-Qaida has always seemed contrived and artificial. In fact, it was hard to believe that smart people in the Bush administration would start a major war based on such flimsy evidence.

CONTRIBUTORS TO 2000 REPORT

"Rebuilding America's Defenses," a 2000 report by the Project for the New American Century, listed 27 people as having attended meetings or contributed papers in preparation of the report. Among them are six who have since assumed key defense and foreign policy positions in the Bush administration. And the report seems to have become a blueprint for Bush's foreign and defense policy.

Paul Wolfowitz

Political science doctorate from University of Chicago and dean of the international relations program at Johns Hopkins University during the 1990s. Served in the Reagan State Department, moved to the Pentagon during the first Bush administration as undersecretary of defense for policy. Sworn in as deputy defense secretary in March 2001.

John Bolton

Yale Law grad who worked in the Reagan administration as an assistant attorney general. Switched to the State Department in the first Bush administration as assistant secretary for international organization affairs. Sworn in as undersecretary of state for arms control and international security, May 2001.

Eliot Cohen

Harvard doctorate in government who taught at Harvard and at the Naval War College. Now directs strategic studies at Johns Hopkins and is the author of several books on military strategy. Was on the Defense Department's policy planning staff in the first Bush administration and is now on Donald Rumsfeld's Defense Policy Board.

I. Lewis Libby

Law degree from Columbia (Yale undergrad). Held advisory positions in the Reagan State Department. Was a partner in a Washington law firm in the late '80s before becoming deputy undersecretary of defense for policy in the first Bush administration (under Dick Cheney). Now is the vice president's chief of staff.

Dov Zakheim

Doctorate in economics and politics from Oxford University. Worked on policy issues in the Reagan Defense Department and went into private

defense consulting during the 1990s. Was foreign policy adviser to the 2000 Bush campaign. Sworn in as undersecretary of defense (comptroller) and chief financial officer for the Pentagon, May 2001.

Stephen Cambone

Political science doctorate from Claremont Graduate School. Was in charge of strategic defense policy at the Defense Department in the first Bush administration. Now heads the Office of Program, Analysis and Evaluation at the Defense Department.

The pieces just didn't fit. Something else had to be going on; something was missing.

In recent days, those missing pieces have finally begun to fall into place. As it turns out, this is not really about Iraq. It is not about weapons of mass destruction, or terrorism, or Saddam, or U.N. resolutions.

This war, should it come, is intended to mark the official emergence of the United States as a full-fledged global empire, seizing sole responsibility and authority as planetary policeman. It would be the culmination of a plan 10 years or more in the making, carried out by those who believe the United States must seize the opportunity for global domination, even if it means becoming the "American imperialists" that our enemies always claimed we were.

Once that is understood, other mysteries solve themselves. For example, why does the administration seem unconcerned about an exit strategy from Iraq once Saddam is toppled?

Because we won't be leaving. Having conquered Iraq, the United States will create permanent military bases in that country from which to dominate the Middle East, including neighboring Iran.

In an interview Friday, Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld brushed aside that suggestion, noting that the United States does not covet other nations' territory. That may be true, but 57 years after World War II ended, we still have major bases in Germany and Japan. We will do the same in Iraq.

And why has the administration dismissed the option of containing and deterring Iraq, as we had the Soviet Union for 45 years? Because even if it worked, containment and deterrence would not allow the expansion of American power. Besides, they are beneath us as an empire. Rome did not stoop to containment; it conquered. And so should we.

Among the architects of this would-be American Empire are a group of brilliant and powerful people who now hold key positions in the Bush administration: They envision the creation and enforcement of what they

call a worldwide "Pax Americana," or American peace. But so far, the American people have not appreciated the true extent of that ambition.

Part of it's laid out in the National Security Strategy, a document in which each administration outlines its approach to defending the country. The Bush administration plan, released Sept. 20, marks a significant departure from previous approaches, a change that it attributes largely to the attacks of Sept. 11.

To address the terrorism threat, the president's report lays out a newly aggressive military and foreign policy, embracing pre-emptive attack against perceived enemies. It speaks in blunt terms of what it calls "American internationalism," of ignoring international opinion if that suits U.S. interests. "The best defense is a good offense," the document asserts.

It dismisses deterrence as a Cold War relic and instead talks of "convincing or compelling states to accept their sovereign responsibilities."

In essence, it lays out a plan for permanent U.S. military and economic domination of every region on the globe, unfettered by international treaty or concern. And to make that plan a reality, it envisions a stark expansion of our global military presence.

"The United States will require bases and stations within and beyond Western Europe and Northeast Asia," the document warns, "as well as temporary access arrangements for the long-distance deployment of U.S. troops."

The report's repeated references to terrorism are misleading, however, because the approach of the new National Security Strategy was clearly not inspired by the events of Sept. 11. They can be found in much the same language in a report issued in September 2000 by the Project for the New American Century, a group of conservative interventionists outraged by the thought that the United States might be forfeiting its chance at a global empire.

"At no time in history has the international security order been as conducive to American interests and ideals," the report said. stated two years ago. "The challenge of this coming century is to preserve and enhance this 'American peace.' "

Familiar themes

Overall, that 2000 report reads like a blueprint for current Bush defense policy. Most of what it advocates, the Bush administration has tried to accomplish. For example, the project report urged the repudiation of the anti-ballistic missile treaty and a commitment to a global missile defense system. The administration has taken that course.

It recommended that to project sufficient power worldwide to enforce Pax Americana, the United States would have to increase defense spending from 3 percent of gross domestic product to as much as 3.8 percent. For

next year, the Bush administration has requested a defense budget of \$379 billion, almost exactly 3.8 percent of GDP.

It advocates the "transformation" of the U.S. military to meet its expanded obligations, including the cancellation of such outmoded defense programs as the Crusader artillery system. That's exactly the message being preached by Rumsfeld and others.

It urges the development of small nuclear warheads "required in targeting the very deep, underground hardened bunkers that are being built by many of our potential adversaries." This year the GOP-led U.S. House gave the Pentagon the green light to develop such a weapon, called the Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator, while the Senate has so far balked.

That close tracking of recommendation with current policy is hardly surprising, given the current positions of the people who contributed to the 2000 report.

Paul Wolfowitz is now deputy defense secretary. John Bolton is undersecretary of state. Stephen Cambone is head of the Pentagon's Office of Program, Analysis and Evaluation. Eliot Cohen and Devon Cross are members of the Defense Policy Board, which advises Rumsfeld. I. Lewis Libby is chief of staff to Vice President Dick Cheney. Dov Zakheim is comptroller for the Defense Department.

'Constabulary duties'

Because they were still just private citizens in 2000, the authors of the project report could be more frank and less diplomatic than they were in drafting the National Security Strategy. Back in 2000, they clearly identified Iran, Iraq and North Korea as primary short-term targets, well before President Bush tagged them as the Axis of Evil. In their report, they criticize the fact that in war planning against North Korea and Iraq, "past Pentagon wargames have given little or no consideration to the force requirements necessary not only to defeat an attack but to remove these regimes from power."

To preserve the Pax Americana, the report says U.S. forces will be required to perform "constabulary duties" -- the United States acting as policeman of the world -- and says that such actions "demand American political leadership rather than that of the United Nations."

To meet those responsibilities, and to ensure that no country dares to challenge the United States, the report advocates a much larger military presence spread over more of the globe, in addition to the roughly 130 nations in which U.S. troops are already deployed.

More specifically, they argue that we need permanent military bases in the Middle East, in Southeast Europe, in Latin America and in Southeast Asia, where no such bases now exist. That helps to explain another of the mysteries of our post-Sept. 11 reaction, in which the Bush administration rushed to install U.S. troops in Georgia and the Philippines, as well as our eagerness to send military advisers to assist in the civil war in Colombia.

The 2000 report directly acknowledges its debt to a still earlier document, drafted in 1992 by the Defense Department. That document had also envisioned the United States as a colossus astride the world, imposing its will and keeping world peace through military and economic power. When leaked in final draft form, however, the proposal drew so much criticism that it was hastily withdrawn and repudiated by the first President Bush.

Effect on allies

The defense secretary in 1992 was Richard Cheney; the document was drafted by Wolfowitz, who at the time was defense undersecretary for policy.

The potential implications of a Pax Americana are immense.

One is the effect on our allies. Once we assert the unilateral right to act as the world's policeman, our allies will quickly recede into the background. Eventually, we will be forced to spend American wealth and American blood protecting the peace while other nations redirect their wealth to such things as health care for their citizenry.

Donald Kagan, a professor of classical Greek history at Yale and an influential advocate of a more aggressive foreign policy -- he served as co-chairman of the 2000 New Century project -- acknowledges that likelihood.

"If [our allies] want a free ride, and they probably will, we can't stop that," he says. But he also argues that the United States, given its unique position, has no choice but to act anyway.

"You saw the movie 'High Noon'? he asks. "We're Gary Cooper."

Accepting the Cooper role would be an historic change in who we are as a nation, and in how we operate in the international arena. Candidate Bush certainly did not campaign on such a change. It is not something that he or others have dared to discuss honestly with the American people. To the contrary, in his foreign policy debate with Al Gore, Bush pointedly advocated a more humble foreign policy, a position calculated to appeal to voters leery of military intervention.

For the same reason, Kagan and others shy away from terms such as empire, understanding its connotations. But they also argue that it would be naive and dangerous to reject the role that history has thrust upon us. Kagan, for example, willingly embraces the idea that the United States would establish permanent military bases in a post-war Iraq.

"I think that's highly possible," he says. "We will probably need a major concentration of forces in the Middle East over a long period of time. That will come at a price, but think of the price of not having it. When we have economic problems, it's been caused by disruptions in our oil supply. If we have a force in Iraq, there will be no disruption in oil supplies."

Costly global commitment

Rumsfeld and Kagan believe that a successful war against Iraq will produce other benefits, such as serving an object lesson for nations such as Iran and Syria. Rumsfeld, as befits his sensitive position, puts it rather gently. If a regime change were to take place in Iraq, other nations pursuing weapons of mass destruction "would get the message that having them . . . is attracting attention that is not favorable and is not helpful," he says.

Kagan is more blunt.

"People worry a lot about how the Arab street is going to react," he notes. "Well, I see that the Arab street has gotten very, very quiet since we started blowing things up."

The cost of such a global commitment would be enormous. In 2000, we spent \$281 billion on our military, which was more than the next 11 nations combined. By 2003, our expenditures will have risen to \$378 billion. In other words, the increase in our defense budget from 1999-2003 will be more than the total amount spent annually by China, our next largest competitor.

The lure of empire is ancient and powerful, and over the millennia it has driven men to commit terrible crimes on its behalf. But with the end of the Cold War and the disappearance of the Soviet Union, a global empire was essentially laid at the feet of the United States. To the chagrin of some, we did not seize it at the time, in large part because the American people have never been comfortable with themselves as a New Rome.

Now, more than a decade later, the events of Sept. 11 have given those advocates of empire a new opportunity to press their case with a new president. So in debating whether to invade Iraq, we are really debating the role that the United States will play in the years and decades to come.

Are peace and security best achieved by seeking strong alliances and international consensus, led by the United States? Or is it necessary to take a more unilateral approach, accepting and enhancing the global dominance that, according to some, history has thrust upon us?

If we do decide to seize empire, we should make that decision knowingly, as a democracy. The price of maintaining an empire is always high. Kagan and others argue that the price of rejecting it would be higher still.

That's what this is about.

Status: U

Return-Path: <HolRonFost@aol.com>

Received: from imo-r07.mx.aol.com ([152.163.225.103])

by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17WroR7ku3Nl3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 14:05:37 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from HolRonFost@aol.com

by imo-r07.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v34.13.) id q.16b.14b90292 (3657)
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 14:05:32 -0400 (EDT)

From: HolRonFost@aol.com

Message-ID: <16b.14b90292.2acb3dec@aol.com>

Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 14:05:32 EDT

Subject: Re: List serve for advocacy

To: mupj@igc.org

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="part1_16b.14b90292.2acb3dec_boundary"

X-Mailer: AOL 7.0 for Windows US sub 10641

--part1_16b.14b90292.2acb3dec_boundary

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I like option #2 also -- if there are particular issues where you want to
inform/educate/mobilize a larger group, then you might send it out on the
BUMC general list as well. Thanks Howard!

Be God's,
Ron

Status: U

Return-Path: <wadlingj@ucc.org>

Received: from webshield ([67.105.176.67])

by farley.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17WrY63BG3Nl3pa0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 14:42:02 -0400 (EDT)

Received: FROM UCCLN2.ucc.org BY webshield ; Tue Oct 01 14:41:07 2002 -0400

From: JPAnet@ucc.org

Sensitivity:

Subject: Urgent Alert: NEW OPPORTUNITIES FOR ADVOCACY CONCERNING A U. S. WAR ON IRAQ

To: JPAnet@ucc.org

Sender: wadlingj@ucc.org

Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 14:33:24 -0400

Message-ID: <OFB1BE0C91.3CF86A1A-ON85256C45.0065E99D@ucc.org>

X-MIMETrack: Serialize by Router on UCCLN2/UCC(Release 5.0.10 [March 22, 2002) at 10/01/2002
02:33:38 PM

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Urgent Alert: NEW OPPORTUNITIES FOR ADVOCACY CONCERNING A U. S. WAR ON IRAQ

Since the last weekly message concerning a U.S. war on Iraq, sent on September 24th, there have been several developments which have created additional opportunities for advocacy. THIS ALERT PROVIDES ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR FOLLOWUP ADVOCACY THAT IS NEEDED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. IN ADDITION TO MAKING YOUR OWN CALLS PLEASE REACH OUT TO FRIENDS AND ASK THEM TO MAKE CALLS AS WELL. The debate is expected to last at least through this week.

Because of an outpouring of advocacy opposing a unilateral attack on Iraq, and because several political leaders have stepped forward and are raising important questions, the president has backed off from the most inflammatory element of his original proposal for a congressional resolution regarding Iraq. According to the Congressional Quarterly (September 28, page 2497), "...White House officials dropped a line authorizing Bush not only to move against Iraq but also to 'restore international peace and security in the region.'"

Several congressional leaders are very concerned about the timing which is dramatically affecting the politics of the coming election. Senator Lincoln Chafee (R-RI) put it succinctly, "What's the rush?" Some like the Senate Majority Leader, Tom Daschle (D-SD) have decried the president's attack on the patriotism of senate democrats and have called for an early vote to try to get the debate over Iraq off the table so that other important issues could get the attention they deserve. Others, like Rep. Dennis Kucinich (D-OH) and Rep. Ellen Tauscher (D-CA) are promoting a joint letter calling for putting off the vote until after the election. Over 70 representatives have signed on at this writing.

With very few exceptions, representatives and senators mirror public opinion polls in calling for the ouster of Saddam Hussein and for the destruction of weapons of mass-destruction. However, as in the public opinion polls, many are deeply concerned about the way to bring this about. One of the topmost concerns is over the president's apparent rush to war without building the kind of support among allies that was prominent in the buildup to Desert Storm in 1991. Republican senators Chuck Hagel and Richard Lugar have publicly asked the president to work more closely with allies. Senator Hagel said, "I don't understand why the president would not want all the congressional and international support he can get if, in fact, the last option is taking a nation to war." Senator Edward Kennedy (D-MA) called on the president to follow the course set in his speech to the United Nations that called for a new resolution and international action instead of undercutting such a course with threats of unilateral action. Those who take this position point to the resistance of European allies such as Germany, which has been actively supportive of the U.S. in Afghanistan, and note that even Britain, the most vocal ally, wants the U.S. to act through the United Nations.

Other congressional leaders have focused on the problems associated with a U.S.-initiated preemptive strike, particularly if it is unilateral or almost unilateral. Such concerns include the following:

WHAT are U.S. plans after achieving a victory? Secretary of State Colin Powell has called for extensive nation building after a victory, but that has had little support from other sectors of the administration and many are skeptical about U.S. capacity, or staying power, for such tasks after watching the followup to military victory in Afghanistan.

WILL U.S. action stir up more anti-U.S. feelings in the Muslim world and generate more terrorism? Will it create more difficulties for our Middle East friends such as leaders in Egypt, Saudi Arabia and Jordan?

WILL a U.S. unilateral action create a financial crisis in the United States? The U.S. budget is already in deficit and the administration is seeking large cutbacks in domestic spending. Past actions in the Middle East received significant funding from several partners and reduced the U.S. financial burden.

WILL there be significant U.S. casualties? The previous action against Iraq surprised many with the extremely low rate of casualties sustained by the U.S. The continued air dominance and bombing of Iraq builds on the feeling of overwhelming military superiority. But the kind of military action necessary for capturing or killing Saddam Hussein promises urban street fighting and the possibility that U.S. troops would face biological attacks that could create significant deaths.

WILL there be significant civilian casualties in Iraq? The

economic sanctions have been devastating for the Iraqi people, especially for children in a country with a very high birth rate. An attack on the capitol, the probability that Saddam Hussein will deploy his troops in the midst of civilians, and the already desperate conditions of Iraqi infrastructure suggest that civilian casualties would be high.

WILL other nations follow the U.S. example and start or increase their unilateral first strikes in the interest of their national objectives? Israel, for example, has closely mimicked the "war on terrorism" language of the administration in justifying its attacks on Palestinians. In throwing off the decades old U.S. emphasis on containment and restraint, will the U.S. further reduce its moral authority, isolate itself from allies and face an increasingly violent world from a weaker and more divided base?

IS all the talk about overthrowing Saddam Hussein, about reducing weapons of mass-destruction, about political implications, even about revenge, merely a cover for a grab for Iraq's oil fields, a proven oil reserve second only to the holdings of Saudi Arabia? Neither the administration nor congressional leaders are discussing this element of U.S. motivation but numerous commentators are suggesting that this is what is really going on, particularly since Russia has been negotiating a deal with Iraq about oil. The moral implications of this important motivation are not helped by keeping this element of the conversation out of the public spot light. If this is not an important goal of U.S. policy it would help to have a clear statement to that effect.

Since the United States is not facing an immediate attack from Iraq, in contrast to the Cuban missile crisis for example, there is no urgent external reason for failing to have an adequate debate on these important questions. Rep. Vernon Ehlers, (R-MI), said, "I have questions ...at least 20 ... such as why the United States is contemplating such a move and why it wants to strike first."

In the book, A JUST PEACE CHURCH, edited by Susan Thistlethwaite, a study book for the UCC resolution, we are reminded in the chapter on "Turning Toward a Just Peace" that, "The basic biblical vision is the vision of shalom (or salaam), which means peace. But shalom means peace in a much fuller way than the English language conveys. Shalom means wholeness, healing, justice, righteousness, equality, unity, freedom, and community. Shalom is a vision of all people whole, well, and one, and of all nature whole, well, and one." This basic biblical insight cries out for articulation in the midst of the current debate. Such articulation can help raise the quality of the conversation so that the many unanswered questions get a fair hearing. Advocates are urged to take their turn at taking on some element of the current debate, to raise their own important questions phrased in terms of the vision of shalom, in the hope of not

only stopping a unilateral war on Iraq but creating the possibility of transformation of the United States and others toward a new world order based on more than raw military power and narrow self-interest. Such comments should be sent to the president, to representatives and senators, and to the media.

Those interested in taking on this work within the context of the UCC are directed to 3 statements that are available on the UCC website: Statement of UCC opposing war against Iraq; Regarding the Statement of UCC Leaders on Iraq; and An Open Letter to President Bush. These statements can be downloaded using the printer option at the bottom of each statement and saved so that they can be reformatted to serve for study and advocacy.

Capitol Switchboard: 202-224-3121. White House Comment Line: 202-456-1111. Email messages can be sent through www.senate.gov and through www.house.gov.

Written by: Pat Conover, Legislative Director, Justice and Witness Ministries.

This Urgent Alert is part of an effort to keep Justice and Peace Action Network members abreast of legislation relative to hate crimes. These urgent alerts are in addition to our Weekly Messages and will continue as necessary. To add new names to this weekly advocacy service, for more information, or to remove your name from the list, reply to JPAnet@ucc.org. For additional information about the UCC Justice and Peace Action Network, log on to www.ucc.org.

Status: U

Return-Path: <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Received: from web10708.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.130.216])

by osgood.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17Wxcq6X3Nl3pt0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Tue, 1 Oct 2002 20:17:10 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20021002001708.76820.qmail@web10708.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [24.140.23.16] by web10708.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 01 Oct 2002 17:17:08 PDT

Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2002 17:17:08 -0700 (PDT)

From: hipkins james <debate44646@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Your visit

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

In-Reply-To: <003201c26942\$294f0e00\$0b69f7a5@default>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Get them. We would love it. Thanks!!

Jim and Char

--- "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org> wrote:

> Jim and Char,

>

> In looking at my calendar I realize that we have

> tickets to a string quartet

> concert at the Smithsonian on Saturday evening,

> October 19. Would you like

> to go with us? If so, I'll get some tickets.

>

> Char, I hope your angioplasty went well.

>

> Shalom,

> Howard

>

>

Do you Yahoo!?

New DSL Internet Access from SBC & Yahoo!

<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Miller, Phillip H." <millerph@att.net>
Subject: Check request
Date: Wed, 2 Oct 2002 08:46:08 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Phil,

Please provide a check payable to Marie Kayser for \$206.25. This is for work on our web site. It should be assigned to the Rockefeller grant.

I'm beginning to think about our board meeting on Friday, October 18. What should we do about coffee,tea, donuts, bagels, etc? Should we go out together for lunch as we have in past years? Will you have copies of financial reports? I'll let you know how many are coming.

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: datan@igc.org
Subject: A model deep cuts treaty
Date: Wed, 2 Oct 2002 14:26:05 -0400 MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0029_01C26A1F.A4F44EC0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Merav:

From time to time I've participated in meetings of Abolition 2000 with you, though I've never talked with you directly. These days I am chair of Methodists United for Peace with Justice and also chair of the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, a coalition of approximately 40 denominational office and religious associations in the United States. Currently we are trying to stop war against Iraq, but the need to eliminate nuclear weapons remains our long-range concern.

Although the Moscow Treaty of 2002 is a step in the right direction, it is deeply flawed because of the lack of a schedule, a system for verification, and lack of irreversibility which retains a large arsenal in reserve. Yet there is an urgent need to end the Cold War doctrine of mutual assured destruction (MAD), which will remain in place as long as the United States and Russia retain large, deployed arsenals on quick-launch alert.

On the theory that you can't beat something with nothing, I have been thinking about the possibility of having the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament ask some experts to develop a model treaty for very deep cuts in the U.S. and Russian strategic arsenals. It would put on paper the kind of reductions that a variety of reports have recommended in recent years. Reductions would be irreversible, leading to dismantlement of weapons taken out of service. My ideas are spelled out in the attachment.

I admire the Model Nuclear Weapons Convention that you and others developed five years ago. The first step of your plan was to reduce U.S. and Russian warheads to a level approaching the other nuclear weapon states and then bringing them in. What I am suggesting is a push for a bilateral agreement for deep cuts before going into multilateral negotiations.

A model treaty of this sort could be a useful rallying point for the faith

community in the U.S. and counterparts in Canada and Europe. It could also be a rallying point for a broader coalition with civil sector organizations. The drafting process could involve contacts in Russia and maybe some citizen diplomacy through contacts with U.S. and Russian officials. If we do this right, we could fulfill President Eisenhower's warning that some day citizens will insist that their governments give them the peace they crave, in this case, nuclear disarmament.

I tried this idea out on John Boroughs. He thinks it has some possibilities and offered several suggestions. He pointed out that there is already work on a schedule for reductions in proposals for successive START treaties. He said there is also a need to deal with de-alerting other arsenals besides the U.S. and Russia. He noted that the Final Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference specifies a series of steps and offers some principles. John also said that instead of a detailed treaty we might want to concentrate on a set of specifications that a treaty would contain, such as schedule for reduction, types of activities (de-alerting, de-activation, dismantlement), counting and verification procedures, etc.

John would be interested in participating in discussions along these lines but hasn't the time to take a leading role. He suggested that I talk with you because of your knowledge and your experience in developing the Model Nuclear Weapons Convention.

Therefore, I wonder what you think of our idea. I'll call you in a few days to discuss it.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Model Strategic Weapons Elimination Treaty (SWEET): A Proposal

The Strategic Offensive Reductions Treaty (SORT), also known as the Moscow Treaty of 2002, signifies that the United States and Russia are unwilling to find a way to mutually eliminate their nuclear arsenals, as required by the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty. Although SORT provides for useful reductions in deployed strategic weapons, a sizable arsenal remains in active service and a large supply remains in reserve. Moreover, the treaty expires in 2012.

Yet it is in the self-interest of the United States and Russia to eliminate their strategic arsenals. As long as one side maintains a large arsenal deployed for quick launch on warning, the other side will do likewise. This in effect maintains the Cold War doctrine of mutual assured destruction (MAD) with the risk of accidental launch and quick retaliation. Both nations would be more secure if this threat was eliminated. But their governments are unwilling to act in this manner.

President Eisenhower once said, "I think that people, in the long run, want peace so much that one of these days governments had better get out of the way and let them have it." The time has come to apply this thinking to nuclear weapons. We the people of Earth are tired of the unwillingness of governments to eliminate nuclear weapons. Therefore, we should write a treaty that accomplish this task and insist that governments accept and implement it.

In 1997 citizens did indeed develop a Model Nuclear Weapons Convention that drew considerable praise. Governments, though, ignored it. That model remains as a useful document. However, at this moment it would be appropriate to take the first major step by developing a bilateral treaty between the United States and Russia to reduce their strategic arsenals to 200 to 400 warheads on each side. This is the approximate level of other nuclear weapon states, which would need to be brought into a broader treaty for the final elimination of nuclear weapons.

A Model Strategic Weapons Elimination Treaty (SWEET) should have these features:

- A schedule for de-alerting, deactivation, and dismantlement as rapidly as practicable. Neither side should be at a disadvantage at any stage of reductions.
- Provisions for transparency and verification.
- Of reasonable length, such as 10 to 20 pages with cross-reference to other treaties as appropriate and appendices for detailed schedules if necessary.
- Drafted through an open process that involves U.S. and Russian experts and input from persons living elsewhere. Citizen diplomacy could occur during the drafting process to keep U.S. and Russian government officials informed and gain their feedback.

Citizen education and coalition building would take place during the drafting process in order to set the stage for citizens to demand that the governments accept, ratify, and implement the model treaty. As a beginning, the Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament, a U.S. coalition, could ask some experts to draft a model treaty and then reach out to faith groups in other countries and to civil sector organizations in the U.S. and abroad to start building support for the model treaty.

Proposed by Howard W. Hallman, Chair, Methodists United for Peace with Justice
September 19, 2002

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <cmep@cmep.org>
Subject: House Iraq resolution
Date: Wed, 2 Oct 2002 14:34:09 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Corinne,

I was forwarded a copy of the resolution agreed upon by the White House and House leadership. Do you have any suggestions what national offices and grassroots offices should do about it?

Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Status: U
Return-Path: <owner-gbcs-pwj@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Received: from umcgroupemail.org ([64.221.242.81])
by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17WO7IUq3Nl3rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 2 Oct 2002 14:21:26 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from UMCom2C02 (10.1.2.12) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.00031BAF@umcgroupemail.org>; Wed, 2 Oct 2002 13:21:28 -0500
Received: from UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG by UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release
1.8d) with pool id 11877 for GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG; Wed, 2 Oct
2002 13:19:27 -0500
Received: from Church1.UMC-GBCS.ORG (66.95.90.3) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTP
for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id <0.00031BAD@umcgroupemail.org>;
Wed, 2 Oct 2002 13:19:25 -0500
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.0.6249.0
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="----_=_NextPart_001_01C26A40.34D860A0"
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
Thread-Topic: House and White House resolution
Thread-Index: AcJqLKhBXJOfqqtIRgO0//fNY1d2rAAE3reQ
Message-ID: <D58A7AD53C7E224EBA04A42D033330916B73B@Church1.UMC-GBCS.ORG>
Date: Wed, 2 Oct 2002 14:19:11 -0400
Reply-To: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Sender: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
From: Janet Horman <JHorman@UMC-GBCS.ORG>
Subject: [GBGS-PWJ] FW: House and White House resolution
To: GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C26A40.34D860A0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=20
-----Original Message-----
From: Corinne Whitlatch [mailto:cmep@cmep.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2002 11:59 AM
To: vmanning@nccusa.org; James Winkler; Martin Bailey; Carol Fouke =
(E-mail); Bob Edgar; Ron Stief; Peter Makari; Peggy Hutchison; Mia =
Adjali; Mark Brown; Lisa Wright; Joe Volk; Jim Matlack; Jere Skipper; =
Janet Horman; Jack Edmondson; Greg Laszakovits; Gene Heideman; Drew =
Christiansen; Derek Duncan; Daryl Byler; Corinne Whitlatch; Catherine =
Gordon; Stan DeBoe; Jim Kofski
Cc: Jim Wetekam
Subject: House and White House resolution=20

(Here is the resolution that has been agreed upon by the White House and =
the House of Representatives. Note that deliberations between the =

Senate and the White House are ongoing.)

Joint Resolution

Joint Resolution to authorize the use of United States Armed Forces =
against Iraq

Whereas in 1990 in response to Iraq's war of aggression against and =
illegal occupation of Kuwait, the United States forged a coalition of =
nations to liberate Kuwait and its people in order to defend the =
national security of the United States and enforce United Nations =
Security Council resolutions relating to Iraq;=20

Whereas after the liberation of Kuwait in 1991, Iraq entered into a =
United Nations sponsored cease-fire agreement pursuant to which Iraq =
unequivocally agreed, among other things, to eliminate its nuclear, =
biological, and chemical weapons programs and the means to deliver and =
develop them, and to end its support for international terrorism;=20

Whereas the efforts of international weapons inspectors, United States =
intelligence agencies, and Iraqi defectors led to the discovery that =
Iraq had large stockpiles of chemical weapons and a large scale =
biological weapons program, and that Iraq had an advanced nuclear =
weapons development program that was much closer to producing a nuclear =
weapon than intelligence reporting had previously indicated;

Whereas Iraq, in direct and flagrant violation of the cease-fire, =
attempted to thwart the efforts of weapons inspectors to identify and =
destroy Iraq's weapons of mass destruction stockpiles and development =
capabilities, which finally resulted in the withdrawal of inspectors =
from Iraq on October 31, 1998;

Whereas in 1998 Congress concluded that Iraq's continuing weapons of =
mass destruction programs threatened vital United States interests and =
international peace and security, declared Iraq to be in "material and =
unacceptable breach of its international obligations" and urged the =
President "to take appropriate action, in accordance with the =
Constitution and relevant laws of the United States, to bring Iraq into =
compliance with its international obligations" (Public Law 105-235);=20

Whereas Iraq both poses a continuing threat to the national security of =
the United States and international peace and security in the Persian =
Gulf region and remains in material and unacceptable breach of its =
international obligations by, among other things, continuing to possess =
and develop a significant chemical and biological weapons capability, =
actively seeking a nuclear weapons capability, and supporting and =
harboring terrorist organizations;=20

Whereas Iraq persists in violating resolutions of the United Nations =
Security Council by continuing to engage in brutal repression of its =
civilian population thereby threatening international peace and security =
in the region, by refusing to release, repatriate, or account for =
non-Iraqi citizens wrongfully detained by Iraq, including an American =
serviceman, and by failing to return property wrongfully seized by Iraq =

from Kuwait;

Whereas the current Iraqi regime has demonstrated its capability and = willingness to use weapons of mass destruction against other nations and = its own people;

Whereas the current Iraqi regime has demonstrated its continuing = hostility toward, and willingness to attack, the United States, = including by attempting in 1993 to assassinate former President Bush and = by firing on many thousands of occasions on United States and Coalition = Armed Forces engaged in enforcing the resolutions of the United Nations = Security Council;

Whereas members of al Qaida, an organization bearing responsibility for = attacks on the United States, its citizens, and interests, including the = attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001, are known to be in Iraq;

Whereas Iraq continues to aid and harbor other international terrorist = organizations, including organizations that threaten the lives and = safety of American citizens;

Whereas the attacks on the United States of September 11, 2001 = underscored the gravity of the threat posed by the acquisition of = weapons of mass destruction by international terrorist organizations;=20

Whereas Iraq's demonstrated capability and willingness to use weapons of = mass destruction, the risk that the current Iraqi regime will either = employ those weapons to launch a surprise attack against the United = States or its Armed Forces or provide them to international terrorists = who would do so, and the extreme magnitude of harm that would result to = the United States and its citizens from such an attack, combine to = justify action by the United States to defend itself;=20

Whereas United Nations Security Council Resolution 678 authorizes the = use of all necessary means to enforce United Nations Security Council = Resolution 660 and subsequent relevant resolutions and to compel Iraq to = cease certain activities that threaten international peace and security, = including the development of weapons of mass destruction and refusal or = obstruction of United Nations weapons inspections in violation of United = Nations Security Council Resolution 687, repression of its civilian = population in violation of United Nations Security Council Resolution = 688, and threatening its neighbors or United Nations operations in Iraq = in violation of United Nations Security Council Resolution 949;=20

Whereas Congress in the Authorization for Use of Military Force Against = Iraq Resolution (Public Law 102-1) has authorized the President "to use = United States Armed Forces pursuant to United Nations Security Council = Resolution 678 (1990) in order to achieve implementation of Security = Council Resolutions 660, 661, 662, 664, 665, 666, 667, 669, 670, 674, = and 677";

Whereas in December 1991, Congress expressed its sense that it "supports = the use of all necessary means to achieve the goals of United Nations = Security Council Resolution 687 as being consistent with the =

Authorization of Use of Military Force Against Iraq Resolution (Public = Law 102-1)," that Iraq's repression of its civilian population violates = United Nations Security Council Resolution 688 and "constitutes a = continuing threat to the peace, security, and stability of the Persian = Gulf region," and that Congress, "supports the use of all necessary = means to achieve the goals of United Nations Security Council Resolution = 688";

Whereas the Iraq Liberation Act (Public Law 105-338) expressed the sense = of Congress that it should be the policy of the United States to support = efforts to remove from power the current Iraqi regime and promote the = emergence of a democratic government to replace that regime;

Whereas on September 12, 2002, President Bush committed the United = States to "work with the United Nations Security Council to meet our = common challenge" posed by Iraq and to "work for the necessary = resolutions," while also making clear that "the Security Council = resolutions will be enforced, and the just demands of peace and security = will be met, or action will be unavoidable";

Whereas the United States is determined to prosecute the war on = terrorism and Iraq's ongoing support for international terrorist groups = combined with its development of weapons of mass destruction in direct = violation of its obligations under the 1991 cease-fire and other United = Nations Security Council resolutions make clear that it is in the = national security interests of the United States and in furtherance of = the war on terrorism that all relevant United Nations Security Council = resolutions be enforced, including through the use of force if = necessary;

Whereas Congress has taken steps to pursue vigorously the war on = terrorism through the provision of authorities and funding requested by = the President to take the necessary actions against international = terrorists and terrorist organizations, including those nations, = organizations or persons who planned, authorized, committed or aided the = terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001 or harbored such = persons or organizations;

Whereas the President and Congress are determined to continue to take = all appropriate actions against international terrorists and terrorist = organizations, including those nations, organizations or persons who = planned, authorized, committed or aided the terrorist attacks that = occurred on September 11, 2001, or harbored such persons or = organizations;

Whereas the President has authority under the Constitution to take = action in order to deter and prevent acts of international terrorism = against the United States, as Congress recognized in the joint = resolution on Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law = 107-40); and

Whereas it is in the national security of the United States to restore = international peace and security to the Persian Gulf region;

Now, therefore, be it=20

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the =
United States of America in Congress assembled,=20

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.=20

This joint resolution may be cited as the "Authorization for the Use of =
Military Force Against Iraq".=20

SEC. 2. SUPPORT FOR UNITED STATES DIPLOMATIC EFFORTS=20

The Congress of the United States supports the efforts by the President =
to=20

(a) strictly enforce through the United Nations Security Council all =
relevant Security Council resolutions applicable to Iraq and encourages =
him in those efforts; and

(b) obtain prompt and decisive action by the Security Council to ensure =
that Iraq abandons its strategy of delay, evasion and noncompliance and =
promptly and strictly complies with all relevant Security Council =
resolutions.

SEC. 3. AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES.=20

AUTHORIZATION. The President is authorized to use the Armed Forces of =
the United States as he determines to be necessary and appropriate in =
order to=20

1. defend the national security of the United States against the =
continuing threat posed by Iraq; and=20

2. enforce all relevant United Nations Security Council Resolutions =
regarding Iraq.=20

PRESIDENTIAL DETERMINATION.=20

In connection with the exercise of the authority granted in subsection =
(a) to use force the President shall, prior to such exercise or as soon =
there after as may be feasible, but no later than 48 hours after =
exercising such authority, make available to the Speaker of the House of =
Representatives and the President pro tempore of the Senate his =
determination that

(1) reliance by the United States on further diplomatic or =
other peaceful means alone either (A) will not adequately protect the =
national security of the United States against the continuing threat =
posed by Iraq or (B) is not likely to lead to enforcement of all =
relevant United Nations Security Council resolutions regarding Iraq, and

(2) acting pursuant to this resolution is consistent with the United States and other countries continuing to take the necessary actions against international terrorists and terrorist organizations, including those nations, organizations or persons who planned, authorized, committed or aided the terrorists attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001.

(c) WAR POWERS RESOLUTION REQUIREMENTS. =20

(1) SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORIZATION. - Consistent with section 8(a)(1) of the War Powers Resolution, the Congress declares that this section is intended to constitute specific statutory authorization within the meaning of section 5(b) of the War Powers Resolution.

(2) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER REQUIREMENTS. - Nothing in this resolution supersedes any requirement of the War Powers Resolution. =20

SEC. 4. REPORTS TO CONGRESS=20

(a) The President shall, at least once every 60 days, submit to the Congress a report on matters relevant to this joint resolution, including actions taken pursuant to the exercise of authority granted in section 2 and the status of planning for efforts that are expected to be required after such actions are completed, including those actions described in section 7 of Public Law 105-338 (the Iraq Liberation Act of 1998).

(b) To the extent that the submission of any report described in subsection (a) coincides with the submission of any other report on matters relevant to this joint resolution otherwise required to be submitted to Congress pursuant to the reporting requirements of Public Law 93-148 (the War Powers Resolution), all such reports may be submitted as a single consolidated report to the Congress.

(c) To the extent that the information required by section 3 of Public Law 102-1 is included in the report required by this section, such report shall be considered as meeting the requirements of section 3 of Public Law 102-1.=20

Corinne Whitlatch, Director
Churches for Middle East Peace
100 Maryland Ave. NE #313
Washington, DC 20002
Phone, 202-488-5613
Fax, 202-554-8223

Status: U
Return-Path: <owner-gbcs-pwj@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Received: from umcgroupemail.org ([64.221.242.81])
by tyner.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17WPlx5ke3Nl3rs0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 2 Oct 2002 15:39:47 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from UMCom2C02 (10.1.2.12) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTTP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id
<0.00031BCE@umcgroupemail.org>; Wed, 2 Oct 2002 14:39:51 -0500
Received: from UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG by UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release
1.8d) with spool id 11905 for GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG; Wed, 2 Oct
2002 14:37:50 -0500
Received: from Church1.UMC-GBCS.ORG (66.95.90.3) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTTP
for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id <0.00031BCD@umcgroupemail.org>;
Wed, 2 Oct 2002 14:37:49 -0500
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.0.6249.0
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="----_=_NextPart_001_01C26A4B.2AAC89E0"
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
Thread-Topic: need to preach and speak
Thread-Index: AcJqRg05hf/V0ymeRCSUivqBKsJvgwABQ3zQ
Message-ID: <D58A7AD53C7E224EBA04A42D033330916B740@Church1.UMC-GBCS.ORG>
Date: Wed, 2 Oct 2002 15:37:39 -0400
Reply-To: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
Sender: GBGS PWJ <GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG>
From: Janet Horman <JHorman@UMC-GBCS.ORG>
Subject: [GBGS-PWJ] FW: need to preach and speak
To: GBGS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C26A4B.2AAC89E0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=20

-----Original Message-----

From: James Winkler=20
Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2002 3:01 PM
Subject: need to preach and speak

Friends:

Several United States Senators have made a special request to a group of =
church leaders yesterday that pastors speak out this coming Sunday in =
their congregations on the need to work for peace and to make a moral =
plea to their congregations to speak to Congress, and to the President =
to turn away from war. Their feeling is that it will take public =
pressure now to tip the scales toward peace. They particularly ask =
United Methodists to raise their voice as the President and =
Vice-President are UMs. I am sending this email to friends on my email =

list in the hopes you will speak and that you will spread the word. =

Time is of the essence!

Grace and Peace,

Jim Winkler

Status: U
Return-Path: <david@fcnl.org>
Received: from local.fcnl.org ([65.207.12.2])
by walker.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17WPPc5Mq3N13s70
for <mupj@igc.org>; Wed, 2 Oct 2002 16:10:26 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by local.fcnl.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <T4LLFX3M>; Wed, 2 Oct 2002 16:07:04 -0400
Message-ID: <E9BA445D76C0D21182F30090273DFAF6A85D81@local.fcnl.org>
From: David Culp <david@fcnl.org>
To: 'Monday Lobby' <anon@fcnl.org>
Subject: W.V. may hold the key to stopping the war with Iraq
Date: Wed, 2 Oct 2002 16:07:01 -0400
Importance: high
X-Priority: 1
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain

To: Monday Lobby

FCNL sent this to our constituents in West Virginia this afternoon. We urge you to steal this action alert, with or without credit.

David Culp

To Friends in West Virginia,

Your phone call can help us stop a war.

The U.S. Senate has begun debate on authorizing the use of force against Iraq. A vote is slated for sometime next week (the week of October 7).

Sen. Robert Byrd is considering a filibuster to block that vote.

Below is an excerpt from Congress Daily, a well-respected newsletter that covers Capitol Hill, that describes the situation.

We strongly you and other residents of West Virginia to CALL Sen. Robert Byrd at his WASHINGTON, D.C. office. Urge him to block a vote on going to war against Iraq. His telephone number is (202) 224-3954. E-mails and faxes to Congress may not reach staff for days. Mail is taking weeks.

This action alert is extremely urgent. The issue will be decided in the next few days. Would you please forward this message to ten of your friends and contacts in West Virginia? Thanks!

Background material on the Iraq is on the FCNL web site at <http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/iraindx.htm>.

Senate Impasse Continues on Homeland Bill, Iraq Resolution

By Brody Mullins and Charlie Mitchell
Congress Daily, October 2, 2002

WASHINGTON - The bipartisan congressional leadership headed to the White House this morning to iron out the final language of a resolution authorizing the use of force against Iraq, but Senator Robert Byrd (D-W.Va.) continues to consider waging a filibuster against the resolution if it gives U.S. President George W. Bush too much authority to wage war in the region.

According to Byrd's spokesman, the Senate's most senior Democrat wants to ensure that the Bush administration's resolution does not trample on congressional authority over war making. The spokesman said that Byrd would have "significant concerns ... if there is a resolution before the Senate that Senator Byrd believes would run counter to the separation of powers." The spokesman did not say Byrd would filibuster the resolution, although Byrd has previously hinted he might do so.

Sincerely,

Kathy Guthrie
Friend Program Secretary
Friends Committee on National Legislation
Washington, D.C.
+1 (800) 630-1330
Web: www.fcnl.org
E-mail: fcnl@fcnl.org

Status: U

Return-Path: <trishpegam@carolina.rr.com>

Received: from ncsmt02.ogw.rr.com ([24.93.67.83])

by kendall.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17X5LV3N3N13pM0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 09:12:07 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from mail4.carolina.rr.com (fe4 [24.93.67.51])

by ncsmt02.ogw.rr.com (8.12.5/8.12.2) with ESMTTP id g93DCNut006532
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 09:12:23 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from Office ([24.88.185.6]) by mail4.carolina.rr.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(5.5.1877.757.75);
Thu, 3 Oct 2002 09:12:02 -0400

Message-ID: <000801c26ade\$779d32b0\$0300a8c0@Office>

From: "Trish Pegram" <trishpegam@carolina.rr.com>

To: <mupj@igc.org>

Cc: <trishpegam@carolina.rr.com>

Subject: Assistance request

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 09:12:03 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C26ABC.F0440260"

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C26ABC.F0440260

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Good morning!

My name is Trish Pegram. I'm a Lay Speaker at Matthews United Methodist =
Church in Matthews, North Carolina. Our church has over 3000 members. =
In leadership training, I was provided a list of the work areas of our =
church. To my surprise, there was no one assigned as a staff =
representative for the "Social Issues & Concerns Work Area." I have =
been asked to consider working in this area. I have a special interest =
in peace and justice. =20

I also have a lot of questions. How do you communicate your information =
to individual congregations of the United Methodist Church? Or, do you =
communicate your information to individual congregations of the United =
Methodist Church? Are you sanctioned by the General Board of Church & =
Society? Have you spoken about issues other than those supplied by =
links at www.umc-ggbcs.org/gbcs007.htm?=20

Thanks for your assistance. I'm just trying to figure out what is out =
there in order to avoid trying to re-invent the wheel. =20

If you have any questions, you can call me at 704-846-2564.
Trish Pegram

Status: U

Return-Path: lynnerskine@hotmail.com

Received: from hotmail.com ([64.4.15.65]) by strange.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17X7fF5Z93NI3oW0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 10:46:55 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 07:46:55 -0700

Received: from 65.207.12.27 by lw10fd.law10.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Thu, 03 Oct 2002 14:46:54 GMT

X-Originating-IP: [65.207.12.27]

From: "Lynn Erskine" lynnerskine@hotmail.com

To: mupj@igc.org

Subject: Sign-on letter on Iraq (FCNL)

Date: Thu, 03 Oct 2002 10:46:54 -0400

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="-----_NextPart_000_5714_57e4_5895"

Message-ID: F651ZLFjwscTEq9xwUJ000030c5@hotmail.com

X-OriginalArrivalTime: 03 Oct 2002 14:46:55.0213 (UTC)

FILETIME=[B7BAD9D0:01C26AEB]

Dear Colleague:

Attached is a sign-on letter urging Members of Congress to reject any resolution that authorizes preemptive, unilateral military action against Iraq. The Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL) is seeking signatures from representatives of national organizations, but time is short.

Please review and, if your organization can sign the letter, contact Lynn Erskine by 4 p.m, MONDAY, OCTOBER 7. Telephone 202-270-1557 or via e-mail at lynnerskine@hotmail.com (We will continue to collect signatures after this date for a possible second mailing.) Please provide the full name of the organization and the name and title of the individual representing your organization.

Thanks,

Ned Stowe
FCNL

SIGN-ON LETTER URGING CONGRESS TO REJECT ANY RESOLUTION THAT
AUTHORIZES PREEMPTIVE, UNILATERAL MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAQ

Deadline to sign: Monday, Oct. 7, 2002, 4 p.m.

Dear Member of Congress (to be personalized):

We are writing to urge you to vote against any resolution that would provide the President with broad authority to take preemptive, unilateral military action against Iraq at this time. Specifically, we ask that the President be required to fully pursue all other options first, such as renewed U.N. weapons inspections and diplomatic pressure; and the U.S. proceed only with active cooperation and support of the international community.

While the threat posed by Saddam Hussein's regime is very serious, no evidence has been presented publicly that would indicate that there is an imminent threat of an Iraqi attack against any country. We believe that a unilateral, preemptive strike against Iraq at this time would undermine rather than strengthen U.S. national security. We are concerned that such action could actually heighten the risk of terrorist attacks against U.S. citizens, while undermining international cooperation to reduce terrorism and bring to justice those who are responsible for the attacks of September 11, 2001. Further, a war in Iraq might easily spread into a regional confrontation involving nuclear powers and exacerbate distrust and resentment against the U.S. in the Muslim world.

Some of us may support war with Iraq, but not a unilateral war at this time and under these circumstances. We therefore urge you to reject the President's request for blanket authority to commit U.S. forces, which we believe is premature at best. Decisions of this magnitude must not be rushed. Too many lives are at stake, and there remain too many troubling unanswered questions. The U.S. and the international community have time to pursue alternative approaches and should do so now.

Sincerely,

(Signatures of heads of organizations or their designated representatives)

Joe Volk, Executive Secretary
Friends Committee on National Legislation

X-POP3-Rcpt: hallman@holliday
Return-Path: <sestabrooks@ploughshares.ca>
Received: from mail2.megamailservers.com (mail2.megamailservers.com [216.251.36.12])
by holliday.lighthost.com (8.9.3/8.9.3) with ESMTP id KAA14197
for <hallman@zero-nukes.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 10:22:24 -0500
Received: from ns.maplesoft.on.ca (ns.maplesoft.on.ca [205.211.164.226])
by mail2.megamailservers.com (8.12.5/8.12.2) with SMTP id g93FLxM1083902;
Thu, 3 Oct 2002 11:22:00 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <00e001c26af0\$9d86d5f0\$0800650a@ploughshares12>
From: "Sarah Estabrooks" <sestabrooks@ploughshares.ca>
To: <hallman@zero-nukes.org>
Cc: "Ernie Regehr" <eregehr@ploughshares.ca>
Received: from no.name.available by ns.maplesoft.on.ca
via smtpd (for mail.megamailservers.com [216.251.32.97]) with SMTP; 3 Oct 2002 15:21:59 UT
Subject: Statements for Website
Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 11:21:50 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_00DD_01C26ACF.117DD930"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_00DD_01C26ACF.117DD930
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Mr. Hallman,

Project Ploughshares has recently put out a series of statements on =
Iraq, which I thought might be of interest for your new website section =
on the Iraq crisis.

- Project Ploughshares Briefing on Iraq

<http://www.ploughshares.ca/CONTENT/BRIEFINGS/brf024.html>

- Iraq and the Broader Disarmament Context (shorter version of briefing, =
focussed on nukes)

<http://www.ploughshares.ca/CONTENT/BRIEFINGS/brf025.html>

- Canadian Church Leaders' Press Release on Iraq

[http://www.ploughshares.ca/CONTENT/BUILD%20PEACE/ChurchLeadersIraqPressRe=
1Sept25.html](http://www.ploughshares.ca/CONTENT/BUILD%20PEACE/ChurchLeadersIraqPressRe=1Sept25.html)

Secondly, Ploughshares sent a letter to Prime Minister Chretien on the =
Nuclear Posture Review in March, if that is of interest for the NPR =
section.=20

<http://www.ploughshares.ca/CONTENT/CURRENT%20ISSUES/USNPRletMar02.html>

These statements are all at the links above and can be accessed from the =
homepage under the list of current issues. Again, the website is =
www.ploughshares.ca

I hope you are progressing well with the development of the site. =
Compiling these statements is an important endeavour for the ecumenical =
community.

All the best,
Sarah Estabrooks
Program Associate
Project Ploughshares
57 Erb Street West
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 6C2
Tel: 519-888-6541, ext. 708
Fax: 519-888-0018
Email: sestabrooks@ploughshares.ca
<http://www.ploughshares.ca>

Project Ploughshares is an ecumenical agency of the Canadian Council of
Churches and is affiliated with the Institute of Peace and Conflict =
Studies,
Conrad Grebel University College, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, =
Ontario.

Status: U
Return-Path: <3RDM@gte.net>
Received: from pop017.verizon.net ([206.46.170.210])
by bissell.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17X80f5S13N13rE0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 11:35:03 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from gte.net ([67.250.24.252]) by pop017.verizon.net
(InterMail vM.5.01.05.09 201-253-122-126-109-20020611) with ESMTP
id <20021003153501.PKGX1423.pop017.verizon.net@gte.net>
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 10:35:01 -0500
Message-ID: <3D9C6306.9D8BC646@gte.net>
Date: Thu, 03 Oct 2002 08:32:22 -0700
From: Don Whitmore <3RDM@gte.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
Subject: Re: Agenda for October 18 meeting
References: <001401c26aeb\$55d24e60\$5359f7a5@default>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; x-mac-type="54455854"; x-mac-creator="4D4F5353"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Authentication-Info: Submitted using SMTP AUTH PLAIN at pop017.verizon.net from [67.250.24.252] at Thu, 3
Oct 2002 10:35:01 -0500

Dear Howard: I will not be able to attend the board meeting. For one thing, I'm involved in the international events being sponsored by Global Network Against Weapons and Nuclear Power in Space. Have a good meeting!

For your information, a new chart and supporting text document, both titled "Missile Defense: Farce & Fraud" have now been added to abolishnukes.com. The chart is located at:

<http://www.abolishnukes.com/charts/october2002.html>

A strong case against strategic missile defense is made in the document and it is easily reached through the chart by clicking on one of the topics. The chart outlines all topics covered in the document and provides a convenient way to scroll through its contents. You are welcome to install these files on your web site or provide a link to abolishnukes.com.

The document can be easily printed or downloaded from the screen. However, the chart is not suitable for downloading because it contains fragmented images linked to the document. A downloadable version can be reached through a note at the bottom of the chart.

Please consider forwarding this information to others.

Peace, Don Whitmore

"Howard W. Hallman" wrote:

> To: Board of Directors

>

> As previously announced, the Board of Directors of Methodists United for
> Peace with Justice will meet on Friday, October 18, 2002 from 9:00 a.m. to
> 5:00 p.m. at Foundry United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW,
> Washington, DC.

>

> If you haven't previously told me whether you will attend, please let me
> know.

>

> The agenda for the meeting is presented below.

>

> The last three weeks I have been active in interfaith efforts to oppose
> going to war against Iraq. At the board meeting I'll present a report on
> this and interfaith activities for nuclear disarmament.

>

> Shalom,

> Howard

>

> ###

>

> MUPJ Board Meeting

> Friday, October 18, 2002

>

> AGENDA

>

> 1. Informal sharing

> 2. Worship

> 3. Minutes of last board meeting

> 4. Treasurer's report

> 5. Peace Leaf

> 6. Membership

> 7. Activities related to Methodism

> a. United Methodist Church

> b. Other Methodist denominations

> 8. Interfaith activities

> a. Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament

> b. Web site: www.zero-nukes.org

> 9. Election of officers

> 10. Other business

> 11. Closing prayer

>

> Howard W. Hallman is Chair of

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice

> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036

> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>

> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Anders, Camille" <dancam@bellsouth.net>,
"Georgieff, Joyce" <jgeorgieff@earthlink.net>,
"Whitmore, Donald C." <3rdM@gte.net>,
"Rhodes, Schuyler" <srhodes@igc.org>,
"Edwards, Bruce K." <b3ruce@socket.net>,
"Harris, Sherman W." <visionaires@hotmail.com>,
"Hipkins, James & Char" <debate44646@yahoo.com>,
"Miller, Phillip H." <millerph@att.net>,
"Hudson, James C" <Jch1928@aol.com>
Subject: Agenda for October 18 meeting
Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 10:43:58 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

To: Board of Directors

As previously announced, the Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice will meet on Friday, October 18, 2002 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Foundry United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC.

If you haven't previously told me whether you will attend, please let me know.

The agenda for the meeting is presented below.

The last three weeks I have been active in interfaith efforts to oppose going to war against Iraq. At the board meeting I'll present a report on this and interfaith activities for nuclear disarmament.

Shalom,
Howard

###

MUPJ Board Meeting
Friday, October 18, 2002

AGENDA

1. Informal sharing
2. Worship
3. Minutes of last board meeting
4. Treasurer's report
5. Peace Leaf

6. Membership
7. Activities related to Methodism
 - a. United Methodist Church
 - b. Other Methodist denominations
8. Interfaith activities
 - a. Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament
 - b. Web site: www.zero-nukes.org
9. Election of officers
10. Other business
11. Closing prayer

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Lynn Erskine" <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>
References: <F651ZLFjwscTEq9xwUJ000030c5@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Sign-on letter on Iraq (FCNL)
Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 12:35:56 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Lynn,

I'll sign the letter.

I know it's hard to change a letter once in circulation, but I'm wondering if the first sentence in the last paragraph might be modified to say: "Some of us may support military action against Iraq under certain circumstances, but a unilateral war at this time." "Military action" has more gradations than war, such as coercive inspection, so it may be more felicitous term. Personally I'm skeptical of even going that far, but I know you want to get non-pacifist as well as pacifist signers.

I'll still sign even if you don't change it.

Howard W. Hallman, Chair
Methodists United for Peace with Justice

----- Original Message -----

From: "Lynn Erskine" <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>
To: <mupj@igc.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2002 10:46 AM
Subject: Sign-on letter on Iraq (FCNL)

> Dear Colleague:

>

> Attached is a sign-on letter urging Members of Congress to reject any
> resolution that authorizes preemptive, unilateral military action against
> Iraq. The Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL) is seeking
> signatures from representatives of national organizations, but time is
> short.

>

> Please review and, if your organization can sign the letter, contact Lynn
> Erskine by 4 p.m, MONDAY, OCTOBER 7. Telephone 202-270-1557 or via e-mail
at

> lynnerskine@hotmail.com (We will continue to collect signatures after this
> date for a possible second mailing.) Please provide the full name of the

> organization and the name and title of the individual representing your
> organization.

>
> Thanks,

>
> Ned Stowe
> FCNL

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Chat with friends online, try MSN Messenger: <http://messenger.msn.com>

>

Status: U
Return-Path: <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>
Received: from hotmail.com ([64.4.15.18])
by osgood.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17X9g2VM3Nl3pt0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 12:55:26 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
Thu, 3 Oct 2002 09:55:24 -0700
Received: from 65.207.12.27 by lw10fd.law10.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;
Thu, 03 Oct 2002 16:55:24 GMT
X-Originating-IP: [65.207.12.27]
From: "Lynn Erskine" <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>
To: mupj@igc.org
Subject: Re: Sign-on letter on Iraq (FCNL)
Date: Thu, 03 Oct 2002 12:55:24 -0400
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed
Message-ID: <F18Gh05YFRcmVFOh1vd000001ac@hotmail.com>
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 03 Oct 2002 16:55:24.0684 (UTC) FILETIME=[AAEEC4C0:01C26AFD]

Howard,

Good suggestion. I'm not sure if I can change it at this point, but we'll consider it. Thanks for your quick reply!

Lynn

>From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
>Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
>To: "Lynn Erskine" <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>
>Subject: Re: Sign-on letter on Iraq (FCNL)
>Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 12:35:56 -0400
>
>Lynn,
>
>I'll sign the letter.
>
>I know it's hard to change a letter once in circulation, but I'm wondering
>if the first sentence in the last paragraph might be modified to say:
>"Some
>of us may support military action against Iraq under certain circumstances,
>but a unilateral war at this time." "Military action" has more gradations
>than war, such as coercive inspection, so it may be more felicitous term.
>Personally I'm skeptical of even going that far, but I know you want to get
>non-pacifist as well as pacifist signers.
>
>I'll still sign even if you don't change it.
>
>Howard W. Hallman, Chair
>Methodists United for Peace with Justice
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Lynn Erskine" <lynnerskine@hotmail.com>

>To: <mupj@igc.org>

>Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2002 10:46 AM

>Subject: Sign-on letter on Iraq (FCNL)

>

>

>> Dear Colleague:

>>

>> Attached is a sign-on letter urging Members of Congress to reject any
>> resolution that authorizes preemptive, unilateral military action

>against

>> Iraq. The Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL) is seeking

>> signatures from representatives of national organizations, but time is

>> short.

>>

>> Please review and, if your organization can sign the letter, contact

>Lynn

>> Erskine by 4 p.m, MONDAY, OCTOBER 7. Telephone 202-270-1557 or via

>e-mail

>at

>> lynnnerskine@hotmail.com (We will continue to collect signatures after

>this

>> date for a possible second mailing.) Please provide the full name of the

>> organization and the name and title of the individual representing your

>> organization.

>>

>> Thanks,

>>

>> Ned Stowe

>> FCNL

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> _____
>> Chat with friends online, try MSN Messenger: <http://messenger.msn.com>

>>

MSN Photos is the easiest way to share and print your photos:

<http://photos.msn.com/support/worldwide.aspx>

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>
Subject: MUPJ board meeting
Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 12:40:03 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Janet,

The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice is meeting on Friday, October 18 at Foundry United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. We invite you to join us for a segment of the board meeting so that board members may learn more about what you are doing and we can discuss mutual interests. We are flexible as to time. We go to lunch at a nearby restaurant, and you are welcome to join us. Or we can find another time during the day to talk with you.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Carlee L. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Carlee L. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <mcropsey@umpublishing.org>
Subject: possible correction
Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 16:25:19 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Marvin,

Perhaps this is not called for, but I wondered if you ever suggest corrections to those who write the Bible study. I was struck by the paragraph in lesson # 4, on the second page, second column beginning: "I will save my people from the east country and from the west country..." If she read Jeremiah 43-44, she might want to change the metaphor idea.

With concern,

Carlee Hallman

Status: U

Return-Path: <JHorman@UMC-GBCS.ORG>

Received: from Church1.UMC-GBCS.ORG ([66.95.90.3])

by walker.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17XaAR6V33N13s70
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:21:01 -0400 (EDT)

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.0.6249.0

content-class: urn:content-classes:message

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Subject: RE: MUPJ board meeting

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:20:52 -0400

Message-ID: <D58A7AD53C7E224EBA04A42D0333330916B74D@Church1.UMC-GBCS.ORG>

X-MS-Has-Attach:

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

Thread-Topic: MUPJ board meeting

Thread-Index: AcJq+3qu3TfwxGnHQFKdB5iYOxB5+gADd61g

From: "Janet Horman" <JHorman@UMC-GBCS.ORG>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

Howard:

I'd be delighted to meet with you. I leave for Salt Lake City early =
Sat...so perhaps late morning-or lunch is fine,too.
Let me know and I'll look forward to it.

Janet

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]

Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2002 12:40 PM

To: Janet Horman

Subject: MUPJ board meeting

Janet,

The Board of Directors of Methodists United for Peace with Justice is
meeting on Friday, October 18 at Foundry United Methodist Church, 1500 =
16th
Street, NW, Washington, D.C. We invite you to join us for a segment of =
the
board meeting so that board members may learn more about what you are =
doing
and we can discuss mutual interests. We are flexible as to time. We =
go
to lunch at a nearby restaurant, and you are welcome to join us. Or we =
can
find another time during the day to talk with you.

Shalom,
Howard

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist =
denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <david@fcnl.org>

Received: from local.fcnl.org ([65.207.12.2])

by payne.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17XaGK7I83N13p40
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:27:05 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by local.fcnl.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

id <T4LLFYRF>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:23:47 -0400

Message-ID: <E9BA445D76C0D21182F30090273DFAF6A85D96@local.fcnl.org>

From: David Culp <david@fcnl.org>

Reply-To: Suzy Kerr <suzykerr@msn.com>

To: 'Monday Lobby' <anon@fcnl.org>

Subject: Coolfont

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:23:45 -0400

Importance: high

X-Priority: 1

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----=_NextPart_001_01C26B0A.0268C1E0"

This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

-----=_NextPart_001_01C26B0A.0268C1E0

Content-Type: text/plain

To: Monday Lobby

The Ploughshares Fund has agreed to provide additional funds to Monday Lobby
to allow us to hire Suzy Kerr to organize the annual Coolfont retreat. She
has started by preparing the following questionnaire. We need to reserve
the dates with the Coolfont Resort. If you want to influence the dates and
the agenda, now is the time to speak up.

David Culp

Please return this form to Suzy Kerr, c/o Council for a Livable World, 110
Maryland Avenue, Suite 409, or fax to (202) 543-6297, or e-mail Suzy Kerr at
suzykerr@msn.com by Tuesday, October 8.

PLANNING FOR 2003 ANNUAL ARMS CONTROL/PEACE MEETING AT COOLFONT

I. If you plan to attend, print your name (s), and organization:

II. The following subjects (examples: Iran/Iraq, 2002 election or lobbying the Executive Branch) are a must for sessions at the conference:

1.

2.

3.

III. Yes No I will help plan and organize the conference (include your telephone number and e-mail address).

IV. The following dates would NOT BE GOOD for the conference in 2003. (Cross out dates on which you would not be able to attend.)

Tuesday Jan 7 thru Thursday Jan 9

Wednesday Jan 8 thru Friday Jan 10

Tuesday Jan 14 thru Thursday Jan 16

Wednesday Jan 15 through Friday Jan 17

Tuesday Jan 21 through Thursday Jan 23

Wednesday Jan 22 through Friday Jan 24

V. Costs: Double: \$144.38 per night including all meals

Single: \$164.98 per night including all meals

Status: U

Return-Path: david@fcnl.org

Received: from local.fcnl.org ([65.207.12.2]) by wanamaker.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTTP id 17XaHL6bt3NI3oJ0 for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:28:09 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by local.fcnl.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19) id <T4LLFYRL>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:24:56 -0400

Message-ID: E9BA445D76C0D21182F30090273DFAF6A85D97@local.fcnl.org

From: David Culp david@fcnl.org

To: 'Monday Lobby' anon@fcnl.org

Subject: Monday Lobby Phone Book

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:23:54 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19) Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----_=_NextPart_000_01C26B0A.07DB6920"

Attached is an updated Monday Lobby phone book.

David Culp, Legislative Representative
Friends Committee on National Legislation (Quakers)
245 Second Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002-5795
Tel: (202) 547-6000, ext. 146
Toll-free: (800) 630-1330, ext. 146
Fax: (202) 547-6019
E-mail: david@fcnl.org
Web: www.fcnl.org

Monday Lobby Phone Book

October 2002

Please e-mail changes to David Culp, Friends Committee on National Legislation, david@fcn.org.

Alliance for Nuclear Accountability

Jim Bridgman
1801 18th Street, N.W., Suite 9-2
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 833-4668
Fax: (202) 234-9536
E-mail: jcbridgman@earthlink.net
Website: www.ananuclear.org

American Friends Service Committee

Jim Matlack
1822 R Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 483-3341
Fax: (202) 232-3197
E-mail: jmatlack@erols.com
Website: www.afsc.org

Americans for Democratic Action

Darryl Fagin
1625 K Street, N.W., Suite 210
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 785-5980
Fax: (202) 785-5969
E-mail: dfagin@adaction.com
Website: www.adaction.org

Arms Control Association

Daryl Kimball x107 ckucia
Christine Kucia x103 dkimball
1726 M Street, N.W., Suite 201
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 463-8270
Fax: (202) 463-8273
E-mail: (name above)@armscontrol.org

Website: www.armscontrol.org

Back from the Brink

Ira Shorr
c/o Physicians for Social Responsibility
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1012
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 667-4260 x227
Fax: (202) 667-4201
E-mail: irashorr@hotmail.com
Website: www.backfromthebrink.org

British American Security Information Council

Ian Davis x101 idavis
Chris Lindborg x102 clindborg
1012 14th Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 347-8340
Fax: (202) 347-4688
E-mail: (name [above](#))@basicint.org
Website: www.basicint.org

Campaign for U.N. Reform

Don Kraus
420 7th Street, S.E., Suite C
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel: (202) 546-3956
Fax: (202) 546-8703
E-mail: dkraus@cunr.org
Website: www.cunr.org

Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation

Tom Cardamone x126 *cardamone*
Erik Floden x110 *efloden*
Matt Martin x107 *mmartin*
Molly Pickett x119 *mpickett*
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 201
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 546-0795
Fax: (202) 546-5142
E-mail: (name above)@armscontrolcenter.org
Website: www.armscontrolcenter.org

Center for Defense Information

Chris Hellman -5285 *chellman*
Victoria Samson -5286 *vsamson*
Rachel Stohl -5283 *rstohl*
1779 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-2109
Tel: (202) 332-0600 or (202) 797-(above)
Toll free: (800) CDI-3334
Fax: (202) 462-4559
E-mail: (name above)@cdi.org
Website: www.cdi.org

Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments

Alane Kochems
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W., Suite 912
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 331-7990
Fax: (202) 331-8019
E-mail: Kochems@csbaonline.org
Website: www.csbaonline.org

Council for a Livable World

John Isaacs x131 *jdi*
Dan Koslofsky x115 *dan*
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 409
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 543-4100
Fax: (202) 543-6297
E-mail: (name above)@clw.org

Website: www.clw.org

D.C. Statehood Green Party

Jenefer Ellingston
641 Maryland Avenue, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 546-0940
Fax: (202) 546-0431
E-mail: jellingston@erols.com
Website: www.dcstatehoodgreen.org

Federation of American Scientists

Josh Kellar -4687 *jkellar*
Michael Levi -4684 *mlevi*
Jaime Yassif -4688 *jyassif*
1717 K Street, N.W., Suite 209
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 454-(above)
Fax: (202) 675-1010
E-mail: (name above)@fas.org
Website: www.fas.org

Fourth Freedom Forum

Alistair Millar
11 Dupont Circle, N.W., Ninth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 393-5201
Fax: (202) 393-5202
E-mail: amillar@fourthfreedom.org
Website: www.fourthfreedom.org

Friends Committee on National Legislation

David Culp x146 *david*
Kathy Guthrie x144 *kathy*
Ned Stowe x117 *ned*
Catherine Stratton Treadway x112 *catherine*
Joe Volk x119 *joe*
245 Second Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002-5795
Tel: (202) 547-6000
Toll free: (800) 630-1330
Fax: (202) 547-6019
E-mail: (name above)@fcn.org

Website: www.fcnl.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Howard Hallman
1500 16th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel/Fax: (301) 896-0013
E-mail: mupj@igc.org
Website: www.zero-nukes.org

***National Council of Churches and
Church World Service***

Heather Nolen
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Room 108
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 544-2350 x23
Fax: (202) 546-6232
E-mail: hnolen@churchworldservice.org
Websites: www.nccusa.org and
www.churchworldservice.org

***NETWORK: A National Catholic Social
Justice Lobby***

Anne Curtis x25 *acurtis*
Alison Prevost x33 *aprevost*
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., Suite 460
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel: (202) 547-5556
Fax: (202) 547-5510
E-mail: (name above)@networklobby.org
Website: www.networklobby.org

***Nuclear Reduction/Disarmament Initiative
The Churches' Center for Theology and
Public Policy***

Rich Killmer
4500 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016-5690
Tel: (202) 885-8684
Fax: (202) 885-8605
E-mail: rkillmer@wesleysem.edu
Website: www.nrdi.org

Nuclear Threat Reduction Campaign

Brian Finlay -7553 *brian*
Marissa Vitagliano -7516 *marissa*
1725 I Street, N.W., Fourth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 557-(number above)
Fax: (202) 483-9312
E-mail: (name above)@vi.org
Website: www.NuclearThreatReduction.org

***Peace Action and
Peace Action Education Fund***

Kevin Martin x3007 *kmartin*
Tracy Moavero x3004 *tmoavero*
1819 H Street, N.W., Suite 420-425
Washington, D.C. 20006-3603
Tel: (202) 862-9740
Fax: (202) 862-9762
E-mail: (name above)@peace-action.org
Website: www.peace-action.org

Physicians for Social Responsibility

Martin Butcher x220 *mbutcher*
Anne Gallivan x222 *agallivan*
Adam Hughes X252 *ahughes*
Kimberly Roberts x212 *kroberts*
Jaya Tiwari x232 *jtiwari*
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1012
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 667-4260
Fax: (202) 667-4201
E-mail: (name above)@psr.org
Website: www.psr.org

20/20 Vision

James Wyerman x19 *jwyerman*
Rebecca Zimmerman x22 *rebecca*
1828 Jefferson Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 833-2020
Toll free: (800) 669-1782
Fax: (202) 833-5307
E-mail: (name above)@2020vision.org

Website: www.2020vision.org

Union of Concerned Scientists

Chris Turner x111 *cturner*

Stephen Young x112 *syoung*

1707 H Street, N.W. Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20006

Tel: (202) 223-6133

Fax: (202) 223-6162

E-mail: (name above)@ucsusa.org

Website: www.ucsusa.org

United Methodist General Board of Church and Society

Janet Horman

100 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 212

Washington, D.C. 20002

Tel: (202) 488-5647

Fax: (202) 488-5639

E-mail: jhorman@umc-gbcs.org

Website: www.umc-gbcs.org

Women Strike for Peace

Adele Lehr

10815 Lombard Road, Apt. 115

Silver Spring, Maryland 20901-1634

Tel: (301) 593-3515

E-mail: aflehr@mailstation.com

Women's Action for New Directions

Darcy Scott Martin x1 *wand*

Nuclear Associate (vacant) x3 *nuclear*

110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 205

Washington, D.C. 20002

Tel: (202) 543-8505

Fax: (202) 675-6469

E-mail: (name above)@wand.org

Website: www.wand.org

Women's International League for Peace & Freedom

Legislative Director (vacant)

110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 100

Washington, D.C. 20002

Tel: (202) 546-6727

Fax: (202) 544-9613

E-mail: wilpfdc@wilpf.org

Website: www.wilpf.org

World Federalist Association

Heather Hamilton x107 *hbhamilton*

Peter Gantz x106 *pgantz*

420 7th Street, S.E.

Washington, D.C. 20003

Tel: (202) 546-3950

Toll free: (800) WFA-0123

Fax: (202) 546-3749

E-mail: (name above)@wfa.org

Website: www.wfa.org

Out-of Town Organizations

National Priorities Project

Greg Speeter
17 New South Street, Suite 301
Northampton, Massachusetts 01060
Tel: (413) 584-9556
Fax: (413) 586-9647
E-mail: greg@natprior.org
Website: www.natprior.org

Saferworld

Peter J. Davies
711 Ladd Road
Bronx, New York 10471
Tel/Fax: (718) 549-1726
E-mail: pjdavies@aol.com
Website: www.saferworld.co.uk

US Campaign to Ban Landmines

Gina Coplon-Newfield
c/o Physicians for Human Rights
100 Boylston Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02116
Tel: (617) 695-0041 x 228
Fax: (617) 695-0307
E-mail: GinaC-N@phrusa.org
Website: www.banminesusa.org

World Policy Institute

Frida Berrigan x112 *BerrigaF*
Michelle Ciarrocca x107 *CiarrM01*
Bill Hartung x106 *Hartung*
66 Fifth Avenue, 9th Floor
New York, New York 10011
Tel: (212) 229-5808
Fax: (212) 229-5579
E-mail: (name above)@newschool.edu
Website: www.worldpolicy.org

Status: U

Return-Path: <srhodes@igc.org>

Received: from c003.snv.cp.net ([209.228.32.229])

by osgood.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17Xb2Z5U63Nl3pt0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 14:50:05 -0400 (EDT)

Received: (cpmta 8898 invoked from network); 3 Oct 2002 11:50:04 -0700

Received: from 64.130.165.149 (HELO default)

by smtp.telocity.com (209.228.32.229) with SMTP; 3 Oct 2002 11:50:04 -0700

X-Sent: 3 Oct 2002 18:50:04 GMT

Message-ID: <02e401c26b0e\$ddfc2a40\$6401a8c0@default>

From: "Schuyler Rhodes" <srhodes@igc.org>

To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

References: <001401c26aeb\$55d24e60\$5359f7a5@default>

Subject: Re: Agenda for October 18 meeting

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 11:58:31 -0700

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

Dear Howard,

My interest and concern for the issues we share have, if anything, deepened.

I am thrilled to continue my association with you, but cannot make this meeting.

I do, however, make two trips to New York a year, and might be able to coordinate

them with upcoming meetings. Can you give dates?

Schuyler Rhodes

Temple United Methodist Church

San Francisco, CA 94132

415-586-1444

pastor@templeumc.org

----- Original Message -----

From: Howard W. Hallman <mupj@igc.org>

To: Anders, Camille <dancam@bellsouth.net>; Georgieff, Joyce
<jgeorgieff@earthlink.net>; Whitmore, Donald C. <3rdM@gte.net>; Rhodes,
Schuyler <srhodes@igc.org>; Edwards, Bruce K. <b3ruce@socket.net>; Harris,
Sherman W. <visionaires@hotmail.com>; Hipkins, James & Char
<debate44646@yahoo.com>; Miller, Phillip H. <millerph@att.net>; Hudson,
James C <Jch1928@aol.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2002 7:43 AM

Subject: Agenda for October 18 meeting

> To: Board of Directors

>

> As previously announced, the Board of Directors of Methodists United for

> Peace with Justice will meet on Friday, October 18, 2002 from 9:00 a.m. to
> 5:00 p.m. at Foundry United Methodist Church, 1500 16th Street, NW,
> Washington, DC.

>
> If you haven't previously told me whether you will attend, please let me
> know.

>
> The agenda for the meeting is presented below.

>
> The last three weeks I have been active in interfaith efforts to oppose
> going to war against Iraq. At the board meeting I'll present a report on
> this and interfaith activities for nuclear disarmament.

>
> Shalom,
> Howard

>
> ###

>
> MUPJ Board Meeting
> Friday, October 18, 2002

>
> AGENDA

- >
- > 1. Informal sharing
 - > 2. Worship
 - > 3. Minutes of last board meeting
 - > 4. Treasurer's report
 - > 5. Peace Leaf
 - > 6. Membership
 - > 7. Activities related to Methodism
 - > a. United Methodist Church
 - > b. Other Methodist denominations
 - > 8. Interfaith activities
 - > a. Interfaith Committee for Nuclear Disarmament
 - > b. Web site: www.zero-nukes.org
 - > 9. Election of officers
 - > 10. Other business
 - > 11. Closing prayer

>
>
> Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice
> 1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
> Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

>
> Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
> laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

>
>

Status: U

Return-Path: <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>

Received: from web13005.mail.yahoo.com ([216.136.174.15])

by farley.mail.mindspring.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17XcLsNI3NI3pa0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 16:40:06 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <20021003204002.61248.qmail@web13005.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [66.149.148.194] by web13005.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Thu, 03 Oct 2002 13:40:02 PDT

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 13:40:02 -0700 (PDT)

From: Christel Poelman <cjpoelman@yahoo.com>

Subject: action needed

To: Bp Vicken Aykozion <avicken@aol.com>, John Brisco <jbriscoe@nccusa.org>,

Mark Brown <marsusab@aol.com>, Daryl Byler <jdb@mcc.org>,

Rob Cavanaugh <rcavanaugh@uaa.org>,

Clayton Childers <cchilders@umc-gbcs.org>,

Mary Elizabeth Clark <meclark@networklobby.org>,

Mary Cooper <mcooper@nccusa.org>, Robert Edgar <redgar@nccusa.org>,

"Bp. Christopher Epting" <cepting@episcopalchurch.org>,

Carol Fouke <cfouke@nccusa.org>,

Brenda Girton-Mitchell <bgirtonm@nccusa.org>,

Catherine Gordon <cgordon@ctr.pcusa.org>, Howard Hallman <mupj@igc.org>,

Bryan Hissony <washofc@aol.com>, Chris Hobgood <chobccca@aol.com>,

Robin Hoecker <rhoecker@uaa.org>, Janet Horman <jhorman@umc-gbcs.org>,

Ken Brooker Langstor <auathome@aol.com>, James Matlack <jmatlack@erols.com>,

"J.E. Mc Neil" <jmcnrick@erols.com>,

Doug Nurell <dnorell@catholicrelief.org>,

Pat Pattillo <wpattillo@nccusa.org>, Meg Riley <MRiley@uaa.org>,

Martin Rock <martinr@nccusa.org>,

Rabbi David Saperstein <dsaperstein@rac.org>, Duane Shank <dshank@sojo.net>,

Ron Stief <stiefr@ucc.org>, Jean Stokan <jeanstokan@hotmail.com>,

Joe Volk <joe@fcl.org>, Corinne Whitlatch <cmep@cmep.org>,

Jim Winkler <jwinkler@umc-gbcs.org>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-826991340-1033677602=:59821"

--0-826991340-1033677602=:59821

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Greetings,

It is urgent that we raise our voices in opposition to the suggested action against Iraq. It is needed now, at this moment, as this issue is being debated in the House and Senate.

It has been requested by a Representative's office that you call 202-225-3121 and let your Senators and Representatives know of your opposition to unilateral military action by the United States against Iraq. Express your support for efforts to implement United Nations resolutions on weapons inspections and military sanctions. Insist that the United States continue to work to avoid a war against Iraq and the inevitable deaths of U.S. servicemen and women and Iraqi civilians. Remind our leadership that there is strong international support for weapons inspections and that most nations oppose military action.

Please pass this message on to your member congregations and supporters.

Sincerely,

Christel Poelman

Christel Poelman
Intern for National Council of Churches
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, Suite 108
Washington, D.C. 20002
www.nccusa.org

Status: U

Return-Path: owner-gbcs-pwj@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

Received: from umcgroupemail.org ([64.221.242.81]) by walker.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTMP id 17Xetd33u3NI3s70 for <mupj@igc.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 18:29:23 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from UMCom2C02 (10.1.2.12) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTMP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id <0.000328EA@umcgroupemail.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 17:29:21 -0500

Received: from UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG by UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release 1.8d) with spool id 15520 for GBCS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 17:27:21 -0500

Received: from hawk.mail.pas.earthlink.net (207.217.120.22) by umcgroupemail.org (LSMTMP for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id <0.000328E9@umcgroupemail.org>; Thu, 3 Oct 2002 17:27:20 -0500

Received: from sdn-ap-001watacop0438.dialsprint.net ([63.187.193.184]) by hawk.mail.pas.earthlink.net with esmtmp (Exim 3.33 #1) id 17xER3-00058U-00 for GBCS-PWJ@umcgroupemail.org; Thu, 03 Oct 2002 15:27:10 -0700

User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022

Mime-version: 1.0

Content-type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="MS_Mac_OE_3116503870_6962292_MIME_Part"

Message-ID: B9C2133E.3AA4%kentkathyb@earthlink.net

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 15:31:10 -0700

Reply-To: GBCS PWJ GBCS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

Sender: GBCS PWJ GBCS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

From: Kent/Kathy Barton kentkathyb@EARTHLINK.NET

Subject: [GBCS-PWJ] FW: Analysis of HJ 114

To: GBCS-PWJ@UMCGROUPEMAIL.ORG

In-Reply-To: <003a01c26b1e\$98b6c160\$7a7ba8c0@p122>

I am forwarding this FYI

Kathy Campbell-Barton

From: "Tracy Moavero" <tmoavero@peace-action.org>

Date: Thu, 3 Oct 2002 16:51:05 -0400

To: <affiliates@peace-action.org>

Subject: Analysis of HJ 114

[From our friends at the Institute for Policy Studies](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Erik Leaver [mailto:erik@fpif.org]

Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2002 3:58 PM

To: Recipient list suppressed
Subject: Analysis of HJ 114

Please find a short analysis of the language in HJ 114 below. I also have a longer version providing in depth analysis of HJ 114. Please feel free to send me an email if you have any questions or want the longer version.

Best,
Erik Leaver
Institute for Policy Studies, Foreign Policy In Focus Project
202-234-9382 x240

ANALYSIS OF HJ Res 114

Authorization of Use of Force Against Iraq

(Short Version)

by Phyllis Bennis with Erik Leaver
Institute for Policy Studies
3 October 2002

On October 2, President Bush and the House Democratic leader Richard Gephardt (D-MO) agreed on a resolution that would give the President authority to wage war on Iraq. The language in this resolution appears designed to deflect opposition by supplying liberal references to the United Nations and enforcing UN resolutions. However, it is a rhetorical, rather than a substantive shift in emphasis and looks to the Security Council only to endorse U.S. positions.

While there are many problematic areas within the Resolution, we highlight three major concerns:

The Resolution Authorizes the Use of a Pre-emptive Strike

The claim, implied or otherwise, of legitimate self-defense rooted in international law is based on a deliberate misreading of Article 51 of the UN Charter. The Charter is clear that a country has the inherent right of self-defense only "if an armed attack occurs." The "if" is critical; Article 51 does not provide any authority for any country to preemptively attack a country that has not attacked it. Even "if" an armed attack occurs, the right of self-defense is still limited. It prevails only "until the Security Council has taken measures necessary to maintain international peace and security."

The Resolution Authorizes the U.S. to Enforce all Relevant United Nations Security Council Resolutions Regarding Iraq

The United States does not have the right to "make clear that the Security Council resolutions will be enforced;" only the Security Council itself has the right to decide on whether enforcement measures are needed and what they might entail. Unilateral U.S. military action taken preemptively outside of Council decision-making does not become legitimate simply by claiming

that it is being launched in the name of enforcing Security Council resolutions.

Furthermore, with almost 100 current Security Council Resolutions being currently ignored (in addition to the 12 or so resolutions that Iraq is ignoring) the U.S. is saying that it has the right to determine which Security Council resolutions are relevant, and which are not. What precedent will be set if every country in the world had the right to determine when to use military force to enforce a UN resolution it believed was being ignored? .

The Resolution Undermines Existing Efforts to Resume Inspections

The Joint Resolution sets the stage for a further undermining of the UN role in the Iraq crisis, by sidelining the existing efforts to resume inspections as an irrelevant distraction at best, a potentially dangerous blurring of Iraq's "real" and "hidden" dangers at worst. It renders existing United Nations resolutions obsolete, destroys the existing agreements in place between Iraq and the UN to expedite the inspection process, and functionally replaces the UN goal of disarmament with the U.S. goal of overthrowing the Iraqi regime.

Erik Leaver
Foreign Policy In Focus
Institute for Policy Studies
733 15th St. NW, Suite 1020
Washington, DC 20005
Voice: 202-234-9382, ext. 240
Fax: 202-387-7915
Email: erik@fpif.org
Web: <http://www.fpif.org> <<http://www.fpif.org/>>
<http://www.ips-dc.org> <<http://www.ips-dc.org/>>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).
Version: 6.0.393 / Virus Database: 223 - Release Date: 9/30/02

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: <mupj@igc.org>
Bcc: "Bishop Sprague" <jsprague@umcnic.org>,
"Bishop Coyner" <BISHOPCOYNER@juno.com>,
"Bishop Christopher" <ILAreaumc@aol.com>,
"Bishop White" <ecoleman@inareaumc.org>,
"Bishop Palmer" <bishop.palmer@iaumc.org>,
"Bishop Lee" <MAREAUMC@tir.com>,
"Bishop Hopkins" <jhopkins@msn.com>,
"Bishop Keaton" <jonathan@eocumc.com>,
"Bishop Ough" <Bishop@wocumc.org>,
"Bishop Rader" <EpiscopalOffice@WisconsinUMC.org>,
"Bishop Morrison" <AlbEpisArea@Worldnet.att.net>,
"Bishop Hassinger" <bishopsoffice@neumc.org>,
"Bishop Irons" <bishop@cpcumc.org>,
"Bishop Johnson" <Bishop@gnjumc.org>,
"Bishop Lyght" <Bishop@nyac.com>,
"Bishop Fisher" <nywaumc@frontiernet.net>,
"Bishop Weaver" <bishop@epaumc.org>,
"Bishop Kim" <HAEJONGKIM@aol.com>,
"Bishop May" <bishopmay@bwcumc.org>,
"Bishop Ives" <wvareaumc@aol.com>,
"Bishop Huie" <bishophuie@arumc.org>,
"Bishop Oden" <DallasBishop@hpumc.org >,
"Bishop Chamness" <bishopsoffice@prodigy.net>,
"Bishop Norris" <ijarratt@methodists.net>,
"Bishop Mutti" <ksbishumc@mindspring.com>,
"Bishop Hutchinson" <lcumc@bellsouth.net>,
"Bishop Sherer" <sherer@ecunet.org>,
"Bishop Moncure" <bishop@umcneb.org>,
"Bishop Whitfield" <mbelu@nmconfum.com>,
"Bishop Blake" <BUptegraft@okumc.org>,
"Bishop Martinez" <bishop@umcswtx.org>,
"Bishop Goodpaster" <bishop.awf@knology.net>,
"Bishop Davis" <bishop@ngumc.org>,
"Bishop Fannin" <Rfannin@umcna.bsc.edu>,
"Bishop McCleskey" <bishop@umcsc.org>,
"Bishop Whitaker" <bishop@flumc.org>,
"Bishop Kammerer" <jclark@wnccumc.org>,
"Bishop Chamberlain" <bishop@holston.org>,
"Bishop King" <JKing@kyumc.org>,
"Bishop Carder" <bishop@mississippi-umc.org>,
"Bishop Morris" <umcoffice@aol.com>,
"Bishop Edwards" <bishopmme@nccumc.org>,
"Bishop Pannel" <EstellePruden@vaumc.org>,
"Bishop Watson" <bishop@sgaumc.com>,
"Bishop Brown" <bishop@bishopbrown.org>,
"Bishop Swenson" <calpacbishop@earthlink.net>,
"Bishop Dew" <Bishop@desertsw.org>,
"Bishop Shamana" <bishop@calnevumc.org>,
"Bishop Paup" <bishop@umoi.org>,
"Bishop Galvan" <bishop@pnwumc.org>,

<ned@fcnl.org>

Subject: Further action needed regarding Iraq

Date: Fri, 4 Oct 2002 09:21:01 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear United Methodist Bishop:

I would like to share with you an action alert on Iraq posted by the Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL). Please do what you can to halt the rush to unilateral, preemptive war. FCNL has authorized further use of this action alert if you want to send it to others.

Shalom,
Howard W. Hallman

###

OPPOSE WAR AGAINST IRAQ

Urge your senators and representatives to vote "no" on ANY resolution that would authorize the President to wage war against Iraq.

On October 2, White House and congressional negotiators agreed on compromise text for a joint resolution that would give the President broad authority to wage war against Iraq. The compromise joint resolution was introduced in the Senate by Sens. McCain (AZ), Warner (VA), Bayh (IN), and Lieberman (CT) as S.J.Res. 46. The same day, the House International Relations Committee approved the measure, introduced as H.J.Res. 114, by a vote of 33 to 11. Both the House and Senate are expected to vote on a final resolution within the next week. Amendments, substitute resolutions, or further changes to the text may still be possible when the resolution comes to the full House and Senate for a floor vote.

The Bush Administration has demonstrated that it is committed to going to war, despite numerous unanswered questions regarding the short- and long-term consequences for national and international security. Congressional leadership is urgently needed to slow the rush to war and prevent unilateral, preemptive U.S. military action against Iraq.

BACKGROUND: Despite a number of changes from the original White House draft resolution, the compromise joint congressional resolution would still provide the President with a blank check to take preemptive, unilateral military action against Iraq, when and how he deemed necessary. The compromise text does express support for diplomatic efforts through the UN Security Council, but it is not binding in this regard. Unlike the original White House resolution which extended authorization for military action to the region, the compromise text authorizes U.S. military action only against Iraq. The compromise text requires the President to certify to Congress that

diplomatic efforts had failed prior to or within 48 hours of launching war against Iraq and to report on progress every 60 days. However, the President would have full discretion to declare the failure of diplomacy at any time he chose and to wage war without further congressional approval. The compromise resolution does not condition a U.S. war against Iraq on approval from the UN Security Council or other multilateral support. For the full text of the compromise joint resolution go to http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_war_joint-resltn10202.htm.

FCNL recognizes that a majority in Congress are likely to support the resolution. However, the more members who vote "no," the weaker the congressional mandate for war and the greater the opportunity to deter the U.S. military offensive and give time for UN weapons inspections to succeed.

ACTION: Please contact your senators and representative this week. Urge them to vote "no" on ANY resolution that would authorize the President to wage war against Iraq. Congress need not and should not support the Bush Administration's rush to war. The UN Security Council must be given time to pursue renewed weapons inspections and other diplomatic alternatives. The United States has no compelling reason to authorize war now. The costs of unilateral, preemptive U.S. military action are too high. If your representative or senators are in town over the weekend, set up a visit with their local offices and personally express your opposition to a preemptive war against Iraq.

###

This communication is sent to you because of your leadership role in the United Methodist Church. If you do not wish to receive our occasional action alerts, please reply and put "remove" in the subject line.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "pwj list serve" <gbcs-pwj@umcgroupemail.org>
Subject: Further action needed on Iraq
Date: Fri, 4 Oct 2002 09:23:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Dear Peace with Justice Coordinator:

I would like to share with you an action alert on Iraq posted by the Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL). Please do what you can to halt the rush to unilateral, preemptive war. FCNL has authorized further use of this action alert if you want to send it to others.

Shalom,
Howard W. Hallman

OPPOSE WAR AGAINST IRAQ

Urge your senators and representatives to vote "no" on ANY resolution that would authorize the President to wage war against Iraq.

On October 2, White House and congressional negotiators agreed on compromise text for a joint resolution that would give the President broad authority to wage war against Iraq. The compromise joint resolution was introduced in the Senate by Sens. McCain (AZ), Warner (VA), Bayh (IN), and Lieberman (CT) as S.J.Res. 46. The same day, the House International Relations Committee approved the measure, introduced as H.J.Res. 114, by a vote of 33 to 11. Both the House and Senate are expected to vote on a final resolution within the next week. Amendments, substitute resolutions, or further changes to the text may still be possible when the resolution comes to the full House and Senate for a floor vote.

The Bush Administration has demonstrated that it is committed to going to war, despite numerous unanswered questions regarding the short- and long-term consequences for national and international security. Congressional leadership is urgently needed to slow the rush to war and prevent unilateral, preemptive U.S. military action against Iraq.

BACKGROUND: Despite a number of changes from the original White House draft resolution, the compromise joint congressional resolution would still provide the President with a blank check to take preemptive, unilateral military action against Iraq, when and how he deemed necessary. The compromise text does express support for diplomatic efforts through the UN Security Council, but it is not binding in this regard. Unlike the original White House resolution which extended authorization for military action to the region, the compromise text authorizes U.S. military action only against

Iraq. The compromise text requires the President to certify to Congress that diplomatic efforts had failed prior to or within 48 hours of launching war against Iraq and to report on progress every 60 days. However, the President would have full discretion to declare the failure of diplomacy at any time he chose and to wage war without further congressional approval. The compromise resolution does not condition a U.S. war against Iraq on approval from the UN Security Council or other multilateral support. For the full text of the compromise joint resolution go to http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_war_joint-resltn10202.htm.

FCNL recognizes that a majority in Congress are likely to support the resolution. However, the more members who vote "no," the weaker the congressional mandate for war and the greater the opportunity to deter the U.S. military offensive and give time for UN weapons inspections to succeed.

ACTION: Please contact your senators and representative this week. Urge them to vote "no" on ANY resolution that would authorize the President to wage war against Iraq. Congress need not and should not support the Bush Administration's rush to war. The UN Security Council must be given time to pursue renewed weapons inspections and other diplomatic alternatives. The United States has no compelling reason to authorize war now. The costs of unilateral, preemptive U.S. military action are too high. If your representative or senators are in town over the weekend, set up a visit with their local offices and personally express your opposition to a preemptive war against Iraq.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist denomination.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "Kayser, Marie" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Correction needed
Date: Fri, 4 Oct 2002 09:34:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

In the Disarming Iraq without War section of How to Get to Zero, you have the wrong linkage for "Articles and Reports". It goes to articles under de-alerting rather than the listing under Iraq. Maybe you should show it as ...iraqarticles and go the correct place.

Howard

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
From: "Howard W. Hallman" mupj@igc.org
To: "Kayser, Marie" marie_kayser@yahoo.com
Subject: Additions to military leaders
Date: Fri, 4 Oct 2002 16:46:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----
=_NextPart_000_0056_01C26BC5.9E28D280"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

Marie,

I'm working on the Civil Sector Statements page, but I don't have enough ready to send you. Meanwhile, I have some additions and corrections for Military Leaders Speak Out. They are attached.

Howard

Additions and corrections to Military Leaders Speak Out

1. At index at top: Individual Views
 - a. Add: General Andrew J. Goodpaster [link to below]
 - b. For alphabetical order, put Admiral Shanahan ahead of Admiral Turner; same for text section below

2. At sub-index for Individual Views:
 - a. Correct spelling for General Horner (an "r" is missing)
 - b. Add in alphabetical order:
 - Admiral Eugene J. Carroll, Jr.
 - General Andrew J. Goodpaster
 - Admiral John J. Shanahan
 - Admiral Stansfield Turner
 - c. Provide linkage for all nine with their statements below

3. Add photos:
 - a. Admiral Carroll from <http://www.cnduk.org/campaigns/eugene.htm>
 - b. Admiral Turner from <http://www.washspkrs.com/speaker.cfm?speakerID=520>

4. For Admiral Gayler, add the following text after the first paragraph. It should be indented in block style in non-italic.

Let me begin by stating my main proposition plainly, so that there may be no misunderstanding. It is my view that there is no sensible military use for nuclear weapons, whether "strategic" weapons, "tactical" weapons, "theater" weapons, weapons at sea or weapons in space. . . .

Taking the Pacific first, when I was Commander-in-Chief (Pacific) I could not find, in scrutinizing the whole of the Pacific command, any area where it would conceivably have made sense to explode nuclear weapons in order to carry our military objectives. Clearly our experience in the Vietnam War suggests that we would not do such a thing. We did not do even "conventional" things which were well within our capability because of understandable political and humane considerations.

Nor could I see a case for nuclear weapons anywhere else on the Asian continent. For example, the Korean Demilitarized Zone is one flashpoint that comes immediately to mind. My evaluation, together with that of senior generals, both Korean and American, responsible for the defense of the Demilitarized Zone and of the city of Seoul and its approach and environs, was that it simply was not necessary to contemplate a nuclear strategy. The potential channels of attack on Seoul are highly concentrated, the defenses are well in place, and Seoul itself is protected by a river in front of it. . . .

Furthermore, with respect to the Asian continent as a whole, we have to face the fact that there is a political consideration of overwhelming importance. The only use of nuclear weapons has been against an Asiatic people. . . . [It] is my belief that the use of a nuclear

weapon against any Asian people, for any purpose whatsoever, would polarize Asia against us. It would clearly not be worth the candle. For all these reasons I saw no need for nuclear weapons in the Pacific theater, and I so stated.

Another potential theater, of course, is maritime Russia: the Soviet naval forces dispersed through the Pacific area, their bases, lines of transit, choke points. All I would say about that is that, while it is an important place, it is less important than the entire problem that would be involved if you were actually to fight Russia. . . .

In the Middle East, there have been various scenarios proposed, including the initiative use of nuclear weapons to block certain passes down into Iran and so forth. Pacific Command did a considerable study of that potentiality and came to the conclusion that we were so outgunned by the Soviets in nuclear delivery capabilities and in respect to the small number of highly critical targets we owned, compared with the very large number of less critical targets that they had, that it was not something that we should open up, on strictly military grounds.

I am now going to turn. . . to NATO. I have seen some pretty persuasive studies which support my own conclusions that we could not possibly gain an advantage by the initiative use (first use) of nuclear weapons to defend Europe against a conventional attack.

The first consideration is that, were we to use them except as a demonstration, we would have to use them in the number of tens and low hundreds. Attack on this scale would be required to stop, say, four nominal tank breakthroughs (a common assumption). The number of noncombatants killed would be very high. I have seen competent estimates which suggest that a median number killed might be a million people. . . .

The danger of escalation after the first use of nuclear weapons I regard as being extremely high . . .

Finally it does not appear that relative advantage would accrue to NATO from a nuclear first use, because of the fact that we have a far more vulnerable target system, smaller numbers of highly critical targets like harbors, depots and airfields, and that the Soviets have a capability to attack these sorts of targets with nuclear weapons at least comparable to ours. . . .

The problem of authorizing use is very severe. I personally do not believe that a President of the United States would be likely to release tactical nuclear weapons to stop a conventional attack. It think he would see, and his advisers would tell him, that the risk of total destruction of Europe and the total destruction of the United States would be too high. So no commander would count on these weapons when push came to shove. . . .

5. Add a new section in alphabetical order for General Goodpaster.

[begin box]

General Andrew J. Goodpaster

[photo from <http://www.eisenhowerinstitute.org/about/biogoodpaster.htm>]

[You may want to substitute this photo for the one you have with General Butler near the top of the page.]

A graduate from the U.S. Military Academy, General Andrew J. Goodpaster commanded a combat battalion in North Africa and Italy during World War II. He was staff secretary to President Eisenhower from 1954 to 1961. He served as Supreme Allied Commander in Europe (1969-1974). After retirement he was recalled to active duty as superintendent of the U.S. Military Academy. General Goodpaster served as chairman of the Atlantic Council of the United States from 1985 to 1997 and now chairs its project on nuclear arms control.

At the release of the Statement by International Generals and Admirals

[<http://www.gsinsitute.org/archives/000014.shtml>] in December 1996, General Goodpaster offered opening remarks. [<http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/goodpasterremark.html>] Among other things he said:

I welcome the opportunity to talk with you about the reduction of the world's nuclear weapons arsenals. It is an issue that ranks in the highest order of importance for American security (and that of others) in the coming century. . . .

Two considerations fundamental to security interests and possibilities should now shape the nuclear future.

First, as so often emphasized by President Eisenhower (who had a talent for getting to the heart of such questions) nuclear weapons are the only thing that can destroy the United States of America.

Second, the Cold War is over and unlikely to return, hard as it may be to comprehend this historic fact in all its dimensions, and to seize the opportunities that are now available to reorient our policies accordingly.

Nowhere is this more salient than in reducing the world's arsenals of nuclear weapons.

To put his concerns into action General Goodpaster since 1991 has chaired the Nuclear Arms Control Project of the Atlantic Council of the United States. In this capacity he wrote three policy papers, which are reviewed in the Deep Cuts section [[linkage to be added](#)] in the How to Get to Zero page. He was also chair of a study group of the Stimson Center that produced a report on Evolving U.S. Nuclear Policy. [[linkage to be added](#)] In these efforts he developed ideas on stages of nuclear arms reduction.

[end of box]

[back to top](#)

Status: U
Return-Path: <Bishop@moareaumc.org>
Received: from umc1.umc.local ([208.141.107.215])
by wanamaker.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with ESMTP id 17XyUdiP3NI3oJ0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 4 Oct 2002 16:18:37 -0400 (EDT)
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
Subject: RE: Further action needed regarding Iraq
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Date: Fri, 4 Oct 2002 15:17:38 -0500
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.0.6249.0
Message-ID: <9C4CC0C4A0389B46A8423F77AC6180728394@umc1.umc.local>
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
Thread-Topic: Further action needed regarding Iraq
Thread-Index: AcJrqUVKaRVPq15fRvKt/JXskc+8agAOeFGg
From: "Ann Sherer" <Bishop@moareaumc.org>
To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>

I am so working.
Ann Sherer

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard W. Hallman [mailto:mupj@igc.org]
Sent: Friday, October 04, 2002 8:21 AM
To: mupj@igc.org
Subject: Further action needed regarding Iraq

Dear United Methodist Bishop:

I would like to share with you an action alert on Iraq posted by the =
Friends
Committee on National Legislation (FCNL). Please do what you can to =
halt
the rush to unilateral, preemptive war. FCNL has authorized further use =
of
this action alert if you want to send it to others.

Shalom,
Howard W. Hallman

###

OPPOSE WAR AGAINST IRAQ

Urge your senators and representatives to vote "no" on ANY resolution =
that
would authorize the President to wage war against Iraq.

On October 2, White House and congressional negotiators agreed on =
compromise

text for a joint resolution that would give the President broad =
authority to
wage war against Iraq. The compromise joint resolution was introduced in =
the
Senate by Sens. McCain (AZ), Warner (VA), Bayh (IN), and Lieberman (CT) =
as
S.J.Res. 46. The same day, the House International Relations Committee
approved the measure, introduced as H.J.Res. 114, by a vote of 33 to 11.
Both the House and Senate are expected to vote on a final resolution =
within
the next week. Amendments, substitute resolutions, or further changes to =
the
text may still be possible when the resolution comes to the full House =
and
Senate for a floor vote.

The Bush Administration has demonstrated that it is committed to going =
to
war, despite numerous unanswered questions regarding the short- and
long-term consequences for national and international security.
Congressional leadership is urgently needed to slow the rush to war and
prevent unilateral, preemptive U.S. military action against Iraq.

BACKGROUND: Despite a number of changes from the original White House =
draft
resolution, the compromise joint congressional resolution would still
provide the President with a blank check to take preemptive, unilateral
military action against Iraq, when and how he deemed necessary. The
compromise text does express support for diplomatic efforts through the =
UN
Security Council, but it is not binding in this regard. Unlike the =
original
White House resolution which extended authorization for military action =
to
the region, the compromise text authorizes U.S. military action only =
against
Iraq. The compromise text requires the President to certify to Congress =
that
diplomatic efforts had failed prior to or within 48 hours of launching =
war
against Iraq and to report on progress every 60 days. However, the =
President
would have full discretion to declare the failure of diplomacy at any =
time
he chose and to wage war without further congressional approval. The
compromise resolution does not condition a U.S. war against Iraq on =
approval
from the UN Security Council or other multilateral support. For the full
text of the compromise joint resolution go to
http://www.fcnl.org/issues/int/sup/iraq_war_joint-resltn10202.htm.

FCNL recognizes that a majority in Congress are likely to support the
resolution. However, the more members who vote "no," the weaker the
congressional mandate for war and the greater the opportunity to deter =

the
U.S. military offensive and give time for UN weapons inspections to =
succeed.

ACTION: Please contact your senators and representative this week. Urge =
them
to vote "no" on ANY resolution that would authorize the President to =
wage
war against Iraq. Congress need not and should not support the Bush
Administration's rush to war. The UN Security Council must be given time =
to
pursue renewed weapons inspections and other diplomatic alternatives. =
The
United States has no compelling reason to authorize war now. The costs =
of
unilateral, preemptive U.S. military action are too high. If your
representative or senators are in town over the weekend, set up a visit =
with
their local offices and personally express your opposition to a =
preemptive
war against Iraq.

###

This communication is sent to you because of your leadership role in the
United Methodist Church. If you do not wish to receive our occasional =
action
alerts, please reply and put "remove" in the subject line.

Howard W. Hallman is Chair of
Methodists United for Peace with Justice
1500 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036
Phone/fax: 301 896-0013; e-mail: mupj@igc.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice is a membership association of
laity and clergy. It has no affiliation with any Methodist =
denomination.

Status: U

Return-Path: <wadlingj@ucc.org>

Received: from webshield ([67.105.176.67])

by hazard.mail.atl.earthlink.net (Earthlink Mail Service) with SMTP id 17XAF83Iv3Nl3qG0
for <mupj@igc.org>; Fri, 4 Oct 2002 18:11:09 -0400 (EDT)

Received: FROM UCCLN2.ucc.org BY webshield ; Fri Oct 04 18:12:11 2002 -0400

From: JPAnet@ucc.org

Sensitivity:

Subject: URGENT ALERT--UCC JUSTICE & PEACE ACTION NETWORK: IRAQ, GIVE DIPLOMACY A
CHANCE

To: iskaniav@ucc.org

Sender: wadlingj@ucc.org

Date: Fri, 4 Oct 2002 18:04:25 -0400

Message-ID: <OFC3F6E065.F9C894EF-ON85256C48.0079411C@ucc.org>

X-MIMETrack: Serialize by Router on UCCLN2/UCC(Release 5.0.10 [March 22, 2002) at 10/04/2002
06:04:34 PM

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Urgent Alert--UCC Justice and Peace Action Network:
IRAQ, GIVE DIPLOMACY A CHANCE

The Senate decided to speed up the debate on Iraq and that debate is underway. Many issues will be discussed, but the votes will probably focus on the revised congressional joint resolution approved by the House leadership of both parties and now titled, "Authorization for the Use of Military force Against Iraq." There will also be votes on two amendments.

Senator Carl Levin (D-MI), Chair of the Senate Committee on Armed Services, has proposed an amendment calling for unlimited weapons inspections in Iraq and reserving the right to use to use military force if the inspections are not successful in eliminating the capacity of Iraq to use weapons of mass destruction. This is congruent with the resolution approved by the House leaders. The Levin amendment differs from the joint resolution by initially limiting the authorization of military action to a U.N. effort. If the president decides the multilateral effort is not successful, the Levin amendment provides for calling Congress back into session to approve unilateral action. "It makes a difference if we go it alone," Mr. Levin said.

The second amendment has been proposed by Senator Joseph Biden (D-DE), Chair of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and by Senator Richard Lugar (R-IN) who is also on the Committee. This amendment would make it totally clear that military action is authorized only against Iraq and is authorized only for the purpose of eliminating weapons of mass destruction.

ADVOCATES ARE URGED TO CALL THEIR SENATORS AND
URGE THEM TO SUPPORT THE LEVIN AMENDMENT FOR

MULTI-LATERAL ACTION. IF IT IS DEFEATED, OPPOSE THE JOINT RESOLUTION. Senators may also be invited to support the Biden/Lugar agreement which clarifies the compromise reached between the president and house leaders. Representatives should be encouraged to oppose the resolution because it fails to give sufficient priority to multi-lateral action and for other reason suggested in the previous urgent alert. (The Capitol Switchboard number is 202-224-3121. Senators can be emailed through www.senate.gov and Representatives through www.house.gov.)

Other aspects of the debate in the Senate may prove to be more important than the votes on the resolution and amendments. The charges and counter-charges about the political timing of this debate just before the mid-term elections has already proved contentious.

The overall timing of this effort is most important. The president has generated a sense of urgency and crisis about Iraq that is completely at odds with the level and immediacy of the threat posed by Iraq. Iraq is not mobilizing to attack its neighbors or the United States and has little or no capacity to do so given the air control of Iraq by the U.S. and its allies with repeated bombings of Iraq military targets. Senator Bob Graham (D-FL), Chair of the Senate Intelligence Committee, has been unable to get a report from the Central Intelligence Agency concerning Iraq and possible terrorist connections. In any case, **ADVOCATES ARE URGED TO COMMUNICATE THAT THERE IS NO NEED TO RUSH TO WAR BEFORE INSPECTIONS AND OTHER DIPLOMATIC ACTIVITIES HAVE BEEN GIVEN ADEQUATE TIME.**

The debate in the Senate could help to clarify what is going on. In promoting unilateral first-strike military action against Iraq the president has proposed, and then backed away from, numerous reason for such an attack. The oil issue has not been discussed. A plan for easing the suffering of the people of Iraq, much injured by our decade-long program of economic sanctions, has not been discussed. The implications of a unilateral first-strike for overall U.S. interests in the Middle East has not been strongly discussed. While there is wide-spread agreement that Saddam Hussein is a terrible leader, there has been no development of a plan for making things better assuming a U.S. military victory. Little or nothing has been said about the human costs to U.S. soldiers and the huge costs to Iraqi civilians, especially women and children, of urban warfare in Baghdad. **ADVOCATES ARE URGED TO CALL FOR A THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES BEFORE RUSHING TO DECISION.**

The need for advocacy on this issue goes far beyond the specific issues concerning Iraq. As Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA) has said, "We have never pulled the trigger of our weapons on a nation that has not attacked us first." Since many sectors of U.S. society are finding it difficult to speak out on the issues of war and peace at this time, it is all the more important that Christians and other people of faith speak out strongly. Even if you have already made a call or sent an email please consider additional action. Because the circumstances are rapidly shifting, additional advocacy is needed and appropriate. It is also important to increase the volume of response by getting more family, friends and church members involved.

This Urgent Alert is part of an effort to keep Justice and Peace Action Network members abreast of legislation relative to the constantly evolving US attitude on Iraq. These urgent alerts are in addition to our Weekly Messages and will continue as necessary. To add new names to this weekly advocacy service, for more information, or to remove your name from the list, reply to JPAnet@ucc.org. For additional information about the UCC Justice and Peace Action Network, log on to www.ucc.org.

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <proposal@zero-nukes.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <proposal@zero-nukes.org>
To: "Kayser, Marie" <marie_kayser@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: WWW.ZERO-NUKES.ORG
Date: Mon, 7 Oct 2002 10:05:42 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002E_01C26DE9.18EA21C0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_002E_01C26DE9.18EA21C0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Marie,

Having received this message, I realize that I don't understand about =
search engines. Such as, how to get on them.

I went to Google, which is the one I use, and found that I could post =
our URL, apparently for free. Should I do this? Are there others to go =
to also, such as Netscape? Do I need a service like this offer? I =
doubt it.

What do you advise?

Howard

----- Original Message -----=20
From: Sarah Williams=20
To: proposal@zero-nukes.org=20
Sent: Sunday, October 06, 2002 9:00 AM
Subject: WWW.ZERO-NUKES.ORG

Hi

I visited WWW.ZERO-NUKES.ORG, and noticed that you're not listed =
on some search engines! I think we can offer you a service which can =
help you increase traffic and the number of visitors to your website.

I would like to introduce you to TrafficMagnet.com. We offer a =
unique technology that will submit your website to over 300,000 search =
engines and directories every month.

=20

You'll be surprised by the low cost, and by how effective this =
website promotion method can be.=20

To find out more about TrafficMagnet and the cost for submitting =
your website to over 300,000 search engines and directories, visit =
www.TrafficMagnet.com.=20

I would love to hear from you.=20

Best Regards,

Sarah Williams=20
Sales and Marketing=20
E-mail: sarah_williams@trafficmagnet.com=20
<http://www.TrafficMagnet.com> =20

Reply-To: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
From: "Howard W. Hallman" <mupj@igc.org>
To: "pwj list serve" <gbcs-pwj@umcgroupemail.org>
Subject: Fw: NOW with Bill Moyers and Opposition to the War on Iraq
Date: Mon, 7 Oct 2002 10:31:53 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0047_01C26DEC.C10F25A0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0047_01C26DEC.C10F25A0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Colleagues:

For your information.

Howard Hallman
----- Original Message -----=20
From: Christel Poelman=20
Sent: Monday, October 07, 2002 10:25 AM
Subject: NOW with Bill Moyers and Opposition to the War on Iraq

Greetings, =20

On Friday evening, Oct 11, "NOW with Bill Moyers" will examine the =
varying viewpoints on the possible war with Iraq. =20

The program will examine public arguments being made against going to =
war by a number of community-based groups including the National Council =
of Churches, Veterans for Peace, Voices in the Wilderness, and Black =
Voices for Peace. In a thoughtful and measured documentary segment, NOW =
will illuminate the issues on the minds of these groups' leaders: the =
impact of the war on the black community, the possibility of continuing =
sanctions, the human tragedy at stake, and the high financial cost of =
war with so many ongoing domestic problems.

Show time will be 9 p.m. in most cities, but check local listings at =
www.pbs.org/now/sched.html for your station's schedule.

Please alert your extended membership to this program. I hope that you =
will encourage your readers and members to share their views on the =
issues by joining the post-broadcast discussion online at =
www.pbs.org/now or by sending their comments to now@pbs.org.

Sincerely,

Christel Poelman
Intern for National Council of Churches
110 Maryland Avenue, NE, Suite 108
Washington, D.C. 20002
www.nccusa.org

-----=

Do you Yahoo!?
Faith Hill - Exclusive Performances, Videos, & more
faith.yahoo.com

Monday Lobby Phone Book

October 2002

Please e-mail changes to David Culp, Friends Committee on National Legislation, david@fcn.org.

Alliance for Nuclear Accountability

Jim Bridgman
1801 18th Street, N.W., Suite 9-2
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 833-4668
Fax: (202) 234-9536
E-mail: jcbridgman@earthlink.net
Website: www.ananuclear.org

American Friends Service Committee

Jim Matlack
1822 R Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 483-3341
Fax: (202) 232-3197
E-mail: jmatlack@erols.com
Website: www.afsc.org

Americans for Democratic Action

Darryl Fagin
1625 K Street, N.W., Suite 210
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 785-5980
Fax: (202) 785-5969
E-mail: dfagin@adaction.com
Website: www.adaction.org

Arms Control Association

Daryl Kimball x107 ckucia
Christine Kucia x103 dkimball
1726 M Street, N.W., Suite 201
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 463-8270
Fax: (202) 463-8273
E-mail: (name above)@armscontrol.org
Website: www.armscontrol.org

Back from the Brink

Ira Shorr
c/o Physicians for Social Responsibility
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1012
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 667-4260 x227
Fax: (202) 667-4201
E-mail: irashorr@hotmail.com
Website: www.backfromthebrink.org

British American Security Information Council

Ian Davis x101 idavis
Chris Lindborg x102 clindborg
1012 14th Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 347-8340
Fax: (202) 347-4688
E-mail: (name [above](#))@basicint.org
Website: www.basicint.org

Campaign for U.N. Reform

Don Kraus
420 7th Street, S.E., Suite C
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel: (202) 546-3956
Fax: (202) 546-8703
E-mail: dkraus@cunr.org
Website: www.cunr.org

Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation

Tom Cardamone x126 *cardamone*
Erik Floden x110 *efloden*
Matt Martin x107 *mmartin*
Molly Pickett x119 *mpickett*
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 201
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 546-0795
Fax: (202) 546-5142
E-mail: (name above)@armscontrolcenter.org
Website: www.armscontrolcenter.org

Center for Defense Information

Chris Hellman -5285 *chellman*
Victoria Samson -5286 *vsamson*
Rachel Stohl -5283 *rstohl*
1779 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-2109
Tel: (202) 332-0600 or (202) 797-(above)
Toll free: (800) CDI-3334
Fax: (202) 462-4559
E-mail: (name above)@cdi.org
Website: www.cdi.org

Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments

Alane Kochems
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W., Suite 912
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 331-7990
Fax: (202) 331-8019
E-mail: Kochems@csbaonline.org
Website: www.csbaonline.org

Council for a Livable World

John Isaacs x131 *jdi*
Dan Koslofsky x115 *dan*
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 409
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 543-4100
Fax: (202) 543-6297
E-mail: (name above)@clw.org
Website: www.clw.org

D.C. Statehood Green Party

Jenefer Ellingston
641 Maryland Avenue, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 546-0940
Fax: (202) 546-0431
E-mail: jellingston@erols.com
Website: www.dcstatehoodgreen.org

Federation of American Scientists

Josh Kellar -4687 *jkellar*
Michael Levi -4684 *mlevi*
Jaime Yassif -4688 *jyassif*
1717 K Street, N.W., Suite 209
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 454-(above)
Fax: (202) 675-1010
E-mail: (name above)@fas.org
Website: www.fas.org

Fourth Freedom Forum

Alistair Millar
11 Dupont Circle, N.W., Ninth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 393-5201
Fax: (202) 393-5202
E-mail: amillar@fourthfreedom.org
Website: www.fourthfreedom.org

Friends Committee on National Legislation

David Culp x146 *david*
Kathy Guthrie x144 *kathy*
Ned Stowe x117 *ned*
Catherine Stratton Treadway
x112 *catherine*
Joe Volk x119 *joe*
245 Second Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002-5795
Tel: (202) 547-6000
Toll free: (800) 630-1330
Fax: (202) 547-6019
E-mail: (name above)@fcnl.org
Website: www.fcnl.org

Methodists United for Peace with Justice

Howard Hallman
1500 16th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel/Fax: (301) 896-0013
E-mail: mupj@igc.org
Website: www.zero-nukes.org

***National Council of Churches and
Church World Service***

Heather Nolen
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Room 108
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 544-2350 x23
Fax: (202) 546-6232
E-mail: hnolen@churchworldservice.org
Websites: www.nccusa.org and
www.churchworldservice.org

***NETWORK: A National Catholic Social
Justice Lobby***

Anne Curtis x25 *acurtis*
Alison Prevost x33 *aprevost*
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., Suite 460
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel: (202) 547-5556
Fax: (202) 547-5510
E-mail: (name above)@networklobby.org
Website: www.networklobby.org

***Nuclear Reduction/Disarmament Initiative
The Churches' Center for Theology and
Public Policy***

Rich Killmer
4500 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016-5690
Tel: (202) 885-8684
Fax: (202) 885-8605
E-mail: rkillmer@wesleysem.edu
Website: www.nrdi.org

Nuclear Threat Reduction Campaign

Brian Finlay -7553 *brian*
Marissa Vitagliano -7516 *marissa*
1725 I Street, N.W., Fourth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 557-(number above)
Fax: (202) 483-9312
E-mail: (name above)@vi.org
Website: www.NuclearThreatReduction.org

***Peace Action and
Peace Action Education Fund***

Kevin Martin x3007 *kmartin*
Tracy Moavero x3004 *tmoavero*
1819 H Street, N.W., Suite 420-425
Washington, D.C. 20006-3603
Tel: (202) 862-9740
Fax: (202) 862-9762
E-mail: (name above)@peace-action.org
Website: www.peace-action.org

Physicians for Social Responsibility

Martin Butcher x220 *mbutcher*
Anne Gallivan x222 *agallivan*
Adam Hughes X252 *ahughes*
Kimberly Roberts x212 *kroberts*
Jaya Tiwari x232 *jtiwari*
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1012
Washington, D.C. 20009
Tel: (202) 667-4260
Fax: (202) 667-4201
E-mail: (name above)@psr.org
Website: www.psr.org

20/20 Vision

James Wyerman x19 *jwyerman*
Rebecca Zimmerman x22 *rebecca*
1828 Jefferson Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 833-2020
Toll free: (800) 669-1782
Fax: (202) 833-5307
E-mail: (name above)@2020vision.org
Website: www.2020vision.org

Union of Concerned Scientists

Chris Turner x111 *cturner*
Stephen Young x112 *syoung*
1707 H Street, N.W. Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 223-6133
Fax: (202) 223-6162
E-mail: (name above)@ucsusa.org
Website: www.ucsusa.org

***United Methodist General Board of Church
and Society***

Janet Horman
100 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 212
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 488-5647
Fax: (202) 488-5639
E-mail: jhorman@umc-gbcs.org
Website: www.umc-gbcs.org

Women Strike for Peace

Adele Lehr
10815 Lombard Road, Apt. 115
Silver Spring, Maryland 20901-1634
Tel: (301) 593-3515
E-mail: aflehr@mailstation.com

Women's Action for New Directions

Darcy Scott Martin x1 *wand*
Nuclear Associate (vacant) x3 *nuclear*
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 205
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 543-8505
Fax: (202) 675-6469
E-mail: (name above)@wand.org
Website: www.wand.org

***Women's International League for Peace &
Freedom***

Legislative Director (vacant)
110 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Suite 100
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: (202) 546-6727
Fax: (202) 544-9613
E-mail: wilpfdc@wilpf.org
Website: www.wilpf.org

World Federalist Association

Heather Hamilton x107 *hbhamilton*
Peter Gantz x106 *pgantz*
420 7th Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel: (202) 546-3950
Toll free: (800) WFA-0123
Fax: (202) 546-3749
E-mail: (name above)@wfa.org
Website: www.wfa.org

Out-of Town Organizations

National Priorities Project

Greg Speeter
17 New South Street, Suite 301
Northampton, Massachusetts 01060
Tel: (413) 584-9556
Fax: (413) 586-9647
E-mail: greg@natprior.org
Website: www.natprior.org

Saferworld

Peter J. Davies
711 Ladd Road
Bronx, New York 10471
Tel/Fax: (718) 549-1726
E-mail: pjdavies@aol.com
Website: www.saferworld.co.uk

US Campaign to Ban Landmines

Gina Coplon-Newfield
c/o Physicians for Human Rights
100 Boylston Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02116
Tel: (617) 695-0041 x 228
Fax: (617) 695-0307
E-mail: GinaC-N@phrusa.org
Website: www.banminesusa.org

World Policy Institute

Frida Berrigan x112 *BerrigaF*
Michelle Ciarrocca x107 *CiarrM01*
Bill Hartung x106 *Hartung*
66 Fifth Avenue, 9th Floor
New York, New York 10011
Tel: (212) 229-5808
Fax: (212) 229-5579
E-mail: (name above)@newschool.edu
Website: www.worldpolicy.org